

*****DISCLAIMER*****

THE FOLLOWING IS AN UNEDITED DRAFT TRANSLATION. THIS
TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE VERBATIM, HAS NOT BEEN PROOFREAD AND
MAY CONTAIN ERRORS. PLEASE CHECK WITH THE SPEAKER(S) FOR ANY
CLARIFICATION.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE COPIED OR DISSEMINATED UNLESS YOU
OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE OFFICE OR SERVICE
DEPARTMENT THAT IS PROVIDING CART CAPTIONING TO YOU.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE USED IN A COURT OF LAW. -DH

*****DISCLAIMER*****

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WELCOME.
IT IS A 7:05 AND I WOULD LIKE TO CALL THIS MEETING OF THE VILLAGE
BOARD ORDER.
CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.
>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.
>> HERE.
>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.
>> YOUR.
>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.
>> HERE.
>> TRACY ROBINSON.
>> HERE.
>> TRUSTEE STRAW.
>> HERE.
>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.
>> HERE.
>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: HERE.
I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA REMOVING ITEMS FGO
FROM CONSENT AGENDA TO THE REGULAR.
MOTION, PLEASE.
>> SO MOVED.
>> MOTION BY TRUSTEE WESLEY AND SECONDED BY TRUSTEE ROBINSON.
ALL IN FAVOR?
>> AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THIS EVENING WE HAVE TWO PROCLAMATIONS ON THE AGENDA.

WE HAVE A MOTION TO APPROVE A PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING DOCTOR PERCY JULIAN APRIL 2024.

I THINK I ACTUALLY DID FORGET TO ASSIGN THAT TO SOMEBODY.

TRUSTEE ENYIA, ARE YOU COUNTABLE READING AT THIS POINT OR SHALL I DO IT?

I APPRECIATE IT VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FLEX ABILITY.

I WILL TAKE A MOTION FOR THE PROCLAMATION FIRST.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: TRUSTEE ENYIA, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR READING THAT ALLOWED FOR US THIS EVENING.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: PROCLAMATION FOR DOCTOR PERCY L JULIAN DAY APRIL 11, 2024.

WHEREAS, DR. PERCY L. JULIAN WAS BORN IN MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA ON APRIL 11, 1899, AND WAS ENCOURAGED BY HIS FAMILY TO LEAVE THE SEGREGATED SOUTH IN PURSUIT OF HIGHER EDUCATION, AND GRADUATING FIRST IN HIS CLASS FROM DEPAUW UNIVERSITY WITH A BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN CHEMISTRY IN 1920, EARNING A MASTER'S DEGREE IN CHEMISTRY FROM HARVARD UNIVERSITY IN 1923, AND AWARDED A PH.D. FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, AUSTRIA, IN 1931, BEING ONE OF THE FIRST AFRICAN AMERICANS TO ACHIEVE THIS DISTINCTION; AND

WHEREAS, THROUGHOUT HIS CAREER HE FACED DISCRIMINATION, RELYING ON HIS BRILLIANT SCIENTIFIC MIND, REMARKABLE WORK ETHIC, CONGENIAL SPIRIT, AND DEEP RELIGIOUS BELIEFS TO BECOME A RENOWNED CHEMIST WHO WOULD EARN MORE THAN 130 PATENTS AND PUBLISH 150 SCIENTIFIC ARTICLES IN HIS CAREER, RECEIVING NUMEROUS HONORS AND A NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL REPUTATION, AND REACHING BREAKTHROUGHS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AFFORDABLE, COMMERCIAL SYNTHETIC STEROIDS AND HORMONES THAT HAVE BEEN USED IN A WIDE RANGE OF APPLICATIONS INCLUDING BIRTH CONTROL PILLS AND MEDICATIONS TO COMBAT GLAUCOMA AND RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS; AND

WHEREAS, HIS WIFE ANNA ALSO BROKE DOWN ACADEMIC BARRIERS WHEN SHE EARNED A PH.D. IN SOCIOLOGY FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA IN 1937, ONE OF THE FEW WOMEN TO EARN SUCH A DISTINCTION; AND

WHEREAS, THE JULIAN FAMILY CAME TO THE CHICAGO AREA IN 1936, WHEN HE WAS NAMED TO A TOP RESEARCH POSITION AT GLIDDEN PAINT COMPANY IN CHICAGO BEFORE FOUNDING HIS LABORATORY, JULIAN LABORATORIES, IN FRANKLIN PARK, ILLINOIS IN 1953; AND

WHEREAS, IN 1950, DR. PERCY L. JULIAN WAS NAMED CHICAGOAN OF THE YEAR FOR HIS SCIENTIFIC AND COMMUNITY ACHIEVEMENTS, AND THAT SAME YEAR HE AND ANNA JULIAN MOVED WITH THEIR CHILDREN FROM THEIR HOME IN NEARBY MAYWOOD, ILLINOIS, TO OAK PARK; AND

WHEREAS, VERY EARLY IN THEIR RESIDENCE IN OAK PARK, THE JULIAN FAMILY EXPERIENCED RACISM AND THREATS OF VIOLENCE, THEIR HOME BEING TWICE ATTACKED BY ARSONISTS, AND YET THE JULIANS WOULD PERSEVERE AGAINST THESE ACTS OF HOSTILITY AND DISCRIMINATION AND BECAME HONORED COMMUNITY LEADERS, AND WHOSE CHILDREN WERE GRADUATES OF OAK PARK RIVER FOREST HIGH SCHOOL AND WHOSE DAUGHTER REMAINS AN OAK PARK RESIDENT IN THEIR ORIGINAL FAMILY RESIDENCE.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, VICKI SCAMAN, PRESIDENT OF THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK AND THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM APRIL 11, 2024, DR. PERCY L. JULIAN DAY IN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK, AND ENCOURAGE OUR COMMUNITY TO REFLECT ON THE MANY ACHIEVEMENTS OF DR. PERCY JULIAN IN SCIENCE, MEDICINE, AND RESEARCH, AS WELL AS ONE OF OAK PARK'S PIONEERS IN THE QUEST FOR INCLUSION, HUMAN AND CIVIL RIGHTS, AND A CATALYST FOR INTEGRATION AND DIVERSITY TO WHICH THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK HAS COMMITTED.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH, TRUSTEE ENYIA.
LAST TIME WE READ THIS PROCLAMATION FOR DOCTOR PERCY JULIAN'S BIRTHDAY IN 2023, FAITH JULIAN WAS ABLE TO JOIN US.
SHE IS NOT IN TOWN RIGHT NOW, BUT I WILL REACH OUT TO HER ON HER LAST MEETING OF THE MONTH TO SEE IF THAT WOULD WORK BETTER, IN THE MEANTIME WE WILL MAKE SURE SHE GETS A COPY OF THE PROCLAMATION AND ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH.
AND OUR SECOND PROCLAMATION ON THE BOARD AGENDA THIS EVENING IS A MOTION TO APPROVE PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING NATIONAL VOLUNTEER WEEK APRIL 21-27TH OF 2024, MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> THANK YOU.

THIS EVENING I ASK CLERK WATERS IF SHE WOULD READ THIS PROCLAMATION ALLOWED FOR US?

>>CLERK: NATIONAL VOLUNTEER WEEK, APRIL 21 THROUGH THE 27TH, 2024.

WHEREAS, NATIONAL VOLUNTEER WEEK, ESTABLISHED IN 1974, IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO RECOGNIZE THE POSITIVE IMPACT OF VOLUNTEER SERVICE AND THE POWER OF VOLUNTEERS TO BUILD STRONGER COMMUNITIES, TACKLE SOCIETY'S GREATEST CHALLENGES, AND BE A FORCE THAT TRANSFORMS THE WORLD; AND

WHEREAS, THE THEME FOR THE 2024 NATIONAL VOLUNTEER WEEK IS "CELEBRATE SERVICE - AN OPPORTUNITY TO SHINE A LIGHT ON THE PEOPLE AND CAUSES THAT INSPIRE US TO SERVE", AND AFFIRMS THE PROFOUNDLY HUMAN CONNECTION THAT IS AT THE HEART OF HEALTHIER INDIVIDUALS AND STRONGER COMMUNITIES; AND

WHEREAS, VOLUNTEERING STRENGTHENS COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS, FOSTERS CHARACTER DEVELOPMENT AND INSTILLS A SENSE OF SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN OUR YOUTH; AND

WHEREAS, WE RECOGNIZE OUR VOLUNTEER COMMISSIONERS AND COMMISSION CHAIRS FOR THE TIME AND TALENT THEY DEVOTE TO THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK AND TO OUR COMMUNITY; AND

WHEREAS, IT IS OUR HONOR TO RECOGNIZE ALL PEOPLE OF OAK PARK, ILLINOIS WHO VOLUNTEER TO IMPROVE THEIR COMMUNITIES AND OUR NATION.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, CHRISTINA WATERS, CLERK OF THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK AND THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND PRESIDENT, VICKIE SCAMAN, DO YOU CLAIM APRIL 21-27, 2024 AS NATIONAL VOLUNTEER WEEK, AND URGE OUR FELLOW COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO VOLUNTEER AND EXPERIENCE THE POSITIVE IMPACT THAT VOLUNTEERING CAN HAVE ON YOUR LIFE AND THE LIVES OF THOSE AROUND YOU. DATED THIS 11TH DAY OF APRIL 2024.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

DO YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO WHY WE ARE WEARING THESE BEAUTIFUL SHIRTS THIS EVENING?

>>CLERK: I WILL SHARE THIS SATURDAY, APRIL 13 WE WILL BE HOSTING A NEIGHBORHOOD CLEANUP STARTING HERE AT VILLAGE HALL 8:30 A.M. THERE WILL BE A LIGHT BREAKFAST SERVED AND SNACKS THAT 9:00 A.M. I WILL HEAD OUT TO THE STREETS TO CLEAN UP THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND EVERYONE IS WELCOME TO JOIN, EVEN THE LITTLE ONES, I WOULD JUST ENCOURAGE A STROLLER OR WAGON.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WONDERFUL.

ON THE VILLAGE MANAGER'S REPORT, VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: PRESIDENT SCAMAN AND TRUSTEE MEMBERS, WE HAVE ONE ITEM TONIGHT.

IT IS AN UPDATE ON OUR RESPONSE TO THE MEASLES, PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTOR DOCTOR TERESA CHAPPELL WILL GIVE THE UPDATE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

>> GOOD EVENING, EVERYONE.

DOCTOR TERESA CHAPPELL, PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTOR AND I WANTED TO GIVE YOU ALL AN UPDATE ON WHAT IS HAPPENING WITH MEASLES.

THERE IS A NATIONAL MEASLES OUTBREAK WITH MOST OF THE CASES BEING IN THE CHICAGOLAND AREA.

MEASLES WAS ELIMINATED IN 2000, WHICH MEANS THERE IS A CONCERTED EFFORT EVERY YEAR BY PUBLIC HEALTH PEOPLE TO KEEP MEASLES AT ZERO OR AS CLOSE TO ZERO AS POSSIBLE.

THAT CONCERTED EFFORT IS DONE THROUGH ENSURING VACCINATION RATES ARE AT 95% ACROSS OUR COMMUNITIES.

WITH THE PANDEMIC THERE WAS A LOT OF DELAYED ROUTINE HEALTHCARE AND PART OF THAT - IT DELAYED NASAL VACCINATIONS AND ACROSS THE COUNTRY WE

HAVE SEEN OUR MEASLES VACCINATION RATE DROP BELOW WHAT WE CONSIDER TO BE THE THRESHOLD NATIONWIDE.

WITH THIS OUTBREAK THERE ARE POCKETS AND AREAS THAT HAVE LOW MEASLES VACCINATION RATES THAT ARE ALLOWING THIS OUTBREAK TO THRIVE.

THERE IS ABOUT 113 CASES NATIONWIDE WAS 62 OF THEM IN THE CHICAGOLAND AREA OUR MEASLES OUTBREAK STARTED AT THE BEGINNING OF MARCH.

THE CDC HAS COME IN TO HELP SUPPORT CHICAGO AND CHICAGO HAS THE MAJORITY OF THE CASES IN ILLINOIS.

I THINK 58 OF THE 62 CASES.

THE CDC CAME IN WHEN CHICAGO HAD TWO CASES.

SO REALLY ANY CASES OF MEASLES REALLY KIND OF DRAW IN ALL OF THE RESOURCES YOU HAVE AND ALSO YOUR NEIGHBORING RESOURCES SO THAT WE CAN SQUASH THIS OUTBREAK.

IN ORDER FOR CHICAGO TO REALLY TRY TO MANAGE THE CASES THEY HAVE, THEY HAVE CALLED ON FB AID AND NURSE AID FROM THE STATE, COUNTY, AND ALL REGIONAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO HELP THEM WITH VACCINATIONS, WITH CONTACT TRACING AND WITH ALL THE THINGS WE HAVE TO DO TO REALLY TRY TO ADDRESS THIS OUTBREAK.

WE CURRENTLY HAVE NO CASES IN OAK PARK RIGHT NOW, BUT WE DO HAVE PEOPLE WHO ARE EXPOSED AND MEASLES IS A HIGHLY CONTAGIOUS DISEASE. IF YOU ARE EXPOSED IN UNVACCINATED IT IS A 90% CHANCE THAT YOU WILL DEVELOP MEASLES.

WHAT WE ARE SEEING IN THE CHICAGOLAND AREA IS THAT WE ARE ALSO SEEING BREAKTHROUGH CASES SO THAT MEANS THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO HAVE HAD THEIR VACCINES THAT ARE GETTING THE MEASLES STILL EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE VACCINATED.

NATIONWIDE BREAKTHROUGH CASES ARE ABOUT 17% AND WHAT WE ARE SEEING HERE IN THE CHICAGOLAND AREA IS AT 29% OF OUR CASES ARE BREAKTHROUGH CASES.

SO THAT DOES LEAD TO A HIGHER LEVEL OF CONCERN THAN WHAT WE WOULD TYPICALLY HAVE WHEN WE HAVE A MEASLES OUTBREAK.

WHAT WE HAVE BEEN DOING TO TRY TO SUPPORT OUR COMMUNITY AND TRIED TO CONTROL THE SPREAD OF MEASLES IN OUR COMMUNITY IS WE HAVE BEEN DOING SOME CONCERTED OUTREACH TO THOSE PEOPLE THAT ARE UNVACCINATED.

WE HAVE HELD FOUR VACCINATION CLINICS IN THE PAST TWO WEEKS.

WE HAVE GONE TO ALL OF OUR HIGH-RISK FACILITIES AND HIGH RISK WOULD BE ANY PLACE THAT HAS A CONGREGATE LIVING.

WE HAVE GONE TO OUR SHELTERS.

WE HAVE GONE TO CONGREGATE LIVING CENTERS AND DONE DIRECT PUBLIC HEALTH EDUCATION AROUND MEASLES, BUT WE HAVE ALSO HOSTED VACCINATION CLINICS.

WE'VE ALSO REACHED OUT TO OUR SCHOOLS.

WE HAVE ONE SCHOOL IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT DOES NOT HAVE A 95% VACCINATION RATE FOR MEASLES, SO WE'VE BEEN DOING EDUCATION WITH THEM AND THEIR FAMILIES TO INCREASE VACCINATION RATES.

FROM THE MOMENT YOU ARE VACCINATED YOU ARE NOT CONSIDERED FULLY COVERED FOR THREE WEEKS SO WE ARE REALLY TRYING TO GET PEOPLE VACCINATED NOW AND HELPS TO PROTECT THEM.

WE HAVE ALSO TALKED WITH OUR DAYCARE CENTERS BECAUSE WE HAVE BABIES THAT ARE TOO YOUNG TO BE VACCINATED SO TRYING TO ENSURE THAT THEIR STAFF IS ALL VACCINATED AS A WAY TO PROTECT THE BABIES THAT ARE THERE AND UNVACCINATED.

WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO VACCINATE ABOUT 60% OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO WE HAVE KIND OF DONE THIS EDUCATION WITH.

60% HAVE BEEN RECEPTIVE TO VACCINE SO THAT HAS BEEN HELPFUL, BUT THAT STILL LEAVES US A GROUP OF PEOPLE THAT ARE SUSCEPTIBLE TO MEASLES IN OUR COMMUNITY.

IF YOU ARE EXPOSED TO MEASLES AND UNVACCINATED THERE IS A SHORT WINDOW OF TIME UNTIL YOU CAN GET WHAT IS CALLED THE POSTEXPOSURE PROPHYLACTIC AND WITH THAT IT CAN REDUCE THE LIKELIHOOD OF YOU GETTING MEASLES. IT DOES NOT ELIMINATE THE LIKELIHOOD, JUST GIVES YOUR SYSTEM A LITTLE BIT OF A BOOST.

SO WHEN WE HAVE CONFIRMATION THAT SOMEONE HAS BEEN EXPOSED TO MEASLES WE HAVE THREE DAYS TO GET IN CONTACT WITH THAT PERSON AND GET THEM VACCINATED.

SO WE NOW HAVE A GOOD AMOUNT OF MEASLES VACCINE ON HAND SO WE CAN TRY TO HELP SUPPORT PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY AND GETTING VACCINATED WITH THE POSTEXPOSURE VACCINE AS WELL.

THAT THREE DAY WINDOW IS EXTREMELY TIGHT SO THAT MEANS THAT MY TEAM IS DOING CONTACT TRACING IN THE MORNINGS, IN THE EVENINGS, ON THE WEEKENDS AND WE ARE AVAILABLE TO GIVE THAT VACCINE AS IMMEDIATE AS POSSIBLE IN ORDER TO TRY AND STOP THE SPREAD.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO GET IN UNVACCINATED PERSON VACCINATED, THEN THEY MUST QUARANTINE FOR 21 DAYS AND THAT IS THE LENGTH OF TIME IN WHICH YOU CAN DEVELOP MEASLES.

IF YOU DEVELOP MEASLES YOU WERE CONTAGIOUS FOR FOUR DAYS PRIOR AND UP UNTIL FOUR DAYS AFTER THE RASH DEVELOPS.

THE WAY MEASLES WORKS, YOU GET EXPOSED, YOU CAN DEVELOP MEASLES SOMEWHERE BETWEEN THESE EIGHT AND 21.

OF THE FOUR DAYS BEFORE THAT YOU ARE HIGHLY CONTAGIOUS.

THE FIRST SIGN OF MEASLES IS NOT THE MEASLES RASH.

IT IS FEVER, RUNNY NOSE, COUGHING.

SO THAT IS A SIGN OF A WHOLE LOT OF OTHER DISEASES AND PEOPLE WILL STILL GO OUT IN THE COMMUNITY WITH FEVER, RUNNY NOSE, COUGHING.

SO WE HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT PEOPLE THEY HAVE SEEN, PLUS THE PEOPLE THEY SOUGHT WHEN THEY FIRST STARTED DEVELOPING SYMPTOMS.

IT TAKES ABOUT TWO OR SO DAYS BEFORE YOU DEVELOP THE HIGH FEVER, WHICH IS SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 104 -105° FEVER SPACE.

BY THE TIME PEOPLE ARE USUALLY STAYING HOME AND NOT GOING PLACES.

THEY ARE STILL CONTAGIOUS DURING THAT PERIOD AND IT TAKES ABOUT ANOTHER TWO DAYS FOR YOU TO DEVELOP THE MEASLES RASH YOU'RE SO CONTAGIOUS FOR ANOTHER FOUR DAYS AFTER YOU DEVELOP THE RASH SO WE HAVE A BROADER CONTAGIOUS PERIOD AS I MENTIONED MEASLES IS HIGHLY TRANSMISSIBLE WITH UP TO 90% OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE UNVACCINATED LIKELY TO GET THE MEASLES.

SO AS I MENTIONED EARLIER ONE CASE OR TWO CASES ARE ENOUGH TO REALLY SHAPE A COMMUNITY.

SO MUCH SO THAT WE WOULD EXPECT THE CDC TO COME IN AND PROVIDE SUPPORT AND THAT THE STATE WOULD NEED TO BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY.

THE WAY THAT THINGS ARE SET UP NOW, IF THERE IS A CASE OF MEASLES IN THE COMMUNITY DETECTED, THE LAB HAS THREE HOURS TO LET US KNOW SO WE CAN BEGIN THE CONTACT TRACING IN THE WORK WE NEED TO DO IMMEDIATELY. WE ARE WORKING WITH POLICE, FIRE, AND ALL OF OUR PROVIDERS IN THE COMMUNITY SO THEY CAN ALSO KNOW HOW TO RESPOND TO A MEASLES CASE.

WE ARE WORKING WITH OUR COMMUNITY TO KNOW THAT IF YOU SUSPECT THAT YOU HAVE MEASLES, PLEASE DO NOT GO TO THE DOCTORS, URGENT CARE, ER, PLEASE MAKE A PHONE CALL FIRST SO THAT MEDICAL FACILITIES CAN BE PREPARED FOR YOU AS IT IS HIGHLY CONTAGIOUS AND WE WOULD NOT WANT OTHER SUSCEPTIBLE PEOPLE TO BE IN THE ROOM.

MEASLES LINGERS IN THE AIR FOR UP TO TWO HOURS SO IF YOU HAVE MEASLES AND YOU ARE IN THIS ROOM AND COUGHED, THIS ROOM WOULD BE CONTAMINATED FOR TWO HOURS AND SO WE ARE WORKING WITH MEDICAL PROVIDERS TO HELP DETERMINE WHAT WOULD BE THEIR ROOM THAT THEY USE, HOW WOULD THEY BE ABLE TO CLOSED DOWN THE ROOM FOR TWO HOURS SO NEW PEOPLE WILL NOT COME IN.

WE ARE WORKING WITH OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT TO DO THE SAME.

SO IF THEY USE THE AMBULANCE TO TRANSPORT SOMEONE WITH MEASLES HAD WE TAKE THE AMBULANCE OUT OF COMMISSION FOR TWO HOURS AND ALL OF THAT WORK.

WE ARE ABLE TO WORK WITH THE SCHOOLS RIGHT NOW TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT WHO IS SUSCEPTIBLE FOR THE MEASLES.

SO THAT CAN HELP OR CONTACT TRACING FOOD THAT IS HELPING RIGHT NOW AND WE'RE DISCUSSING OTHER STEPS WE CAN TAKE SUCH AS WORKING WITH THE MRC TO GET OUR RESERVE CORPS UP AND READY TO HELP US IN THE LIKELIHOOD THAT WE HAVE A MEASLES CASE.

WE ALSO HAVE A TESTING KIT.

THERE ARE TESTING KITS HERE AT THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, WHICH WE WOULD LIKE TO USE TO GO OUT INTO PEOPLE'S HOMES AND TEST PEOPLE WHO ARE SHOWING SIGNS OF MEASLES TO TRY TO KEEP THEM IN ONE PLACE INSTEAD OF MAKING IT TO THE COMMUNITY.

ESPECIALLY OF COMMUNITY PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE CARS OR OTHER WAYS TO GET MEDICAL FACILITIES.

THE SUSPECTED MEASLES CASE IS ON BUSES OR GOOBERS AND THINGS LIKE THAT AND WE ARE WILLING TO GO OUT TO PEOPLE TO DO THE TESTING.

I THINK THAT MIGHT BE - OH!

THE OTHER THING THAT IS DIFFERENT WITH THIS MEASLES OUTBREAK IS THE RATE OF HOSPITALIZATION.

SO TYPICALLY WITH MEASLES YOU HAVE ABOUT A 60% HOSPITALIZATION RATE, BUT WHAT WE ARE SEEING HERE IN THE CHICAGOLAND AREA IS 80% HOSPITALIZATION RATE.

CHILDREN UNDER FIVE HAVE BEEN HOSPITALIZED AT ABOUT 66%.

CHILDREN UNDER FIVE HAVE BEEN HOSPITALIZED, PEOPLE AGES 5-19, 35% HAVE BEEN HOSPITALIZED.

THE 20+ - - BEEN HOSPITALIZED.

SO THIS IS GIVING US A DIFFERENT PICTURE THAN WHAT WE ARE USED TO WHEN IT COMES DOWN TO WHAT MEASLES LOOK LIKE HERE IN CHICAGO VERSUS WHAT WE HAVE EXPECTED INCOME TO PREPARE FOR IN PREVIOUS OUTBREAKS.

ANY QUESTIONS?

YES?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: THANK YOU FOR THAT.

IS THERE AN AGE PROFILE FOR THESE CASES WE HAVE FOR THE 113 CASES WE HAVE NATIONALLY?

IS THIS SOME SEGMENT BY AGE MORE VULNERABLE THAN OTHERS?

>> VULNERABILITY IS KIND OF ACROSS THE AGE SPAN.

WHAT DICTATES VULNERABILITY IS VACCINATION STATUS.

FOR MEASLES YOU WERE NOT ABLE TO BE VACCINATED IF YOU ARE UNDER THE AGE OF ONE.

NOW COMMUNITY ALL OF OUR BABIES ARE VULNERABLE TO MEASLES.

WHAT IS TYPICAL IS TWO DOSES OF THE VACCINE.

YOU GET YOUR FIRST DOSE SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 12 AND 18 MONTHS AND GET YOUR SECOND DOSE AROUND AGE 4 AND SO WE ALSO HAVE THAT UNDER FIVE CATEGORY THAT IS VULNERABLE BECAUSE THEY'VE NOT HAD A TWO DOSES OF VACCINE.

THAT IS WHY YOU SEE SUCH HIGH RATES OF HOSPITALIZATION FOR THOSE CHILDREN UNDER FIVE AS A RESULT OF GETTING THE MEASLES.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: HAVE THERE BEEN ANY FATALITIES?

>> I DO NOT KNOW.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: OKAY, THANK YOU.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: WHAT IS THE VACCINATION RATE IN OAK PARK?

>> OVERALL OUR VACCINATION RATE IS 97%, WHICH IS GREAT.

95% IS WHERE WE NORMALLY SAY HERD IMMUNITY WOULD OCCUR.

THE ISSUES WOULD BE IS THAT WE HAVE SMALL POCKETS IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT HAVE VACCINATION RATES MUCH LOWER THAN THAT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: YOU SAID THAT THERE WERE EXPOSURES IN OAK PARK. WE KNOW HOW MANY FOLKS HAVE BEEN EXPOSED IN OAK PARK TO THIS POINT?

>> WE DO.

WITH COVID THERE WAS A CHANGE TO THE HIPPA LAW THAT ALLOWED YOU TO REPORT CASES AND EXPOSURES AT A CERTAIN NUMBER, THAT HAS NOT HAPPENED

WITH MEASLES SO I CANNOT REPORT ON THE NUMBER OF CASES UNTIL WE GET OR EXPOSURES UNTIL WE GET TO THAT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: OKAY.

YOU NOTED THAT A - 29% OF THE CASES WERE BREAKTHROUGH CASES. AND I KNOW YOU MENTIONED ABOUT 90% OF PEOPLE WHO ARE UNVACCINATED WHO WERE EXPOSED WILL GET SICK.

IF YOU ARE VACCINATED, SORT OF WHAT IS THE PROBABILITY THAT YOU GET SICK IF YOU ARE EXPOSED?

>> PRIOR TO THIS OUTBREAK THE ANSWER WOULD BE AROUND 3%, BUT RIGHT NOW WE ARE SEEING MUCH HIGHER BREAKTHROUGH CASES AND SO I DO NOT KNOW WHAT TO SAY ABOUT IT RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE'RE IN THE MIDST OF IT. I WOULD LOVE FOR IT TO BE THE 3% WE ARE WORKING WITH, BUT THAT DOES NOT SEEM TO BE WHERE WE ARE.

>> OKAY.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: APPRECIATE IT DEFINITELY. INTERESTED IN HEARING MORE INFORMATION OBVIOUSLY AS IT BECOMES AVAILABLE.

THANK YOU FOR KEEPING US UP-TO-DATE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH DOCTOR CHAPPELL. CHIBUIKE, DID YOU MORE?

>> THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THIS TO OUR ATTENTION. THEY SAID THE BOARD OF HEALTH IS MEETING TO SEE ABOUT OTHER SOLUTIONS. DO YOU KNOW WHAT ANY OF THOSE OTHER SOLUTIONS MIGHT BE THAT WE COULD BE WORKING ON?

>> RIGHT NOW WE ARE TRYING TO THINK ABOUT WHAT RESOURCES WILL IT TAKE ONCE WE GET A CASE IN THE COMMUNITY. AS I MENTIONED THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT ONE COULD BE EXPOSED IN THE COMMUNITY COULD BE SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 4-6 DAYS AND IF WE ALL JUST THINK ABOUT WHAT WE HAVE DONE THE LAST 4-6 DAYS AND HOW MANY PEOPLE WE HAVE SEEN, THAT COULD LEAD TO A MASSIVE AMOUNT OF CONTACT TRACING THAT WOULD QUICKLY OVERWHELM OUR DEPARTMENT.

RIGHT NOW THE BOARD OF HEALTH IS TRYING TO THINK THROUGH WHAT RESOURCES WE MIGHT NEED.

WHAT TYPE OF PEOPLE WE MIGHT NEED.

WHAT FUNDING MAY BE ASSOCIATED.

THERE IS ALSO AN ISSUE WITH PEOPLE KNOWING THEIR STATUS.

IF YOU THINK ABOUT YOURSELF, DO YOU KNOW OR MMRS STATUS AND YOU APPROVE OF IT?

SO I THINK ONCE SOMEONE HAS BEEN EXPOSED WE HAVE TO ASK THEM, ARE YOU VACCINATED, WHERE IS YOUR PROOF OF VACCINATION AND IF THEY ARE UNABLE TO FIND PROOF OF VACCINATION, DO WE THEN PULL BLOOD TO SEE IF THEY'VE BEEN VACCINATED.

HAD WE DO THAT FOR PEOPLE WHO DO NOT HAVE INSURANCE?

WHAT COVERS THE COST OF THAT?

THERE ARE A LOT OF PIECES THAT GO INTO HAVING MEASLES IN YOUR COMMUNITY SO WE ARE TRYING TO DISCUSS AS A GROUP RIGHT NOW HOW WE BEST HANDLE THOSE THINGS.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BEING HERE AND SHARING ALL OF THAT INFORMATION WITH US.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON, ANYTHING FURTHER?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: NOTHING FURTHER.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

SO WE DO HAVE VILLAGE BOARD COMMITTEES AND TRUSTEE LIAISON COMMISSION REPORTS.

ANY REPORTS FROM MY COLLEAGUES FOR BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS?

OKAY?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: THE AGING IN COMMUNITIES COMMISSION IS MEETING TONIGHT.

I WAS DOWN THERE BEFORE COMING UP HERE AND THE FIRST ISSUE ON THE AGENDA THAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT WAS LEAF COLLECTION.

I KNOW OUR PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT IS ALSO GOING TO BE MEETING WITH OUR DISABILITY ACCESS COMMISSION TO TALK ABOUT LEAF COLLECTION AND PUBLIC WORKS HAS BEEN ACTIVELY DISCUSSING WITH THOSE COMMISSIONS HOW TO ADDRESS THE CONCERNS THAT WE CERTAINLY HAVE BEEN HEARING FROM OUR AGING COMMUNITIES AND FROM OUR DISABLED COMMUNITY REGARDING THE LEAF BAGGING CHANGES THAT THIS BOARD HAS ENACTED ON. SO MORE WILL BE FORTHCOMING, BUT JUST WANTED TO SHARE THAT THAT ACTION AND OUTREACH HAS BEEN TAKING PLACE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH.

I UNDERSTAND WE HAVE A NON-AGENDA PUBLIC, BEFORE WE GO ON TO COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS.

CLERK WATERS?

>>CLERK: BRUCE?

>>PUBLIC COMMENTER: I DID NOT THINK THIS WOULD BE UNTIL LATER. I HAVE TO GET THIS LOADED UP.

I HAD HANDOUTS AND ONE OF THEM SAYS THAT THERE WAS A NONBINDING ADVISORY REFERENDUM IN 2002 THAT BASICALLY RECOMMENDED EARLIER PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT IN THE DEVELOPMENT DECISIONS.

AND THERE WAS A VOTE OF 86% IN APPROVAL OF THAT.

BY OAK PARK VOTERS AT THAT TIME.

THE SECOND HANDOUT DESCRIBES HOW AT LEAST FIVE OF OAK PARK'S CURRENT CIVIC AND NEIGHBORHOOD GROUPS THINK IT'S DEVELOPING PROCESS CAN BE IMPROVED.

WE DO WANT DEVELOPMENT, BUT NOT ILL FITTING DEVELOPMENT THAT DO NOT CARE MUCH ABOUT OAK PARK'S NEIGHBORHOODS AND RESIDENTS.

