

RES. OF SOUTH BLVD.

ORD 17-174\_O\_030617

12/26/2017 2018 03/06/2020

### AN ORDINANCE GRANTING A SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR A MAJOR PLANNED DEVELOPMENT CONTAINING A MIXED USE MULTIPLE STORY BUILDING WITH RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL AND PARKING USES AT THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 715-717 SOUTH BOULEVARD

WHEREAS, on September 13, 2016, the Applicant, 717 South Boulevard LLC ("Applicant"), submitted an application with the Village of Oak Park ("Village") for a special use permit for a major planned development at the property located at 715-717 South Boulevard ("Property") as more fully set forth in the application; and

WHEREAS, on December 15, 2016, the Plan Commission opened a public hearing on the application for a major planned development containing a mixed use multiple story building with residential, commercial and parking uses at the Property; and

WHEREAS, on January 19, 2017, the public hearing was completed and the Plan Commission adopted its Findings of Fact and Recommendations by a vote of eight (8) in favor and none (0) against, attached hereto and incorporated herein, recommending that the Village Board of Trustees ("Board") grant the special use permit; and

WHEREAS, the Board has reviewed the Findings of Fact and Recommendations of the Plan Commission and finds that the application satisfies the standards set forth in the Village of Oak Park Zoning Ordinance related to the special use permit for the major planned development, but only subject to the conditions set forth in this Ordinance.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED** by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Oak Park, County of Cook, Illinois, in the exercise of their home rule powers, as follows:

- **Section 1. Recitals Incorporated.** The above recitals are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- **Section 2.** Adoption of Findings of Fact and Recommendation. The Findings of Fact and Recommendation of the Plan Commission, together with all reports and exhibits submitted at the public hearing, are hereby incorporated by reference herein and are adopted and approved, subject to the conditions set forth herein.
- Section 3. Approval of a Special Use Permit for a Major Planned Development. The application for a special use permit for a major planned development as submitted by the Applicant is approved in accordance with the application on file with the Village, including the requested allowances, subject to the conditions as set forth in the "Conditions for Approval of the Planned Development" Section of the Plan Commission's Findings of Fact and Recommendation and.

- **Section 4. Revision to Village Zoning Map.** The Village Planner is hereby authorized and directed to revise the Official Zoning Map of the Village to reflect the existence and boundaries of the new planned development authorized by the special use permit granted herein.
- **Section 5. Planned Development Time Limits.** The planned development approved herein is subject to the time limits for the application for a building permit and commencement of construction set forth in Section 2.2.7(F)(3)-(4) of the Village Zoning Ordinance.
- Section 6. Sale or Transfer of Property. At least forty-five (45) days prior to any sale or transfer of ownership of the Property, the Applicant shall provide the Village with the name of any future owner(s) of the Property and proof that the new owner(s) has the financial capabilities to complete the planned development approved herein, which may include the posting of a bond or other surety with the Village. Additionally, any new owner(s) shall be required to provide proof to the Village that the new owner(s) has read and understands this Ordinance and the incorporated Findings of Fact and Recommendation and shall execute a Transferee Assumption Agreement or similar agreement that binds the new owner(s) to the terms of said documents and said agreement shall be subject to the review and approval of the Village Attorney. If the new owner(s) fails to meet the conditions of this Section, the approvals granted herein shall be null and void.
- Section 7. Sale or Transfer of Property Prior to the Issuance of a Building Permit. If the Property is sold or transferred at any time prior to the issuance of a building permit for the planned development approved herein, the new owner shall be obligated to reapply for the approvals granted herein, which shall include the public hearing process set forth in the Village's Zoning Ordinance for said approvals.
- **Section 8. No Authorization of Work.** This Ordinance does not authorize the commencement of any work on the Property. Except as otherwise specifically provided in writing in advance by the Village, no work of any kind shall be commenced on the Property until all conditions of this Ordinance precedent to such work have been fulfilled and after all permits, approvals, and other authorizations for such work have been properly applied for, paid for, and granted in accordance with applicable law.
- **Section 9. Agreement to Terms of Ordinance**. This Ordinance shall be signed by an authorized officer of the Applicant to signify its agreement to the terms hereof.
- **Section 10. Violation of Condition or Code.** Any violation of (i) any term or condition set forth in this Ordinance or (ii) any applicable code, ordinance, or regulation of the Village shall be grounds for the immediate rescission of the approvals granted pursuant to this Ordinance.
- Section 11. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances. If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity thereof shall

not affect any of the other provisions of this Ordinance. All ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

**Section 12. Effective Date.** This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect after its approval, passage and publication as provided by law.

**ADOPTED** this 6<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2017, pursuant to a roll call vote as follows:

Voting	Aye	Nay	Abstain	Absent
President Abu-Taleb	X			
Trustee Barber	X			
Trustee Brewer	X			
Trustee Button Ott	Χ	,		
Trustee Lueck	Χ			
Trustee Taglia	X			
Trustee Tucker	X			

Trastee racket			<u></u>	
<b>APPROVED</b> this 6 <sup>th</sup> day of N	March, 2017.	1		
		~		

ATTEST	
Jewa Powell	
Teresa Powell, Village Clerk	

Published in pamphlet form this 6<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2017.

Teresa Powell, Village Clerk

Anan Abu-Taleb, Village President

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT BY THE APPLICANT TO THE CONDITIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE:

APPLICANT			
105	Dated:	400	, 2017
By: Maner Its:			

### January 19, 2017

President and Board of Trustees
Village of Oak Park
123 Madison Street
Oak Park, Illinois 60302

Re:

Application of 717 South Boulevard, LLC, for a Major Planned Development at 715-717 South Boulevard.

Dear President and Trustees:

On or about September 13, 2016, 717 South Boulevard, LLC by and through its agent, John Schiess, of 400 Ashland Avenue, River Forest, Illinois, (the "Applicants") filed for a mixed-use planned development located at 715-717 South Boulevard (the "Subject Property"). The Applicants seek approval of a Planned Development for a five-story building with fourteen (14) residential rental units, a floor commercial/retail space, and twenty (20) interior parking spaces. The Applicants

have also requested three (3) allowances from the Zoning Ordinance: increased height, increased density, and decreased parking spaces.

On November 30, 2016, a legal notice was published in *The Wednesday Journal*, a newspaper of general circulation in the Village of Oak Park. A notice was posted at the Subject Property and letters were also mailed by the Applicants to taxpayers of record for property within five-hundred (500) feet of the Subject Property, advising them of the proposal and the public hearing to be held.

Pursuant to the legal notice, this Plan Commission conducted a public hearing on the application on December 15, 2016 and continued to January 19, 2017, at which times and places quorums of the members of the Plan Commission were present, the Applicants presented evidence and testimony and members of the public presented evidence and testimony either in favor, against, or neither for or against, the Applicants' proposal.

Having heard and considered the testimony and evidence at the public hearings, the Commission makes the following findings of fact:

### FINDINGS OF FACT

### The Subject Property.

- 1. The proposed development is located within the B1-B2 General Business

  District between Oak Park Avenue and Euclid Avenue.
- 2. The proposed development is located at 715-717 South Boulevard, Oak Park, Illinois (the "Subject Property").
- 3. The Applicants' proposal consists of a five (5) story building faced with brick and aluminum clad windows.
- 4. The Applicants propose facing the building with an aluminum storefront system to cover the first level of the proposed structure.
- 5. The proposal also includes fourteen (14) residential condominium units and approximately nine-hundred (900) square feet of first floor retail/commercial space, including twenty (20) parking spaces in the rear of the proposed building, accessing the alley to the south.

6. The Applicants' request for approval is accompanied by three (3) development allowances from the Zoning Ordinance: increased height, increased density, and a reduction in parking, as explained in more detail below.