THERE ARE EXAMPLES OF NEWER OAK PARK BUILDINGS THAT DO FIT IN WELL. ALSO IN 2017, A PETITION AGAINST ONE OF OAK PARK'S HIGH-RISES WASN'T SIGNED BY THOUSANDS OF ITS VOTERS.

APPROXIMATELY 4000 OAK PARK VOTERS, INCLUDING THE NEIGHBORHOODS
FARTHEST FROM THE PROPOSED BUILDING SIGNED THAT PETITION.
IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MANY MORE THAN 4000 SIGNATURES.
QUITE POSSIBLY THOUSANDS MORE, BUT THE PETITION COLLECTORS JUST RAN
OUT OF TIME.
IT IS PROBABLY FAIR TO ASSUME THAT NONE OF THOSE THOUSANDS OF SIGNERS
LIKED OAK PARK'S DECISION PROCESS.
THERE ARE AT LEAST FIVE CURRENT CIVIC GROUPS IN OAK PARK THAT THINK
EARLIER AND MORE MEANINGFUL PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT IN HIS DEVELOPMENT
DECISIONS IS DEEPLY IMPORTANT TO THOUSANDS OF OAK PARK CITIZENS WHO
HOPE TO BE REPRESENTED.
THE CITIZENS WOULD BENEFIT FROM MORE DIALOGUE WITH THE BOARD ON THE
DECISION PROCESS FOR DEVELOPMENTS THAN ANY OF US MAY HAVE TIME FOR
TONIGHT.
SO OUR FIVE GROUPS HAVE AND ASK.
WE RESPECTFULLY ASK FOR FURTHER DIALOGUE WITH THE BOARD REGARDING
CITIZEN ENGAGEMENT IN OAK PARK'S DEVELOPMENT DECISIONS.
PLEASE KEEP TWO FIGURES IN MIND.
86% AND 4000, QUITE POSSIBLY THOUSANDS MORE THAN 4000.
THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

BRIAN, I APPRECIATE THE UPDATE ON OUR COMMISSIONS, SPECIFICALLY AGING
IN COMMUNITIES.

AS IS USUAL WE HAVE THE BOARD AND COMMISSION VACANCY REPORT PROVIDED
BY OUR VILLAGE CLERK'S OFFICE ON THE AGENDA.

ANYBODY INTERESTED IN SERVING ON A COMMISSION CAN EMAIL THE CLERK AT
CLERK@OAK-PARK.US.

I ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE VILLAGE PRESIDENTS APPOINTMENTS OF
- CLERK WATERS, DO YOU MIND READING THIS OFFER US?

>>CLERK: BARBARA CIMAGLIO, REAPPOINTMENT AS MEMBER TO THE AGING
IN COMMUNITIES COMMISSION.

CHRISTINA R. WELTER, APPOINTED AS CHAIR TO THE BOARD OF HEALTH.

CURTIS LOTT, REAPPOINTMENT TO THE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT COMMISSION.

CAMILE LINDSAY KUMI, A POINT TO THE CITIZENS POLICE OVERSIGHT
COMMITTEE.

MARCIA J. DIVERDE, APPOINTED AS A MEMBER TO THE FARMERS MARKET
COMMISSION.

AND MAS TAKIGUCHI, APPOINTED AS CHAIR TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

THANK YOU SO MUCH TO THOSE SERVING ON OUR COMMISSIONS AND I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AND REMINDER THAT WE REMOVED ITEMS F, G, AND O FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> GETS.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

THANK YOU.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON, IS THERE ANY STAFF RECOMMENDATION WHERE SHOULD WE START?

SHOULD WE GO WITH ITEM F OR TO OUR REGULAR AGENDA AS PRESENTED?

>> I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT WE ADDRESS THE LIQUOR LICENSES, THE REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS THEY DID TO THE LIQUOR LICENSES AND THEN WE GO IN ORDER ON THE ITEMS F, G AND O, SUBSEQUENT TO THAT AND WE WILL FOLLOW WHAT IS ON THE REGULAR AGENDA AFTER WE DEAL WITH THOSE THREE ITEMS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: VERY GOOD.

ITEM O WE WILL ADD TO THE LIQUOR LICENSES BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT WORKS FOR TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

TRUSTEE PARAKKAT, WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE A STATEMENT AT THIS TIME?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: FOR THOSE FOUR ITEMS I WOULD LIKE TO RECUSE MYSELF SINCE I RUN A GROUP CALLED TAKE OUT 25, AND JUST TO BE SAFE IN CONSULTATION WITH THE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, I DECIDED TO RECUSE MYSELF OR DECISIONS WHERE I HAVE AN INFLUENCE FROM THE OUTSIDE COMMUNITY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

THE FIRST ONE IS ITEM P, AN ORDINANCE - ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 3, ARTICLE 8 SECTION 3-8-1 AND SECTION 3-8-2 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CORD FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A SPECIAL EVENTS CLASS FOR THE OAK PARK RIVER FOREST CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> THANK YOU.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON, I DO SEE THAT WE HAVE OUR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE CHAMBER PRESENT.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: YES.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: DO YOU HAVE AN OPENING, PAUL?

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: I WILL TELL YOU THAT THE LIQUOR CONTROL REVIEW BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMEND THAT WE APPROVE THIS ORDINANCE GRANTING THE LIQUOR LICENSE, CHAMBER OF COMMERCE IS HERE. THIS IS A SPECIAL EVENT E-1 LIQUOR LICENSE THAT WILL ALLOW THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE TO HOLD 10 SPECIAL EVENTS UNDER THAT CATEGORY. I DON'T KNOW IF THE CHAMBER PRESIDENT WANTS TO COME DOWN AND SAY ANYTHING?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: PLEASURE TO HAVE YOU HERE. WE MAY AS WELL.

>> FOR EVERYBODY WHO DOES NOT KNOW, MY NAME IS (NAME?) AND I'M THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE OAK PARK AND RIVER FOREST CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

THAT TITLE IS A MOUTHFUL EVERY TIME I SAY I FEEL LIKE.

THE CHAMBER IS AN EXTREMELY ACTIVE ORGANIZATION OF THE 500 MEMBERS HOSTING 14 PROGRAMMING EVENTS A MONTH, SEVERAL SPECIAL EVENTS A YEAR AND SEVERAL CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN THE.

HISTORICALLY WE HAD A LIQUOR LICENSE.

I WANT TO SAY 20 OR SO YEARS AGO AND AS I CAME INTO THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SHIP I WANTED TO LOOK AT MAKING SURE THAT OUR CHAMBER WAS THE MODEL FOR HOW TO DO BUSINESS ETHICALLY, SUSTAINABLY, AND LEGALLY AND WORKING WITH CAMERON DAVIS DECIDED THAT APPLYING FOR A LIQUOR LICENSE WAS THE RIGHT THING TO DO THAT IS WHY WE ARE HERE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH. ANY QUESTIONS?

CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> GETS.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

ITEM Q, AND ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 3, ARTICLE 8, SECTION 3-8-1 AND SECTION 3-8-2 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A

RESTAURANT CLASS B-1 LIQUOR LICENSE TO CAFÉ Q BONO LLC, DOING BUSINESS AS CAFÉ Q BONO.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON OR PAUL?

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: AT THE MARCH 26 LIQUOR CONTROL REVIEW BOARD, THE LIQUOR CONTROL REVIEW BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THE LIQUOR LICENSE AND ADOPTION OF THE ORDINANCE, IT IS A CLASS B-1 LIQUOR LICENSE AND THE APPLICANT WILL BE LOCATED AT 113 NORTH OAK PARK AVENUE, WHICH IS ALSO A PREMISES THAT IS SUBJECT TO A LIQUOR LICENSE OF (NAME?) AND THIS WILL BE THE NEW BUSINESS AT THAT LOCATION GOOD APPLICANT IS HERE TONIGHT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WOULD YOU LIKE TO JOIN US?

THANK YOU.

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING, BECAUSE WE THANK YOU FOR HAVING US AND THANK YOU FOR APPROVING.

WE ARE MOVING FROM ELMWOOD PARK HERE TO OAK PARK SO WE ARE EXTREMELY EXCITED THANK YOU FOR ENTRUSTING US WITH A LIQUOR LICENSE SO WE CAN BRING IN SOME VERY TROPICAL DRINKS AND CUBAN FLAVOR TO THE AREA. WE ARE HOPING TO BE OPEN AT MAY 3. FINGERS CROSSED WE ARE ALMOST THERE.

WE WILL BE MOVING FURNITURE IN THE LAST LITTLE THINGS IN AND PUTTING FINAL TOUCHES IN, BUT WE INVITE YOU TO COME DOWN AND VISIT AND HAVE YOURSELF A NICE DRINK AND A NICE DINNER, LUNCH.

WE ARE WORKING ON HOURS AND WE'RE HOPING TO BE OPEN A LITTLE BIT EARLIER THAN WHAT WE WERE IN ELMWOOD PARK.

WE WILL BE OFFERING COFFEES AND EMPANADAS.

WE ENCOURAGE EVERYONE TO COME DOWN AND VISIT US.

AND THANK YOU AGAIN FOR ENTRUSTING US WITH THIS LIQUOR LICENSE AND WE ARE HAPPY TO JOIN THE COMMUNITY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU FOR YOUR INVESTMENT IN OAK PARK.

>> MELISSA FERNANDEZ.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: BEAUTIFUL.

ANY QUESTIONS?

NO?

OKAY.

THRILLED TO HAVE YOU.

CLERK WATERS, WOULD YOU PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL?

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> YES.
>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.
>> YES.
>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.
>> YES.
>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

ITEM r, - - CHAPTER 3, ARTICLE 8, SECTION 3-8-1 AND A SECTION 3-8-2 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE GO TO ISSUANCE OF A RESTAURANT CLASS TO OAK PARK EGG HARBOR, LLC, DOING BUSINESS AS EGG HARBOR.

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: SIMILAR TO THE LAST ITEM ON YOUR AGENDA THIS IS ALSO A CLASS LICENSE, LIQUOR CONTROL REVIEW BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE AND THE ISSUANCE OF THE LICENSE TO THE APPLICANT EGG HARBOR, THEY WILL BE LOCATED AT 111 - DID I GET THE ONES RIGHT?

1111 SOUTH BLVD.

THE APPLICANT IS HERE TONIGHT.

FROM EGG HARBOR AND IT WILL BE THEIR FIRST LOCATION.

>>SPEAKER: HI EVERYONE, MY NAME IS JOYCE PARRISH AND I AM A DISTRICT LEADER WITH EGG HARBOR CAFÉ.

WE CURRENTLY HAVE 22 LOCATIONS AND THIS NEXT SIX MONTHS WE WILL HAVE 23, 24, 25, AND 26, BUT WE ARE STILL A FAMILY OWNED BUSINESS AND I HAVE BEEN WITH EGG HARBOR FOR 20 YEARS, WHICH IS WILD. MY BACKGROUND IS IN JOURNALISM AND IT WAS NOT SOMETHING I WOULD EVER EXPECT.

IT IS USUALLY A PASSION FOR ALL OF US INVOLVED.

SO WE ARE SO EXCITED TO BE PART OF OAK PARK AND ME PERSONALLY, - - IT IS TOO PERSONAL, BUT I LIVE IN EDISON PARK IN CHICAGO AND I CONSIDER IT THE SUBURB OF THE CITY.

WHAT IS SO COOL IS GETTING TO SPEND TIME IN OAK PARK AND EVANSTON, OUR LAST OPEN LOCATION, WHICH ARE THE CITY OF THE SUBURBS.

IT IS SO PHENOMENAL TO BE PART OF THESE COMMUNITIES.

WE ALSO HAVE LOCATIONS IN CHICAGO AND RIVER NORTH AND STREETER VEIL, WHICH ARE CHALLENGING.

THOSE ARE MY JURISDICTION AS WELL, BUT IT IS SO, SO PLEASURABLE TO BE PART OF A TRULY COMMUNITY BUILDING PROCESS.

THAT IS WHAT WE ARE HERE FOR.

WE ARE GOING TO BE HERE FOR THE LONG TERM.

IT IS BREAKFAST AND LUNCH, BUT OUR MISSION IS TO IMPROVE LIVES AND THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF PEOPLE WE BRING ON AND HIGHER AND RECRUIT AND RETAIN AND WE WANT TO BE SO MUCH A PART OF THIS COMMUNITY AND IT HAS BEEN MY ABSOLUTE PLEASURE FROM THE JUMP TO BE LIKE FINGERPRINTED AND MEET THE B OFFICER AND ALL OF THOSE THINGS.

WE JUST WANT TO BE INGRAINED IN THIS COMMUNITY THAT IS VERY CLEAR THAT WE ARE SIMILARLY ALIGNED SOME VERY EXCITED AND HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU HAVE.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: ONE PART I NOTE ABOUT THIS IN PARTICULAR IS IT IS MOVING THE HOURS UP TO 7:00 A.M..
THAT IS FOR BLOOD HE MARRIES AND LIKE I ASSUME?

>> YES.

I KNOW.

YES, SO WE SERVE BLOOD HE MARRIES AND MIMOSAS OR ANY COMBINATION OF THINGS THAT MIGHT COME WITH VODKA OR SPARKLING WINE WITH THE OCCASIONAL MOSCOW MULE OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

LIKE I HAD SAID, I HAVE BEEN WITH EGG HARBOR LONGER THAN I WANT TO ADMIT.

I HAVE BEEN WITH EGG HARBOR ON AND OFF FOR 20 YEARS.

INTRODUCING ALCOHOL AFTER 15 YEARS BECAUSE WE ONLY DID THIS IN PRETTY MUCH DURING AND POST COVID WAS NOT SOMETHING AT ALL I WAS COMFORTABLE WITH BECAUSE THIS IS SAFE WALLS, WE DON'T NEED TO INTRODUCE ALCOHOL, BUT WE WERE EXCLUDING A CERTAIN POPULATION AND ESPECIALLY AS WE WERE TRYING TO EXPAND INTO THE CITY AND THE CITY ADJACENT SUBURB.

IF YOU DON'T HAVE A BRUNCH, IF YOU DON'T HAVE A MIMOSA, THEY ARE NOT COMING.

SO WE KIND OF HAD TO.

SO WITH A LOT OF HESITATION I CERTAINLY, YOU KNOW, GOT ON BOARD WITH IT AND TRULY IT HAS JUST NOT BEEN THE CHALLENGE I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE BECAUSE WE ARE WHO WE ARE AND WE JUST DO NOT TOLERATE NONSENSE AND TO BE TRUE, I AM VERY, VERY GRATEFUL TO PARTNER WITH SMALLER TOWNSHIPS OR LARGER SUBURBS.

I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU LIKE TO SPIN YOURSELF, BUT THAT IS THE TRUTH. CHICAGO IS A CHALLENGE AND WHEN WE HAVE CHALLENGES IN RIVER NORTH OR STREETER VEIL IT IS A CHALLENGE.

BUT WHEN I PARTNER WITH EVANSTON OR SCHAUMBURG OR OAK PARK IT IS ENTIRELY DIFFERENT.

SO I HAVE A REAFFIRMATION IN THAT THIS IS JUST A DRAW.

IT IS NOT A PROBLEM.

IF IT EVER BECOMES A PROBLEM WE HAVE THAT PARTNERSHIP.

SO WE DO NOT NEED TO WORRY ABOUT IT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I WANT TO BE CLEAR, I WAS NOT SUGGESTING I HAD AN ISSUE WITH BLOOD HE MARRIES.

I WAS TAKING SOME FAMILY FRIENDS OUT TO BREAKFAST A WEEK OR TWO AGO AND MY FAMILY FRIENDS TRIED TO ORDER BLOOD HE MARRIES THEY WERE NOT AVAILABLE AND SO I'M EXCITED TO HAVE A PLACE WHERE I CAN TAKE THEM OUT FOR BLOOD HE MARRIES.

>> 100%.

I WANT TO SHARE, TOO, IN OUR LAST OPENING AND EVANSTON THAT I WAS OVERSEEING - THEY WERE NOT SO COMFORTABLE CHANGING THE HOURS AND I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND THAT.

THERE IS FOOTBALL - - EVERY TOWN HAS ITS THINGS SO TO THINK WE ARE GOING TO CHANGE THE HOURS TO 7:00 A.M. JUST FOR YOU IS A CHALLENGE TO YOUR COUNSEL.

SO AS WE OPEN THEIR, THEY AGREED TO SAY, NO, WE'RE STICKING TO WHAT WE HAVE.

PROVE YOURSELF AND WE HAVE AND NOW WE HAVE BEEN OPEN FOR FIVE MONTHS AND THE 29TH WE ARE DUE TO BE APPROVED TO EXPAND THOSE HOURS IN EVANSTON AS WELL AND IT IS NOT A CHALLENGE TO YOU AT ALL.

IT JUST A PROCLAMATION OF WE REALLY WERE TO PROVE OURSELVES AND BE PART OF THE COMMUNITY AND EARN IT.

SO IF THAT IS WHAT IT TAKES WE'RE HAPPY TO DO THAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: APPRECIATE YOUR CANDOR.

ONCE UPON A TIME IT WAS MORE OF A CHALLENGE, BUT I'M SURE IT WILL NOT BE FOR YOU.

>> CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

CONGRATULATIONS AND WELCOME TO OAK PARK.

NOW ITEM O, WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE A RESOLUTION APPROVING A TEMPORARY LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH PUBLIC AND QUALITY BREADS OAK PARK LLC TO ALLOW THE USE OF AN ALLEY FOR OUTDOOR DINING THROUGH NOVEMBER 30, 2024 AND AUTHORIZING ITS EXECUTION.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

VILLAGE MANAGER JASON?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: PRESENTS GIVEN, - -

>> GOOD EVENING, THIS IS A PROPOSAL TO IMPROVE ALLEY FOR OUTDOOR DINING.

THIS SPECIFIC LOCATION HAS BEEN DONE FOR PREVIOUS BUSINESS PREVIOUSLY AND I DO HAVE IMAGES OF WHAT THAT WOULD LOOK LIKE FOR THE BOARD'S CONSIDERATION IF YOU WOULD LIKE.

THE BUSINESS DISTRICT HAS BEEN NOTIFIED ABOUT THIS PROPOSAL, AS WELL AS ADJACENT BUSINESSES AND HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS OR WORK WITH CAMERON DAVIS TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES, PLEASE.

I WAS WAITING FOR YOU TO HAVE A PICTURE TO LOOK AT.

THANK YOU, HAVE A GOOD NIGHT.

CONGRATULATIONS.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I LIVE AROUND THE CORNER, I DO NOT NEED A PICTURE.

I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY I WELCOME THIS.

THIS IS AWESOME.

THE PREVIOUS BUSINESS USED THE ALLEYWAY TO A GREAT EFFECT AND I LOOK FORWARD TO THIS BUSINESS OWNER DOING THE SAME.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: GO AHEAD, TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> IS THIS THE ALLEY YOU DRIVE IN AND YOU GET TO THE BACK OF THE BUZZ?

>> SORRY?

>> BUZZ CAFÉ?

>> YES, YES.

THERE IS A T SHAPED ALLEY.

OR Y AND SO THERE STILL IS ACCESS TO OTHER BUSINESSES THROUGH THE ALLEY.

IT IS NOT BLOCKING ACCESS.

>> I USED TO PICK UP MY CSA VEGETABLES THROUGH THEIR.

I DID NOT KNOW IT WAS BLOCKED OFF BY RARE BIRD AND IT WAS NOT A PROBLEM WHEN IT WAS BLOCKED OFF.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: BEAUTIFUL.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

ALL RIGHT.

WE ARE GRATEFUL FOR THIS, THIS IS AN AMAZING BUSINESS AND IS ALREADY GETTING GREAT PUBLICITY.

CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

MOVING TO OUR REGULAR, REGULAR AGENDA.

ITEM S, RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONTRACT WITH ALLIANZ CONTRACTORS INC. FOR PROJECT 24-8 LOT 10, STREETSCAPE IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,530,772 AND AUTHORIZING ITS EXECUTION.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I'M SORRY, WE HAVE OUR MOTION WAS TRUSTEE WESLEY AND SECONDED BY TRUSTEE STRAW.

WONDERFUL.

THEN THE OTHER TWO ITEMS THAT WERE REMOVED FROM CONSENT, ITEM F AND G, WE WILL TAKE THEM AT THE END, ALTHOUGH IT MIGHT MAKE SENSE TO DO SPECIAL EVENTS NEXT.

I WILL LOOK AT THAT.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: YES.

IF WE ARE DOING - ARE WE DOING ITEM S?

YES.

THAT IS GOING TO BE VILLAGE ENGINEER - ITEM S RIGHT, BILL McKENNA. THANK YOU.

>> GOOD EVENING, BILL McKENNA, VILLAGE ENGINEER.

THIS ITEM IS FOR AN AWARD OF A CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PARKING LOT 10 STREETSCAPE PROJECT WITH ALLIANZ CONTRACTORS.

PARKING LOT 10 IS THE SURFACE PARKING LOT LOCATED NORTH OF NORTHBOROUGH BOULEVARD BETWEEN MARION AND FORREST.

THE PROJECT INCLUDES REPLACING THE PARKING LOT WITH A PERMEABLE PAVER SYSTEM TO INFILTRATE THE STORM WATER INTO THE GROUND.

WE DID RECEIVE A LETTER AND WRD GRANT THAT IS PARTIALLY FUNDING THAT FOR \$220,000.

THE LOT WOULD BE RECONFIGURED TO BE A ONE-WAY WESTBOUND CONDITION. WITH THIS PROJECT WE DID DO A TRAFFIC STUDY PAID THIS WINTER TO LOOK AT CHANGING THE LOT FROM THEM TO WAIT TILL ONE WAY AND WE FOUND BENEFITS OF THAT FROM A TRAFFIC FLOW PERSPECTIVE WITHIN THE PARKING LOT THAT WOULD IMPROVE THE SAFETY OF THE PARKING LOT.

IT IS EASIER FOR DRIVERS TO PULL IN AND OUT OF THE PARKING SPACES.

IT DOES IMPROVE THE TRAFFIC FLOW IN THE AREA, ESPECIALLY CLEANS UP NORTH BOULEVARD AND FORREST INTERSECTIONS SO WE SAW BENEFITS FOR CHANGING THAT TO A ONE-WAY CONDITION.

CHANGING THAT TO A ONE-WAY CONDITION ALSO ALLOWS US TO SLIGHTLY SHRINK THE DIMENSIONS SINCE WE DO NOT NEED A 2 WAY DR. ISLE, WHICH ALLOWS US TO EXPAND THE SIDEWALK.

THAT HAS BENEFITS FOR IMPROVING AREAS FOR OUTDOOR DINING AND THE SIDEWALK EXCESS BUT IS TIGHT.

THERE ARE MATURE TREES THAT HAVE MOVED AROUND THE SIDEWALKS SO WE ARE RECOMMENDING MOVING FORWARD WITH MAKING THAT A ONE-WAY PARKING LOT AND THE PROJECT ALSO INCLUDES THE FULL SIDEWALK REPLACEMENT ON THE NORTH SIDE AND LIGHT REPLACEMENT ON THE NORTH BOULEVARD AND THE SOUTH SIDE USING LED LIGHTS THAT MATCH THE REST OF THE LIGHTING FIXTURES IN DOWNTOWN OAK PARK.

RESURFACING NORTH BOULEVARD FROM MARION TO FORREST AS WELL AND CROSSWALK REPAIRS AT NORTH BOULEVARD AND MARION AND LANDSCAPING, THE PARKING LOT DOES INCLUDE ADDITIONAL EV CHARGING STATIONS BEYOND WHAT IS THERE NOW.

WE'RE GOING FROM TWO TO FOUR STATIONS WITH EV CHARGING STATIONS AND IT DOES HAVE ALTERNATE FOR NEW ELECTRIC SERVICE, CABINET AND OUTLETS FOR HIGH-CAPACITY ELECTRICAL USE FOR DOWNTOWN OAK PARK.

SO THEY WILL NOT HAVE TO RENT GENERATORS FOR SPECIAL EVENTS LIKE OKTOBERFEST.

THAT WAS DONE AS A BID ALTERNATE FOR THE PROJECT.

SO THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT IS MEANT TO START IN SPRING AND SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETED BY LATE AUGUST FOR THE SPECIAL EVENT SEASON IN DOWNTOWN OAK PARK FOR MICROBREW AND OKTOBERFEST WITH THE FINAL COMPLETION DATE IN EARLY SEPTEMBER.

THE PROJECT WILL BE PHASED TO MINIMIZE IMPACT TO PARKING SO WE MOST LIKELY WOULD BE LOOKING AT DOING THE SIDEWALK WORK AT THE NORTH SIDE FIRST, DOING THE WORK IN THE MAIN PARKING LOT SECOND AND DOING THE WORK ON NORTH BOULEVARD THIRD SO WE DO NOT COMPLETELY LOSE ALL OF THE PARKING AT ONCE TO MAINTAIN AS MUCH PARKING AS POSSIBLE.

THE LOW BID FROM ALLIANZ CONTRACTORS WAS IN AN AMOUNT OF JUST OVER \$1.6 MILLION THAT INCLUDES THE BID ALTERNATE FOR THE ELECTRIC SERVICE. THAT IS OVER THE BUDGETED AMOUNT FOR THE PROJECT, WHICH WAS \$925,000. SO THE ORIGINAL BUDGET INCLUDES A LOT OF THOSE ITEMS THAT WERE ASSOCIATED WITH EXPANDED SIDEWALK ITEMS, LIGHTING, ETC. IT WAS REALLY FOCUSED ON THE PARKING LOT, BUT ONCE WE REALIZED THERE WAS SIGNIFICANT BENEFIT TO MAKING IT ONE WAY, WITHOUT THE SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS WERE WORTHWHILE TO PURSUE.

SO IN ORDER TO FUND THIS PROJECT STAFF IS RECOMMENDING USING FUNDS FROM PREVIOUS ROADWAY RESURFACING PROJECTS AS WELL AS DIFFERING ALL THE ROADWORK PLANS FOR OTHER RESURFACING AND OTHER SURFACE LOTS IN 2024.

SO WE WOULD TAKE THAT SAVINGS AND USE IT TOWARDS THIS PROJECT AND ALSO WE ARE RECOMMENDING REDUCING THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT IN ORDER TO FIT THE AVAILABLE BUDGET.

THE PROJECT DID INCLUDE USING DECORATIVE CONCRETE ON THE NORTH SIDEWALKS.

WE RECOMMENDING USING REGULAR CONCRETE THAT IS ABOUT \$80,000 SAVINGS FROM WHAT WAS BID AND THEN WE ARE RECOMMENDING THAT REWARDING THE BID ALTERNATE FOR THE ELECTRIC SERVICE TO FIT THE AVAILABLE BUDGET.

SO THE RECOMMENDED CONTRACT THAT IS IN FRONT OF THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL TONIGHT IS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1.53 MILLION.

SHOULD THE BOARD RECOMMEND INCLUDING ANY OF THOSE OTHER ITEMS THAT STAFF WAS RECOMMENDING, NOT INCLUDING - THERE IS ALTERNATIVE DOLLAR AMOUNTS SHOWN ON THE BOARD ITEM AND WE WOULD RECOMMEND USING FUND BALANCE TO COVER THOSE ADDITIONAL ITEMS SHOULD THE BOARD WANT TO FUND THOSE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU, BILL.

AS WE OPEN UP FOR QUESTIONS I'M INTERESTED IF THERE IS ANY INTEREST IN ADDING BACK EITHER THE ELECTRIC SERVICE OR THE SIDEWALK.

>> IT WOULD JUST BE THE SIDEWALK MATERIAL.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MHM.

WHO WOULD LIKE TO START US OFF THIS EVENING?
TRUSTEE BUCHANAN?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO SHOW US WHAT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE STANDARD - I ASSUME THE STANDARD CONCRETE IS JUST LIKE THE SIDEWALKS WE SEE IN ALL OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AREAS OF THE VILLAGE.

WHAT WOULD THE ALTERNATIVE CONCRETE TREATMENT LOOK LIKE?

>> WE DO NOT HAVE A MARKUP TO SHOW, BUT THE INTENT WOULD BE TO USE A LIGHT GRAY COLORED CONCRETE WITH A VERY LIGHTLY EXPOSED AGGREGATE FINISH TO KIND OF HELP BLEND IN BETTER WITH THE RED BRICK TREATMENT OF THE PARKING LOT AND BLEND IN BETTER WITH THE ADJACENT BLUESTONE SIDEWALK ON MARION.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: AND THE SAVINGS BY NOT DOING THAT CONCRETE TREATMENT IS ABOUT \$80,000?

>> YES IT IS \$79,000.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: IS A LITTLE HARD WITHOUT SEEING THE DIFFERENCE TO NECESSARILY - FOR ME TO MAKE A JUDGMENT ON THAT, BUT I WILL SAY THIS IS A - TO ME THIS IS A FAIRLY SIGNIFICANT BUSINESS AREA AND WE JUST WERE TALKING ABOUT THE STREETS KEEPING ON OAK PARK AVENUE AND WHAT WE ARE GOING THERE.

I THINK THAT THERE IS SOME BENEFIT TO KEEPING UP THE STREETScape IN THIS AREA WITH THE MANY SMALL SHOPS THAT ARE RIGHT THERE.

I SEE SOME BENEFIT TO THE NICER CONCRETE TREATMENT, BUT I'M DEFINITELY OPEN TO HEARING FOLKS OPINIONS.

THE GENERATOR RENTAL COSTS, LIKE \$6500 NORMALLY, HOW MANY EVENTS ARE HAPPENING THAT WOULD BE OFFSET BY THIS \$50,000 EXPENSE ON THE ELECTRICAL?

IS IT SOMETHING WE ARE LOOKING AT DOWNTOWN OAK PARK WOULD BE FULLY - THE DIFFERENCE WOULD SORT OF PAY FOR ITSELF OVER THE COURSE OF TWO OR THREE YEARS I ACTUALLY WIRING IT UP FOR THE HIGHER CAPACITY ELECTRICAL?

>> CURRENTLY OKTOBERFEST IS THE BIG POWER DRAW FOR SPECIAL EVENTS.

, BUT WE WOULD BUILD THE INFRASTRUCTURE FOR SPECIAL EVENTS IN DOWNTOWN SHOULD THEY WANT TO USE THE HIGHER CAPACITY ELECTRICAL SERVICES. THEY COULD ALSO BE USED FOR FOOD TRUCKS AND STUFF LIKE THAT AS WELL.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: ON THE ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATIONS, ARE THESE JUST GOING TO BE LEVEL II STATIONS?

I KNOW A COUPLE OF TIMES WHEN WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING THE BOARD HAS RAISED THE QUESTION OF LEVEL III CHARGING AS IT DOES CREATE AN ACTUAL DRAW TO OUR COMMUNITY. IT WAS NOT CONSIDERED IN THIS LOCATION?

>> THESE ARE JUST LEVEL II CHARGING STATIONS BASED ON THE CAPACITY OF THE SERVICE OUT THERE. TO GO TO LEVEL III STATION WOULD BE A CONSIDERABLE INVESTMENT AS COMPARED TO THIS IS PROBABLY A COUPLE HUNDRED THOUSAND FOR THAT. SO WE DID NOT LOOK AT IT FROM AN ENGINEERING STANDPOINT. ALSO THIS IS A HIGH TURNOVER LOT OF ALL THREE STATIONS MAY NOT BE THE HIGHEST UTILIZATION IN THE AREA. WE DID NOT EVEN CONSIDER IT. NOW.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: OKAY, THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OTHERS?

OKAY, TRUSTEE BUCHANAN AND THEN TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: I HAD A QUESTION ABOUT THIS ELECTRICAL CAPACITY ISSUE.

SO THE GENERATORS THAT ARE USED, THEY RUN ON WHAT FUEL? PROPANE?

>> THEY ARE PROBABLY PROPANE.

>> SOME SORT OF FOSSIL FUEL: PETROLEUM PRODUCT? THEY ARE USED FOR OAKTOBER FEST AND MICROBREW REVIEW?

>> I DO NOT THINK MICROBREW - IT IS MOSTLY FOR BANDS FOR OAKTOBER FEST CURRENTLY.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: WHAT ABOUT THURSDAY NIGHT OUT?

>> THAT IS USUALLY ON MARION STREET RIGHT NOW AND THEY'RE NOT UTILIZING LOT 10 FOR A LOT OF THOSE SERVICES.

SO THOSE ARE ALSO LOWER CAPACITY KIND OF SET UPS, USUALLY WITH LIKE A SMALL PORTABLE GENERATOR.

IF THAT WAS TO SHIFT INTO LOT 10 THEY COULD UTILIZE THOSE OUTLETS IN THE LOT OF THOSE SMALL TENANTS COULD USE TRADITIONAL OUTLETS THAT ARE AVAILABLE IN LOT 10 FOR LIGHT POLES.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE AT THE EAST END OF THE LOT?

>> THERE WOULD BE A COUPLE DIFFERENT CONNECTION POINTS THAT THEY COULD PLUG INTO, BUT GENERALLY ON THE EAST END OF THE LOT IS WHERE WE WOULD HAVE THAT CABINET FOR EASY CONNECTION FOR THE BANDS.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: AND JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THE BENEFITS WOULD BE OF HAVING IT.

SO REALLY WHEN THERE ARE BANDS DOWN THERE AND THAT IS IT?
IS THAT IT?

>> GENERALLY YES, IT IS FOR THE LARGE SPECIAL EVENTS.
JUST CREATES A SPACE THAT CAN BE PROGRAMMED AND GIVES A LITTLE BIT
MORE OPPORTUNITY TO BE FREE-FORM PROGRAMMED.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: AND GETS RID OF THE USE OF FOSSIL FUEL
BURNING WHICH IS A GOOD THING, TOO.
AND IT WOULD NOT HAVE ANY EFFECT ON AS THE VILLAGE ELECTRIFIES ITS
HEAT AND COOLING DOWN IN THAT AREA, THIS WOULD HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH
HELPING WITH THAT CAPACITY?

>> IT DOES NOT PROVIDE ANY CAPACITY TO COMED, SO WE WOULD DO A
NEW SERVICE TAB TO COMED AND HAVING AVAILABLE OUTLETS FOR THOSE
EVENTS.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: OKAY.
WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT THE VALUE, THE PROS AND CONS OF HAVING THIS
AND WHETHER IT IS WORTH THE COST?
SOUNDS LIKE YOU HAVE MADE A DECISION IT IS NOT REALLY WORTH THE COST?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I THINK THEY WERE BEING FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE
AND HELPING US STAY WITHIN OUR BUDGET.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: THAT IS CORRECT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THEY DID HAVE IT IN THERE ORIGINALLY.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: THEN THE BID CAME BACK ONE THIRD HIGHER THAN
WHAT YOU HAD HOPED.
ONE THIRD AGAIN IS HIGH.

>> ABOVE THE BUDGETED AMOUNT.
WHEN WE DEVELOPED THE BUDGETS LATE SUMMER LAST YEAR WE DID NOT FULLY
CONTEMPLATE THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT.
SO WE DID GET COMPETITIVE BIDS ON IT.
THE LOWEST BIDS WERE ALL RIGHT BY EACH OTHER.
SO IT IS A COMPETITIVE BID.
WE WERE JUST TRYING TO FIND ITEMS TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD TO STAY
WITHIN THE AVAILABLE BUDGETED AMOUNT.

>> WHAT IS THE COST OF THE ELECTRICAL CAPACITY?

>> \$40,600.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WE HAVE ONE VOTE.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: LOSE THE GENERATORS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SORRY I SHOULD TURN THIS OFF WHEN I LAUGH.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF THAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: NO COMMENT ON THE SIDEWALK?

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: (SPEAKER AWAY FROM MIC).

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: FAIR ENOUGH.

I THINK TRUSTEE WESLEY IS NEXT AND THEN WE WILL GO TO TRUSTEE
ROBINSON.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: TRYING TO FIGURE OUT IF I CARE ABOUT THE
SIDEWALK.

WHAT IS THE ANTICIPATED LIFETIME OF LOT 10?

LIKE IN TERMS OF THE NEXT AND WE EXPECT TO FULLY TURN IT OVER?

>> FOR PAVEMENT?

SO RIGHT NOW IT IS AN ASPHALT PAVEMENT THAT YOU ARE DOING MAINTENANCE OR RESURFACING ABOUT EVERY 15 YEARS, AT LEAST REDOING THE ASPHALT. A BRICK PAVER SYSTEM IN A PARKING LOT, YOU ARE ALWAYS GOING TO HAVE SOME MAINTENANCE, BUT THE BRICK SYSTEM YOU SHOULD GET SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 30 YEARS IN GENERAL OUT OF THAT PAVEMENT LIFE.

>> OKAY.

SO I'M GOING TO MAKE AN ASSUMPTION HERE THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A PROJECT THAT WE'RE GOING TO BUILD THAT WILL LAST FOR 30 YEARS AND WHAT WE ARE DECIDING ON NOW IS THE - WHAT IS GOING TO BE IN PLACE LARGELY FOR 30 YEARS WITH THE POTENTIAL OF MODIFICATIONS ALONG THE WAY. WOULD THAT BE FAIR?

I ASSUME AT SOME .10 YEARS FROM NOW ANOTHER BOARD CAN SAY, WE CAN PUT SOMETHING HERE.

MAYBE A LEVEL III CHARGER.

WE WANT TO DO THAT AND THEY WILL DIG SOMETHING UP AND PUT IN OR WHATEVER, BUT THAT IS NOT SOMETHING I AM CONSIDERING IN MY DELIBERATION.

WHAT I AM CONSIDERING IS THE ESTIMATED LIFETIME OF THE PROJECT THAT WE ARE IMPLEMENTING NOW SO I CAN MAKE A DECISION ON WHETHER OR NOT \$40,000 MAKES SENSE OVER 30 YEARS OR 50 YEARS OR WHAT HAVE YOU.

>> THIS DOES NOT PRECLUDE ANY FUTURE CHANGES OBVIOUSLY, FUTURE BOARDS, BUT WE SHOULD GET ABOUT A 30 YEAR LIFE FROM THE PAVEMENTS AND THE SURFACE FINISHES, UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC, TYPICALLY WE WOULD GET A LONGER LIFE OUT OF THAT.

IT IS BURIED AND NOT DISTURBED THAT OFTEN.

>> OKAY.

SO WE THINK AT A MINIMUM THIS WOULD BE USED ONCE A YEAR AT A NET COST SAVINGS TO DOWNTOWN AROUND \$6500 FOR EVERY TIME THAT THEY WOULD USE IT?

>> BASED ON THE CURRENT USE OF LOT 10, YES.

SO YOU WOULD BE AT AN EIGHT YEAR, 7-8 YEAR RETURN ON INVESTMENT FROM THAT STANDPOINT, BUT IT DOES CREATE THAT OPPORTUNITY FOR ADDITIONAL USES THAT THEY MAY NOT BE CONSIDERING RIGHT NOW.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OR THE VILLAGE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: IS IT POSSIBLE TO CHARGE THIS BACK TO THE TOWN. DO WE CHARGE THEM LIKE \$1000 AND RECOUP FASTER OR SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE A SAVINGS FOR THEM, IT WOULD BE SAVINGS FOR US AND ENCOURAGE FURTHER USE STILL?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THE SPECIAL EVENT FEES IS ON THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: IT IS DTOP, THE EVENT HOLDER.

>> THE EVENT HOLDER DTOP PAYS IT.

THE METER ON THAT ELECTRIC BOX WOULD BE BILLED TO DTOP.
SO THEY WOULD BE PAYING FOR THE ACTUAL ELECTRICAL UTILIZATION, BUT
THAT IS A LARGE SAVINGS AS COMPARED TO A GENERATOR RENTAL.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OKAY.

I AM IN FAVOR OF IT.

I AM CONVINCED.

OF THE ELECTRICAL, YES.

THE CONCRETE, I AM WITH TRUSTEE STRAW ON THIS.

IT IS HARD FOR ME TO DETERMINE IF THE UPGRADE IS WORTH IT WITHOUT
SEEING IT.

YOU KIND OF SET SOME EXPECTATIONS, BILL.

YOU HAD ALL OF THE PAVERS OUT HERE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WE HAVE SEEN THEM BEFORE THOUGH.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEM AGAIN, HOLD THEM, BUT
I CANNOT RECALL THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE STAMPED AND REGULAR
CONCRETE SO IT IS HARD FOR ME TO MAKE THAT DECISION RIGHT NOW.
IF I AM FORCED TO I WILL STICK WITH WHAT YOU RECOMMENDED CURRENTLY,
BUT IF THERE - IF I WAS CONVINCED VISUALLY THAT THE IMPACT WOULD BE
SUBSTANTIAL I WOULD MAYBE BE PERSUADED TO GO THAT WAY.

>> HAVE THE BUSINESS OWNERS WEIGHED IN?

>> WE HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH DTOP ON THIS PROJECT AND WE DID LET
SHANNON KNOW WHAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS WOULD BE THAT WE WERE
RECOMMENDING PULLING BACK FROM THE DECORATIVE CONCRETE WITH THE STAFF
AUGMENTATION IN ORDER TO STAY WITHIN THE AVAILABLE BUDGET AS WELL AS
THE ELECTRICAL SERVICE SO THEY ARE AWARE OF THOSE CHANGES.

>> THE BEER SHOP, HAVE THEY BEEN ENGAGED?

>> WITH SHANNON WE DID HAVE DOWNTOWN OAK PARK.

DURING THE DESIGN OF WHAT THE SCOPE WAS THEY HAVE NOT BEEN UPDATED ON
PROPOSED CHANGES BEING PRESENTED TO THE BOARD TONIGHT FOR SCOPE
CHANGES THOUGH.

JUST DOWNTOWN OAK PARK ITSELF.

>> WHO IS NEXT?

THAT WAS TRUSTEE ROBINSON AND WE WILL GO TO TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: I THINK WE SHOULD ADOPT IT AS PROPOSED.

I THINK WE SHOULD STAY IN BUDGET.

WE HAD THIS WHOLE CONVERSATION NOT TOO LONG AGO.

IT SHOULD BE FAIRLY FRESH IN EVERYBODY'S MEMORIES WITH THE INTERIM CFO
ABOUT THIS VERY TIGHT BUDGET WE PASSED WHERE WE HAD TO DIP INTO OUR
RESERVES JUST TO PAY - JUST TO KEEP THE LIGHTS ON.

TO PAY FOR THESE RECURRING EXPENSES AND ONE OF THE THINGS SHE
EXPLICITLY SAID WAS HER MODEL OF BUDGETING WAS IF SOMETHING IS OVER
BUDGET THEN THE QUESTION BECOMES, WHAT THEN GETS PULLED BACK, EITHER
WITHIN THE PARTICULAR ITEM OR I ALSO UNDERSTOOD HER TO BE SAYING
WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OVERALL TO KEEP BUDGETS IN LINE AND TO KEEP THEM

REALISTIC AND TO KEEP THEM WITHIN THE SAME REALM AS WE ALREADY APPROVED AND THESE AGENDA ITEMS, \$80,000 HERE, \$40,000 THERE. THIS IS HOW WE GET TO A \$300,000 PLUS PULL FROM THE RESERVES AT THE END OF THE YEAR.

THESE ARE THE BUILDING BLOCKS TO THAT.

SO I REALLY APPRECIATE STAFF MAKING THE TRADE-OFF IN IDENTIFYING THE TWO TRADE-OFFS.

I THINK WE SHOULD TAKE IT AS RECOMMENDED.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: TRUSTEE PARAKKAT?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: THANKS BILL FOR THE PRESENTATION.

IN THE RECOMMENDATIONS AS WELL.

A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS.

SO I THINK YOU MENTIONED THAT THE MAINTENANCE ON PARKING LOT PLAN FOR 2024 THAT IS IN THE CURRENT BUDGET THAT IS GETTING POSTPONED TO ACCOMMODATE THE INCREASED SCOPE.

DID I HEAR THAT CORRECTLY?

>> THE 2024 BUDGET INCLUDES ABOUT \$350,000 IN THE PARKING FUND FOR RESURFACING AND IMPROVEMENTS ON VARIOUS SURFACE PARKING LOTS THROUGHOUT THE VILLAGE.

SO WE DID WORK WITH PARKING TO LOOK FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS TO FUND THIS PROJECT AND LOOKED AT DEFERRING THE WORK ON OTHER PARKING LOTS.

IT IS AN ANNUAL PROGRAM.

THEY DID A NUMBER OF LOTS LAST YEAR AND THERE ARE NOT A LOT OF LOTS THAT HAVE AS URGENT OF A NEED AS THE PROJECT SO WE WORK WITH THEM AND RECOMMEND OFFERING NETWORK UNTIL 2025.

>> YOU THINK IN THE 2025 CYCLE TO STAY ABREAST IT IS NOT GOING TO BE EIGHT MAINTENANCE LIABILITY MAC.

>> BASED ON THE CONDITION OF THE SURFACE PARKING LOTS IN THE VILLAGE - I DO NOT THINK WE ARE PLANNING ON INCREASING A BUDGET TO MAKE UP FOR THIS REQUESTED DEFERRAL.

IT IS TO STAY THE COURSE AT THE BUDGET LEVEL BASED ON THE PARKING LOT CONDITION SURVEY THAT WAS DONE A NUMBER OF YEARS AGO AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM IT.

IT WOULD BE A ONE YEAR DEFERRAL ESSENTIALLY OF THAT WORK.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: COOL.

GOOD TO KNOW.

THE SECOND THING IS ON THE ELECTRICAL, IT SEEMS A LITTLE BIT LIKE BUILD IT AND THEY WILL COME MODEL.

I LIKE THAT YOU - - MY QUESTION IS IS IT POSSIBLE TO BUILD IT IN A LATER POINT?

IT'S A OVER THE NEXT THREE YEARS WE HAVE ENOUGH EVENTS THAT WILL HAVE A DRAW ON THAT ELECTRICITY.

CAN WE ADD THAT SCOPE AT SOME POINT AT A FUTURE DATE?

>> ANYTHING IS POSSIBLE.

ONE OF THE PROBLEMS IS WE NEED TO DO A ELECTRIC SERVICE TO FEED THAT.

THE CLOSEST AVAILABLE ELECTRIC IS WITHIN THE PARKING LOT SO THERE'S A COMED MANHOLE IN THE PARKING LOT THAT ULTIMATELY GOES BACK TO UNDERGROUND TRANSFORMERS AND ARE SUPPLIED BY THE PARKING LOT BY Q BARBECUE SO WE HAD TO DO NEW UNDERGROUND CONDUIT TO THE COMED TO SUPPLY THE SERVICE SO DOING THAT IN THE FUTURE WOULD MEAN SIGNIFICANTLY RIPPING OUT THE PARKING LOT TO GET THE UNDERGROUND CONDUIT WIRING IN FOR THAT. SO THERE WOULD BE A MAJOR DISTURBANCE IN ORDER TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE.

>> WHERE I WOULD LAND ON THIS IS THE DECORATIVE CONCRETE, NOT SOMETHING I WORK WITH.

THAT RECOMMENDATION - THE REGULAR CONCRETE IS FINE.

ON THE ELECTRIC, IF WE COULD CREATE A REVENUE MODEL LIKE TRUSTEE WESLEY WAS SUGGESTING, A BASE FOR OR ANY OF FUTURE EVENT THAT DRAWS FROM THAT SERVICE - THERE IS A REVENUE MODEL FOR THE VILLAGE TO PAY BACK WHAT WE ARE INVESTING IN THIS INCREMENTALLY.

IN THAT SCENARIO I'M WILLING TO GO THERE, OTHERWISE AND WITH TRUSTEE ROBINSON THAT WE SHOULD STAY WITHIN OUR BUDGET AND THE SCOPE.

SO IF THE REVENUE MODEL IS POSSIBLE.

>> I DON'T KNOW THAT DTOP IS WILLING TO PAY FOR IT.

I GUESS CONCEPTUALLY IN A REVENUE MODEL MIGHT BE THAT YOU CREATE SOME EVENT SPACE AND OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE MORE EVENTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY OCCURRING THERE AND THAT IS WHERE YOU WOULD ACHIEVE THE OFFSET AND MORE LIKELY, BILL, I DON'T KNOW, YOU HAVE BEEN INTERACTING WITH DTOP.

>> BASED ON INITIAL CONVERSATIONS I DON'T THINK THERE WOULD BE A WILLINGNESS TO FUND IT FROM DTOP.

>> I TEND TO AGREE.

WHY DO WE NOT GO TO TRUSTEE TRANSFER NEXT AND SEE WHERE HE STANDS.

>> FOR THE DECORATIVE BRICKS.

YOU SAID 30 YEARS?

>> THAT IS AN APPROXIMATION.

THAT IS WHAT WE WOULD EXPECT OUT OF A BRICK PAVER SYSTEM.

THEIR HEAVY DUTY PAPERS AND IN A PARTICULAR APPLICATION THAT SHOULD BE A REALISTIC TIMEFRAME.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: WHAT IS THE ACCRUED MAINTENANCE COST OF THE RESURFACING THAT YOU DO OVERTIME?

>> IN GENERAL BRICKS WILL HAVE A LOWER LIFECYCLE COST VERSUS AN ASPHALT ROADWAY.

ASPHALT YOU HAVE PATCHING ON A FIVE-YEAR CYCLE AND EVERY 15 YEARS YOU HAVE A RESURFACING SO WHEN WE DID LIFECYCLE COST FOR RECORDS VERSUS ASPHALT, BRICKS WILL WIN OVER THE LONG TERM SO ASPHALT HAS A CHEAPER INITIAL COST, BUT CONSIDERING THE 15 YEAR RESURFACING, AT LEAST WHEN YOU EXPAND THAT OUT FOR MAYBE A 80 YEAR CYCLE OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, BRICKS START TO WIN OUT.

>> I THINK FOR ME PERSONALLY, YEAH I THINK YOU CAN ALWAYS LOOK AT THE BRICKS LATER AND SEE IF IT IS SOMETHING THAT EITHER ANOTHER BOARD LATER DOWN THE LINE THINGS IS MORE COST-EFFECTIVE, BUT I THINK YOUR POINT ABOUT HAVING TO DO ELECTRICAL WORK DOWN THE LINE AND HOW MUCH THAT COULD ACTUALLY CAUSE A DISTURBANCE TO REGULAR BUSINESS AND SUCH. I THINK HAVING AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO IT NOW WHILE YOU ARE ALREADY DOING WORK WOULD BE THE ADVISED ROUTE TO GO AND I THINK ADDING THAT ELECTRICAL COMPONENT WOULD BE WORTH THE WHILE.

SO IN MY MIND, I DON'T KNOW - CHARGING BACK, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT IS A POSSIBILITY, BUT I THINK ADDING IN NOW, I THINK THE LOWER COST IS WORTH IT, ESPECIALLY SEEING WHAT IT COULD BE DONE ONLINE WHEN YOU HAVE TO DO A SUBSTANTIAL WORK JUST TO ADD IT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: TRUSTEE STRAW?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: BASED ON THE DISCUSSION AND LIFECYCLE I THINK THE ELECTRICAL WORK DOES MAKE SENSE.

I WOULD BE - I DO THINK WE SHOULD EXPLORE WHETHER GIVEN THAT THE COST TO DTOP EVERY TIME THEY DO OKTOBERFEST IS CURRENTLY \$6500 FOR THE GENERATOR, IF WE ARE MAKING THE UPFRONT INVESTMENT AND WE CAN STILL BE DELIVERING A SUBSTANTIAL SAVINGS TO THEM ON THE COST OF THE ELECTRICITY IT TAKES TO HAVE A BAND STAND THERE, IT SEEMS LIKE THERE SHOULD BE SOME MIDDLE GROUND WHERE WE CAN CHARGE A FEE FOR ACCESS TO THAT BOX.

TO RECOUP OVER THE LONG TERM THE COST OF THAT UPFRONT INVESTMENT.

NOT SAYING IT IS 6500 AND NOW WE WILL CHARGE YOU 6000.

SO NOW THERE WILL BE A NOMINAL FEE THAT OVER THE LIFECYCLE YOU COULD RECOUP THE COST OF THE BOX.

OF THE ELECTRICAL SERVICE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I WILL WEIGH IN WHERE IT STANDS AND WE WILL GO AROUND ONE MORE TIME AND HOPE WE CAN KEEP THAT BRIEF.

I'M HEARING THERE ARE NOT FOUR VOTES FOR THE HIGHER SIDEWALK INVESTMENT.

WE ARE BUILDING THIS LOT THIS WAY SO THE RESTAURANTS IN THE STORES THAT ARE THERE ARE ABLE TO UTILIZE THAT OUTDOOR SPACE FOR THEIR BUSINESSES.

SO I DO HOPE THAT WE DO SEE MORE EVENTS, MORE VITALITY, YOU KNOW, EVERY THING IS OVERLAPPED IN OUR BOARD GOALS.

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE OF A NIGHTLIFE.

I'VE SAID THAT MANY TIMES.

THE ECONOMIC VITALITY, ALL OF THE ABOVE.

I COULD HAVE VERY EASILY BEEN CONVINCED TO INVEST IN THE SIDEWALKS, BUT IF WE DO NOT HAVE THE VOTES, I AM ALSO VERY APPRECIATIVE THAT THE STAFF IS LISTENING TO AND THAT'S WHY YOU'RE BRINGING IT FORWARD TO US THIS WEEK THAT IN OUR BOARD GOALS IS THE FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY.

SO THANK YOU.

I SEE INVESTING IN THE ELECTRIC IS ALSO FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE.

I SEE THE LONGER-TERM INVESTMENT AS A MINOR COST TO CONTRIBUTING TO THOSE OTHER GOALS THAT I HAVE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT.

I SEE AS MORE SUSTAINABLE.

I HAVE NO DESIRE TO CHARGE DOWNTOWN OAK PARK FOR THAT INVESTMENT.

WHEN WE TALK ABOUT RECRUITMENT OF NEW BUSINESSES AND ECONOMIC VITALITY, I DO CONSIDER IT THE MUNICIPALITY.

I WILL TAKE THE WORDS FROM TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

YOU BUILD IT AND THEY WILL COME.

THE INFRASTRUCTURE IS OUR PEACE.

THE INFRASTRUCTURE IS OUR PEACE, OKAY?

WE BENEFIT THEN FROM THE VITALITY THAT COMES IN THAT INVESTMENT THROUGH THE SUPPORT OF THOSE BUSINESSES.

THAT IS MY TAKE.

ANYBODY HAVE MORE TO SAY?

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: GIVEN THAT THIS IS A PENDING BID OR THE BID IS PENDING OUR ACCEPTANCE - PROCESS WISE THAT IS WHERE THIS IS THAT?

>> FOR AWARDED CONSTRUCTION.

>> I THINK THE CONVERSATION I HEARD MY COLLEAGUES MENTIONED - THE POSSIBILITY OF HAVING A CONVERSATION WITH DTOP, SINCE THERE IS TIME TO DO THAT.

ISN'T THE ACCEPTANCE PERIOD, DOESN'T THAT HAVE AN EXPIRATION ON IT?

>> WE WOULD WANT TO MOVE FORWARD ON THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT SO WE CAN START WORK ON THE PROJECT AND BE ABLE TO HIT THOSE DEADLINES SO WE DON'T START INFRINGING UPON THOSE SPECIAL EVENTS THIS YEAR. SO IF THE BOARD WANTED TO HAVE FOLLOW-UP CONVERSATIONS REGARDING THE DTOP ISSUE, THAT COULD BE DONE WHILE CONSTRUCTION IS OCCURRING.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: WE COULD NOT TABLE THIS?

YOU WOULD NOT WANT TO SEE THIS TABLE SO THAT THOSE COULD HAPPEN FIRST?

>> THAT WOULD RISK THE CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE WE LAID OUT AND MEET THOSE DATES.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: OKAY, THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ON THE AGENDA WE ALSO HAVE A SPECIAL EVENT FEES ITEM.

I GUESS THEORETICALLY IF IT REALLY WAS THE WILL OF THE BOARD TO ENTERTAIN THIS CONVERSATION ON THE ROAD YOU CAN LOOK FOR A WAY TO ADD A FEE TO THAT.

I WOULD NOT RECOMMEND IT FOR THIS YEAR AND I PROBABLY WOULD NOT SUPPORT IT IN THE FUTURE, BUT I'M SHARING THE INFORMATION.

TRUSTEE PARAKKAT?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: THE DIFFERENCE IS \$80,000, RIGHT?

>> SIDEWALK IS \$80,000.

48,000, YES.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: WITH THE POTENTIAL POSSIBILITY TO RECOUP.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I HEAR FOUR VOTES FOR THE \$48,600.

SO WE CAN DO IT ONE OF TWO WAYS.

WE CAN VOTE FOR IT AS IS, WHICH WOULD NOT BE THE \$1,530,772.
THAT DOES NOT INCLUDE THE ELECTRIC.

AND SO IF WE COULD EITHER DO A FRIENDLY MOTION AND CHANGE THAT AMOUNT
TO \$1,579,372 OR WE CAN SEE WHERE WE GO WITH THE MOTION AS IS AND HAVE
A SECOND MOTION.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I THINK YOU AND I WERE THE MOTION AND SECOND AND
I WOULD CERTAINLY BE IN FAVOR OF MAKING A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO AMEND
THE AMOUNT TO \$1,579,372 TO COVER THE ELECTRIC OPTION IN THE CONTRACT.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I WOULD SECOND THAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: NOW WE ARE NOT - WITH THE OPTION OF RECOUPING
IN THE ACTUAL MOTION AT THIS TIME, CORRECT?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: YEAH.

I AM NOT MAKING THE ACCEPTANCE OF THE CONTRACT BID CONTINGENT ON
RECOUPING COSTS.

I WOULD LIKE TO SEPARATELY ASKED STAFF TO INVESTIGATE THAT, BUT WE CAN
DISCUSS THAT IN THE EVENT FEES PORTION OF TONIGHT'S FESTIVITIES.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT FOR NEXT YEAR.

I WOULD NOT TOUCH THE SPECIAL EVENTS FOR THIS YEAR.

MHM.

TRUSTEE WESLEY?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I WAS LARGELY GOING TO ECHO WHAT TRUSTEE STRAW
SAID.

I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP THEM SEPARATE, BUT DIRECT STAFF TO INVESTIGATE
THE POTENTIAL FOR THE RECOUPMENT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THE MOTION STANDS, A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT NOT
CONTINGENT UPON THAT PROCESS AS A SEPARATE DISCUSSION, BUT WITH A
FRIENDLY AMENDMENT OF \$1,579,372.

CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> MANAGER JACKSON, YOU WILL TAKE THAT DIRECTION EVEN THOUGH IT
IS OUTSIDE OF THE MOTION?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: YES.

I THINK WE ARE ALWAYS LOOKING FOR OPPORTUNITIES FOR COST RECOVERY.
SO WE WILL ALWAYS LOOK FOR THOSE OPPORTUNITIES AND WE HEAR THE WILL OF
THE BOARD.

WE HEAR WHERE YOU'RE COMING FROM ON THAT.

>> WITH THAT, YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

THANK YOU.

SO THE MOTION PASSES WITH THE ELECTRIC.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON, I HAVE DEFINITELY DONE MY PART IN CONFUSING MATTERS THIS EVENING, BUT NOTING THE ITEM F IS A TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, I WONDER IF THAT MAKES SENSE TO DO NEXT?

ITEM F IS A MOTION TO CONCUR WITH THE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION TO EXPAND STREET FRONTAGE LOCATIONS FOR OVERNIGHT ON STREET PERMIT PARKING ZONES Y1 AND Y2.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AT THANK YOU.

A VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: PRESIDENT SCAMAN AND - - PARKING MOBILITY SERVICES MANAGER.

>> THANK YOU, GOOD EVENING.

I WILL PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW FOR THIS AGENDA ITEM PURSUANT TO BOARD GOALS OF VIBRANT DIVERS CONNECTED NEIGHBORHOODS, STAFF HAS BEEN EVALUATING THE LIMITED NUMBER OF STREETS THAT DO ALLOW OVERNIGHT PARKING AND STAFF PROACTIVELY BROUGHT THIS FORWARD IT TO THE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION ON MARCH 11 IN AN ATTEMPT TO ADDRESS RESIDENT COMMENTS AND ALSO BALANCE THE PARKING DEMAND WITH INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS, SPECIFICALLY A BIKE LANE IN THIS INSTANCE.

THE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION DISCUSSED THE TOPIC AND HEARD COMMUNITY INPUT.

THEY VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TO CONCUR WITH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION.

I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THIS IS NOT A CHANGE TO THE NUMBER OF PERMITS ALLOWED.

IT IS JUST IMPROVING ACCESS TO THE PERMIT HOLDERS IN THIS CASE.

SEAN KEEN AND I ARE AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS AND PENDING ANY DIRECTION TONIGHT, IF THE BOARD WAS FORMED WITH THE ITEM WE WOULD MOVE FORWARD IMMEDIATELY WITH INSTALLING SIGNAGE.

>> THANK YOU, TRUSTEE BUCHANAN MENTIONED SOMETHING ABOUT A BIKE LANE.

I DID NOT SEE ON THE MAP WHERE THAT WOULD BE AND WHERE THE ISSUE IS.

>> SEAN KEEN, PARKING AND MOBILITY SERVICES MANAGER.

WE DO PROVIDE MAPS DEPICTING THE RECOMMENDED ADDITIONS TO OVERNIGHT PARKING.

IT DOES NOT SHOW THE BIKE LANES SPECIFICALLY, BUT REALLY IT IS THE INTERSECTION OF ERIE AND OAK PARK AVENUE SO IT JOGS OVER AND IT RESULTED IN THE LOSS OF ABOUT 5-6 PARKING SPACES. SO IT IS NOT THE ONLY CONTRIBUTING FACTOR.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: EXCUSE ME, WHAT RESULTED IN THE LOSS OF FIVE PARKING SPOTS?

>> THE BIKE LANE.

>> WHAT BIKE LANE ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?

>> IT IS ON OAK PARK AVENUE.

>> THERE IS NO BIKE LANE ON OAK PARK AVENUE.

>> IT IS THE BIKE BOULEVARD, THE NEIGHBORHOOD GREENWAYS WHERE ON ERIE IT CROSSES OAK PARK AVENUE BECAUSE ERIE HAS A JOG. THERE IS A SHORT PERIOD OF BIKE LANE WHERE THERE IS THE SEAN'S, THE RAPID FLASHING BEGINS AND THEY CAN BE ACTUATED BY THE CYCLIST OR THE PEDESTRIAN AT THE CROSSWALK AND THERE'S A PERIOD OF TIME WHERE THERE WAS A - - I WAS EXCITED WHEN THE SIGNAGE CHANGED SO THOSE BIKE LANES WERE NO LONGER BLOCKED.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AT THANK YOU.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: I WATCH THE COMMISSION MEETING, I LISTENED TO IT, THERE WAS NO DEAL. READ THE PUBLIC COMMENT.

THE ISSUE IS ACTUALLY THE AVAILABILITY OF SPOTS IN PROXIMITY TO THOSE WHO HAD COMMENTED AND WERE REALLY WANTING THIS AND IT IS NOT THAT WE HAVE MORE PERMIT HOLDERS THEN AVAILABLE SPOTS.

SO THAT IS AN IMPORTANT DISTINCTION BECAUSE I DO NOT THINK THAT JUST EXPANDING THE MAP IS REALLY THE RIGHT SOLUTION FIT FOR THIS.

IT IS NOT THAT WE NEED MORE SPACE THERE, IT IS THAT WE NEED SPACE CONFIGURED DIFFERENTLY AND THIS IS THE ISSUE I HAD WHEN WE SORT OF MASS EXPANDED THE PARKING MAPS.

I THINK IT WAS JANUARY 2023.

WERE THESE TWO ZONES INCLUDED IN THOSE EXPANSIONS?

>> WE DID NOT LOOK AT ZONES THAT ARE WHY ONE AND Y2.

WE LOOKED AT SEVEN OF THE OTHER ZONES.

THERE ARE 17 TOTAL, BUT THESE TWO WERE NOT IDENTIFIED AS PROBLEM AREAS.

AGAIN, WE ARE CONSTANTLY LOOKING FOR AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT IN TERMS OF ACCESS BASED ON COMPLAINTS, BASED ON WHAT WE OBSERVE AS STAFF AND THESE TWO REALLY CAME OUT AS THE TWO THAT WE WANTED TO ADDRESS.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: DIDN'T THE REASONS FOR THE LIMITED PARKING, WASN'T THAT ALSO TRUE IN JANUARY 2023?

THE COMMENTERS IDENTIFIED THE BIKE LANES, THE MOVEMENT OF A BUS STOP, AS WELL AS CONSTRUCTION, WHICH HAS BEEN ONGOING - TWO YEARS? SOMETHING LIKE THAT?

WERE THOSE THINGS NOT TRUE?

MY IMPRESSION IS THOSE THINGS WERE TRUE IN JANUARY 2023 WHEN WE EXPANDED ALL THE OTHER MAPS.
SO I AM JUST NOT - I WAS NOT QUITE UNDERSTANDING WHAT THE - WHAT WAS PROMPTING THIS NOW A YEAR LATER.

>> SURE.