### The Applicants.

- 7. 717 South Boulevard, LLC is a corporate entity whose partners include Mark Bolun, manager of 717 South Boulevard, LLC and Arthur Gurevich, authorized officer for the corporation.
- 8. Both Arthur Gurevich and Mark Bolun are partners in the construction company, Jenny Builders, which has completed numerous multi-family and mixed-use buildings throughout the Chicago area.
- 9. In Oak Park, Jenny Builders purchased the SoHo development and successfully completed it.
- 10. JCSA is a full service architectural design firm, having over twenty (20) years of experience in the field.

- 11. John Conrad Schiess, president of JCSA, is licensed to practice architecture in both California and Illinois, and is also a LEED Accredited Professional.
- 12. As part of their request, the Applicants submitted the following documentation pursuant to the requirements of Section 2.2.7(D)(2) of the Zoning Ordinance for "Major Planned Developments"
  - 1. Petition for Public Hearing, Legal Description and Proof of Ownership, including an affidavit of ownership
    - Certificate of Advisory Review from the Oak Park Historic Preservation Commission, dated June 20, 2016,
  - 2. Affidavit of Notice
  - 3. Application Fee
  - 4. Project Summary
  - 5. Professional Qualifications
  - 6. Proposed Financing
  - 7. Plat of Survey, dated March 12, 2015
  - 8. List and Map of Surrounding Property Owners
  - 9. Restrictions and Covenants
  - 10. Construction Schedule
  - 11. Construction Traffic Schedule
  - 12. Market and Feasibility Report, in letter form from Gloor Realty, Co., dated September 28, 2016
  - 13. Traffic Study, used from the Traffic Impact Study for District House Development prepared by KLOA, dated May 10, 2016
  - 14. No Parking Study submitted, waiver requested by Applicants

- 15. Village Services: Letters from Police Department (Nov. 17, 2016), Fire Department (Nov. 17, 2016), and Public Works Department (Nov. 21, 2016)
- 16. Environmental Report, prepared by Anderson Environmental Consulting, Inc., dated December 16, 2016
- 17. Perspective Drawings
- 18. Photographs of Surrounding Properties and Buildings
- 19. Location Map
- 20. Site Plan
- 21. Landscape Plan
- 22. No Detailed Sign Elevations, Applicants have requested a waiver
- 23. Building Elevations
- 24. Floor Plans
- 25. Exterior Lighting Plan
- 26. Shadow Study
- 27. Preliminary Engineering Plan, prepared by Greengard, Inc., dated October 4, 2016
- 28. Greater Downtown Model, scale model to be produced upon approval of Planned Development
- 29. No Energy Analysis, as Applicants have requested waiver due to conformance with LEED standards
- 30. No analysis of Historically Significant Properties, as Applicants have requested a waiver
- 31. Statement on LEED Requirements
- 32. Statement on Recordation of Plat
- 33. Neighborhood Meeting Notice
- 34. Additional design elevations and schematics, presented to the Plan Commission on January 19, 2017, at its request.

### Requested Waivers and Allowances.

13. The Applicant has requested waivers of four (4) application requirements: (1) Parking Study; (2) Historically Significant Properties, because this requirement is inapplicable to the Subject Property; (3) Energy Analysis, because the Applicants intend to meet LEED standards, and because such a geothermal analysis on this size property is not feasible; and (4) LEED Requirements, because the Applicants propose to meet the necessary LEED points without actual certification from or registration with the USCBC, but instead having a qualified, independent third-party commissioner verify that the proposal has achieved the necessary LEED points.

14. The Applicant also requests three (3) separate allowances in its proposal:

(1) Height; (2) Density; and (3) Decrease in required parking spaces.

### Height Allowance Request.

15. Section 3.8.1 A(2) of the Zoning Ordinance (B1-B2 General Business District height regulations) limits the height of all buildings and structures to forty-five (45) feet.