PART OF WHAT YOU REFERENCED, THE COMPREHENSIVE LOOK WHEN WE CHANGED SEVEN OF THEM, WE DID PINPOINT EXACTLY WHERE OUR EXISTING PERMIT HOLDERS LIVE SO BASED ON THE DENSITY, BASED ON THE DEMAND WE LOOKED AT WHERE TO EXPAND.

THAT IS CONSTANTLY CHANGING.

THERE ARE PATTERNS TO IT, BUT I THINK WITH PEOPLE MOVING IN AND OUT CONSTANTLY WE DO SEE CHANGES IN THAT.

SO WE ARE CONSTANTLY REASSESSING EACH OF THE ZONES THEY THINK WE WILL BE MOVING FORWARD.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: RIGHT.

HE LOOKED AT WERE TO EXPAND AND YOU DID NOT REDUCE IN AREAS WHERE THERE WERE NO PERMIT HOLDERS.

THAT WAS THE ISSUE I HAD LAST TIME IS THAT THE AREAS WERE JUST UNIFORMLY EXPANDED AS A ONE SIZE SOLUTION AND IN FACT THERE WERE AREAS IN THOSE SAME MAPS AND THEY LISTED SOME OF THOSE ZONES IN THE MEETING WHERE WE HAD RESERVED OVERNIGHT PERMIT PARKING SPACE, BUT THERE WERE NO PERMIT HOLDERS THERE.

SO I WANT TO BE THOUGHTFUL ABOUT HOW WE ARE MANAGING PARKING MAY THINK RECONFIGURING THE MAPS IS REALLY THE RIGHT ANSWER.

NOT JUST SORT OF THE BLANKET MAP EXPANSIONS.

AND THE REASON FOR THAT I THINK IS FAIRLY OBVIOUS.

WE HAVE THE OVERNIGHT PARKING BAN AS A BOARD GOAL AND IS NOT SOMETHING WE UNIFORMLY AGREE ON.

NOT ONLY DID I PULL THIS OFF CONSENT BECAUSE DO I WANT TO TALK ABOUT IT, BUT I THINK WHEN WE ARE IMPACTING THE BAN WE HAVE TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT IT VERSUS IT KIND OF DOING IT AS THOUGH WROTE. OTHERWISE CHIPPING AT THE BAN WITHOUT THE DISCUSSION.

THAT IS WHY I PULLED IT OFF.

>> I SEE THERE ARE 20s SOMETHING PLUS EMAILS FROM PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD WHO HAD BEEN ASKING FOR IT SINCE FEBRUARY FOR SOMETHING OF THIS - EXPANSION FOR THIS PURPOSE.

TRUSTEE PARAKKAT?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: I WANT TO ADD MY VOICE TO WHAT TRUSTEE ROBINSON WAS SAYING.

THE BALANCE IN TERMS OF HOW WE LOOK AT IT HOLISTICALLY AND RECONFIGURE NOT JUST EXPAND.

SO CREATING THE BALANCE AND BEING INTENTIONAL WHEN WE DO THAT.

HAVE A DISCUSSION TO MAKE SURE THAT IT IS GOING THAT WAY.

I THINK THAT IS IMPORTANT.

IT IS NOT NECESSARILY THIS SPECIFIC INSTANCE AND THIS MAY BE VERY VALID SO BASED ON WHAT WE SEE FROM CUSTOMER OR CITIZEN REQUESTS AND WHATNOT, BUT GENERALLY THE PARKING IS A TOPIC AND OVERNIGHT PARKING BAN IS SPECIFICALLY NEEDS TO BE DISCUSSED.

I THINK THERE ARE VARYING OPINIONS.

SO I DEFINITELY WOULD HAVE PULLED IT OFF OF CONSENT AT LEAST TO DISCUSS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WHO ELSE HAS COMMENTS?

WE CANNOT VOTE UNTIL CORY COMES BACK.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: I WILL ALSO ADD - TWO SUB THREADS IN THE COMMENTS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE US LOOK AT LATER WAS THE COST OF PERMITS.

THE COST OF THE OVERNIGHT PERMITS.

I THINK TAKING A CLOSER LOOK AT THE COMMENT CENTERED ON THOSE.

I UNDERSTAND AND CORRECT ME IF I AM WRONG, THROUGH THE REDUCED PERMIT PARKING PROGRAM THAT IS CONNECTED TO THE HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHERS WE STILL HAVE FUNDS IN THAT DOING?

HAS THAT NOT BEEN TOTALLY EXPENDED?

>> THAT PROGRAM THERE WAS REALLY NO BUDGETED AMOUNT FOR THAT EXPENSE.

IT IS JUST FROM THE REVENUE, BUT THAT PROGRAM IS STILL ACTIVE.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: OKAY.

MAYBE SOMETHING TO CONSIDER IS EXPANDING THE REDUCED OVERNIGHT PARKING OFFERED THAT TAKES US BEYOND THE HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER RECIPIENTS AS WELL, ALTHOUGH I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE ADMINISTRATIVE CONNECTION OF TAKING THE TWO.

THERE IS SOME CONNECTION WE COULD MAKE WITH TRYING TO IDENTIFY RECIPIENTS AND ELIGIBILITY, I THINK THAT WOULD BE GREAT SINCE WE'RE STILL HEARING FROM RESIDENTS AND IN THIS ISSUE, I THINK AS A SUB TOPIC, THE COST OF THOSE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

WE HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?

TRUSTEE WESLEY?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: PARKING, YET AGAIN.

I JUST WANT TO GO ON RECORD SAYING THAT I DON'T CARE IF WE LET PEOPLE PARK ANYWHERE AND EVERYWHERE.

I REALLY DON'T.

I THINK THIS IS A MANUFACTURED CRISIS.

THE ONLY THING I WILL SAY IS I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A WHOLE LOT OF BIKE LANES BEFORE A WHOLE LOT OF PARKING.

THAT'S SEE HOW WE CAN GET A LOT OF BIKE LANES FIRST AND WHERE WE DO NOT BIKE LANES, LET EVERYBODY PARK.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

I AM VERY COMFORTABLE WITH THIS EXPANSION AS YOU PRESENTED IT AND I APPRECIATE THAT IT IS RESPONSIVE TO THE COMMENTS YOU RECEIVED FROM

COMMUNITY MEMBERS THAT LIVE IN THESE DIRECT AREAS THAT IS WHY YOU ARE BRINGING IT TO US AS WELL AS ACKNOWLEDGING THAT WE DO NOT WANT TO SEE PARKING ON BIKE LANES AND I ALSO DO SUPPORT THAT WHENEVER WE HAVE A PARKING AGENDA ITEM THAT WE SHOULD CONSIDER IT FOR REGULAR AGENDA AT THE SAME TIME.

I KNOW STAFF IS TRYING TO USE TIME WISELY AND WE DO TEND TO KEEP THEM HERE RATHER LATE.

SO WITH THAT WE WILL KEEP IT MOVING AND CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> NO.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

G WOULD MAKE SENSE.

A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE 2024 SPECIAL EVENT FEES. MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON?

>> PRESIDENTS SCAMAN, VILLAGE TRUSTEES, KEIRA CHANG, ASSISTANT VILLAGE MANAGER.

>> KEIRA CHANG, HR DIRECTOR AND ASSISTANT VILLAGE MANAGER.

THIS IS SOMETHING THAT COMES TO THE BOARD REGULARLY AND THE BOARD IS REQUIRED TO ADOPT THE ANNUAL SPECIAL EVENT FEES BASED ON THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT.

WAGE CHANGES THAT HAVE OCCURRED OVER THE COURSE OF THE FISCAL YEAR SO WE ARE BRINGING FORTH THE SPECIAL EVENT FEES FOR 2024, INCLUSIVE OF ANY UPDATES TO WAGE OR OTHER EXPENSES SUCH AS THE COST OF RENTAL OF A POLICE VEHICLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE SPECIAL EVENT.

THE OTHER ITEM THAT I WANT TO NOTE AS PART OF THE SPECIAL EVENT FEES.

SO WE ARE SEEKING ADOPTION OF THESE FEES BECAUSE WE HAVE THE FIRST SPECIAL EVENT OF 2024 SCHEDULED LATER THIS MONTH AND THAT WOULD BE THE GOOD LIFE RAISE.

HOWEVER, WE DO KNOW THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF CONVERSATION BY THE BOARD AND ALSO AS PART OF THE VILLAGE BOARD ADOPTED GOALS FOR ONLY 4-25 RELATED TO SPECIAL EVENTS AND SO THERE IS MONEY SET ASIDE IN THE ECONOMIC VITALITY BUDGET, \$25,000, WHICH IS DESIGNED TO SUPPORT THE BOARD'S GOALS RELATED TO SPECIAL EVENTS.

INCLUDING NEIGHBORHOOD CONNECTIVITY.

INCLUDING BRINGING NEW EVENTS, NIGHTLIFE, THINGS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT. I DO KNOW THAT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES IS WORKING THROUGH THE PROGRAMMING AND TENDS TO PRESENT SOMETHING THE BOARD TO HELP SUPPORT THOSE GOALS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY MOVING FORWARD WITH THE PROCESS THAT THE BOARD PREVIOUSLY HAD SET UP THROUGH THE VILLAGE CODED TO ADOPT THESE FEES BASED ON THE COST.

>> AGONIZING THAT SOME OF THE SPECIAL EVENTS THAT EXIST CONTRIBUTE TO TOURISM AND ECONOMIC VITALITY, SO THANK YOU FOR THAT. I THINK TRUSTEE PARAKKAT IS THE ONE WHO PULLED THIS ITEM FROM CONSENT. TRUSTEE PARAKKAT, WOULD YOU LIKE TO START US OFF?

>> SURE.

IF I RECALL, WE HAD A DISCOUNTED STRUCTURE IN 2022 IN RESPONSE TO THE PANDEMIC OR THE PANDEMIC RECOVERY.

WE ARE CARRYING THAT FORWARD IN THIS?

>> NO.

WHEN THE BOARD ADOPTED THAT PROGRAM IT WAS ADOPTED FOR - I BELIEVE 2022 AND 2023 AND SO IT WAS ONLY ADOPTED FOR THOSE TWO YEARS AND THERE WAS A 25% FEE WAIVER APPLIED IT TO COST OF SERVICES BEYOND THE APPLICATION FEE AND SO IN 2024 THERE IS NO WAIVER PROGRAM THAT EXISTS AT THIS TIME WITHIN THE VILLAGE.

THAT IS SOMETHING THAT OBVIOUSLY THE BOARD COULD CONSIDER, HOWEVER, I THINK THAT IS SORT OF THE SIMILAR IDEA BEHIND THE \$25,000 THAT IS BUDGETED IN ECONOMIC VITALITY TO SUPPORT BUSINESSES AND BUSINESS DISTRICTS AND A NEIGHBORHOOD GROUP AND OTHERS WHO MAY BE INTERESTED IN HOLDING SPECIAL EVENTS THAT CONTRIBUTE TO THE BOARD GOALS THAT ARE RELATED TO SPECIAL EVENTS.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: YES.

THANK YOU.

THE REASON I PULLED THIS OFF IS I DO SEE AN OPPORTUNITY IN LOOKING AT SPECIAL EVENTS IN THIS COMMUNITY AS AN ENGINE FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH, GROWTH AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

IF WE HAVE BUDGETED \$25,000, I THINK SPECIAL EVENTS CAN FEED INTO THAT.

SO THE FEE STRUCTURE AS I SEE IT, IT IS VERY GRANULAR, VERY COMPLICATED.

SO WE NEED TO LOOK TO THAT AND THE APPLICATION PROCESS IS ALSO PRETTY ARDUOUS IN CERTAIN CASES WHEN YOU ARE LOOKING AT A BIG EVENT.

SO I KNOW WE HAVE AN ITEM IN TERMS OF LOOKING AT ALL OF OUR FEE STRUCTURES AND FEE SCHEDULES.

I DON'T KNOW IF IT IS POSSIBLE FOR THE EVENT THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO, KEIRA, BUT I WOULD LIKE US TO LOOK AT THE FEE STRUCTURE, SIMPLIFYING IT AND STREAMLINING THE PROCESS TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR PEOPLE TO APPLY.

I THINK THAT IS WHERE ENTITIES WILL GET MORE VALUE FROM IT, RATHER THAN TRYING TO GIVE THEM A SMALLER FEE AND REBALANCE IT BASED ON SIZE OF EVENT OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE WHERE WE ARE ABLE TO MAXIMIZE THE IMPACT, AS WELL AS THE ECONOMIC VALUE FOR THE VILLAGE SO WE CAN THEN FEED THAT INTO ECONOMIC VITALITY PLAN IN SOME SHAPE OR FORM. SO THAT TYPE OF THINKING IS WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE AND CHECK THE BOX.

WE WILL APPROVE WHAT WE HAD LAST YEAR KIND OF A THING THAT MAY BE REQUIRED FROM A STATUTORY STANDPOINT, BUT I WOULD DEFINITELY LIKE TO SEE US LOOK AT IT MORE HOLISTICALLY IN TERMS OF HOW IT MOVES OUR ECONOMY FORWARD.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: KEIRA, DIDN'T YOU DO STAKEHOLDER DISCUSSIONS LAST YEAR IN RESPONSE TO A SIMILAR QUESTION OF WORKING WITH SPECIAL EVENTS HOLDERS TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR THEM, IMPROVE THE PROCESS AND SO YOU ARE ALREADY WORKING ON ALL OF THAT, AREN'T YOU?

>> WE HAD STAKEHOLDER CONVERSATIONS LAST FALL WITH A NUMBER OF EVENT HOLDERS AND THEY HAD A LOT OF GREAT FEEDBACK FOR US REGARDING BOTH SORT OF OUR USE OF CITYVIEW FOR THE SPECIAL EVENT PERMITTING PROCESS, WHICH IS COMPLEX AND HAS HAD TO BE CONFIGURED CUSTOM FOR THIS PURPOSE IN BEING ABLE TO MEET MORE REGULARLY WITH OUR SPECIAL EVENT HOLDERS AS THEY ARE DEVELOPING NEW EVENTS WAS A CRITICAL ITEM. SO WE COULD SUPPORT THEM AND HELP THEM UNDERSTAND WHAT IT WAS THAT WE ULTIMATELY WOULD NEED TO UNDERSTAND AND HELP THEM KNOW HOW TO THROW THE MOST EFFECTIVE SPECIAL EVENT, WHETHER IT IS COST-EFFECTIVE OR JUST USE OF THE SPACE BASED ON STAFF KNOWLEDGE. SO THOSE CONVERSATIONS DID HAPPEN AND IF THERE IS ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK FROM THE BOARD WE CONTINUE TO LOOK AT THAT AND WORK TO HAVE MADE UPDATES WITHIN THE PORTAL TO STREAMLINE THAT PROCESS A LITTLE BIT AND MAKE IT A LITTLE BIT SMOOTHER FOR FOLKS, BUT AS LONG AS WE ARE USING THE CITYVIEW SYSTEM I WILL SAY THAT IT HAS HAD TO BE SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED FOR THIS PROCESS AND WE ARE USING A BUILDING PERMITTING SYSTEM TO HAVE PEOPLE APPLY FOR SPECIAL EVENTS, WHICH IS COMPLEX.

>> I THINK I AM SAYING THIS BASED ON SPECIFIC FEEDBACK, NOT FROM LAST YEAR, BUT VERY RECENT FEEDBACK BECAUSE I AM INVOLVED IN THIS COMMUNITY IN A WAY WHERE I AM INVOLVED IN SPECIAL EVENTS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I DON'T THINK WE HAVE HAD A CHANCE TO IMPLEMENT YET.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: AND SAYING THAT AND NOW WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE FEE STRUCTURE SO IT WOULD BE REMISS IN FOR ME TO MISS THAT AND MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT PROCESS.

SO BE LOOKING AT THAT AND ALSO MAKING SURE THAT THE FEE STRUCTURE IS SIMPLIFIED AND ALIGNED WITH OUR ECONOMIC VITALITY GOALS AND WE ARE HAVING THAT ON OUR RADAR AS WE MOVE FORWARD IS WHAT I AM POINTING UP. THAT IS THE REASON I PULLED IT OFF.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: KEIRA, JUST TO CLARIFY, THE CHART ATTACHED TO THIS ITEM DOES NOT REFLECT THE 25% DISCOUNT FOR 2022-2023? IS THAT CORRECT?

>> THAT IS CORRECT.

THESE ARE ACTUAL COSTS IN THE FEE ORDINANCE THAT WAS ADOPTED BY THE VILLAGE INCLUDED THE COMMENTARY OF 25% FEE WAIVER IN THE ACTUAL ORDINANCE TEXT.

SO THESE ARE THE ACTUAL COSTS TO UTILIZE STAFF TIME BASED ON COLLECTIVE BARGAINING, BASED ON ADOPTED WAGES WITHIN EACH YEAR'S BUDGET.

>> YOU ARE SEEING THE ACTUAL FEES THEMSELVES - NOT THAT THE FEES HAVE BEEN RESTORED, BUT THE 25% DISCOUNT EXPIRED LAST YEAR?

>> CORRECT.

AT THE WAIVER WAS TIED TO COVERT RECOVERY AND IT WAS ARPA FUNDED.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: ON THE DATA POINT ON THAT AND WHAT THREW ME OFF A LITTLE BIT IS THERE IS A LINE ITEM AROUND POLICE AS DEFINED BY THE CDA.

THAT LINE ITEM SPECIFICALLY IN AT 2022, 2021 IT WAS -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THAT WAS EXPIRED LAST YEAR AS WELL.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: IT IS COMING DOWN NOW AND WE HAVE FEWER POLICE OFFICERS AND NOW IT IS 79.

SO HOW SHOULD WE THINK ABOUT THAT?

WHAT ARE THE FACTORS THAT BECAUSE THAT NUMBER TO FLUCTUATE, ESPECIALLY THE POLICE ONE?

>> I THINK THE WAY THAT THIS WAS CALCULATED WAS ASSUMING A DOUBLETIME RATE ON SUNDAYS AND HOLIDAYS AND IN ACTUALITY THE CBA REFLECTS A TIME AND 1/2 FOR A SUNDAY OR HOLIDAY.

ULTIMATELY I WILL ALSO SHARE, NOT TO MAKE SPECIAL EVENT PERMITTING MORE COMPLEX, BUT THE HOURLY RATES ARE ESTIMATES AND AVERAGES ACROSS THE DEPARTMENT AND OUR SPECIAL EVENT HOLDERS ARE PAYING THE ACTUAL COST OF THE PERSONNEL WHO WORK THOSE EVENTS AND SO THEY RECEIVE THEIR 50% INVOICE OF WHAT WE ESTIMATE THE COST OF STAFFING THESE EVENTS TO BE, HOWEVER AFTER THE EVENT OCCURS THE SERVICE HAS BEEN PROVIDED WE KNOW BY WHOM AND WE KNOW HOW MUCH THEY WERE BEING PAID AND SO THEIR VILLAGE BILLS FOR THE BALANCE BASED ON THE ACTUAL COST.

YOU KNOW, THIS IS ONE MODEL THAT MUNICIPALITIES USE, HAVING SPECIAL EVENT HOLDERS COVER ACTUAL COSTS.

TO TRUSTEE PARAKKAT'S, THERE ARE A VARIETY OF OTHER MODELS WHERE SPECIAL EVENT HOLDERS ARE NOT CHARGED FOR OTHER PIECES AND THOSE ARE POLICY DECISIONS OF THE BOARD.

WE'VE IMPLEMENTED WHAT IS UNDER VILLAGE CODE AND WE WOULD BRING THAT FORWARD TO YOU IN THE FEE ORDINANCE, BUT THERE ARE OTHER MODELS THAT COULD BE CONSIDERED AS WELL.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: THAT IS PART OF THE CONSIDERATION WE ARE LOOKING AT REVENUE FEES AND STRUCTURES?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: RIGHT NOW WE ARE DOING WHAT WE ROUTINELY DO AND OTHER CITIES DO RELATED TO RECOVERY COSTS PROVIDING SERVICES FOR THE EVENTS AND THAT IS TYPICAL FOR MUNICIPALITIES TO DO THAT. SOME OF THEM PROBABLY DON'T.

THEY MAKE A POLICY CHOICE IN INTEREST OF TRYING TO RETAIN AND EXPAND EVENTS AND SUPPORT ECONOMIC VIBRANCY IN THE COMMUNITIES AND THEY MAY REDUCE THE FEES BELOW WHAT THE COST IS TO ACTUALLY COVER THE EVENTS WITH STAFF FOR THAT PURPOSE.

SO I THINK LIKE KEIRA WAS SAYING, THAT IS A POSSIBLE CHOICE AND WAS HER CHOICE AS WE COULD CONSIDER AS WE ANALYZE ALL OF OUR FEES IN ADDITION TO AS WE CONSIDER RECOMMENDATIONS FROM STAFF AS IT RELATES TO DEVELOPING AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY OR A VITALITY STRATEGY.

>> THAT IS WHAT I AM AIMING FOR TO SEE THAT AND HAVE THE DISCUSSION TO SEE WHAT POLICY CHOICES ARE AVAILABLE TO US AND MAKE THE RIGHT ONE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THAT IS OUR RESPONSIBILITY AND WE HAVE NOT DONE THAT IN THE PAST, BUT WITH THE \$25,000 THAT MAY BE CONSIDERED.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I WANT TO ECHO WHAT TRUSTEE PARAKKAT SAID. I WILL NOT REPEAT IT, BUT I AGREE WITH IT.

I WANT TO MENTION - WHEN WE TALK ABOUT CITYVIEW AND ECHO, WHAT YOU SAID KEIRA, YOU HELPED ME OUT A GREAT BIT - A TON WITH THAT WHEN I WAS CREATING SPECIAL EVENTS BEFORE I JOINED THE BOARD SO I APPRECIATE THAT AND I'VE NOT FORGOTTEN.

THANK YOU AND I AGREE WITH YOU, CITYVIEW IS A WAY TO COMPLICATED PIECE OF SOFTWARE AND TO DO WHAT WE ARE - IT IS WORKING, BUT DEFINITELY COMPLICATED.

SO THAT WILL PIVOT TO MY ALWAYS CALL TO VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON, LET'S GET WHATEVER YOU NEED TO MAKE IT SIMPLE AROUND HERE.

I AM ON BOARD.

I WILL CHAMPION IT AND YOU LET ME KNOW.

>> I APPRECIATE THE REMINDER.

WE ARE ACTUALLY WORKING ON THAT.

NOT THINKING IN MULTIPLE CONTEXTS, IT IS ALL ABOUT EFFICIENCY AND CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT, WHICH IS LIKELY THE NATURE OF THE QUESTIONS BEING RAISED ABOUT THE EVENTS AND SPECIAL EVENTS APPLICATION PROCESS, BUT IT APPLIES TO ALL THE BUSINESS PROCESSES AND ALSO A COUPLE DAYS AGO WE WERE TALKING ABOUT FACILITY NEEDS SO AS WE START TO MOVE FORWARD ON THAT JOURNEY IT IS IMPORTANT FOR US TO CONSIDER OUR OVERALL TECHNOLOGY NEEDS AS IT RELATES TO MOVING INTO THE FUTURE AND NEW FACILITIES.

I APPRECIATE THE REMINDER.

>> ABSOLUTELY AND I LOVE CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT AND EFFICIENCY AND THAT SOUNDS LIKE SOMETHING OUT OF THE FIRST CALL WITH MY CLIENTS. THE REST OF THIS I WOULD SAY IS A FOCUSED FOR ME AROUND COMMUNITY. I SAW FIRSTHAND WHAT HAPPENED IN COMMUNITY WHEN THE PANDEMIC YOU AND HOW HARD IT WAS TO BUILD IT BACK OUT AFTER FOLKS GOT NORMALIZED AND CAME OUT OF THEIR SHELL AND I THINK IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT THAT WE FOCUS A LOT ON CONTINUING THE COMMUNITY.

I THINK COMMUNITY BRINGS US TOGETHER AND MINIMIZES - ALLOWS US TO APPRECIATE THE DIFFERENCES THAT WE ALL HAVE INSTEAD OF LOOKING AT THOSE DIFFERENCES AS POINTS OF CONTENTION.

I THINK THE SPECIAL EVENT PROCESS REALLY DOES ELEVATE THOSE OPPORTUNITIES TO COME TOGETHER AND SEE EACH OTHER'S NEIGHBORS AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS VERSUS ALLOWING US TO FOCUS WAY TOO MUCH ONLINE SOMETIMES AND ONLY SEEING THE DIFFERENCES AND THE THINGS THAT DIVIDE US.

SO TO THAT END, JUST ECHOING BACK TO WHAT TRUSTEE PARAKKAT SAID IN THE IMPORTANCE OF USING SPECIAL EVENTS TO DRIVE ECONOMIC VITALITY I ALSO WANT TO USE SPECIAL EVENTS TO DRIVE OUR SENSE OF COMMUNITY AND OUR TOGETHERNESS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

THANK YOU.

NOW WE HAVE A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SUBMISSION OF A GRANT APPLICATION FOR COOK COUNTY DISASTER RESPONSE AND RECOVERY FUND. MOTION, PLEASE?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> THANK YOU.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: KEIRA CHANG.

>> HELLO AGAIN.

NEW TOPIC.

THE ITEM YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU TONIGHT IS A REQUEST TO APPLY FOR THE COOK COUNTY DISASTER RELIEF AND RECOVERY FUND DOLLARS.

THAT IS ACTUALLY BASED ON A CONVERSATION THAT THE STATE AND COUNTY HAD WITH THE VILLAGE REGARDING THE USE OF FUNDING.

AS YOU ARE AWARE WE RECEIVED A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF THE FUNDING THROUGH THE STATE THROUGH THAT SMASS GRANT IN PARTICULAR AND THE STATE AND COUNTY HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH ONE ANOTHER TO IDENTIFY THE BEST WAY FOR EACH OF THEM TO UTILIZE THE RESOURCES AND THE FUNDS THEY HAVE AVAILABLE TO THEM.

THE STATE HAS AN INTEREST IN FOCUSING THEIR FUNDS ON WRAPAROUND SERVICES AND COOK COUNTIES DISASTER RELIEF AND RECOVERY FUNDS ARE MORE OF THAT TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE MEASURE.

SO THEY LOOKED AT IN PARTICULAR OAK PARK'S GRANT APPLICATION AND OUR AWARD FOR THE TEMPORARY SHELTER, THE OAK PARK FAMILY TRANSITIONAL SHELTER IS A PLACE WHERE COOK COUNTY WOULD BE ABLE TO - IT WOULD CONSIDER THAT AN ELIGIBLE EXPENSE TO REIMBURSE THE VILLAGE FOR COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT AND THAT THE STATE COULD THEN UTILIZE THEIR FUNDS TO COVER WRAPAROUND SERVICE FOR OTHER COMMUNITIES OR IN OTHER WAYS. SO FOR THAT REASON THEY HAVE COME TO US AND PURSUANT TO OUR PROCESS WITH YOU ALL WE SEEK THE AUTHORIZATION FROM THE BOARD TO APPLY FOR THE GRANT.

THEY HAVE ASSURED US THAT IT IS REALLY JUST A PAPERWORK ISSUE IN THE STATE HAS FULL SUPPORT IN THE COUNTY HAS FULL SUPPORT OF THIS INITIATIVE THERE IS A WAY TO BETTER BRAID THE FUNDING SO IT IS THE MOST PRODUCTIVE AND USEFUL AND THAT OAK PARK IS STILL MADE WHOLE FOR THE CONTRIBUTIONS WE HAVE MADE IT TOWARDS THE MIGRANT RESPONSE. THE OTHER PIECE OF THIS IS THAT WE CONTINUE TO IDENTIFY AND WANT TO MAXIMIZE ALL FUNDING OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO THE VILLAGE WHEN IT COMES TO OUR RESPONSE.

SO AS YOU ARE AWARE WE HAVE RECEIVED SEVERAL ROUNDS OF SMASS FUNDING, INCLUDING \$400,000 IN ROUND ONE THAT IS FULLY EXPENSE.

WE RECEIVED \$350,000 IN THE DISASTER RELIEF AND RESPONSE FUNDING FROM COOK COUNTY ALREADY THAT IS FULLY EXPENSED.

AND THEN WE CONTINUE TO IDENTIFY IF THERE ARE OTHER PLACES OR EXPENSES THAT MAY BE A GOOD FIT FOR THESE DISASTER RELIEF AND RESPONSE FUNDS THROUGH THE COUNTY.

ANY FUNDS OR EXPENSES THAT MAY NOT HAVE YET BEEN COVERED BY ANOTHER FUNDING SOURCE WE ARE IDENTIFYING THOSE AND WOULD ALSO APPLY FOR REIMBURSEMENT FROM THE COUNTY FOR THOSE.

THOSE MIGHT BE EXPENSES SUCH AS RESTORATION OF THE SHELTER SITES THAT WERE USED TO SUPPORT OUR ASYLUM-SEEKERS OVER THE SEVERAL MONTHS.

THOSE EXPENSES WERE NOT ORIGINALLY CONTEMPLATED DEEPLY THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS.

THERE ARE SOME OTHER EXPENSES SUCH AS SECURITY EXPENSES OR FOOD EXPENSES THAT WERE - THAT WE ARE IDENTIFYING THAT FOR WHATEVER REASON WERE NOT ORIGINALLY COVERED VIA REIMBURSEMENT THROUGH SMASS ONE OR THROUGH OUR FIRST \$365,000 WITH COOK COUNTY.

THIS IS A TWO PART.

ONE IS SIMPLY THE BRAIDING OF FUNDING AND THE OTHER IS SWEEPING UP ANY ADDITIONAL ELIGIBLE EXPENSES THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AND REQUESTING REIMBURSEMENT FROM THE COUNTY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

QUESTIONS, CONCERNS, COMMENTS?

TRUSTEE PARAKKAT?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: IT WILL BE GOOD TO HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF A BUDGET VIEW AROUND THE TIMELINE, ALL THE EXPENDITURES WHERE IT CAME FROM.

SO GRANTS AGAINST - AND NO ONE WAS SHARED EARLIER IN THE PROCESS, BUT I'VE NOT SEEN ONE MORE RECENTLY THAT REALLY GIVES US THE FINAL OR LATEST VIEW OF THAT.

>> THAT IS PLANNED FOR THE APRIL 30.

WE INTEND TO RELEASE A MORE DETAILED FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF WHAT HAS OCCURRED THROUGHOUT OUR RESPONSE AND IN A INFO ONLY MEMO AND PREPARED TO SPEAK ABOUT IT WITH THE BOARD.

>> THAT IS ONE AND THE SECOND THING IS IN TERMS OF THE TIME SPENT.

SO THAT HAS BEEN A CONCERN OF MINE IN TERMS OF STAFF TIME AND WE HAVE APPLIED FOR GRANTS AND NOW WE ARE RECASTING THE GRANTS TO SATISFY SPECIFIC PROCEDURAL NEEDS BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND STATE.

SO DO WE EXPECT A LOT OF TIME TO GO IN ON THIS OR IS IT AN EASY SHIFT?

>> THIS IS A RELATIVELY EASY SHIFT.

WE HAVE TO IDENTIFY OUR EXPENSES AND WE HAVE TO GIVE THEM TO THE RIGHT FUNDER.

WHETHER THAT IS THE STATE OR WHETHER THAT IS THE COUNTY IT IS ESSENTIALLY THE SAME PROCESS IN ORDER TO ACCOUNT FOR THE MONEY THAT WE HAVE SPENT.

A DOCUMENT IT AND GIVE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW IT AND GET BACK TO US.

WE DID THROUGH SMASS TWO RECEIVED \$40,000 IN REIMBURSEMENT FUNDS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE, WHICH IS JUST ADMINISTERING OF THE GRANT AND SO WE ARE BEING REIMBURSED FOR STAFF TIME UP TO \$40,000 OVER THE LIFE OF THE SMASS TWO GRANT, WHICH WE BELIEVE WOULD COVER THE COST OF THE STAFF TIME.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: OKAY.

LAST POINT IS WHEN YOU COME BACK ON APRIL 30 IT WILL BE GOOD TO KNOW WHAT FUNDS WE HAVE ALREADY RECEIVED, NOT JUST GUARANTEED, BUT WE HAVE RECEIVED VERSUS WHAT IS OUTSTANDING AND WHAT THE TIMELINE IS FOR US TO RECOUP THAT.

>> YEP.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU, TRUSTEE ROBINSON?

>> ARE WE APPLYING FOR THE SAME GRANT AMOUNT SINCE IT IS JUST A SWAP IN PAPERWORK?

>> WE WOULD BE APPLYING FOR ALL OF THE TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE MEASURES, THE OAK PARK FAMILY TRANSITIONAL SHELTER EXPENSES. HOWEVER, BECAUSE THE SHELTER WAS PROJECTED TO OPERATE FOR FOUR MONTHS HOUSING 100 PEOPLE AND OPERATED FOR ONE MONTH AND HOUSING ABOUT MAXIMUM AROUND 30-42.

THE ACTUAL COST OF OPERATING THAT SHELTER IS AT SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THEN WHAT WE WERE GRANTED TO OPERATE IT.

SO WE WILL BE APPLYING TO THE COOK COUNTY WITH THE ACTUAL EXPENSES THAT WERE INCURRED THROUGH THAT PROCESS AS OPPOSED TO \$1.3 MILLION THAT WE WERE APPROVED FOR THROUGH THE END.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: IT WILL BE LESS THAN THE 1.2 OR - OKAY. THE WRITE UP SUGGEST THAT WE ARE REQUESTING REIMBURSEMENT FOR SERVICES PROVIDED THROUGH GRACE EPISCOPAL FOR DECEMBER THROUGH MARCH. WE ARE STILL APPLYING FOR OUR OWN REIMBURSEMENT - FOR REIMBURSEMENT FOR THE SERVICES WE PROVIDED THROUGH THE CARLTON AND THE Y.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THAT IS CORRECT. GRACE EPISCOPAL WAS NOT ORIGINALLY SUBMITTING EXPENSES TO THE VILLAGE AND THEY ARE BEING COVERED AT THIS POINT.

>> OKAY, I WILL JUST I TRUSTEE PARAKKAT'S POINT AS DETAILED AS YOU CAN MAKE THAT APRIL 30, I KNOW IT IS A LOT OF NUMBERS, BUT WOULD LIKE A CLEAR IDEA.

- NOW THERE'S NEW STUFF REIMBURSABLE.

I FEEL LIKE I'M LOST IN THE NUMBERS AND I WOULD REALLY LOVE CLARITY AND A MORE DETAILED ACCOUNTING IS GOING TO BE ABLE TO GIVE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ABSOLUTELY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

WE HAVE A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO THE FUNDING GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE OAK PARK RIVER FOREST COMMUNITY OF CONGREGATION TO PROVIDE AN ADDITIONAL \$100,000 IN VILLAGE GENERAL FUNDS TO THE COMMUNITY OF CONGREGATIONS TO PROVIDE SHORT-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE FOR ASYLUM-SEEKERS FOR A NEW TOTAL OF \$450,000 AND AUTHORIZING ITS EXECUTION.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: PRESIDENT SCAMAN AND TRUSTEE MEMBERS, THIS IS AN ITEM THAT WAS ADDED TO THE AGENDA BY PRESIDENT SCAMAN AND PRESIDENT SCAMAN, WE WOULD BE HAPPY IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT IT, BUT STAFF WE CAN PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF IT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I'M COMFORTABLE WITH THAT AS YOU UNDERSTAND WHERE IT WAS COMING FROM AND I APPRECIATE ADDING IT TO THE AGENDA.

>> ME AGAIN.

SO THIS ITEM IS A REQUEST TO AMEND THE EXISTING GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE COMMUNITY OF CONGREGATIONS TO ADD AN ADDITIONAL \$100,000 TO ESSENTIALLY CONTINUE AND EXPAND THE VILLAGES RESETTLEMENT PROCESS FOR THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN SHELTERING AT EUCLID METHODIST CHURCH.

THERE ARE 17 RESIDENTS CURRENTLY AT EUCLID METHODIST CHURCH WHO WERE ORIGINALLY STAYING AT GOOD SHEPHERD LUTHERAN FOR ONE NIGHT PRIOR TO THE VILLAGES TEMPORARY SHELTER PROGRAM MOVING TO THE CARLTON AND THE YMCA AND GRACE EPISCOPAL.

EUCLID METHODIST HAS HOUSED AND FED AND SUPPORTED THE GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS OVER THE COURSE OF THE PAST, WHAT IS IT FIVE OR SIX MONTHS AND THE TIME HAS LOST ALL MEANING.

AND SO THEY ARE CURRENTLY SEEKING TO PROVIDE THE SAME LEVEL OF SUPPORT THAT THE OTHER ASYLUM-SEEKERS WITHIN THE VILLAGES PROGRAM AND COMMUNITY HAVE RECEIVED.

\$100,000 WOULD ALLOW FOLKS TO MOVE INTO THEIR OWN LEASE AGREEMENT SIMILAR TO THOSE WHO PARTICIPATE IN THE VILLAGE PROGRAM AND SET THEMSELVES ON THAT SAME PATHWAY TOWARDS INDEPENDENCE.

SO I DO KNOW THERE FROM COMMUNITY OF CONGREGATIONS IS HERE REPRESENTING THE SUCCESS OF THE PROGRAM AND BEING ABLE TO SUPPORT 201 INDIVIDUALS ULTIMATELY INTO THAT LONGER-TERM HOUSING, MORE STABLE HOUSING SITUATION.

SO THE 17 INDIVIDUALS ARE THE LAST KNOWN ASYLUM-SEEKERS IN THE COMMUNITY THAT ARE HOUSED IN THESE FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONAL SITUATIONS.

I THINK TRUSTEE WESLEY SECONDED PRESIDENT SCAMAN'S INTEREST IN BRINGING THIS FORWARD AND PROVIDING AN EQUITABLE RESPONSE TO THOSE MIGRANTS WHO MAY HAVE ALL BEEN STARTING IN A SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE OVERVIEW.
(NAME?), MAYBE WE SHOULD APPROPRIATELY THANK YOU AND IF ANYBODY HAS
QUESTIONS FOR THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE AND ALL OF THE VOLUNTEERS
AND MEMBERS OF OUR FAITH-BASED COMMUNITY, BUT COMMUNITY AT LARGE FOR
AN AMAZING ACCOMPLISHMENT IN HOUSING 201 MIGRANTS FROM OAK PARK.
ANY QUESTIONS?

TRUSTEE ROBINSON?

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: KEIRA, WHY WASN'T THIS GROUP PREVIOUSLY
IDENTIFIED?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I THINK EUCLID CHURCH HAD - THEY COMMITTED
THAT THEY WERE NOT GOING TO - THEY VOLUNTEERED.
I GUESS.

THAT IS THE SHORT ANSWER.

>> I GUESS MY QUESTION IS WHY WASN'T THIS GROUP PREVIOUSLY
IDENTIFIED?

>> YOU ARE SAYING THEY IDENTIFIED - VOLUNTEERED TO NOT IDENTIFY
THEM?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WHY WERE THEY NOT PREVIOUSLY EDIFIED?

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: FOR RESETTLEMENT I MEAN.

>> I WILL JUST SAY THAT I THINK STAFF HAVE BEEN INTERPRETING THE
BOARDS FEEDBACK AROUND THIS ISSUE TO BE FOCUSED ON THOSE ASYLUM-
SEEKERS WHO ARE PART OF THE VILLAGES TEMPORARY SHELTER PROGRAM.
THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS THAT TEMPORARY SHELTER PROGRAM THROUGH THE
VILLAGES REALLY FOCUSED ON THREE SITES AND THAT WOULD BE GRACE
EPISCOPAL.

THAT WOULD BE THE YMCA AND THAT WOULD BE THE CARLTON HOTEL.
EUCLID METHODIST AS VICKI MENTIONED, DID VOLUNTEER ON THEIR OWN
WITHOUT PROMPTING FROM THE VILLAGE OR AND ASKED FROM THE VILLAGE TO
HOUSE FOLKS AND TO TAKE ON THAT RESPONSIBILITY AND SO, YOU KNOW, AS
THE CONVERSATIONS HAVE HAPPENED THROUGHOUT THE VILLAGES RESPONSE THE
FOCUS HAS REMAINED - HAD REMAINED BASED ON THE FEEDBACK BASED ON THOSE
THREE PRELIMINARY SITES.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: IT WASN'T THAT YOU WERE NOT AWARE OF THEM?

>> WE WERE AWARE THAT EUCLID METHODIST WAS SUPPORTING A NUMBER OF
FOLKS AT THAT SPACE, HOWEVER THEY WERE NOT SEEKING ANYTHING FROM THE
VILLAGE IN RESPONSE FROM THAT IN THE VILLAGE ALSO DID NOT REQUEST THAT
THEY TAKE THAT ACTION.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: THE SCOPE KIND OF CHANGED OVER TIME AND WE
DISCUSSED THE EVOLUTION OF RESETTLEMENT AND WHAT THAT LOOKED LIKE YOU?
IS THAT FAIR TO SAY?

OKAY.

ARE WE CERTAIN THERE ARE NO OTHER CONGREGATIONS THAT WE MIGHT WANT TO
CONSIDER AS WE KIND OF.

>> THE ONLY OTHER CHURCH LOCATION WE WERE AWARE OF THAT DID AT ONE POINT HOUSE ASYLUM-SEEKERS IS (NAME?) MEMORIAL AND THEY NO LONGER HOUSE ANY ASYLUM-SEEKERS.

THEY HAVE FOUND ALTERNATE SOLUTIONS FOR ALL OF THE FOLKS THAT WERE IN THEIR CARE AT ANY POINT SO EUCLID METHODIST IS THE ONLY CHURCH SITE THAT WE ARE AWARE OF THAT IS HOUSING A GROUP OF FOLKS IN OUR IMMUNITY.

>> OKAY.

I THOUGHT RESETTLEMENT COMING FROM THE GENERAL FUND WAS REIMBURSABLE. IS THAT RIGHT?

IS THAT STILL TRUE?

WAS THAT RIGHT INITIALLY?

>> WE HAVE HAD SOME CONVERSATIONS WITH THE STATE OF WHAT I WOULD CALL SMASS THREE.

ONCE THE STATE PASSES ITS BUDGET, IF THERE ARE FUNDS APPROPRIATED FOR RESPONSE TO ASYLUM-SEEKERS THE STATE IS AWARE THAT THE VILLAGE IS UTILIZING FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF RESETTLEMENT OR WHAT THEY WOULD CHARACTERIZE AS SHORT-TERM RENTAL ASSISTANCE, WHICH IS HOW WE PROVIDE THE SERVICE, AT LEAST THE \$300,000 AS PART OF SMASS TWO.

THERE IS A COMMITMENT AND UNDERSTANDING FROM THE STATE THAT WE ARE PROVIDING THE SERVICE AND THAT THERE WILL LIKELY BE AND ASK OR AN APPLICATION FOR REIMBURSEMENT FOR SOME OF THOSE EXPENSES.

OF COURSE IT WILL DEPEND ON WHAT THE SMASS THREE PROGRAM LOOKS LIKE AND HOW MANY MONTHS NOW THOSE THINGS, BUT THERE IS A COMMITMENT FROM THE STATE TO THINK OF US AND TO CONSIDER US IN THE DESIGN OF THAT PROGRAM.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: DO WE HAVE ANY INDICATION OF CRITERIA SO WE CAN ENSURE THAT WE ARE IN THE BEST POSITION POSSIBLE FROM OUR ARRANGEMENT WITH THE COMMUNITY OF CONGREGATIONS TASK FORCE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE DOING WHATEVER WE NEED TO DO TO BE ELIGIBLE? DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT THAT CRITERIA MIGHT BE THIS EARLY?

>> I WOULD SAY THEY HAVE NOT RELEASED ANY INFORMATION OR GUIDELINES ABOUT - THEY HAVE NOT YET PASSED THE BUDGET THAT WOULD DRIVE WHATEVER THE FUNDING BUCKET WILL LOOK LIKE AND THEN - THE STATE HAS ALSO INDICATED THAT THEY ARE LEARNING FROM US AS A COMMUNITY THAT HAS BEEN DEEPLY INVOLVED IN THE RESPONSE AND WE CONTINUE TO SHARE OUR PERSPECTIVE AND SUCCESS IN THE INITIATIVE AND WE ARE HOPEFUL THAT THAT WILL ENHANCE OUR APPLICATION.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: OKAY.

HAVE WE RECEIVED ANY REIMBURSEMENT AT ALL?

I ASKED THIS A LITTLE OVER A MONTH AGO AND THE ANSWER WAS NO.

IS THAT STILL TRUE?

>> WE HAVE.

WE HAVE PERCEIVED - RECEIVED \$340,000 FROM SMASS ONE AND WE HAVE BEEN APPROVED FOR THE REMAINING \$60,000 ESSENTIALLY.

SO WE HAVE ALREADY FULLY EXPENSED THE FIRST \$400,000.

WE HAVE SUBMITTED OUR EXPENSES FOR THE COOK COUNTY DISASTER RELIEF AND RECOVERY FUND.

WE ARE APPROVED FOR \$365,000 AND WE HAVE SUBMITTED \$375,000 IN EXPENSES.

THEY ARE REVIEWING THAT THEY WILL LET US KNOW THE TIMING OF THAT.

>> I WANTED TO KNOW WHETHER WE WERE ACTUALLY IN RECEIPT OF ANY OF THOSE PROJECTED REIMBURSEMENTS AND IT SOUNDS LIKE WE ARE FOR \$340,000.

>> WE HAVE RECEIVED \$340,000 AND WE EXPECT TO RECEIVE A CHECK ANY DAY.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: THOSE ARE KIND OF DUE DILIGENCE QUESTIONS ARE QUESTIONS I HAD AND I WAS NOT HERE FOR THE LAST MEETING WHERE THERE WAS THE APPROVAL OF \$200,000?

IS THAT RIGHT?

SO I WOULD HAVE ASKED THESE QUESTIONS THEN.

SO THEY ARE KIND OF COMING NOW BECAUSE I DID NOT HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY BECAUSE I CANNOT BE HERE.

SO ALL OF THAT IS VERY HELPFUL.

I DO HAVE TWO QUESTIONS MAYBE PASTOR (NAME?) YOU CAN FIELD THESE TWO. SO I HAD ASKED PREVIOUSLY WHETHER THERE WAS A CONTINGENCY FOR LEASES THAT WERE NOT FULFILLED.

SO EITHER FAMILIES WHO DECIDE WE ARE GOING TO MOVE ON JOB OPPORTUNITIES TO ANOTHER STATE OR ANOTHER CITY.

GIVEN THAT THE LEASES OR FOR ANY REASON.

GIVEN THAT THE LEASES ARE PREPAID 12 MONTHS IN ADVANCE, IS THERE A CONTINGENCY PLAN FOR THOSE LEASES WHERE THE ORIGINAL NEED IS NOT THERE, BUT THE LEASE HAS ALREADY BEEN PAID?

>> THE LEASES ARE MADE IN THE NAMES OF THE MIGRANTS.

SO WE ARE HANDING IT OFF TO THEM ESSENTIALLY.

SO WE DO NOT HAVE A CONTINGENCY PLAN BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT OUR LEASES.

>> OKAY.

ARE YOU OR THE TASK FORCE, ARE YOU TRACKING WHETHER OR NOT THE FIRST-ROUND OF 12 MONTH LEASES - WHETHER THEY WILL BE FULFILLED?

WILL YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THOSE FAMILIES OR INDIVIDUALS REMAIN?

>> WE REMAIN IN CLOSE CONTACT WITH EVERYBODY AS WE ARE TRYING TO MENTOR THEM AND PARTNER WITH THEM TO MAKE IT.

SO FAR, IT HAS ONLY BEEN A MONTH OR TWO, DEPENDING ON WHEN THEY GOT INTO THE LEASE.

SO FAR EVERYBODY HAS REMAINED IN THEIR LEASE.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: I WOULD REALLY LOVE TO UNDERSTAND AS WE KIND OF MOVE THROUGH THIS FIRST YEAR OF LEASES - I WOULD RATHER ASK THIS NOW AT THE BEGINNING THEN IN MONTH 12 AND ALL OF THAT TIME HAS PASSED. I WOULD LOVE TO KNOW BECAUSE I THINK YOU CAN REALLY SPEAK TO THE SUCCESS OF THIS EFFORT, I WOULD REALLY LOVE TO KNOW THE STATUS OF THE FAMILIES WHO DID STAY FOR THAT 12 MONTH PERIOD AND WHETHER OR NOT WE HAD 100% OF FAMILY STAY, CONTINUE TO GET THEIR FEET PLANTED, ETC. NOT

ANY SPECIFIC DETAIL ABOUT THEM, BUT AGAIN, I THINK IT CAN HELP SPEAK TO THE SUCCESS OF THIS EFFORT OVERALL.
SO I WOULD RATHER MAKE THAT REQUEST AT THE BEGINNING OF THE 12 MONTH PERIOD.

>> I APPRECIATE THAT.
YEAH.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: OKAY.
THIS IS TOUGH FOR ME.
I SUPPORT THE EFFORT, THE RESETTLEMENT EFFORT.
THE ISSUE FOR ME IS THAT I DO NOT FEEL LIKE I HAVE A GOOD HANDLE ON WHERE WE ARE MONEYWISE.
MEANING THE VILLAGE.
NOT THE TASK FORCE, NOT THE COMMUNITY OF CONGREGATIONS.
WHEN WE WERE ADMINISTERING GRANT MONEY I FELT VERY DIFFERENTLY ABOUT GRANT MONEY THAT WAS SPECIFICALLY GIVEN TO US FOR THIS PURPOSE, WITH SOME OF THE UNCERTAINTIES THAT ARE NATURALLY BUILT INTO THIS PROCESS VERSUS MONEY FROM OUR GENERAL FUND AND THAT REALLY IS BECAUSE WHAT HAD HAPPENED IS THERE WAS A CONVERSION OF ARPA DOLLARS AND I STILL HAVE A STRONG DESIRE TO SEE THOSE ARE BUT DOLLARS GO TO FEDERALLY ARPA APPROVED PURPOSES.
I THINK THE INTENTIONALITY OF THAT MONEY SHOULD STAY AS IT WAS ORIGINALLY DESIGNED.
SO I WANT TO SORT OF CLARIFY AND PROVIDE THAT BACKGROUND BECAUSE I'M GOING TO VOTE NO, BUT IT IS A NUANCED VOTE FOR ME.
IT IS NOT BECAUSE I DO NOT SUPPORT THE SETTLEMENT.
I THINK WE APPLIED FOR THE GRANT AND WE ARE ABLE TO PARTNER WITH THE RESETTLEMENT EFFORT IS FANTASTIC.
IT'S THE FACT THAT THIS IS MONEY THAT HAD A SPECIFIC PURPOSE INITIALLY.
AND NOW THAT PURPOSE IS DIFFERENT.
SO THAT IS SORT OF WHERE I AM AT.
THANK YOU FOR THOSE ANSWERS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.
TRUSTEE PARAKKAT?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: WHERE IS THIS REQUEST COMING FROM?
IS IT FROM EUCLID OR IS IT COMMUNITY OF CONGREGATIONS?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I AM THE ONE WHO REQUESTED PUTTING IT ON THE AGENDA.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: HOW DID WE BECOME AWARE OF.
>> FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, EUCLID HAS REACHED OUT TO ME AND TO MEMBERS OF THE RESETTLEMENT TASK FORCE AND REQUESTED SUPPORT AND AID. WE HAVE MET WITH ALL 17 FOLKS THERE.
THEY HAVE COMPLETED OUR SURVEY AND THEY WOULD LIKE OUR SUPPORT.
THEY WOULD LIKE US TO HELP THEM GET INTO LEASES THE WAY THAT WE HAVE HELPED THESE OTHER FOLKS GET INTO LEASES AND EUCLID UNITED METHODIST

CHURCH IS SORT OF IN A SIMILAR PLACE THAT GRACE EPISCOPAL CHURCH WAS IN TWO MONTHS AGO IN THAT THEY ARE TIRED AND RUNNING OUT OF MONEY. AND SO THERE NEEDS TO BE A OFFRAMP OF SOME KIND. OR THEY ARE REQUESTING - AT LEAST MILD SUPPORT.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: UNDERSTOOD.
THE 17 INDIVIDUALS.

ARE THEY INDIVIDUALS OR FAMILIES?

>> MOST OF THEM ARE FAMILIES, YES.
THERE ARE 17 INDIVIDUALS.
WE NEED FIVE APARTMENTS.
FIVE LEASES.

>> THE ARRIVAL OF 100,000 SEEMS LIKE AN ODD NUMBER, BUT WHAT IS THE CORRELATION BETWEEN THE 17 IN THE HUNDRED?

>> WE BELIEVE THE 100,000 ENABLES US TO COVER ALL 17 OF THEM. WHEN WE WERE TRYING TO DO THE 31 MEN AT GRACE WE THOUGHT AROUND \$200,000 IS WHAT WE NEEDED TO DO THE 31 AT GRACE. SO BASED OFF OF THE MEAN OF WHAT WE'RE ABLE TO GET, IT FALLS RIGHT AROUND \$100,000 GIVE OR TAKE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IS AN UP TO NUMBER, RIGHT?
IT IS A "NOT TO EXCEED".
IT COULD VERY WELL BE LOWER.

>> WE WILL TRY TO MAKE IT LOWER.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: A LITTLE BIT OF MY STRUGGLE IS WHAT TRUSTEE ROBINSON MENTIONED AS WELL.
COMPARTMENTALIZING THE GRANT FUNDED VOICES, VILLAGE FUNDED KIND OF EFFORTS RIGHT FROM THE START - - VILLAGE FUNDED AND VILLAGE STAFFED EFFORTS.

SOMETHING I WOULD BE UNWILLING TO SUPPORT.
NOW IN THE INTERVENING PERIOD, A FEW WEEKS BACK - I GOT A COUPLE OF EMAILS THAT BROUGHT THAT EVEN MORE TO MY ATTENTION.
SO SOMEBODY IN THE COMMUNITY WHO LOST HER HUSBAND DURING COVID, STRUGGLING TO STAY IN THIS COMMUNITY.
HER QUESTION TO ME WAS - SO YOU ARE USING ARPA FUNDS FOR THIS.
I DO I GET A CHECK?
RIGHT?

I DID NOT HAVE AN ANSWER, RIGHT?

IN THAT KIND OF A DIRECT QUESTION ABOUT HOW WE USE OUR FUNDS AS A COMMUNITY - WHEN I AM FACED WITH THAT I REALLY DO NOT HAVE AN ANSWER SO I AM SHARING THAT WITHOUT SHARING THE NAME OF THE PERSON JUST TO MAKE THE POINT THAT THERE ARE MANY NEEDS NO WOULD LIKE TO STAY CONSISTENT WITH THE POSITIONS I HAVE TAKEN AND THIS REINFORCES THOSE CONVICTIONS FOR ME.

THIS KIND OF STORY.

SO I WILL BE A NO VOTE ON THIS ONE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: TRUSTEE WESLEY?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I WOULD LIKE TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION TRUSTEE PARAKKAT AND THE QUESTION FOR ME IS YES, WE SHOULD GIVE THAT PERSON A CHECK AND I DO BELIEVE THAT THERE ARE PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS OR FACING THE POTENTIAL TO EXPERIENCE HOMELESSNESS THAT WE SHOULD STEP UP AND HELP.

SO I LOOK AT THIS AS I HAVE CONTINUED TO LOOK AT THIS AND I WILL NOT GO THROUGH MY ENTIRE LIFECYCLE OF MY DECISION-MAKING.

IT IS COMPLETELY COMPLEX AND NUANCED, EVEN TO ME, BUT WHEN I LOOK AT THESE THINGS, I LOOK AT HOW WE CAN BE IN A SITUATION TO HELP THE PEOPLE OF OUR COMMUNITY.

THAT COMMUNITY HAS COME TO BE SOMEWHERE AROUND 200 ASYLUM-SEEKERS BASED ON THE WILL OF THIS BOARD AND ALSO MEANS THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE EMAILED YOU WITH THAT REQUEST AND PEOPLE WHO HAVE STOPPED ME WITH SIMILAR REQUESTS AND PEOPLE WHO ARE JUST IN GENERAL IN NEED IN THIS VILLAGE THAT WE'VE KNOWN FOR YOU - YEARS AND DECADES.

I DO NOT VIEW THOSE FOLKS AS LESS DESERVING AS THESE FOLKS.

I SECONDED THIS MOTION BECAUSE I BELIEVE IN THE EQUITY OF THIS MOTION. I BELIEVE THAT WHEN WE HAVE STARTED DOWN THE PATH TO GRANT A GROUP OF PEOPLE, ALL MEETING A CERTAIN CRITERIA A CERTAIN BENEFIT THAT WE SHOULD NOT EXCLUDE THEN A CERTAIN NUMBER OF THOSE PEOPLE BASED ON CIRCUMSTANCE AND 17 PEOPLE WHO WERE BEING HELPED BY VOLUNTEERS, WHO THOSE VOLUNTEERS CAN NO LONGER HELP, TO ME FALL INTO THE FRAMEWORK OF THE PLAN WE CREATED HERE.

WE'VE ALL DEBATED WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD HAVE CREATED IT.

THAT IS NOT REALLY THE ISSUE FOR ME NOW ANYMORE.

THE POINT IS THAT WE DID AND IT HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL.

RIGHT?

WE HAVE TAKEN FOLKS FROM OUT OF THE VILLAGE CARE AND INTO LONG-TERM HOUSING ON THEIR OWN STEAM AND THEIR OWN AGENCY TO THE EXTENT IT IS POSSIBLE GIVEN THE SITUATION.

THESE 17 PEOPLE WERE TALKING ABOUT TODAY FOR THE SAME CRITERIA THAT IS WHY I WILL VOTE YES FOR THIS TONIGHT.

I BELIEVE \$100,000, EVEN IF WE HAVE TO EAT IT OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND, FOR ME IT IS WORTH THE CONSISTENCY OF OUR VALUES AND EMPLOYING THEM IN A WAY THAT IS CONSISTENT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

TRUSTEE STRAW?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I WILL BE VOTING YES.

I DID JUST WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT AND SAY THANK YOU.

TO YOU, REVEREND (NAME?) AND JUST TO THE INCREDIBLE VOLUNTEER LED, PRIMARILY WOMAN LED EFFORT THAT HAS JUST BEEN INCREDIBLY EFFICIENT, COMPASSIONATE, HUMAN RESPONSE TO A VERY REAL CRISIS IN OUR COMMUNITY AND FOR A FRACTION OF THE COST OF SO MANY OF THE OTHER POSSIBLE EFFORTS HAS PUT THESE FAMILIES INTO STABLE HOUSING. MANY OF THEM IN OUR COMMUNITY.

I WAS TALKING TO ONE VOLUNTEER THE OTHER DAY WHO WAS TALKING ABOUT SEEING SOME OF THESE MIGRANTS, TRULY OUR NEW NEIGHBORS AS THEY WERE WALKING HOME FROM THE GROCERY STORE AND THIS WAS A FAMILY THAT THE VOLUNTEER HAD BEEN INTERACTING WITH IN A SHELTER SITUATION JUST A COUPLE OF MONTHS AGO AND ARE NOW TRULY PART OF THE FABRIC OF OUR COMMUNITY AND IT IS SUCH A BEAUTIFUL EFFORT AND SUCH A SUCCESS STORY AND SO I THANK YOU AND EVERYBODY IN THAT NETWORK THAT HAS MADE THAT POSSIBLE.

>> IT HAS BEEN A MIRACLE.

>> YES.

SO THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I THINK ALL OF THE EFFORTS HAVE REALLY BROUGHT THE COMMUNITY TOGETHER IN WAYS THAT WE INVEST IN AND SEE.
SO THANK YOU.

I WANT TO ALSO THANK KEIRA CHANG FOR ALL THE WORK THAT SHE HAS DONE IN ENGAGING WITH THE COMMUNITY OF CONGREGATIONS AS WELL AS THE CHURCH LEADERS.

IN VOLUNTEERS AND KEVIN, AND I KNOW LISA SHELLEY HAS BEEN VERY INVOLVED IN MANY OTHER OF OUR STAFF MEMBERS.

DOCTOR WALKER, DOCTOR CHAPPELL.

SO AGAIN, THANK YOU.

THIS HAS BEEN A SUCCESS AT NO SMALL FEAT FROM ALL INVOLVED.

I DO ALSO, WE WERE A VERY LUCKY COMMUNITY TO RECEIVE THE MONEY WE DID FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR ARPA AND I DO KNOW THAT WE WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVISIT HOW IT IS WE CAN REDIRECT THOSE FUNDS TO BE AS RESPONSIVE TO OUR COMMUNITY'S NEEDS AS POSSIBLE AND THE INVESTMENT THAT WE HAVE IN OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS THEY KNOW THERE IS A NUMBER OF US THAT DO ALL THAT WE CAN FOR ALL OF THOSE THAT ARE IN FRONT OF US AND IT IS A BIG REASON WE ARE SITTING HERE AT THE TABLE.

WITH THAT - I WILL ASK CLERK WATERS IF SHE WILL PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> NO.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> NO.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

WE DO HAVE ONE MORE PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT ENTITLEMENT PROCESSES.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THIS IS JUST A PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION SO WE DO NOT NEED A MOTION.

>> I SECOND YOUR WITHDRAWAL.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IT DO I NEED TO CALL FOR PERMISSION TO GO BEYOND 10:00 O'CLOCK NOW?

OR CAN WE DO THIS?

WE ARE ALL COMMITTED TO THAT AND FANTASTIC.

I HAVE TWO PEOPLE.

WE HAVE VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON TO OPEN US UP AND YOU ARE GOING TO TELL ME PUBLIC COMMENT?

OKAY.

OKAY.

LET'S GO WITH VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON IF YOU'LL INTRODUCE THE ITEM AND WE WILL GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: OKAY.

NO PROBLEM.

THIS IS A FOLLOW-UP ITEM ON ENTITLEMENT PROCESSES AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT.

THE STAFF HAS DONE SOME ANALYSIS AND HAS COME UP WITH A RECOMMENDED APPROACH OF HOW WE CAN STRENGTHEN ENGAGEMENT IN OUR DEVELOPING PROCESSES AND SO EMILY EGAN, DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DIRECTOR AND VILLAGE SERVICES CRAIG FALOR WILL HANDLE IT TONIGHT, BUT I WILL GIVE IT TO THEM, BUT BACK TO YOU FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FIRST.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I APPRECIATE THAT.

MAYBE WE CAN BE RESPONSIVE TO WHAT WE HEAR FROM OUR COMMUNITY. CLERK WATERS?

>>CLERK: CHRIS?

>>PUBLIC COMMENTER: MY NAME IS CHRIS DONOVAN.

I HAVE SPOKEN ABOUT THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS IN THE PAST AND HOW MY FEELINGS OF WHAT IT DOES TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE IS RATHER ABRUPT, BUT I WANT TO CARRY ON WITH THE COMMENTS THAT BRUCE PUT UP OF HOW DO WE INCREASE CITIZEN PARTICIPATION AND TO THAT EFFECT I'VE PREPARED THIS HANDOUT.

THE ONE PAGE THAT SAYS PLAN DEVELOP AN APPLICATION PROCESS IS CURRENTLY WHAT IS ON THE BOOKS IN OAK PARK.

HOW DO WE INCREASE PARTICIPATION AND POSSIBLY SOONER HOW DO WE DO THAT IN OAK PARK AND THE OTHER DAY, YESTERDAY ACTUALLY I SAW IN THE TRIBUNE, A MASTER PLAN FORM X McDONALD'S CAMPUS IN OAK BROOK AND HOW THEIR PROCESS INCLUDES SOMETHING CALLED PRELIMINARY BOARD REVIEW.

IF YOU LOOK ON THE SECOND PAGE, THAT IS A COPY OF THE ORDINANCE FOR PLAN DEVELOPMENT OR A PORTION OF THE PLAN DEVELOP AN ORDINANCE FOR OAK

BROOK THAT TALKS ABOUT PLANNED OR PRELIMINARY BOARD REVIEW AND I WOULD LIKE THAT TO BE CONSIDERED AS A MEANS OF INCREASING PARTICIPATION BEFORE THINGS START TO HAPPEN, WHICH, YOU KNOW, I THINK IT IS THE IMPRESSION OF A LOTTA PEOPLE THAT THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS DOES NOT BECOME - NOBODY BECOMES AWARE OF THE DEVELOPING PROCESS FOR YOUR PROPERTY NEAR THEM UNTIL THERE IS THAT PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE AND BY THAT TIME THE STAFF HAS BEEN WORKING A LOT WITH THAT PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPER.

BY THE TIME IT BECOMES PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE THERE MIGHT BE PEOPLE WHO SAY, OH, THEY HAVE SO MUCH INVESTED, WE HAVE TO KEEP GOING WITH THIS, DESPITE THE OPPOSITION OR POSSIBLE OPPOSITION OR THE OPINIONS EVEN OF THE PUBLIC THAT IS GOING TO BE AFFECTED BY THAT DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE VILLAGE SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY IS IF THIS PROCESS IS GOING TO BE LOOKED AT, IF IT IS GOING TO BE DISCUSSED IT WILL NOT BE DETERMINED TONIGHT THAT THIS ORDINANCE FROM OAK BROOK BE CONSIDERED AS A WAY AND A MEANS OF INCREASING CITIZEN PARTICIPATION IN THIS PROCESS. NOT ASKING YOU TO CONSIDER REINVENTING THE WHEEL. I'M ASKING YOU TO CONSIDER INCLUDING THIS IS A SPOKE IN THAT WHEEL. THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

>>CLERK: KEVIN?