- 16. The Applicants request an allowance, as part of the proposed planned development, to construct a sixty (60) foot tall building, requesting an additional fifteen (15) feet, or thirty-three percent (33%).
- 17. Pursuant to the Zoning Ordinance, elevator overruns do not count towards overall building height, as the Zoning Ordinance defines building height for this building to the flat roof of the top floor, which is proposed to be sixty (60) feet. (Section 7.2.3.)
- 18. The additional height on this development site has minimal impact the neighboring properties relative to shadows cast and the height of the building.
- 19. The height of the proposed building fits within the established heights within the surrounding area.
- 20. Additionally, after meeting with the Village of Oak Park Historical Review Commission, the Applicants revised the proposal to set back the top floor of the building so that the impact of the additional height would be lessened.

### Density Allowance Request.

- 21. Section 3.8.1 A (b) B1-B2 of the Zoning Ordinance (General Business District Intensity of Use regulations) require a building that contains a combination of ground floor uses and multiple family above to meet the following:
  - a) Not more than three thousand (3,000) square feet of land for the first two (2) dwelling units; and
  - b) an additional seven-hundred (700) square feet of land for each dwelling unit in excess of two (2).
- 22. Based on the Subject Property's size of nine-thousand (9,000) square feet, the development would only be allowed to have ten (10) dwelling units as of right.
- 23. The Applicants request the Village to allow fourteen (14) dwelling units, an increase of four (4) dwelling units, or forty percent (40%).
- 24. The Subject Property is situated within a transit-oriented area where higher density mixed use developments should be more acceptable.

### Parking Space Reduction Allowance Request.

25. Section 6.2.2(D) of the Zoning Ordinance (Number of Required Off-Street Parking Spaces chart) indicates that two (2) parking spaces are required for each 3-bedroom or greater dwelling unit.

26. The Applicant's proposed development would have fourteen (14) dwelling units, thus requiring a total of twenty-eight (28) parking spaces for the residential component.

- 27. Additionally, one (1) parking space is required for each five-hundred (500) square feet of commercial/retail space.
- 28. The Applicants' proposal consists of approximately nine-hundred (900) square feet of commercial/retail space, thus requiring two (2) parking spaces.
- 29. The proposed development would provide a total of twenty (20) parking spaces.
- 30. The Applicants request relief for three (3) fewer parking spaces, or a reduction of fifteen percent (15%).

- 31. The Applicants' proposal would require thirty (30) total parking spaces, but the Subject Property is located in an area with a twenty-five percent (25%) credit afforded by the Zoning Ordinance.
- 32. Thus, the baseline for the Applicant is twenty-three (23) total parking spaces.
- 33. Additionally, the Subject Property is located within a transit-oriented area, has a Divvy bike station across the street, has public street parking spaces abutting it, and has a public parking garage nearby, thereby minimizing the need for the Applicant to provide all twenty-three (23) required spaces.

### Historic Preservation Commission Review.

34. On June 9, 2016, the Applicants met with the Village of Oak Park Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") to review the design of the proposal and its context to the surrounding areas.

35. On June 20, 2016, the HPC issued an opinion to the Applicants that: (1) the fifth floor setback was a positive step in the design; (2) the retail/commercial

space on the first floor fit with the character of the area; and (3) that the Applicants' consideration of improved fenestration on the proposal was a positive step.

### Commission's Suggested Design Changes.

36. After the first part of the public hearing on December 15, 2016, the Commission expressed certain desired changes to the Applicants, regarding the following design issues:

- a) Size, height and design of the balconies on the North Elevation;
- b) Definition and setback of the header panels on the North Elevation;
- c) Clear glass on the North Elevation;
- d) Excessive size of the north parapet;
- e) Unmatching storefronts with neighbors; and
- f) Desired continuous belt coursing.
- 37. Additionally, the Plan Commission wanted to ensure that it saw and approved of the exact materials that the Applicants would use on the project.

38. In response, for the January 19, 2017, continued public hearing, the Applicants provided a new design, along with modified floor plans, elevations and schematics.