>>PUBLIC COMMENTER: GOOD EVENING, KEVIN (NAME?).

I WANTED TO TALK ON THIS ISSUE.

I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH SEVEN THE VAN BUREN - IN FACT I'M IN THE SHADOW OF SEVENTH AND VAN BUREN.

ANOTHER IS A PRESENTATION BY STAFF.

I WOULD SAY I LOOKED AT THAT PRESENTATION AND I GENERALLY AGREE WITH THE RECOGNITION ON IT, EXCEPT DOES NOT GO FAR ENOUGH.

ONE OF THE THINGS WE HAVE FOUND WHEN WE WENT TO THE PROCESS IT DID NOT SEEM LIKE THERE WAS TRANSPARENCY IN THE PROCESS.

THE IT NEEDS TO END AND AS CHRIS HAS ALREADY POINTED OUT, EVEN ADVANCE NOTICE ON A PROPOSED EVOLVEMENT WOULD HELP QUITE A BIT.

ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS I LOOKED AT AND THROUGHOUT MY PROFESSIONAL CAREER AND I HAVE CONDUCTED PUBLIC HEARINGS IS ONE OF THE THINGS IS YOU HAVE DOCUMENTS AND THE MORE I THOUGHT ABOUT THIS AND HAVING HAD GONE THROUGH ALL THE DOCUMENTS WHEN WE WERE LOOKING AT VAN BUREN THAT WE HAVE A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN THAT IS 10 YEARS OLD.

WE ARE REFERENCING BUSINESS FILAMENT THAT ARE OVER 21 YEARS OLD.

I WILL TELL YOU ON A FEDERAL PROJECT, A DOCUMENT HAS A LIFESPAN OF SEVEN YEARS AND THEN YOU HAVE TO START ALL OVER AGAIN.

ONE OF THE THINGS I DO WANT TO LOOK AT IS WHAT IF WE GO BACK AND GET A HOLISTIC LOOK AT THIS PROCESS AND GET BACK TO THE BASE DOCUMENTS?

SET UP A BETTER INPUT FOR THE PLAN DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM.

ONE OF THE THINGS, TOO, THAT I WANT TO POINT OUT IS UNDER THE CONSENT AGENDA WE APPROVED THE REPORT ON - SORRY.

THE 2000 PLAN, BUT ONE OF THE KEY SIGNIFICANT RECOMMENDATIONS OUT OF THAT WAS TO REVAMP THE ZONING ORDINANCE AND WE HAVE ALREADY APPROVED THE DOCUMENT TONIGHT THAT IT SHOULD GIVE YOU GUIDANCE ON LOOKING AT THE REQUEST AND HAVING THE VILLAGE LIKE THAT.

I WILL POINT OUT - THIS IS FROM A PERSONAL STANDPOINT.

I'M NOT ANTI-DEVELOPMENT, BUT THEY CERTAINLY DO NOT WANT TO SEE THE DENSITY OF DOWNTOWN CHICAGO IN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK.

IT HAS FELT LIKE THAT IS WHERE WE ARE HEADED AND WE DO NOT NEED TO BE. THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

>>CLERK: MICHAEL?

>>PUBLIC COMMENTER: MICHAEL WEEK, 625 S. MAPLE.

WHEN I HEARD THAT WE WOULD HEAR SOMETHING RESPONSIVE TO THE DIRECTION OF THE BOARDS FROM JUNE 5 I WAS ENCOURAGED.

HOWEVER, WHEN I READ THE SUBSTANCE OF WHAT THE PRESENTATION IS ABOUT I WAS GRAVELY DISAPPOINTED.

AND BEFORE I CAME HERE TONIGHT I DID MAKE SURE THAT I REVIEWED THAT MEETING.

I WAS THERE AT THAT MEETING AND I LISTENED TO THE MEETING.

I EVEN READ THE MINUTES FROM THE MEETING.

AT THE DIRECTION FROM THE BOARD TO THE STAFF WAS TO STUDY AND TO COME UP WITH RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING ZONING CHANGES TO THE LETTER IN EACH DISTRICT.

IT WAS NOT JUST A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF CITIZEN PARTICIPATION AND DEVELOPMENT, WHICH I STRONGLY SUPPORT AND I ECHO THE COMMENTS OF OTHERS, BUT THAT IS NOT WHAT IS HERE.

AT THAT MEETING, MOST OF YOU SPOKE IN FAVOR OF AT LEAST THE UNDERLYING ISSUE THAT IT DID NOT MAKE SENSE TO HAVE A BUY RIGHT DEVELOPMENT TO 125 FEET NEXT TO 35 FOOT SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY HOMES.

THE PROBLEM AS I READ THE MINUTES AND AS I RECALL THE COMMENTS WAS WITH THE FACT THAT WE WERE BRINGING IT, RATHER THAN THE BOARD THAT WAS BRINGING IT AND IT WOULD CREATE A PRECEDENCE WHEREBY NEIGHBORS COULD BRING TEXT AMENDMENTS TO CHANGE THE ZONING OF THEIR NEIGHBORS OR SOME OTHER PLACE IN THE VILLAGE AND WHILE I APPRECIATE THE SLIPPERY SLOPE CONCEPT BECAUSE IN SOME INSTANCES IT IS REAL AND YOU HAVE USED IT AS A WIRE MANY TIMES AND SOMETIMES WHEN IT IS TRUE, I WOULD SAY THAT IN ALL OF THE YEARS THAT THE ORDINANCE WAS READ THE WAY IT WAS, WHICH GAVE US STANDING TO DO THIS, WE WERE THE FIRST TO DO IT.

THAT WAS THE COMMENT THAT WAS RAISED BY STAFF.

THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THIS HAS HAPPENED.

WE BROUGHT REASONS AS TO WHY WE WERE ENTITLED TO THE CHANGES TO BRING US IN CONFORMITY WITH THE ONLY OTHER DISTRICT THAT IS LIKE OURS, WEST SUBURBAN, WHICH IS FURTHER AWAY FROM THE HOMES, WHICH IS LESS DENSITY IN TERMS OF ITS DEVELOPMENT NEAR THE HOMES, WHICH HAS MORE GREEN SPACE.

IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT IN THIS CONTEXT, IF I DO SOMETHING ON MY PROPERTY THAT MAY BE OR MAY HAVE ISSUES WITH REGARD TO MY NEIGHBORS, SOMEONE WITHIN 1200 FEET OF THAT CAN RAISE AN ISSUE AND CAN FILE A LAWSUIT.

SO I'M JUST URGING THAT THIS BOARD ASKED THAT THEY BRING TO YOU THE CHANGES THAT ARE RECOMMENDED TO BRING US IN CONFORMITY WITH THE WEST SUBURBAN MAKE IT CONSISTENT ACROSS THE BOARD.

THANK YOU.

>>CLERK: DAVID?

>>PUBLIC COMMENTER: GOOD EVENING EVERYONE, DAVID (NAME?).

I'M A RESIDENT OF OAK PARK, 620 ONE OWNER.

YOU MAY REMEMBER ME FROM JUNE.

I'M ONE OF THE LEADERS OF CENTER WEST OAK PARK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION.

I WROTE DOWN MY COMMENTS, I WOULD RATHER LOOK AT YOU, BUT I WILL MAKE SURE I SEE MY COMMENTS FAST.

WE HAVE OVER 100 MEMBERS AND THERE'S A LOT MORE OF US BEHIND THE GROUP THAT IS A CORE GROUP.

WE REPRESENT THE AREA FROM WASHINGTON TO THE EISENHOWER, OAK PARK AVENUE TO HARLEM.

I MYSELF LIVE A BLOCK SOUTH OF RUSH OAK PARK HOSPITAL.

AT THE RECOGNITION WE SAW IN THE STAFF REPORT, THEY LOOK GOOD, IT IS GREAT TO INCREASE MEDICATION AND EDUCATION ABOUT EVOLVEMENT.

AS A RESIDENT IT IS HARD TO UNDERSTAND SOMETIMES SO ANY EDUCATION SAVES YOUR TIME AND OUR TIME.

WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT ALTERNATE THREE IS A GOOD ONE, REQUIRING A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING IS A GOOD IDEA - - OUR DEVELOPMENT PROCESS IN OAK PARK.

WE WOULD GO FURTHER AND SAY ALL THE ROMAN PROCESSES INVOLVE RESIDENCE FAR TOO LATE.

THAT IS ECHOING A THEME YOU HAVE HEARD FROM OTHERS.

DEVELOPERS WORK BACK AND FORTH WITH VILLAGE STAFFERS MONTHS AND THEN THEY COME FORTH WITH THEIR PLANS AND RESIDENTS ARE BARELY GIVEN A CHANCE TO SHARE ANY OF THEIR INTERESTS OR CONCERNS ABOUT DEVELOPMENT BIG AND SMALL.

BY THE TIME RESIDENTS ARE INVOLVED THE CAKE IS ALREADY BAKED.

WITH THEM GETTING READY TO PUT THE FROSTING ON IT, DEVELOPERS LOGICALLY SAY THAT THEY COULD NOT POSSIBLY MAKE BIG CHANGES.

IT IS NEARLY IMPOSSIBLE AND TOO COSTLY FOR THEM TO MAKE CHANGES AT THE END OF THE APPLICATION PROCESS AND RESIDENTS WALK AWAY FRUSTRATED, TALKING SOMETIMES NOT SO NICELY TO YOU, FEELING IGNORED AND ACTING LIKE WE ARE COMPLAINERS, WHICH ALL ANYBODY WANTED TO DO WAS PUT THEIR IDEAS ON THE TABLE AND SEE IF THEY WOULD BE HEARD.

WE KNOW WE ARE NOT GOING TO WIN ALWAYS.

THAT IS THE DEAL.

IN VILLAGE STAFF THOUGH, THEY DISCUSSED THE GENERAL DEVELOPMENT PROCESS, BUT IT FAILED AS MIKE POINTED OUT THE DIRECTIVE WAS ABOUT SPECIAL ATTENTION TOWARDS THE LETTER H HOSPITAL DISTRICT THROWS ANOTHER AGE HOSPITAL DISTRICT WILL BE DISCUSSED BY STAFF, WHICH IS WHY YOU SPENT SUCH A LONG TIME WITH US IN JUNE GOING OVER IT, THE H HOSPITAL DISTRICT.

WE CONTINUE TO THINK OUR PROPOSALS ARE REASONABLE.

THE TWO HOSPITALS ON SCREEN THERE IS A LIMIT THAT WAS LOWERED TO 50 FEET.

THEY BROUGHT THE REQUEST TO STAFF AND STAFF HELPED THEM TO LOWER FROM 125 FEET.

WE CURRENTLY NOW LIVE WITH 125 FEET BY RIGHT DEVELOPMENT NEXT TO OUR HOMES.

IT HAPPENED IN 2017 AND FOUR W. SUBURBAN WE ARE ASKING FOR THE SAME TREATMENT.

WE RECOGNIZE THAT RUSH HOSPITAL IS VITAL.

- - IT WOULD HELP AVOID UNNECESSARY NONCONFORMANCE ISSUES THAT WE WOULD NOT WANT TO PUT ANYBODY THROUGH.

LET'S STAY IN CONFORMANCE.

THE NEW HEIGHT WOULD NOT BE FINAL AND RUSH HOSPITAL WOULD STILL REMAIN THE RIGHT TO PETITION YOU.

WE ASK YOU TO TAKE ACTION ON THE FAIR PROPOSAL.

IT IS COMMON SENSE AND IT RESTARTS THE STARTING LINE FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT AND THE STARTING LINE MATTERS BECAUSE WERE ONLY 15 DAYS AWAY FROM THE NEXT TIME RUSH HOSPITAL COULD DROP A PROPOSAL AND WE ONLY HAVE 15 DAYS TO RESPOND.

THAT IS IT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THAT IS ALL?

AND YOU, I'M VERY GRATEFUL THAT YOU ARE THE FOUR THAT ARE HERE.

YOU LARGELY ARE DRIVING THE REASON THAT THIS IS HERE AND I DO BELIEVE JUST BRIEFLY THAT ZONING IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE ON OUR AGENDA, BUT IT IS IN TODAY.

IT WILL BE AT SOME POINT.

TONIGHT WE ARE CONCENTRATING FIRST ON THE PROCESS ITSELF AND GIVING ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK.

THANK YOU.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: OKAY, WE WILL TURN IT OVER TO CRAIG AND JUMP RIGHT IN.

>> THANK YOU.

CRAIG FALOR, VILLAGE PLANNER.

TONIGHT WE WILL TALK ABOUT THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT ENTITLEMENT PROCESS THAT YOU ASKED US TO DO IN JUNE 2023.

IT WAS MENTIONED ABOUT THE HOSPITAL AND YES WE DID HAVE A CONVERSATION ABOUT THE HOSPITAL THAT TIME BASED ON APPLICATION THAT CAME THROUGH AND WE HAVE NOT HEARD FROM THE HOSPITAL.

WE WILL PROVIDE YOU WITH A LETTER WE RECEIVED FROM THEM.

THEY ARE LOOKING AT CREATING A MASTER PLAN AND THEY HAVE CREATED A COMMUNITY GROUP THAT THEY HAVE ORGANIZED FOR THAT AND THEY WILL BE OUTREACHING TO THE NEAR COMMUNITY.

WE WILL PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION TO YOU AFTER THIS EVENING, BUT THEY ARE GOING FORWARD - THEY ARE KIND OF TIED UP WITH A PROJECT ON FOURTH AVENUE AT THE MOMENT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THAT IS WONDERFUL NEWS.

>> AT YOUR DIRECTION STAFF HAS PREPARED A REVIEW OF OUR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS AND PRACTICES.

WE WILL PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ENTITLEMENT PROCESSES, AS WELL AS INFORMATION GATHERED FROM OUR PEER COMMUNITIES AND WE WILL CONCLUDE WITH STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS AND ADDITIONAL ALTERNATIVE PUBLIC INCLUSION OPPORTUNITIES.

THESE ARE THE FOUR DEVELOPMENT TYPES THAT WE WILL BE TALKING ABOUT TONIGHT.

END OF OMEN, SPECIAL USES, OTHERS ONLY, WHICH INCLUDES VARIATIONS, TEXT AMENDMENTS AND MAP AMENDMENTS, AND SIGN VARIATIONS AS WELL AND KIND OF FINISH WITH MY RIGHT DEVELOPMENT AND HOW WE DEAL WITH THOSE. WITH REGARDS TO THE PLAN DEVELOPMENTS, THERE ARE TWO REQUIRED TOUCH POINTS IN OUR ZONING CODE.

ALL WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS AND WITH THE FORMAL PUBLIC HEARING, ALL THREE REQUIRE NOTIFICATION AND MUST BE PUBLISHED IN THE NEWSPAPER. POSTED ON THE SITE AND IF YOU HAVE MULTIPLE STREET FRONTAGES A SIGN WILL BE POSTED ON EACH OF THE STREET FRONTAGES AND MAILED NOTICES WITH 15-30 DAYS BEFORE THE MEETING AND THAT IS BOTH FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING AND FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING.

ASSUME NOTIFICATION PROCESS FOR EACH.

THE APPLICANT CAN USE THE SAME MAILING LIST FOR BOTH THE MEETINGS AND THE HEARING.

THEY OBTAINED THE PROPERTY OWNERS 300 FEET WITHIN THE SUBJECT PROPERTY.

THE TIMELINE DOES NOT REALLY CHANGE BECAUSE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING.

IT IS ALL BUILT INTO THE PROCESS THAT WE HAVE SET FORTH WITH THE 3-4 MONTH PROCESS FOR GOING THROUGH THE PLAN DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE.

THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING CAN OCCUR AT ANY TIME BEFORE THEY SUBMIT THEIR FINAL PUBLIC APPLICATION.

WE RECOMMEND THAT THEY MEET WITH THE NEIGHBORS AS SOON THEY CAN BECAUSE THE REASON THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO BE MEETING WITH THE NEIGHBORS IS TO GATHER INFORMATION FROM THEM THAT MIGHT INFLUENCE WHAT THEY ARE DOING.

SO THEY CAN MAKE CHANGES AND THEY DO NOT WANT TO COME TO THE PLAN COMMISSION AND HAVE A CONTENTIOUS TIME BEFORE THE PLAN COMMISSION. WE REALLY ENCOURAGE THEM TO HAVE MEETINGS WITH THE PUBLIC WHO TRY TO SOLVE SOME OF THOSE ISSUES.

IT IS THEIR OPPORTUNITY TO LISTEN TO THE PUBLIC, BUT THEY DO NOT NECESSARILY HAVE TO TAKE THEIR COMMENTS.

IT IS THEIR APPLICATION.

THEY CAN DO WHAT THEY FEEL THEY NEED TO DO, BUT WE DO WANT THEM TO PROVIDE US WITH ALL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF THE PLAN COMMISSION AND LIST OF PEOPLE WHO ATTENDED.

SO THE COMMISSION CAN REALIZE WHAT HAPPENED IN THE MEETING WHILE THEY ARE REVIEWING THE APPLICATION.

APPLICANTS ARE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE A LIST TO THE PLAN COMMISSION IS THE INDICATED, THE PUBLIC HEARING THEY ALSO ALLOW FOR WRITTEN AND VERBAL TESTIMONY.

ALSO REQUIRES FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION SO IF THEY WANT TO ASK QUESTIONS OF THE APPLICANT THEY CAN SUBMIT A FORM TO DO JUST THAT.

IT IS NOT JUST PROVIDING TESTIMONY, THEY CAN ASK THE QUESTIONS JUST LIKE THE PLAIN COMMISSIONERS CAN DO.

ALL PUBLIC COMMENT TESTIMONIES PROVIDED TO THE VILLAGE BOARD FOR YOUR REVIEW YOUR CONSIDERATION.

FOR THE SPECIAL USE PROCESS IT IS VERY SIMILAR TO THE PLAN COMMISSION, BUT WE DO NOT REQUIRE A MANDATORY NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING.

WE DO SUGGEST IT.

ESPECIALLY IN CASES WHERE THE APPLICANTS MIGHT - APPLICATIONS MIGHT HAVE MORE OF AN IMPACT TO THE SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOODS SUCH AS INCREASING TRAFFIC, VEHICULAR PEDESTRIAN.

THERE ARE DELIVERY DEMANDS, THERE IS NOISE, AROMAS, SOMETHING THAT WOULD DRAW THE NEIGHBORS INTO THE CONVERSATION.

WHILE IT IS NOT MANDATORY WE HAVE HAD APPLICANTS WHO HAVE ADHERED TO THE SUGGESTION AND HAVE BEEN BETTER FOR THAT.

SPECIAL USE APPLICATIONS HAVE THE SAME PUBLISHING NOTIFICATIONS AS A PLAN DEVELOPMENT.

OTHER ZONING APPLICATIONS THAT WE HAVE OUR VARIANCES, TEXT MOMENTS THAT HAVE THEIR OWN REQUIREMENTS.

TEXT MOMENTS ONLY REQUIRE NOTICE IN THE NEWSPAPER, OTHER MAPPING LIMITS REQUIRE THE SAME SPECIAL USES AND PLAN TO MOMENT APPLICATIONS. STANDARD BULK VARIATIONS REQUIRE NOTICE IN THE NEWSPAPER AND A POSTING ON SITE.

FOR SIGN VARIATIONS IT IS A POSTING ON SITE AND A NOTICE IN THE NEWSPAPER AS WELL.

WHILE ADMINISTRATIVE VARIANCES ONLY REQUIRE A MAILED NOTICE.

THAT IS GENERALLY BECAUSE THEY ASK FOR IN MINISTRY OF HIS 10% OF WHAT IS REQUIRED BY THE CODE, FOR EXAMPLE IF THE HOUSE WAS REQUIRED TO BE 10 FEET AND THEY WANT IT TO BE 9 FEET THEY WOULD HAVE TO DO A

VARIATION, BUT THE IMPACT IS LESS TO THE NEIGHBORS IN THAT INSTANCE SO DOES NOT NECESSARILY REQUIRE PUBLIC HEARING.

FOR BAYREUTH DEVELOPMENTS, DEVELOPMENT THAT IS CONSIDERED BAYREUTH WITHIN THE ZONING CODE DOES NOT REQUIRE PUBLIC INPUT ONCE THE REGULATIONS ARE ADOPTED BY THE VILLAGE BOARD.

THE PUBLIC CONVERSATION COMES DURING THE UPDATED PROCESS.

BAYREUTH DEVELOPMENT ALSO REFERRED TO AS AS RIGHT DEVELOPMENT IS THE EASIEST PATH TO QUALIFY FOR DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE ZONING CODE OF LOCAL JURISDICTIONS.

BAYREUTH APPROVALS ARE ALSO DESCRIBED AS MINISTERIAL, A COMMON LEGAL TERM THAT DESCRIBES LACK OF DISCRETIONARY APPROVAL.

A DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL PROCESS CONSIDERS A WHETHER OR NOT TO GRANT PERMISSION TO PROCEED WITH THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT.

THE PERMISSION TO PROCEED, THE GOAL OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS IS CALLED AN ENTITLEMENT BY CONFORMING TO ZONING CODES, BY RIGHT OF HIM IS NOT REQUIRED TO TAKE A DISCRETIONARY APPROVAL PROCESS TO ACHIEVE ENTITLEMENT.

IT DISCRETIONARY APPROVAL PROCESS CAN VARY ACCORDING TO LOCAL, REGIONAL, AND STATE LAW, BUT IT USUALLY INVOLVES A REVIEW BY ZONING BOARD PLAN COMMISSION OR ELECTED OFFICIALS.

DEVELOPMENT QUALIFIED OR BAYREUTH APPROVAL MUST STILL ACQUIRE THE NECESSARY PERMITS TO PROCEED, BUT ENTITLEMENT ISN'T MUCH MORE EASILY ATTAINED BY RIGHT THEN IT THROUGH A DISCRETIONARY APPROVAL PROCESS. THE IDEA OF IT BY RIGHT DEVELOPMENT IS A DESIRED OUTCOME OF ZONING. THE - - AND PROPERTY OWNERS IS PREDICTABILITY AND CERTAINTY ABOUT WHAT KIND OF DEVELOPMENT IS TO - DEMAND AN ADDITIONAL REVIEW OR PERMISSIBLE MOMENT.

THOSE WHO OBSERVE ZONING CODE REGULATIONS WOULD FIND ADDITIONAL SCRUTINY DUE TO TIME-CONSUMING DELAYS.

THE CURRENT ZONING ORDINANCE SETS DAMAGES FOR HEIGHT, SETBACKS, LOT COVERAGE, ETC. FOR EACH ZONING CLASSIFICATION STANDARDS THAT EXIST TODAY WERE FORMED AT THE TIME OF ZONING WAS INTRODUCED IN OAK PARK SEVERAL DECADES AGO.

OVER THE YEARS MODIFICATION TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE HAVE OCCURRED IN PART DUE TO POLITICAL INFLUENCES, KNOWN BEST PRACTICES AND UNIQUE CIRCUMSTANCES.

THESE DIMENSIONAL STANDARDS ARE INTENDED TO PRESERVE, PROTECT, AND ACCOMMODATE UNIQUE CHARACTER OF OAK PARK'S LAND USES INCLUDING EXISTING HISTORICAL AND ARCHITECTURALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURES. THESE REGULATIONS ARE AND HAVE ALWAYS BEEN AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC CONSUMPTION.

THE LAST ZONING ORDINANCE UPDATE PROCESS IN 2016-17 WAS WIDELY ADVERTISED AND GENERATED SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT.

IT WAS A TWO YEAR PROCESS.

IN THE SAME FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

THE TWO YEAR PROCESS INVOLVED EXTENSIVE PUBLIC INPUT NO WILL AGREE WITH THE COMMENT ABOUT THE BUSINESS PLANS.

THERE HOPEFULLY OUT OF DATE AND WE HAVE TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT THOSE, BUT THAT IS FOR ANOTHER TIME.

NOW I WILL HAND OFF TO DIRECTOR EGAN TO CONTINUE WITH THE PRESENTATION AND THEN I WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS AFTER.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU, CRAIG.

I WILL TALK ABOUT OUR PEER COMMUNITIES, THE STAFF RECOGNITION AND ALTERNATIVES FOR THAT RECOMMENDATION AND NEXT STEPS.

HERE WE HAVE THE PEER COMMUNITIES TABLE THAT OUTLINES HOW OTHER COMMUNITIES ARE REGULATING THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS.

THE FIRST LINE IS A PLAN DEVELOPMENT AND YOU CAN SEE THAT OAK PARK IS ON PAR WITH OR SLIGHTLY ABOVE THAT REQUIREMENT FOR PLAN DEVELOPMENT. THE SECOND LINE IS SPECIAL USES AND YOU CAN SEE ACROSS THE BOARD THAT IS NOT REQUIRED AND THAT WILL COME INTO PLAY LATER FOR RECOMMENDATIONS.

OTHER ZONING RELATED PROCESSES AND AGAIN ACROSS THE BOARD AND THE STANDARD STATE REQUIREMENT ONLY YOU CAN SEE THOSE ARE JUST A FEW COMMUNITIES.

ON AVERAGE OAK PARK IS ON PAR WITH OR SLIGHTLY ABOVE THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS.

SO THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION IS NOT TO CHANGE THE CODE TO REQUIRE ADDITIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS, BUT TO ENHANCE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS THROUGH A COUPLE KEY PROJECTS AND INITIATIVES. I WILL TALK ABOUT JUST FOR EXAMPLE THE BULLET POINT NUMBER ONE, IS ONLY 101 PRODUCED BY OAK PARK.

THANKFULLY WE HAVE TALENTED STAFF IN OAK PARK THAT CAN MAKE VERY ENGAGING VIDEOS.

I THINK THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT BECAUSE ZONING IS SUCH A POWERFUL TOOL.

TOOLS DEPENDING ON HOW THEY'RE USED, HAVE DIFFERENT OUTCOMES AND DIFFERENT RESULTS.

IT IS A POWERFUL TOOL NONETHELESS AND FREQUENTLY WE ARE ENGAGING WITH RESIDENTS ABOUT ZONING IT IS PERCEIVED ABOUT WHAT WE ARE TELLING THEM WHAT THEY CANNOT DO WITH THEIR PROPERTY, BUT ZONING CAN ACTUALLY REGULATE AND ENHANCE, AND PROTECT PROPERTY VALUES BECAUSE IT IS ALSO ABOUT WHAT YOUR NEIGHBOR CAN DO WITH THEIR PROPERTY.

IF YOU ARE IN A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT YOU ARE NOT EXPECTING IF YOUR NEIGHBOR IS ALSO IN THE DISTRICT A 24 HOUR CAR WASH. SO IT IS LOOKING AT A LOT OF DIFFERENT REGULATIONS TO PROTECT PROPERTY VALUES AND ADDED, AS CRAIG MENTIONED, THOUGH PREDICTABLY, TRANSPARENCY AND A STREAMLINED DEVELOPMENT.

JUMPING TO THE SECOND TO LAST BULLET POINT, THE ENHANCE AND PROMOTE COMMUNITY PORTAL.

THIS IS SOMETHING WE ALREADY HAVE IS A TOOL ON OUR WEBSITE AND THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE WORK WITH THE GIS CONSORTIUM ON.

YOU CAN ENTER AN ADDRESS INTO THE COMMUNITY PORTAL AND IT WILL GIVE YOU A LOT OF INFORMATION, SITE-SPECIFIC.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: 10:00 O'CLOCK.

CAN I HAVE A MOTION TO EXTEND THE MEETING BEYOND 10:00 P.M.?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>> THANK YOU.

THE COMMUNITY PORTAL IS A TOOL WORKING THAT WE USE WORKING WITH THE GIS CONSORTIUM THAT HAS SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION INCLUDING ZONING. THIS CAN BE ENHANCED AND PROMOTED AS ANOTHER GOOD RESOURCE, NOT ONLY FOR ENGAGING IN DEVELOPMENT ENTITLEMENT PROCESSES, BUT POTENTIALLY ECONOMIC VITALITY PROJECTS.

DEVELOPERS ARE LOOKING FOR SITES, BUSINESSES LOOKING FOR COMPATIBLE AREAS TO USE FOR THEIR PARTICULAR BUSINESS.

I WILL NOT GO THROUGH ALL OF THESE BULLET POINTS, BUT THE LAST ONE, INTRODUCTION TO PLANNING AND THE PLANNING ACADEMY.

THIS IMAGE ON THE FAR LEFT IS FROM AMERICAN PLANNING ASSOCIATION, IRREVOCABLE ORGANIZATION THAT ENGAGES IN THESE TOPICS, BUT THAT IS JUST ONE EXAMPLE OF MANY, MANY EXAMPLES THAT WE COULD, IF THE BOARD DIRECTS STAFF TO GO IN THIS DIRECTION, WE COULD USE EXAMPLES FROM THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY, PARTNERSHIPS AND EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES SIMILAR TO THIS.

AT THE TOP RIGHT IS A SHORT, VERY FUNNY AND ENGAGING VIDEO FROM SCHAUMBURG, THE TRANSPORTATION OFFICE THAT MIMICS THE TV SHOW "THE OFFICE".

THE INTENT IS TO BE ENGAGING AND SUCCESSFUL USING A VERY ACCESSIBLE LANGUAGE IN MANY WAYS.

LOOKING AT ALTERNATIVES TO STAFFS REGULATION.

OF COURSE THE OPTION TO NOT TAKE ANYTHING - DO ANYTHING DIFFERENT, ADVANTAGE IN THIS SCENARIO IS CERTAINLY STAFF TIME AND EXPENSE.

IT IS NOT NECESSARILY NEEDED FOR THIS.

DISADVANTAGE IS NOT HAVING THAT NOTICE AND NOT CAPTURING - POTENTIALLY CAPTURING THAT COMMUNITY INPUT PRIOR TO THE REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARING.

ALTERNATIVE TWO IS STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION AND I ALREADY WENT THROUGH A LOT OF THIS, BUT ONE OTHER ITEM THAT I WANT TO POINT OUT AND THE ADVANTAGES SPECIFICALLY IS BULLET POINT NUMBER TWO, ENCOURAGE AND EMPOWER RESIDENTS TO BE ENGAGED IN DECISIONS IMPACTING THEIR COMMUNITY.

STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS COULD BE VERY BROAD REACHING, NOT SPECIFIC TO A DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, WHICH MEANS IN MY OPINION, THAT IS AN EQUITY

COMPONENT AND FOLKS THAT DO NOT HAVE RESOURCES, TIME, OR MONEY, TIME WORKING MULTIPLE JOBS OR HAVING HEALTHCARE NEEDS ARE NOT NECESSARILY AVAILABLE TO COME TO MEETINGS AND BE ENGAGED AS FREQUENTLY AS OTHERS MAY HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY.

EXPENSE, THIS IS SOMETHING NOT EVERYONE CAN LOOK TO SEEK CONSULTANT AND PROFESSIONAL GUIDANCE THROUGH DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES OR ZONING ITEMS.

SO THAT IS PART OF WHY STAFF IS RECOMMENDING THESE MORE BROAD REACHING ELEMENTS FOR THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

I WILL ALSO TALK ABOUT DISADVANTAGES, THE DISADVANTAGE BULLET .3.

ADMITTING THAT THERE IS STILL THAT POTENTIAL TO NOT CAPTURE THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PRIOR TO THE PUBLIC HEARING, BUT STAFF BELIEVES IT IS A REDUCED POTENTIAL TO NOT CAPTURE THAT.

ALTERNATIVE THREE, THIS IS TO CHANGE THE CODE TO REQUIRE A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING FOR SPECIAL USES.

DEVELOPERS ARE ABLE TO NOT ALWAYS NECESSARILY GOING TO, BUT THEN THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO HEAR THAT PUBLIC COMMENT AND CONSIDER CHANGING THE PROPOSALS PRIOR TO THE PUBLIC HEARING.

DISADVANTAGE IS AS YOU SAW IN THE PIER COMMUNITIES, IT MAY BE A REAL OR PERCEIVED BARRIER COMPARED TO OTHER COMMUNITIES.

IT COULD ACT AS A DISINCENTIVE FOR BUSINESSES LOOKING TO LOCATE IN OAK PARK OR DEVELOPERS LOOKING TO DO A PROJECT HERE.