### Architectural Design and Review.

- 39. The Village of Oak Park's architectural consultant, Floyd D. Anderson of Wight & Company, provided a memorandum dated October 6, 2016, that critiques the original proposed building elevations, with the following observations:
  - a) The banding is not obvious enough and should be emphasized;
  - b) The balconies detract from the manner in which the building fits into the Subject Property surroundings and should be minimized or completely removed.
  - c) The second floor apartments may be lacking in desirability if other taller buildings are built surrounding the Subject Property.

40. After the Applicants resubmitted the new designs for the January 19, 2017, public hearing, Mr. Anderson submitted a revised memorandum stating his opinion of the new designs:

We find the revisions to the design accurately reflect the changes requested by the Commission and suggested by our team in the previous review of the project. In particular, the modifications to the balconies and parapet height on the north elevation creates a more appropriate street wall and avoids setting a precedent of allowing projecting balconies on future projects in the Village. We assume the architect will present material boards indicating the color palette will be presented at the next meeting for further review and approval by the Plan Commission. Also, it should be noted that the non-architectural solutions, such as condominium bi-law restrictions on bicycles and grills on balconies and truck traffic in the alley will be handled separately by the village.

### Compliance with the Envision Oak Park Comprehensive Plan.

41. The newly-adopted Envision Oak Park Comprehensive Plan (the "Comprehensive Plan") establishes the objectives that set the standards for development within various areas of the Village.

- 42. The Comprehensive Plan is driven by Five Guiding Principles; Diversity, Urban Sustainability, Respect for Oak Park's History and Legacy, Collaboration and Cooperation, and Thriving Neighborhoods. The Applicants' proposal touches on each of these principles, helping to advance the Village's vision as defined by the Village citizens through the Comprehensive Plan.
- 43. The Applicants' proposal mainly implicates four (4) specific chapters (touches on others) within the Comprehensive Plan:
  - a) Chapter 4 "Land Use & Built Environment"
  - b) Chapter 7 "Neighborhood, Housing and Diversity"
  - c) Chapter 12 "Economic Health & Vitality" and
  - d) Chapter 13 "Environmental Sustainability."
- 44. The Comprehensive Plan stresses strengthening commercial districts as well as encouraging the symbiotic relationship between economic development and the overall quality of the community.

45. The Comprehensive Plan also supports the idea of encouraging a mix of uses and businesses that would help diversify the community's tax-base and provide a variety of consumer choices.

46. The Comprehensive Plan also encourages the active pursuit of businesses to address unmet gaps in local market demand.

47. The Plan encourages transit-oriented development with all types of housing.

48. In conjunction with these goals, the Future Land Use map supports more commercial/mixed use developments, which are thought to not only cater to residents, but also attract visitors from other communities. The proposed mixed-use development fits these recommendations. Additionally, sustainably is increasingly important, and highlighted in the Comprehensive Plan. The proposed development will at a minimum meet the LEED Certified points, striving to meet the Village's goals and objectives in new development.

### Compliance with the Greater Downtown Master Plan.

49. The Subject Property is located within the Greater Downtown Master Plan ("GDTMP") area, adopted by the Village Board in March 2005. The GDTMP includes the Downtown Oak Park District, the Pleasant District and the Hemingway District.

The Subject Property is within the Hemingway District.

50. The Village adopted the GDTMP not only to provide recommendations to enhance the long term retail and residential vibrancy of the district, but also to provide some degree of certainty of the direction of future development.

51. The GDTMP provides for a potential build-out capacity by 2020, which identifying new retail, office, residential, cultural, parking, and rehabbed retail and office development. The GDTMP encourages realistic and economically feasible development, finding it fundamental to attract investors.

52. The GDTMP fundamentally attempts to fulfill the community's goals and reflect the guiding principles established by the community. These fundamental

concepts aim to: Revitalize Retail, Reduce Traffic Congestion, Improve Transit Usage,
Provide Addition-al Open Space, and Enhance the Pedestrian Environment.

53. The GDTMP Land Use Framework diagram does not address the Subject Property other than possible renovation of the street.

54. Generally, however, the framework promotes a mix of uses, both vertically and horizontally. Mixed use buildings with housing on upper floors support the retail uses and relate to nearby transit services.

55. The GDTMP categorizes South Boulevard near the Subject Property ws a "secondary retail street," which is appropriate for adjacent retail, residential and other commercial uses.

56. A successful secondary retail street requires disciplined compliance with fundamental public realm requirements, such as: on-street parking, a walkable length (five minutes or 1/4 mile), a comfortable pedestrian environment with sidewalks, landscaping and street furniture.

57. The Applicants' proposal meets these public realm requirements.

- 58. However, the Applicants' proposed commercial space is only 900 square feet, not necessarily large enough to support a practical retail option.
- 59. The proposed mixed use is compatible with the surrounding area and helps toward the anticipated density for the greater downtown area.

### Parking, Traffic and Other Concerns.

- 60. PARKING: In addition to the twenty (20) private parking spaces, there would be available on-street parking spaces abutting the development site, a public parking garage across the elevated tracks to the north which is easily accessible for any overflow parking demand, permit parking or overnight parking needs, and a Divvy public bicycle station across the street.
- 61. The Applicants have asked for a waiver of providing a parking study.

  Staff supported the request due to the size of the development and options mentioned above.
- 62. TRAFFIC: Due to the relatively low density of the proposed development, there will be low traffic impact to the surrounding area. The Traffic

Study consists of a Trip Generation Assessment that shows a very low number of vehicles entering and exiting the subject site in the morning and evening peak times. Therefore staff did not feel a full traffic study was warranted.

- 63. Staff has concluded that the proposed development not have a traffic impact to the area. Intersections in the immediate area will remain at an acceptable level of service.
- 64. HOUSING: The Homes for a Changing Region report adopted by the Village Board in 2012 states the following regarding Future Residential Needs:

"We also note the potential to develop more upscale housing which can meet the needs of families with incomes exceeding \$75,000. Transit oriented housing may represent the real opportunity here. Although, these households may prefer to minimize housing expenses and save their money for other things."

65. The report further references Housing Demand by Type of Unit:

"When we combine our projections for new owner-occupied and rental housing in the future, we get a clearer picture of Oak Park's demand for additional housing units by type in 2030. What emerges is a "balanced housing" profile with demand for about 250 additional single family, 72 townhome and 847 multifamily homes between now

and 2030. This demand can be accommodated by filling existing vacancies, redevelopment or new construction."

66. The Applicants' proposal is in line with these categories and fulfills a portion of the demand anticipated before 2030.

### Public Art.

67. The Applicants have not yet met with Oak Park Arts Council, but will work with that body to ensure a mutually agreeable design, scale and location for the public art associated with this planned development request.

### Compensating Benefits.

68. In return for the Village providing allowances from Village regulations, the Applicants must provide compensating benefits which advance Oak Park's physical, cultural and social objectives (in accordance with the Envision Oak Park Comprehensive Plan and other approved plans) by having the Applicants provide specific amenities in the planned development. The compensating benefits which that accrue to residents of the area or to the community as a whole would be:

- a. Development of a vacant area on South Boulevard, continuing the setback wall and providing another ground level commercial/retail space.
- b. Improvements and/or reconstruction of the public sidewalk abutting the subject property.
- c. Coordinate with the Oak Park Arts Council to paint mini-murals approximating 60 lineal feet along the southern wall of the Metra embankment.
- d. Increased tax base to the Village and community.

### Conditions for Approval of the Planned Development.

- 69. The Plan Commission proposed including the following conditions on any approval of the Applicant's proposed planned development:
  - (1) That except as modified below, the Applicants develop the project comprised of multi-family residential use, retail/commercial use, private parking accessory to the foregoing uses, in substantial conformity with the Plans and Specifications submitted with their application. The Landscape Plan/vegetative roofing plan shall provide for the preservation, care and maintenance of the landscape and vegetative materials.