SO NEXT STEPS, BOARD DISCUSSION OBVIOUSLY CRAIG AND I WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS ON THIS AND WE CAN PROVIDE A RESPONSE AND EITHER BEGIN WORKING ON A TEXT AMENDMENT OR CHANGING STAFF PLANS TO FOLLOW BOARD DIRECTION ON THIS.

AND THESE ARE JUST A COUPLE OF RESOURCES AND WHAT WE CITED.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

OPENING UP FOR BOARD QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, CONCERNS?

BRIAN?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: SO ADDRESSING A COUPLE OF THE COMMENTS, THIS IS COMING FROM THE MOTION IN THE JUNE 5, 2023 MEETING, WHICH WAS - THE MOTION ENDED UP BEING SOMEWHAT NEGOTIATED AT THE BOARD TABLE TO CAPTURE A LOT OF THE THINGS THAT WE ARE GOING ON.

SO I VERY MUCH APPRECIATE THIS ELEMENT OF IT AND I WOULD APPRECIATE IF WE COULD GET AN UPDATE AT AN UPCOMING MEETING REGARDING THE EFFORTS TO ENGAGE WITH COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS REGARDING HOSPITAL ZONING ISSUES BECAUSE THAT WAS PART OF THAT ENGAGING BOTH THE HOSPITAL AND THE COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS TO SORT OF FIGURE OUT AN APPROPRIATE DIRECTION AND WHEN THERE MIGHT BE A STUDY SESSION REGARDING STATUS RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING HOSPITAL ZONING.

SO JUST LETTING US KNOW WHEN WE CAN HAVE AN UPDATE ON THAT AND UPCOMING BOARD MEETING AND TURNING TO THE SUBSTANCE OF THIS PROPOSAL, CAN YOU HELP CLARIFY SORT OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PLAN DEVELOPMENT,

SPECIAL USE, AND WHEN WE ARE LOOKING AT THESE OTHER VARIANCE CATEGORIES.

SO WE AND FOLKS WHO ARE STILL WATCHING AT 10:00 P.M. CAN BETTER UNDERSTAND SORT OF WHEN NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS ARE REQUIRED OR SIMPLY RECOMMENDED?

>> WE WILL START WITH PLAN DEVELOPMENTS.

SO WE HAVE TWO REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS OR PUBLIC MEETINGS AND THE PUBLIC HEARING.

THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING IS A MANDATORY MEETING THAT THEY HAVE TO HAVE.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: TO CLARIFY MY QUESTION.

CAN YOU JUST DISTINGUISH BETWEEN WHAT FALLS INTO THE CATEGORY OF PLANNED DEVELOPMENT VERSUS SPECIAL USE - I KNOW WE WENT THROUGH WHAT IS REQUIRED FOR EACH OF THOSE KINDS OF THINGS, BUT WHAT FALLS INTO THOSE BUCKETS?

>> WHAT KINDS OF APPLICATIONS THERE ARE.

SO A PLAN DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION IS A DEVELOPMENT THAT IS 20,000 FT.² OR MORE THEY ARE ASKING FOR RELIEF FROM THE ZONING CODE.

SO INCREASE DENSITY, INCREASE HEIGHT.

ONE VARIANCE REQUEST IS YOU AND TO PLAN DEVELOPMENT IF YOU ARE 20,000 FT.² OR MORE.

, SO A SPECIAL USE IS A USE THAT IS ALLOWED IN A SPECIAL ZONING DISTRICT, BUT IT HAS POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO THE SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD THAT WE WANT TO UNDERSTAND BETTER, LIKE A DRIVE-THROUGH ESTABLISHMENT. HOW'S THE TRAFFIC GOING TO IMPACT THE NEIGHBORHOOD?

WHERE ARE PEOPLE GOING TO PARK?

HOW IS THE NEIGHBORHOOD GOING TO BE IMPACTED BY THAT?

SO THAT IS KIND OF A SPECIAL USE APPLICATION.

SO ANYTHING YOU THINK MIGHT HAVE AN IMPACT INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND IT COULD BE ANYTHING FROM A DRIVE-THROUGH TO A MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENT TO A MICROBREWERY.

SO THEY ALL HAVE DIFFERENT KIND OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS.

AND THEN A VARIANCE, A ZONING VARIANCE IS A MODIFICATION TO THE BULK REGULATIONS WITHIN THE ZONING CODE.

SO IF YOU WANT TO BUILD A HOUSE YOU WANT TO BUILD IT 5 FEET TALLER THAN HIS LOVE THAT IS A VARIANCE IN THE APPLICATION IS BEFORE THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS AND YOU HAVE TO PROVE HARDSHIP AND ASSIGNED VARIANCE, SAME THING, DIFFERENT SIZED SIGN, YOU WANT TO PLACE IT SOMEWHERE ELSE ON BUILDING YOU HAVE TO GET A VARIANCE TO DO THAT. IT IS OUTSIDE OF THE REGULATIONS.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: GREAT.

THE ONLY PLACE WHERE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS ARE REQUIRED IS IF THE DEVELOPMENT IS MORE THAN 20,000 FEET AND THERE IS A VARIANCE?

SO IF IT IS MORE THAN 20,000 FEET, BUT IT IS BY RIGHT WITHIN A PARTICULAR ZONING, AREA OR ZONING CODE, THEN THERE IS NO REQUIRED NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING?

>> CORRECT.

OKAY, THAT IS HELPFUL TO CLARIFY.

SO JUST AS AN EXAMPLE BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN HEARING ABOUT IT IN THE CASE OF THE HOSPITAL ZONING WHERE IT IS UP TO 125 FEET, IF RUSH HOSPITAL DECIDED THEY WANTED TO BUILD 12 STORIES OF SOMETHING THAT FALLS INTO THAT HOSPITAL USE CATEGORY, THAT IS BY RIGHT AND THERE WOULD BE NO NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING REQUIRED?

>> IF IT IS A PYRITE DEVELOPMENT AND THEY'RE NOT ASKING FOR RELIEF, THAT IS CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: OKAY.

AND SO THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING IS THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING IS BEFORE THE APPLICATION HAS BEEN SUBMITTED AND THE PUBLIC HEARING IS SORT OF THAT FINAL HEARING BEFORE WHATEVER COMMITTEE OR COMMISSION OR BOARD IT WOULD BE GOING BEFORE?

>> CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: SO I THINK FOR ME AS I AM LOOKING AT ALL OF THIS, IT DOESN'T MAKE ME LEAN A LITTLE BIT TOWARDS ALTERNATIVE THREE MOST CERTAINLY WOULD BE OPEN TO IF WE WERE GOING IN THE DIRECTION OF ALTERNATIVE THREE, HEARING STATUS RECOMMENDATIONS OF HOW WE COULD APPROPRIATELY IDENTIFY WHICH CATEGORIES OR WHICH CATEGORIES OF VARIANCES OR HOW MANY VARIANCES WOULD NEED TO BE REQUESTED IN ORDER TO MAKE THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING MANDATORY BECAUSE IF WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

>> FOR SPECIAL USES?

>> YOU ARE RIGHT, ALTERNATIVE THREE IS SPECIAL USES IN PARTICULAR I GUESS - AND THAT DOES NOT NECESSARILY GO TO THE ISSUE OF IF RUSH HOSPITAL WERE TO BUILD TO 125 FEET.

>> SPECIAL USES, GENERALLY THERE IS NO VARIANCE TRIGGER FOR THAT FOR ANYTHING.

A SPECIAL USES CAN HAVE A VARIANCE THEY WOULD BOTH GO AS SEPARATE APPLICATIONS, BUT IN THE CASE OF THE HOSPITAL AND THE GARAGE THEY WERE REQUIRED TO GO THROUGH A SPECIAL USE PROCESS.

>> FOR THE GARAGE IT WAS REQUIRED.

IF IT WAS A NON-GARAGE HOSPITAL STRUCTURE, WITH I THREE SPECIAL USE PROCESS?

>> THEIR PROPERTY IS UNIQUE BECAUSE THEY DO HAVE THE SPECIAL USE ORDINANCE COVERING MOST OF THE PROPERTY SO IF THEY DO SOMETHING THEY PROBABLY HAVE TO GO THROUGH A SPECIAL USE PROCESS.

>> DEPENDING ON WHERE IT IS ON THEIR CAMPUS IS A LITTLE BIT UNIQUE THAN SOMEONE ELSE THAT DOES NOT HAVE THAT. THAT KIND OF.

>> STILL, YOU KNOW, WITH ALTERNATIVE THREE, INTERESTED IN HEARING SORT OF STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE SPECIFICS BECAUSE SOME OF THE CATEGORIES THAT WERE LISTED IN THE EEG WHERE IT WAS INCLUDING DAYCARE, SOME SMALLER DAYCARE FACILITY - I WOULD NOT WANT IT TO BE AN EXTRAORDINARY BURDEN WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A VERY SORT OF SMALL-SCALE SPECIAL USE ISSUE VERSUS THERE ARE SOME THINGS THAT FALL - THAT ARE MUCH MORE OF A - HAVE MUCH MORE OF AN IMPACT ON THE NEIGHBORS. AND WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS, THAT IS SOMETHING I'M ALSO INTERESTED IN THE POTENTIALLY VISITING OR DISCUSSING BECAUSE YOU SAID ONLY 15 DAYS ARE REQUIRED BETWEEN NOTICE AND EITHER THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING OR THE HEARING, CORRECT?

>> 30 DAYS NOTICE, CORRECT.

>> 15-30, AT LEAST 15?

>> YES.

>> IT IS REQUIRED - IS IT 300 FEET MENTIONED?
YOU MENTIONED THAT PLAN DEVELOPMENT.

IS THAT FOR ALL OF THE NOTICES FOR PUBLIC HEARING?

>> ALL OF THE LETTER NOTICES ARE 300 FEET.

>> I ACTUALLY DO NOT KNOW THE ANSWER TO THIS, WHAT IS THE LENGTH OF AN AVERAGE BLOCK?

>> 300-350 DEPENDING ON THE BLOCK.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I ASKED THAT BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, IN SOME CASES THE ACTUAL MAILED NOTICE GOES TO A PRETTY LIMITED AREA. SO IT MIGHT BE A VERY SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT, BUT THE MAILED NOTICE - THE ONLY PEOPLE WE ARE PROVIDING DIRECT NOTICE TO OUR PEOPLE WHO ARE NEXT DOOR NEIGHBORS OR WITHIN A BLOCK DOWN. SO I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S A WAY TO LOOK AT SOME KIND OF SLIDING SCALE FOR HOW SIGNIFICANT THE DEVELOPMENT IS VERSUS HOW SIGNIFICANT THE NOTICE IS BECAUSE IF WE'RE JUST TALKING ABOUT SOMEONE PUTTING A CHILD CARE FACILITY IN AN ALREADY EXISTING STOREFRONT AND THAT IS A SPECIAL USE, I'M FINE WITH A NOTICE BEING A BLOCK. IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, YOU KNOW, A 50,000 FOOT FACILITY WITH ONE VARIANCE, THIS SEEMS LIKE.

>> YOU CAN HAVE DIFFERENT DISTANCES FOR PLAN DEVELOPMENT VERSUS SPECIAL USE VERSUS VARIANCE. IT JUST HAS TO MEET THE MINIMUM IN THE STATE STATUTE, WHICH IT DOES. IT ACTUALLY EXCEEDS THAT, BUT YOU COULD CHANGE IT AND INCREASE IT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I'VE SPENT A LOT OF TIME AND MY COLLEAGUES ARE PROBABLY WANTING THEIR TERMS SO I WILL WRAP UP AFTER THIS QUICK COMMENT.

THIS IS NOT BECAUSE I AM OPPOSED TO DENSITY OR DEVELOPMENT. QUITE THE CONTRARY.

I AM SUBSTANTIALLY IN SUPPORT OF IT, BUT I THINK THAT RIGHT NOW THE PROCESS IS ONE WHERE I AM CONSTANTLY HEARING FRUSTRATION WHERE PEOPLE FEEL LIKE THE FIRST THEY HEAR OF A DEVELOPMENT HAPPENING IS WHEN IT IS

ABOUT TO GO TO A PUBLIC HEARING AND THAT IS THE FIRST TIME THAT IT HAS COME ACROSS THEIR RADAR AND GIVEN THAT THAT IS WHERE WE ARE AND, WE CAN DO A LOT OF EDUCATION ON HOW TO INTERACT WITH THE ZONING PROCESS, BUT IF NEIGHBORS ARE GETTING TWO WEEKS NOTICE, YOU KNOW, IT DOES NOT GIVE THEM A LOT OF OPPORTUNITY TO INTERACT WITH THE ZONING PROCESS AND TO POTENTIALLY RAISE CONCERNS THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE EARLY ENOUGH THAT A DEVELOPER MIGHT BE ABLE TO FACTOR THAT IN TO THEIR PLAN BECAUSE I DO THINK IT IS QUITE REASONABLE FOR A DEVELOPER AFTER THEY HAVE SUBMITTED THEIR APPLICATION AND THEY ARE TWO WEEKS AWAY FROM A PUBLIC HEARING SAY LIKE, IT WOULD BE REALLY HARD FOR ME TO GO BACK AND REWORK THE SOUL.

I JUST WANT AN UP OR DOWN VOTE.

IF PEOPLE ARE ABLE TO SAY, HEY, I AM EXCITED ABOUT -- BEING REDEVELOPED.

I AM EXCITED ABOUT THAT.

I HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT THE PLAN THAT THAT IS BEING DISCUSSED, CAN WE TALK ABOUT THOSE?

MAYBE WE CAN COME UP WITH SOMETHING THAT PEOPLE ONLY HATE A LITTLE BIT INSTEAD OF PAY A LOT.

YOU KNOW, WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO FIND SOME MIDDLE GROUND IF PEOPLE ARE ABLE TO GET INVOLVED EARLIER.

AND I THINK THAT THAT HOPEFULLY CAN MAKE FOR DEVELOPMENT THAT EVERYBODY IS A LITTLE BIT HAPPIER WITH THE PROCESS.

I'M A LAWYER, THAT IS A BRIEF REMARK.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THANK YOU.

TRUST TO WESLEY?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: TRUSTEE STRAW, YOU AND I AGREE ON SO MUCH ON THIS TOPIC EXCEPT THIS ONE LITTLE THING THAT WOULD TOTALLY DO NOT AGREE ON AT ALL.

THERE'S A LOT OF REASONS FOR ME.

I WILL START WITH SOME QUESTIONS.

WHAT IS THE POINT OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT PROCESS?

WHAT ARE WE TRYING TO GET OUT OF IT?

PUBLIC HEARING, THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR PLANNED ADJUTMENTS, THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, THE WHOLE PROCESS.

YEAH.

>> THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS AS REQUIRED BY LAW TO HOLD FIRST OF ALL.

THE IDEA IS TO HAVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION WHO IS MAKING THE RECOMMENDATION TO THE VILLAGE BOARD TO HEAR FROM THE PUBLIC TO ASSESS WHAT THEY HAVE TO SAY AND MAKE A DECISION ON WHETHER OR NOT TO SUPPORT THE APPLICATION OR NOT OR ASK THE DEVELOPER TO GO BACK AND MODIFY THEIR APPLICATION.

>> OKAY, IS THERE A BUILT-IN ASSUMPTION THAT WE WOULD HEAR FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BOTH FOR AND AGAINST PROPERTIES AND TYPICALLY WITHIN SOME REASONABLE MANNER OF EQUITY AROUND THAT?

>> YES, WE HEAR FROM PEOPLE THAT ARE IN FAVOR OF IT AND AGAINST AND PEOPLE THAT ARE NEUTRAL.

THEY ALL HAVE THE SAME AMOUNT OF TIME THEY CAN PROVIDE TO THE PLAN COMMISSION.

THEY CAN PROVIDE WRITTEN COMMENT, THEY CAN PROVIDE TESTIMONY AND THEY CAN ACTUALLY SUBMIT AN APPLICATION OR A FORUM TO CROSS-EXAMINE THE APPLICANT AS WELL SO THERE'S A LOT OF OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE INTERACTION WITH THE APPLICANT AND THE PLAN COMMISSION.

>> SO FROM YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH THESE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS AND PUBLIC HEARINGS AND SUCH, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU - THAT THE TYPICAL HEARING OR MEETING WOULD BE MORE AGAINST THE DEVELOPMENT, MORE FOR IT OR STRAIGHT DOWN THE MIDDLE?

>> PEOPLE WHO ARE OPPOSED TO DEVELOPMENT ARE THE ONES WHO TEND TO COME OUT THE MOST.

SO I THINK FROM THE PLAN COMMISSION'S PERSPECTIVE THEY PROBABLY HEAR MORE NEGATIVE COMMENTS THAN POSITIVE COMMENTS, BUT THAT IS NOT ALWAYS THE CASE, BUT THAT IS GENERALLY THE CASE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OKAY.

THAT HAS BEEN MY EXPENSE ON THIS BODY AS WELL.

SO I GUESS MY - IT BRINGS ME BACK TO THE QUESTION, THE REASON I ASK THE QUESTION IS THE PURPOSE OF THE SYSTEM, RIGHT?

ASIDE FROM IT BEING REQUIRED BY LAW, IF THE PURPOSE OF THE SYSTEM IS TO GET TO A BETTER DEVELOPMENT IT DOES NOT SOUND LIKE THE SYSTEM FUNCTIONS IN THAT WAY, RIGHT?

THERE IS A QUOTE, I WILL SPARE YOU THE WHOLE THING, BUT THE QUOTE IS BASICALLY THE SYSTEM - THE OUTCOME OF THE SYSTEM IS WHAT IS WHAT IT IS DESIGNED TO DO, NOT THE INTENTION.

SO WE CAN SAY THAT WE HAVE A PUBLIC PROCESS THAT IS INTENDED TO LEAD TO BETTER DEVELOPMENT, MORE DEVELOPMENT, MORE EQUITABLE, MORE INCLUSION OR ETC., BUT WHEN WE LOOK AT WHAT THE OUTCOMES ACTUALLY ARE, WHAT IT LEADS TO IS A PUBLIC FORUM FOR A LOT OF PEOPLE TO COME OUT AND OPPOSED ABUTMENT VERSUS A LOT OF PEOPLE TO COME OUT AND CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS.

THAT IS MOSTLY TRUE, CRAIG FALOR JUST SAID IT.

I THINK WE HAVE SEEN IT HERE, TOO.

I CAN COUNT ON PROBABLY ONE HAND THE NUMBER OF THINGS THAT COME BEFORE THE SPORT WHERE I HEAR POSITIVE COMMENT FROM PEOPLE SUPPORTING DEVELOPMENT.

WHAT WE DO - LET'S JUST TALK SEVENTH VAN BUREN BECAUSE IT CAME UP IN THE PUBLIC COMMENT.

THIS IS LIKE THE OAK PARK MASTERPIECE OF VALUE EXPRESSION IN A BUILDING, RIGHT?

NET ZERO, MIXED-USE, MIXED-USE, INCLUSIVE, OR INSTALLATION, ELEVATOR, AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND ON-SITE.

ON-SITE.

DISABILITY ACCESS.

SORRY?

AND PARKING.

LIKE THIS IS ALL OF OUR VALUES WRAPPED UP IN ONE.

THE MEETINGS FOR THAT THING WERE SO CONTENTIOUS AND ALL AGAINST.

SO WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH HERE WE HAVE TO ACKNOWLEDGE WHAT WE ARE ALREADY - WHAT THIS PROCESS ALREADY DOES WHAT IT DOES IS GIVES A FORUM FOR PEOPLE TO COME OUT AND OPPOSED DEVELOPMENT VERSUS PEOPLE TO COME OUT AND CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS.

NO I THINK THE PROCESS WE HAVE CURRENTLY IS GREAT SO I'M IN FAVOR OF STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION, BUT THE REASON I WANTED TO START THERE IS BECAUSE I WANTED TO TALK ABOUT WHY I THINK IT IS CURRENTLY GREAT BECAUSE I DO NOT THINK WE CAN GET ANY BETTER IN TERMS OF GETTING THAT CONTRIBUTION ELEMENTS THAT WE SEEM TO BE TRYING TO CHASE WITH THIS CONVERSATION.

WHAT WE'RE SAYING IS IF WE CAN ONLY DO THIS EARLIER OR IF WE CAN ONLY MOVE THIS OR TWEAK THAT THEN WE WILL GET A BETTER OUTCOME, BUT IT SOUNDS LIKE WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO GET HIS MORE PEOPLE TO COME OUT AGAINST THESE THINGS AND GET MORE PEOPLE TO GIVE THEM THE OPPORTUNITY TO OPPOSED ABUTMENT VERSUS PEOPLE TO COME OUT AND CONTRIBUTE.

HERE ARE SOME STATS AND LET ME TELL YOU WHY I THINK THIS.

GRANICUS HAS AN ARTICLE AND THEY TALK ABOUT WHO ACTUALLY GRABS THE MICROPHONE AT PUBLIC MEETINGS AND WITH DECADE-LONG RESEARCH, REGISTRATION AND TRADITION, PARTICIPATION AND TRADITIONAL PUBLIC MEETINGS IS GENERALLY INDICATED BY SOCIAL ECONOMIC STATUS, EDUCATION LEVEL AND IN, .

THAT IS WHITE, OLDER, WEALTHY HOMEOWNERS, HIGHLY EDUCATED, LONG-TERM RESIDENTS AND ALREADY INVOLVED IN GOVERNMENT.

THAT CAN TRACE ITSELF ALL THE WAY BACK TO THE 1630S NEW ENGLAND MEETINGS.

THERE IS MORE.

I WILL FIND IT.

GIVE ME ONE SECOND BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO SAY THIS. FROM AN ARTICLE ON URBAN PLANNING.

IT NOT ONLY WEIGHS THE PUBLIC MEETINGS WE HOLD TODAY TO DISCUSS LOCAL ZONING POLICY APPROVE A PROPOSED ABUTMENT OR OTHERWISE SHAPE THE EVOLUTION OF OUR NEIGHBORHOODS HAVE NOT SHIFTED VERY FAR FROM THEIR ORIGINAL FORMAT.

SADLY, THAT INCLUDES THE PART ABOUT OLDER WHITE MALES, ESPECIALLY HOMEOWNERS TENDING TO HAVE OUTSIZED POWER IN THESE SETTINGS.

THE SAME ARTICLE SAID THE FOLLOWING.

THE PAPER RACIAL DISPARITIES IN HOUSING POLITICS EVIDENCE FROM ADMINISTRATIVE DATA, WHICH ANALYZE RECORDS FROM SUCH MEETINGS IN THAT NEARLY 100 BOSTON COMMITTEES FOUND THAT WHILE 80% OF THE AREA POPULATION IS WHITE AN ESTIMATED 95% OF MEETING ATTENDEES WERE WHITE AND WHILE 4% OF THE POPULATION WAS BLACK, ONLY 2% OF THE MEETING PARTICIPANTS WERE.

I CANNOT FIND STUDIES FOR THE CHICAGOLAND AREA, BUT I GUARANTEE YOU THE DEMOGRAPHICS WILL MATCH JUST BASED ON MY EXPERIENCE AT THE SPORT TABLE.

SO MY QUESTION IS, WHO ARE WE TRYING TO GIVE POWER TO? WHAT ARE WE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH BECAUSE IT SOUNDS TO ME WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH IS TO ELEVATE THE VOICE OF THE PEOPLE WHO ARE TRYING TO STOP THINGS INSTEAD OF TRYING TO GIVE VOICE TO PEOPLE WHO MAY, AND CONTRIBUTE.

IF WE CAN FIGURE OUT A PROCESS THAT ALLOWS PEOPLE TO COME OUT AND CONTRIBUTE I WOULD SIGN ON FOR WHATEVER THAT PROCESS LOOKS LIKE TO CHANGE THIS AND MAKE IT MORE EQUITABLE, MAKE IT MORE INCLUSIVE TO CONTRIBUTE TO MORE POSITIVE DEVELOPMENT IN OUR VILLAGE WERE A LOT MORE PEOPLE CAN COME IN AND SHARE AND COME OUT WITH THE OUTCOME OF WE CONTRIBUTED AND THIS IS BETTER FOR IT.

UNTIL I SEE YOU PROCESS WERE THAT STARTS TO HAPPEN AND NOT ONE OR PEOPLE JUST WANT MORE OPPORTUNITIES TO STOP THINGS, I CANNOT SEE WHY WE WOULD CHANGE WHAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE.

SO I AM A NO.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YOU ARE SUPPORTIVE OF STATUS RECOGNITION CURRENTLY?

>> I AM SUPPORTIVE OF STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION WITH THE EXTRA - WITH THE EXTRA EDUCATION AND MARKETING AND SUCH AND I WOULD LOVE TO SEE THAT AROUND MORE OF OUR PROCESSES MAKE GOVERNMENT MORE ACCESSIBLE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, VERY BRIEFLY AND WE WILL CONTINUE ON WITH OTHER COLLEAGUES HERE.

FIRST OF ALL, EXCELLENT PRESENTATION AND MY LACK OF ENERGY IS ONLY BECAUSE THIS IS OUR SECOND MEETING THIS WEEK AND THEY HAVE BEEN VERY LONG DAYS.

SOME OF US ENGAGED IN A LOT OF COMMUNITY CONVERSATIONS SINCE OUR LAST MEETING.

SO PLEASE DO NOT READ INTO IT.

THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT TOPIC AND I SHOULD NOT INTERRUPT TRUSTEE WESLEY AS HE IS TALKING.

SO I APOLOGIZE.

WHO WOULD LIKE TO GO NEXT?

THANK YOU.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: I SUPPORT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION.

I SUPPORT OPTION ONE.

FOR ALL THE REASONS THAT TRUSTEE WESLEY SAID.

NOT GOING TO REPEAT.

I WAS CURIOUS BUT ONE THING YOU MENTIONED, CRAIG.

YOU MENTIONED THAT OFTEN TIMES - CAN YOU GO TO SLIDE FIVE, PLEASE.

IN THE SPECIAL USE PERMIT INSTANCE, OFTEN TIMES THERE IS A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, RIGHT?

ARE WE SEEING THAT IN MOST OF THOSE INSTANCES AND ABOUT HALF?

CAN YOU GIVE ME SOME SENSE OF HOW FREQUENT THAT IS HAPPENING AND I ASK BECAUSE I THINK THE REQUIREMENT AND WHAT IS INTENDED IN THEORY IS ONE THING, BUT IF WHAT IS HAPPENING IN PRACTICE IS ACTUALLY THE HIGHER LEVEL OF ENGAGEMENT OPPORTUNITY, I THINK THAT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE COMMUNITY TO KNOW.

>> YES.

SO WE DO NOT RECOMMEND IT IN EVERY CASE OF THE SPECIAL USE, ONLY ONES THAT WE THINK WILL HAVE AN IMPACT TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD.

FOR EXAMPLE THE CARWASH AT AUSTIN AND ROOSEVELT.

WE STRONGLY RECOMMEND THAT THEY HAVE A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING AND THEY DID.

NOBODY SHOWED UP, BUT THEY HELD IT.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: DID YOU JUST SAY NOBODY SHOWED UP?

>> THANK YOU FOR POINTING THAT OUT.

>> BUT THEY DID IT AND WE TOLD THEM THEY WOULD GET THROUGH THE PROCESS BETTER IF THEY TALKED TO THE NEIGHBORS BECAUSE IN THAT AREA WHEN THE TACO BELL WENT THROUGH THERE WERE A LOT OF NEIGHBORS UPSET ABOUT THE DEVELOPMENT AND SO WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE NEIGHBORS WERE INFORMED OF THE NEXT ITEM GOING THROUGH.

SO FOR A DAYCARE WE PROBABLY WOULD NOT SUGGEST A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING OR MASSAGE ESTABLISHMENT, BUT SOMETHING LIKE THAT WE DO SUGGEST AND I DO NOT THINK EVERYONE TAKES ADVANTAGE OF IT, BUT.

>> WHEN STAFF IS SUGGESTING IT DEVELOPERS ARE GENERALLY DOING IT IS THAT FAIR TO SAY?

I THINK THAT IS AN IMPORTANT POINT BECAUSE I THINK WHAT THAT REFLECTS IS IN PRACTICE WHAT WE ARE SEEING IS THAT HEIGHTENED LEVEL OF ENGAGEMENT OPPORTUNITY, EVEN WHEN IT IS NOT MANDATORY.

SO THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IS ACTUALLY HAPPENING IN REALITY AND I THINK THAT IS WHAT IS BEING MET.

I GENERALLY DO NOT WANT TO CHANGE - CHANGING THE CODE, THAT IS IMPACTFUL, THAT IS A LOT OF WORK AND WE WILL BE POTENTIALLY MAKING OTHER CODE CHANGES THAT IMPACT DEVELOPMENT AND SO I THINK WE WANT TO BE VERY JUDICIOUS IN THOSE CODE CHANGES THAT WE ARE WILLING TO MAKE THAT COULD POTENTIALLY DAMPEN DEVELOPMENT WHEN THE TRADE-OFF IS MEETING SOME OF OUR OTHER BOARD GOALS.

I.E. THE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ORDINANCE.

CHANGES TO THOSE WILL BE CONTEMPLATED AND THAT COULD POTENTIALLY HAVE AN IMPACT ON DEVELOPMENT AND I WOULD RATHER USE MY IMPACT DEVELOPMENT SORT OF UNITS FOR SOMETHING LIKE THAT BECAUSE THAT ALSO THEN FURTHERS

A BOARD GOAL VERSUS SOMETHING LIKE THIS WHEN WE DO HAVE, IN FACT, IN REALITY AND PRACTICE, THOSE ADDITIONAL ENGAGEMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE SPECIAL USE PERMITS I THINK YOU HAVE OUTLINED A VERY NICE, I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT SLIDE IT IS AT THIS POINT, BUT THE OPTIONS THAT DIRECTOR EGAN WENT THROUGH.

WHAT WAS THAT?

YES.

EXACTLY.

SO FOR THOSE REASONS I AM SUPPORTIVE OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: I JUST WANT TO SAY LIKE PRESIDENT SCAMAN MENTIONED, LONG WEEK AND I JUST CAME BACK FROM INDIA SO I AM JETLAGGED AS WELL.

BARELY STAYING AWAKE SO FAR BEYOND A FEW TIMES, I APOLOGIZE.

BUT THE TOPIC IS REALLY INTERESTING AND THEN THE FRAMING OF THE TOPIC IN TERMS OF DEVELOPMENT AS SOMETHING WE DO TO A SEGMENT OF OUR IMMUNITY, I WOULD LIKE TO CHANGE THAT.

THIS SOMETHING WE SHOULD BE DOING WITH AND FOR THE COMMUNITY.

IT IS ULTIMATELY THE COMMUNITY BENEFITS FROM THE DEVELOPMENT PARADE WE ARE NOT DOING THIS BECAUSE WE LOVE BUILDINGS OR LOVE SEEING SOME DEVELOPMENT.

THERE IS A PURPOSE TO IT.

IT IS HOW WE ARE TRYING TO SHAPE THE COMMUNITY IN A VERY SPECIFIC MANNER WITH THE FUTURE IN MIND.

SO IN THAT, HOW DO WE SHAPE IT IN A WAY THAT IS NOT DISADVANTAGE OUR COMMUNITY IN RELATION TO OTHER OPPORTUNITIES, INVESTMENTS THAT THEY MAY HAVE TO FLOW.

AT THE SAME TIME HOW DO WE INVOLVE COMMUNITY MEMBERS IN A MEANINGFUL WAY?

NOT JUST TO OPPOSE, BUT AS CORY WAS SAYING, TO CONTRIBUTE TO THAT PROCESS SO WE CAN SHAPE IT THE RIGHT WAY?

SO WE CAN GET TO THE RIGHT OUTCOME AS A COMMUNITY?

SO THAT TENSION IS ALWAYS GOING TO EXIST BECAUSE ULTIMATELY WHEN WE MAKE CERTAIN DECISIONS IT IMPACTS SOME MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY DIFFERENTLY THAN OTHER MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY.

SO THAT IS INTENTIONALLY HAVE TO MANAGE.

IT IS INHERENT IN THE PROCESS, BUT DO WE WANT TO CHANGE THE PROCESS WHOLESALE?

I AM NOT CONVINCED AND I HAVE NOT BOUGHT INTO THAT SO I THINK THE EDUCATION ASPECT OF IT HOPEFULLY MAKES PEOPLE MORE INFORMED AS THEY ENGAGE WITH THIS PROCESS AND THAT IS PROBABLY WHERE MY BIAS IS WHEN GOING TOWARDS MAKING THE PROCESS MORE ONEROUS.

I AM NOT THERE YET.