Additionally, the Applicants shall face the north façade of the structure with modular bricks substantially the same colors in the same façade locations as shown to the Plan Commission on January 19, 2017. The modular brick exterior materials shall continue to wrap around the front of the building to the sides, to the next logical break in the design, so

that there is no unnatural transition between the modular brick on the north façade and the larger-scale utility brick to be used on the other three façades.

- (2) That the Applicants engage a third-party commissioner to ensure and verify to Village Staff that the proposal meet the benchmark number of points for LEED certification requirements.
- (3) That upon review and advice of the Oak Park Arts Council, the Applicants provide public art at the planned development acceptable to the Village Board. The location of the art on the site and its accessibility to the general public will be mutually determined by the Developer and the Village.
- (4) That the Development include indoor bicycle storage and parking.
- (5) That the Development include as a part of its condominium declaration that no grills and or storage of bicycles or other personal items be allowed on the balconies.
- (6) That the Development include as a part of its condominium declaration that no semi-trailer truck traffic be allowed in any adjacent alley.
- (7) That the Applicants shall have the affirmative duty to make the Village aware of, and give the Village the opportunity to review, the condominium declaration documents and language referenced in Conditions 4, 5 and 6 above, prior to recordation, in order to ensure compliance.

- (8) That construction truck traffic be limited to Oak Park Avenue and South Boulevard, and not in any alley adjacent to the Subject Property. The Applicants shall submit their proposed route for construction traffic, its plan for construction parking, and its demolition and construction schedule to the Village Engineer for his/her review and approval.
- (9) That during construction of the proposed development, the Applicants post a conspicuous sign providing a local phone number which interested parties may call to obtain answers to questions about the project and its construction. Such telephone number shall be staffed during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, by a person with authority to address and remedy problems, including, but not limited to traffic, noise, maintenance and landscaping.
- (10) That the Applicants implement a construction-related Communications Plan. During construction of the proposed development, the Village will designate a staff liaison with whom the Applicants shall reasonably and timely communicate and cooperate.
- (11) That the Applicants ensure that all construction debris remains on the Subject Property and is removed on a regular basis. The Applicants shall also use best efforts to mitigate any offsite dust and debris.
- (12) That the Applicants have nine (9) months from the date of approval of the planned development to submit building permit applications to the Village; eighteen (18) months from the date of

approval of the planned development to begin construction; and thirty-six (36) months from the date of approval of the planned development to complete construction.

- (13) That the Applicants provide a list of exterior building, landscaping and design materials to be approved by the Village Staff, as detailed in their application and their submittals to the Plan Commission during the public hearing. The Applicants shall construct the project using the materials approved by the Village Board for the portions or areas of the project designated by the Village Board.
- (14) That in the event the Applicants or their respective successors or assigns fail to comply with one or more of the foregoing conditions and restrictions after ninety (90) days written notice by the Village or its agents, the President and Board of Trustees may thereafter revoke or limit this planned development, provided, however, that the Applicants or successors shall be deemed to have complied if they promptly commence a cure and diligently pursue that cure to completion where such cure is not reasonably susceptible to completion within such 90-day period.

### The Planned Development Standards.

70. Section 3.9.1(I) of the Zoning Ordinance sets forth the following numbered standards, which were met by the Applicant here:

### A. <u>Comprehensive Plan Standards</u>.

The proposed use or combination of uses is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan and has been considered in relation to any other plans adopted by the Village Board.

### B. <u>Municipal Services Standards</u>.

- 1. The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the use or combination of uses will not be materially detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare of the residents of the Village.
- 2. Adequate utilities, road access, drainage, police and fire service and other necessary facilities already exist or will be provided to serve the proposed use or combination of uses, including access for fire, sanitation, and maintenance equipment.
- Adequate ingress and egress to the proposed planneddevelopment site already exists or will be provided in a manner that adequately addresses additional traffic congestion in the public streets and promotes a safe and comfortable pedestrian environment.