SO I WOULD GO WITH THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ON THIS.

>>.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: THINK YOU ALL FOR PUTTING THIS TOGETHER AND
THINK YOU ALL FOR COMING OUT AND COMMENTING.

I THINK IT IS VERY COMPLEX.

I'VE BEEN ON BOTH SIDES OF THIS BEFORE.

SO IT IS AN INTERESTING, INTERESTING GO AT IT WHEN YOU HEAR RESIDENTS
WHO ARE TELLING YOU, YOU KNOW, HOW THEY FEEL ABOUT THEIR PLACE IN
THESE PROCESSES AND WHERE THEY GET TO FINALLY HAVE INPUT AND YEAH, TO
HEAR THINGS LATE IN THE GAME OR TO NOT FEEL LIKE THERE'S A SENSE OF
TRANSPARENCY IN SOME SITUATIONS OR TO FEEL LIKE IT IS ALREADY A DONE
DEAL BY THE TIME YOU ARE BRINGING SOMETHING TO THEM AND IS MORE OF AN
AFTERTHOUGHT BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND A LOT OF THESE CONVERSATIONS CAN
SOMETIMES BE A NEGATIVE, YOU ARE HEARING EVERYBODY'S THOUGHTS FOR WHY
THIS IS A DUMB IDEA OR WHATEVER, BUT I ALSO THINK IN ANYTHING THAT I
INVOLVED MYSELF INTO I TRIED TO HEAR EVERYTHING SAY, WAIT, MAYBE THAT
IS SOMETHING I DID NOT THINK OF.

MAYBE THERE IS SOMETHING THAT THIS PERSON'S FEEDBACK IS GOING TO
IMPACT.

MAYBE THEY DID NOT UNDERSTAND ADA COMPLIANCY.

MAYBE THEY DID NOT THINK OF THE ONE HANDICAPPED PERSON BUT MAYBE THEY
DID NOT THINK OF SOME ASPECT OF WHAT THAT DEVELOPMENT COULD MEAN TO A
COMMUNITY OR TO A SPECIFIC AREA.

WHEN AREA THAT MAY NOT HAVE A LOT OF PARKS AROUND IT AND HAVING LARGE
BUILDINGS.

THE EFFECT IF YOUR KIDS ARE GOING OUT TO PLAY.

THERE IS A TON OF INFORMATION THAT WE GET FROM HAVING THOSE MEETINGS
MAY THINK THAT IS WHERE I WANT TO ALWAYS KEEP OURSELVES IS THAT EVEN
WHEN I WAS GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS OF LIKE WAY, I DIDN'T THINK ABOUT
THIS.

THIS IS GOOD THAT YOU GIVE ME THIS AND KNOWING THAT THERE WOULD BE A
PUBLIC PROCESS THAT MADE ME THINK OF THAT EVEN MORE TO SAY, YOU KNOW
WHAT, I WANT TO DO THE DUE DILIGENCE.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WHAT I BRING HERE IS SOMETHING THAT IS
BRINGING VALUE.

NOT JUST SOMETHING I WANT FOR ME.

AND AS A DEVELOPER I HAVE TO IMAGINE A THINK THE SAME THING.

LIKE THEY HAVE A BOTTOM LINE THEY HAVE TO GET TO THE DOLLAR AMOUNT
THEY HAVE TO MAKE ON A PROJECT AND SOMETIMES THAT IS NOT IN LINE WITH
OUR COMMUNITY VALUES.

SO HAVING THAT EXTRA STEP OF, HEY, WE'RE GOING TO LET YOU SPEAK - LET
THE COMMUNITY SPEAK THEIR PIECE.

DOES NOT MEAN THAT YOU WILL NOT BE ABLE TO DO THIS, BUT YOU NEED TO
HEAR FROM THESE PEOPLE MAY THINK THAT IS SOMETIMES JUST THE VALUE OF
WHERE WE ARE AS A COMMUNITY THAT WE DO VALUE THESE PEOPLE.

204,000 SIGNATURES.

IT IS NOT EASY TO GET 4000 SIGNATURES.

SO I REALLY DO THINK NUMBER THREE GIVES YOU THAT OPTION, BUT I PROBABLY NEED MORE TIME TO DO THE ASSESSING OUT OF THE PLAN AND THROUGH CONVERSATIONS WITH OUR COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND SAYING, OKAY WE UNDERSTAND WHERE ARE THE POINTS AND WHERE THERE IS SOMETHING BEING ADDED.

OKAY, WEST SUBURBAN, THEY DID THIS AND THIS IS WHY WE WANT TO DO THIS. THIS MAKES SENSE, THIS IS NOT AS FIGHTING YOU ON EVERY CORNER, WE'RE JUST TELLING YOU THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THIS HEIGHT VARIANCE VERSUS THIS HEIGHT VARIANCE FOR XYZ REASONS AND IT WAS ALWAYS BROUGHT TO US IN A WAY THAT WAS NEIGHBORLY, NOT LIKE YOU GUYS SUCK, YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND THIS.

NOW, HEY WE ARE TRYING TO FIND A FRIENDLY COMPROMISE SO I ALWAYS WANT TO SEE LIKE RAVI WAS SAYING BEFORE, WE ARE A COMMUNITY TOGETHER. WE ARE NOT SEVEN PEOPLE THAT MAKE EVERYBODY'S DECISIONS FOR THEM. WE GET TO LISTEN, HEAR, UNDERSTAND AND GET FEEDBACK FROM OUR STAFF SO WE CAN MAKE INFORMED DECISIONS.

I THINK I'M MORE IN THAT THREE RANGE, BUT I KNOW EVERYTHING WE DO HAS SUCH AN IMPACT ON WHAT STAFF DOES SO IF WE DERAIL YOU I DON'T KNOW WHEN WE WILL GET THIS BACK AGAIN, BUT I FEEL MYSELF LEANING TOWARDS THREE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SUSAN?

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: I HAD A QUESTION FOR YOU, CRAIG. IN YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A DEVELOPER OVER CHANGED THEIR PLANS BASED ON A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING?

>> SHORT ANSWER IS YES.

EXCEPT FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF THE BANK BUILDING ON LAKE STREET? I KNOW THEY BASICALLY GOT RUN OUT OF TOWN.

>> I WILL GIVE YOU A CURRENT EXAMPLE THERE WAS A DEVELOPER I WANTED TO PUT DEVELOPMENT AT CHICAGO AND RICHLAND AND HE MET WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD BEFORE THE MANDATORY MEETING. MET WITH THEM DURING THE MANDATORY MEETING. MODIFIED HIS DESIGN, HE TOOK OFF THE FLOOR AND CHANGE THE ARCHITECTURE BASED ON PUBLIC COMMENT. WE HAD SOME, AND THERE AS WELL AND SHE LISTENED TO THE NEIGHBORS AND EVENTUALLY DID NOT HAPPEN BECAUSE HE CANNOT COME TO TERMS WITH THE PURCHASE OF THE PROPERTY, BUT THERE ARE DEVELOPERS OUT THERE THAT WILL DO THAT.

>> I CANNOT SAY EVERYONE HAS, BUT THEY WILL.

>> ALTERNATE TWO, IT KEEPS THE ADVANCED NOTIFICATION AT TWO WEEKS.

IS THAT CORRECT?

>> I'M SORRY, SAY THAT AGAIN?

>> ALTERNATE TWO IT IS IN THE PUBLIC APPLICATION?

>> 15-30 DAYS IN THE STATE STATUTE.

SO WE CANNOT REALLY CHANGE THAT BECAUSE IT COMES FROM THE STATE STATUTE.

>> THAT IS CORRECT, YOU CAN MAKE IT LONGER.

>> MAKE IT LONGER THAN 30 DAYS?

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: YOU COULD MAKE IT 30 DAYS INSTEAD OF MAKING IT LONGER THAN TWO WEEKS?

FOR ME I THINK THAT IS THE ONLY THING I WOULD LIKE TO SEE DIFFERENT. LIKE ALTERNATE TWO, BUT IT IS ABOUT EDUCATING PEOPLE AND LETTING THEM KNOW.

IT IS NOT REALLY GIVING THEM ANYTHING CONCRETE IN THE WAY OF A VOICE AND WHILE EXTENDING THE TWO WEEKS DOES NOT GIVE THEM MORE OF A VOICE, BUT I DO HEAR ABOUT A LOT OF PEOPLE DO NOT KNOW ABOUT THESE MEETINGS.

>> THE CONCERN WITH GOING 30 DAYS IS MAYBE IT IS FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING.

MAYBE WE COULD DO THAT FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, BUT FOR THE PUBLIC MEETING SOMETIMES WHEN YOU PUT IT TOO FAR OUT THAN THEY FORGET ABOUT IT.

>> I WOULD AGREE.

I THINK THREE WEEKS.

>> YEAH.

>>TRUSTEE BUCHANAN: MAYBE IT IS AN ISSUE - - THEY ARE NOT READING THE PAPER AND THEY ARE NOT HAPPY THAT THEY DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT IT.

>> WE COULD LOOK AT POSTING ON THE WEBSITE 30 DAYS, NEWSPAPER 15 DAYS.

WE CAN THINK ABOUT HOW TO DO THAT AND IF YOU THINK IT NEEDS A LITTLE MORE NOTICE.

>> I'M IN FAVOR OF STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I LIKE THE IDEA OF MAYBE JUST CONSIDERING THE NOTICE TIMING PERIOD.

I'VE ALSO HEARD SOMETIMES PEOPLE ARE OUT OF TOWN AND THEY COME HOME AND THEY JUST MISSED THE WINDOW.

I HAVE SAID THIS BEFORE.

I HAVE THE EXAMPLE OF WHEN THE PROCESS DID WORK.

AMERICAN HOUSE, I AM THREE DOORS BEHIND IT.

IT IS VERY BIG, BUT OUR COMMUNITY - STAFF WAS VERY HELPFUL.

OUR COMMUNITY HAD A VERY EARLY MEETING WITH MEMBERS OF OUR BLOCK BEFORE ANYTHING STARTED AND THEN I WAS RUNNING FOR VILLAGE CLERK.

I WAS NOT VILLAGE CLERK YET, BUT I WAS RUNNING SO I ANSWERED SOME QUESTIONS FOR ME NEIGHBORS OF THIS, WHAT THE PLAN PROCESS LOOKED LIKE AND OUTLINE FOR THEM WHEN IT WAS.

IN FACT, I THINK TAMMY DID THIS TO HELP ME WITH MY JOB AS VILLAGE CLERK.

AND BECAUSE IT IS THE VILLAGE CLERK THAT YOU TURN IN YOUR FORM FOR WHETHER YOU WOULD LIKE TO DO CROSS-EXAMINATION OR NOT.

SO I HAD A PACKET TO GIVE PEOPLE BECAUSE I DID NOT KNOW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CROSS-EXAMINATION AND PUBLICLY.

THAT CAN BE VERY FRUSTRATING NOT JUST FOR THE PUBLIC, BUT FOR THE PLAN COMMISSION.

SO I OUTLINED FOR MY NEIGHBORS AND THEY WERE VERY, VERY ORGANIZED. TO DECIDE WHETHER THEY WERE GOING TO BE OKAY WITH DEVELOPMENT THERE AT ALL AND THEN TO DECIDE WHAT WAS GOING TO MATTER TO THEM AND THEN THE DEVELOPER LISTENED.

THEY CAME FORWARD IN A VERY - A RESPECTFUL PUSH AND PULL SO THEY GOT THE SIDEWALK IT IS WEST OF THE BUILDING SO THEY CAN WALK THROUGH TO MADISON STREET.

IT MOVED WHERE THE GARBAGE WAS SO IT WOULD NOT BE AT THE END OF OUR ALLEY.

THE TIERED IN THE BACK AND IT WORKED.

THE BUMP OUT AREA ON WESLEY.

IT IS INTERESTING FOR ME TO REFLECT ON THE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS BEING THE VILLAGE CLERK.

I KNOW SUSAN YOU WERE NOT ON THE BOARD AT THE TIME, BUT ALL OF THE BEHIND-THE-SCENES BEFORE HE GOT TO THE VILLAGE BOARD AGENDA I DID WITNESS THAT.

AS WELL AS ALL OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAD JUST COME INTO VILLAGE HALL AND SAY, HEY I HEARD THIS DEVELOPMENT IS GOING UP BY MASS, HOW DO I FIND OUT MORE ABOUT IT?

STAFF WAS ALWAYS EXTREMELY, EXTREMELY RESPONSIVE.

STAFF WAS NEVER THE PROBLEM IN THE PROCESS.

EDUCATION WAS.

SO I'M VERY APPRECIATIVE.

I WONDER IF WE COULD MAKE THAT - THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN NUMBER THREE AND (WORD?), THE MEETING IN MAY WHERE YOU HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE, NOT NECESSARILY FOR EVERY DEVELOPMENT - SPECIAL USE.

I AM VERY TIRED.

SPECIAL USE DEVELOPMENT THAT COMES FORWARD, BUT THOSE WHERE YOU WOULD RECOMMEND IT, IF IT COULD BE IN MAY, IN THAT INSTANCE YOU COULD REQUIRE IT.

SO THAT YOU ARE NOT NECESSARILY OVERBURDENING OURSELVES OR A MINOR DEVELOPMENT THAT YOU DO NOT FORESEE AS BEING A PROBLEM AND I DO TRUST THAT YOU DO KNOW THE DIFFERENCE.

AND THAT WOULD MATTER TO ME.

AS FAR AS THE COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC COMMENTERS.

AND AGAIN, I DO FORESEE THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE QUITE A FEW ZONING CONVERSATIONS COMING FORWARD, EVEN IF IT IS JUST FROM THE HOUSING STUDY, BUT ALSO WITH SEVEN VAN BUREN IT DOES CHECK ALL THE BOXES OF WHAT WE WANT FOR DEVELOPMENT, BUT I DO REMEMBER MY PUBLIC COMMENTS OR MY COMMENTS WHEN IT CAME TIME TO VOTE IS THAT I DID RECOGNIZE THERE WERE MEMBERS OF THE PLAN COMMISSION THAT VOTED NO ON THE PROJECT AND

WE VOTED UNANIMOUSLY YES BECAUSE IT DID FEEL TO THE MEMBERS OF THE PLAN COMMISSION THAT EVERYTHING THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO ADJUST HAD ALREADY BEEN ADJUSTED VERY EARLY IN THE PROCESS DURING ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW WITH STAFF.

SO BY THE TIME IT DID COME TO THE PLAN COMMISSION THERE WAS NOTHING LEFT THAT COULD BE CHANGED.

SO THAT EARLY REVIEW PROCESS WAS NOT TRANSPARENT ENOUGH FOR THE NEIGHBORS.

BUT IT WAS NOT WITH ANY KIND OF MALICE INTENT OR SECRETIVE NATURE, IT WAS THAT THE APPLICANT WANTED TO BRING FORWARD THE BEST PROJECT THAT HE POSSIBLY COULD, BUT ALSO THAT BUILDING IS ON A VERY SMALL LOT, THAT IT WOULD BENEFIT FROM BEING IN A BLUE ZONE ENVIRONMENT.

YOU ONLY HAVE 17 OR 18 PARKING SPOTS, SO THAT MEANS YOU HAVE ALL OF YOUR AMENITIES WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE, YET IT DOES NOT.

SO AS WE CONTINUE OUR SUSTAINABILITY CONVERSATIONS, WE ARE LOOKING AT OUR COMMUNITY AND LOOKING HOLISTICALLY AT IT AS ONE PUBLIC COMMENTERS SAID, HAVING A MASTER PLAN AGAIN FOR THE COMMUNITY AND ALIGNING THAT WITH OUR - ALL OF THE OTHER GOALS THAT WE HAVE IDENTIFIED, AND WE ALREADY KNOW THAT WE HAVE LIFTED UP A ECONOMIC VITALITY - AT LEAST IN SUPPORT OF THAT WORK AND OUR SUSTAINABILITY GOALS AS WELL AS KIND OF OUR OWN DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR RECRUITMENT OF BUSINESSES, DEVELOPMENT, ETC. ALL OF THESE THINGS.

SO WE KNOW THERE HAS TO BE PLANNING GOING ON, RIGHT?

AND IN HAVING ALL OF THOSE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS WORKING TOGETHER FOR THE BENEFIT OF OUR VILLAGE.

SO I DO FORESEE US, ALTHOUGH OUR TIME IS ALWAYS CRUNCHED.

AT SOME POINT NEEDING TO HAVE DISCUSSIONS AROUND A MASTER PLAN FOR OUR COMMUNITY, RIGHT?

IN ITSELF IS GOING TO HAVE US LOOKING AT ZONING.

SO EVEN IF THE HOUSING STUDY DID NOT HAVE US LOOKING AT ZONING THAT WILL.

>> IT ALL LEADS BACK TO ZONING.

>> IT ALL LEADS BACK TO ZONING.

AND WE WOULD WANT SOME INPUT FROM OUR COMMUNITY ON THOSE DISCUSSIONS AS WELL.

OKAY.

I WOULD CONSIDER WITH NUMBER THREE THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN NUMBER THREE AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS.

GUESS THAT IS IT IN A NUTSHELL.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I WANT TO PULL OUT THE THREAD I HEARD THROUGH TRUSTEE WESLEY'S COMMENTS, TRUSTEE PARAKKAT, TRUSTEE TRANSFER, AND TRUSTEE ROBINSON TALKING ABOUT WHO COMES TO THE PUBLIC MEETINGS, WHO IS RECEIVING THE NOTICE AND I THINK IT WAS NOT SOMETHING THAT I WAS REALLY FULLY THINKING ABOUT UNTIL YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT IT BECAUSE I THINK WE DO NEED TO BE REALLY THINKING ABOUT THE NOTICE SIDE OF IT AND

FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN GET THE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT THAT WE ACTUALLY WANT TO SEE, AND PART OF THIS IS THE NOTICE ONLY GOES WITHIN 300 FEET. PART OF IT IS THE NOTICE IS PRINTED IN THE BACK OF THE WEDNESDAY JOURNAL AND MOST PEOPLE DO NOT GET TO THE BACK OF THE WEDNESDAY JOURNAL.

AND SO MAYBE WE NEED TO LOOK AT MODERNIZING WHAT PUBLIC NOTICE LOOKS LIKE.

WHETHER THAT BE THROUGH THE VILLAGE WEBSITE AND SOCIAL MEDIA CHANNELS OR WHETHER THAT BE THROUGH REQUIRING PUBLIC NOTICE TO INVOLVE SOME DIGITAL ADVERTISING.

I DON'T KNOW.

I AM SPIT BALLING AT 10:50 AT NIGHT AFTER ALMOST 4 HOURS OF PUBLIC MEETING.

SO I DON'T HAVE THE ANSWER.

IT IS A THREAD THAT THE MAJORITY OF THIS BOARD WAS PULLING ON AND I THINK THAT WHEN WE ARE LOOKING AT WHETHER IT IS ALTERNATIVE TWO OR THREE I THINK IT IS A VERY IMPORTANT OBSERVATION THAT WE HAVE ZERO PEOPLE SHOW UP TO PUBLIC HEARINGS AND THEN SOMETIMES THOSE ISSUES COME BEFORE THE BOARD WE HAVE A FEW MORE PEOPLE SHOW UP AT THE BOARD SAYING THEY NEVER HEARD ABOUT IT.

AND IT PROBABLY HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE FACT THAT WE ARE DOING PUBLIC NOTICE LIKE WE ARE STILL LIVING IN A PREDIGITAL AGE.

I HAVE TO SAY THE VAST MAJORITY OF THINGS THAT ARRIVE IN MY MAILBOX ARE NEVER OPENED AND GO STRAIGHT IN THE RECYCLING BIN.

I DO ENJOY READING THE WEDNESDAY JOURNAL AND THEY RARELY GET TO THE PUBLIC NOTICES IN THE BACK AND THEY SAID AT THIS DESK ON A REGULAR BASIS.

I THINK I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN HEARING STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS AROUND HOW PUBLIC NOTICE CAN MAYBE BE BROUGHT INTO EVEN THE LATTER HALF OF THE 21ST CENTURY AND MAYBE INTO - MAYBE UP TO 2024.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

JUST FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE COMMUNITY RIGHT NOW, ALL OF THESE THINGS ARE ALL EXCELLENT.

I JUST WANT TO REITERATE THAT EMILY MENTIONED THAT YOU SAW A LOT OF EQUITY ADJUSTMENTS IN THE VIDEO AND OTHER ACCESS TO INFORMATION THAT WE WOULD HAVE AND OUR IT AGENDA THAT WE WOULD LOVE TO HAVE TIME TO CONCENTRATE ON, AS WELL AS OUR COMMUNICATION - ALL OF THESE THINGS ARE BEING THOUGHT ABOUT RIGHT NOW.

AND THE INTENTION IS FOR ALL OF THESE ANSWERS ON HOW PEOPLE GET INFORMATION.

HOW WE CONNECT WITH OUR COMMUNITY, THE NEIGHBORHOOD MANAGER THAT WE WILL BE HIRING SO WE HAVE RELATIONSHIPS WITH OUR NEIGHBORHOODS BEFORE THESE DEVELOPMENTS COME SO WE ALREADY HAVE A MECHANISM WITH EACH AREA OF THE COMMUNITY BY WHICH WE ARE COMMUNICATING IN RELATIONSHIPS ARE

ALL IN THE PLAN AND SO WE WOULD SEE THAT INTERWOVEN INTO EVERYTHING THAT WE DO.

I DID DROP MY CAR OFF - I'M SORRY, AN OIL CHANGE THIS MORNING AND GOT A TEXT MESSAGE WHEN IT WAS READY.

SO - - SO JUST REALLY, YEAH.

I KNOW ALL THESE THINGS ARE REALLY BEING CONSIDERED AND THEY JUST WANT OUR COMMUNITY TO KNOW THAT.

AND HOW WE SERVE AND HOW OUR STAFF ARE GOING TO BE WORKING TO SERVE THE COMMUNITY.

WITH US.

OKAY.

THAT BEING SAID, SINCE THIS WAS A DISCUSSION - WE HAVE A LOT OF OUR - STAFF LOOKS FORWARD THEY HEAR THE MAJORITY, BUT I THINK THERE'S ROOM FOR OVERLAP SO YOU ARE BRINGING BACK TO US ADDITIONAL DO SOMETHING FOR US TO VOTE ON.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: YES.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR HANGING IN WITH US BECAUSE I KNOW WHEN WE ARE HERE THIS LATE SO YOU AND YOU HAVE TO BE HERE AT 8:30 A.M. THE NEXT DAY.

CLERK WATERS, - I'M SORRY, A MOTION TO - DO WE HAVE CALL TO THE BOARD? WE DO.

OKAY.

CALL TO THE BOARD.

CLERK WATERS?

>>CLERK: PASS.

OH.

THE CLEANUP IS ON SATURDAY.

YOU CAN EMAIL CLERK WATERS, YOU CAN EMAIL CLERK WATERS AT CLERK@OAK-PARK.US.

IF YOU'D LIKE MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THIS SATURDAY'S NEIGHBORHOOD CLEANUP.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: I DID NOT GET ONE OF THOSE SHIRTS, BUT I THINK I WILL BE THERE TO GRAB ONE.

ALSO SATURDAY THERE IS A CELEBRATION FOR AMERICAN HERITAGE MONTH AT THE OAK PARK PUBLIC LIBRARY FROM 1:00 P.M. TO 3:30 P.M..

ALSO WANT TO THANK KEVIN FOR INTRODUCING ME TO DOCTOR PATTERSON FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS.

SAD TO HEAR ABOUT HIS PASSING.

HE WAS AN AWESOME GUY AND ONLY GOT TO MEET HIM A FEW TIMES, BUT TISSUE A SMALL DOCUMENTARY AND HIM TALKING ABOUT THE HISTORY OF 50 YEARS OF HIP-HOP AND THIS GUY IS REALLY TRANSFORMATIONAL BRINGING STEM TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES IN TEACHING KIDS ABOUT THE SCIENCE BEHIND MUSIC AND IT WAS A REALLY AWESOME WAY TO MEET THIS GUY AND IT IS SO SAD TO HEAR ABOUT HIS PASSING, BUT I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY MAY NO

OAK PARKERS REALLY ENJOY IT NEAR VILLAGE CAME TO SEE THE EXPRESS HIP-HOP US LIKE HIM SO GIVE MY CONDOLENCES TO HIM AND HIS FAMILY.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: THANK YOU SO MUCH TRUSTEE ENYIA, I WILL BE ATTENDING HIS SERVICE ON SUNDAY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.
WANT TO MAKE TWO ANNOUNCEMENTS.

THE FIRST ONE IS THE CLIMATE ACTION MUSEUM IN CHICAGO HAS SELECTED THE OAK PARK CLIMATE ACTION NETWORK AND THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK AS CLIMATE ACTION HEROES THIS YEAR SO WE WILL BE HONORED ON APRIL 20 AT AN EVENT AT THE CLIMATE ACTION MUSEUM DOWNTOWN IN RIVERSIDE PLAZA SO THAT IS GREAT AND THE SECOND ONE IS THAT OPCAN, THE CLIMATE ACTION NETWORK HAS STARTED A PROGRAM WITH THE VILLAGE.

OAK PARK RESIDENTS CAN HAVE FREE 30 MINUTE CONSULTATION WITH A OPCAN VOLUNTEER FOR ADVICE ON TOPICS INCLUDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY RETROFITS, HEAT PUMPS, SOLAR PANELS, GEOTHERMAL, NATIVE GARDENING.

THIS INCLUDES COST ESTIMATES AND REFERRAL TO CONTRACTORS AND WHAT FINANCIAL INCENTIVES ARE AVAILABLE.

THIS IS EXCITING TO HELP PEOPLE WHO FEEL LIKE THEY NEED MORE INFORMATION TO TAKE THAT FIRST STEP ON ELECTRIFYING OR DE-CARBONIZING THEIR HOMES.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I JUST WANT TO MENTION THE OAK PARK PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENTS OPIOID OVERDOSE KIDS.

I HAD A SCARY EXPERIENCE ON TUESDAY MORNING.

I WAS AT THE STARBUCKS ON NORTH AVENUE WHEN SOMEONE WAS ACTING CONFUSED, STUMBLED, FELL AND WAS UNCONSCIOUS.

I DID NOT HAVE THE BACKPACK THAT HAD MY OPIOID OVERDOSE KIT.

WHILE STARBUCKS WAS CALLING 9-1-1 I WAS RUNNING A COUPLE BLOCKS HOME TO GET IT AND GET BACK.

THE CHICAGO AMBULANCE RESPONDED BEFORE I COULD RUN THERE AND RUN BACK, BUT I LEFT MY KIT WITH THE STARBUCKS SO THEY HAVE ONE TO RESPOND IF SOMETHING SIMILAR HAPPENS IN THE FUTURE AND PICKED A COUPLE UP SO I HAVE ONE IN EACH OF MY BAGS, BUT I MENTION THIS BECAUSE THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT WORKS IF THE RESIDENTS OF OAK PARK TAKE HEED, GRAB ONE OF THESE FREE OPIOID OVERDOSE PREVENTION KITS.

IT CONTAINS NARCAN, WHICH IS A NASAL SPRAY.

IT DOES NOT HAVE A HARMFUL EFFECTS OF SOMEONE IS NOT SUFFERING AND OPIOID OVERDOSE, BUT IT CAN SAVE LIVES IF THEY ARE.

SO I URGE YOU TO CHECK OUT THE WEBPAGE ON THE OAK PARK WEBSITE THAT IS DEVOTED TO THIS PROGRAM.

YOU CAN LEARN MORE ABOUT HOW AND WHEN TO ADMINISTER NARCAN AND YOU CAN FIND THE MULTIPLE FREE SITES WHERE THESE OPIOID OVERDOSE PREVENTION KITS ARE AVAILABLE THROUGHOUT THE VILLAGE AND GRAB ONE.

TAKING PART, YOU COULD SAVE A LIFE.

SO PLEASE TAKE FIVE OR 10 MINUTES OUT OF YOUR DAY, GET EDUCATED AND GRAB ONE OF THESE KITS.

THANK YOU.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I JUST WANT TO WRAP UP A COUPLE OF MY POINTS ON SEVEN VAN BUREN BECAUSE I LIKE THIS BUILDING AND IT IS A LOT OF THE REASONS WHY I THINK A FIRMER HAND AROUND DEVELOPMENT MORE INTENTION AS NEEDED.

ONE OF THE THINGS WE OFTEN HEAR ABOUT IS DEVELOPER PROFIT.

DEVELOPER WILL MAKE SO MUCH MONEY, BUT THE DEVELOPER FOR SEVEN VAN BUREN IS A NONPROFIT COMPANY.

IT STILL FACED A HORRIBLE AMOUNT OF BACKLASH.

WE ALSO HEAR ABOUT WALKABLE AMENITIES, BUT YOU CANNOT GET WALKABLE AMENITIES UNLESS YOU GET DENSITY YOU ALSO CANNOT GET WALKABLE AMENITIES UNDER OUR CURRENT ZONING.

WE CANNOT PUT A COFFEE SHOP NEXT TO A HOUSE.

OUR ZONING DOES NOT ALLOW THAT.

THE FINAL THING I WILL WRAP UP WITH IS THE OUTCOME OF ZONING IS RACISM.

I DO NOT CARE WHAT THE STATED INTENTION WAS.

WHAT HAS BEEN CO-OPTED TO DO AND WHAT IT DOES EFFECTIVELY, VERY VERY WELL IS DELIVER RACISM.

SEGREGATION AND DISCRIMINATION AND HAS DONE THAT FOR THE BETTER PART OF 100 YEARS.

A LITTLE OVER 100 YEARS ACTUALLY SINCE THE SUPREME COURT STRUCK DOWN EXPLICIT RACE-BASED DISCRIMINATION IN HOUSING.

SO WHEN WE THINK ABOUT ZONING COMING FORWARD AND WE THINK ABOUT NOTIFICATION AND WE THINK ABOUT THE PEOPLE WHO SHOW UP TO THESE PUBLIC MEETINGS, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IF WE'RE GOING TO FOCUS ON GETTING MORE PEOPLE INTO THESE MEETINGS IS TO ENSURE THAT IT IS A DIVERSE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE AND IT'S A DIVERSITY IN CLASS, RACE, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO HAVE PEOPLE IN THE ROOM THAT ARE GOING TO FURTHER THE ACTUAL OUTCOME OF ZONING VERSUS WHAT THE STATED OUTCOME OF ZONING IS BURIED AT THE STATED OUTCOME OF ZONING IS VERY BENIGN.

A SEPARATE USES, SO ON AND SO FORTH.

THERE IS SO MUCH CODED LANGUAGE IN OUR COUNTRY BECAUSE BLACK PEOPLE DID NOT EXIST IN OUR COUNTRY, ACCORDING TO OUR COUNTRY FOR A COUPLE OF HUNDRED YEARS AND SO WHEN YOU THINK OF SYSTEMS THAT WERE MADE PRIOR TO THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, WE WERE NOT TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.

SO WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT ZONING, WHICH AGAIN, IT WAS CREATED BEFORE THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, THE INTENTION OF IT IS WHAT IS BEING PRODUCED.

I JUST WANT TO PUT THOSE THINGS OUT HERE BECAUSE THIS IS A SYSTEMS LEVEL CONVERSATION.

IT IS NOT AN INDEPENDENT CONVERSATION.

THE THINGS WE DO ON ONE HAND WILL AFFECT THINGS THREE, FOUR, FIVE LAYERS DOWN AND SO WE NEED TO BE VERY INTENTIONAL AND UNDERSTAND WHAT WE ARE ACTUALLY TRYING TO DO WHEN WE MAKE THESE CHANGES SO WE GET THE OUTCOMES WE'RE LOOKING FOR AND WE DO NOT JUST GET AN OUTCOME ON ONE

THING AND THEN COMPLETELY GOES AGAINST THE VALUES OF WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO CREATE SOMEWHERE ELSE.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: OKAY.

I HAVE A REMINDER AND A BIG THANK YOU.

I WANT TO REMIND THE COMMUNITY THAT WE HAVE HOLI CELEBRATIONS COMING UP ON SUNDAY FROM NOON TO TWO AND I WANT TO SAY BIG THANK YOU TO DOCTOR WALKER AND CHOLANDA, IT HAS BEEN AN ABSOLUTE PLEASURE WORKING WITH THEM AND I HAVE HEARD GREAT THINGS FROM THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS THAT HAVE COME TO THE EVENT PLANNING.
THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I APPRECIATE THE COLLECTIVE WAY THAT THIS BOARD WORKS TO BETTER OUR COMMUNITY AND I THINK EVERYBODY FOR THEIR INPUT.

I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ADJOURN.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.