### C. Vicinity Standards.

1. The proposed use or combination of uses will not substantially diminish the use or enjoyment of other property in the vicinity for those uses or combination of uses that are permitted by the Zoning Ordinance of the Village.

- The proposed use or combination of uses will not have a substantial or undue adverse effect upon property values in the vicinity.
- 3. The proposed design, use or combination of uses will complement the character of the surrounding neighborhood.

### D. <u>Economic Development Standards</u>.

- 1. The Applicant has the financial and technical capacity to complete the proposed use or combination of use.
- 2. The proposed use or combination of uses is economically feasible and does not pose a current or potential burden upon the services, tax base, or other economic factors that affect the financial operations of the Village, except to extent that such burden is balanced by the benefit derived by the Village from the proposed use.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

Pursuant to the authority vested in it by the statues of the State of Illinois and the ordinances of the Village of Oak Park, and based on the above findings the testimony and the evidence presented at the public hearing, this Plan Commission, sitting as a Zoning Commission, hereby recommends, by a vote of 8-0, to the President and Board of Trustees that the planned development, as presented to the Village, be GRANTED to the Applicants, their successors and assigns, under the provisions of the Zoning Ordinance to permit construction of the proposed planned development at the Subject Property.

This report adopted by an 8-0 vote of the Plan Commission, sitting as a Zoning Commission, this 19<sup>th</sup> Day of January, 2017.



# john conrad schiess architect

PROPERTY OWNER: 717 South Boulvard, LLC 3528 Walnut Avenue Wilmette, Illinois 60091 phone; 847-401-2642 GOPPRIGHT, John C. Schless, Architect acpressly preserve that common law copyrights and other copyrights in these planes controlled registration of the proposed of the preserved of the preserved of the planes are not to be expoduced of huminged or copied in any form or marrier wherever, nor any to be assigned whatever, nor and they to be assigned the appress written premission and the appress written premission and connear of John Cormad Schless.

Revised for PC	01.09.17
Revised for PD	09.29.16
Submitt for PD	09.13.16
Revised for Technical	16.17.18
Revised for Technical	16.17.18
Review	1

The RESIDENCES

Sheet Title
RENDERING



# john conrad schiess architect 400 Ashland Avenue River Forest Illinois 60305 tel. 708.386.1500 john@jcsarchitect.com

COPPRIGHT, John C. Schiecs, Architect separaby traver their common law expressly traver their common law copyrights in these plane contains right and material registration of their copyrights in these plane such changed or not lot to trapoduced relaringed or copied in any form or manner are copied in any form or manner are copied in any form or manner with the party without first obtaining the argument man and manner sometime transition and the argument without party without first obtaining the argument with common connection and connection desired and common comment of John Cormal Schieses.

PROPERTY OWNER: 717 South Boulward, LLC 3528 Walnut Avenue Wilmette, Illinois 60091 phone: 847-401-2642

Revised for PC	01.09.17
Revised for PD	09.29.16
Submitt for PD	09.13.16
Revised for Technical	09.13.16
Revised for Technical	09.17.16
Review	05.17.16
Review	0

The RESIDENCES at SOUTH BOULEVARD

Sheef Tille RENDERING



## john conrad schiess architect 400 Ashland Avenue River Forest Illinois 80305 tel. 708.386.1500 john@josarchitect.com


COPYRIGHT, John C. Schiess, Architect supersylvative flow, common law supersylvative flow, common law supersylvative flow, these plants counted redging plants. These plants counted redging and material and ideas. Those plants counted redging are material and ideas. Those plants counted redging are material and ideas. Those plants are supersylvative to the production of the plants withing the plants within the p

### PROPERTY OWNER: 717 South Bouleard, LLC 3528 Walnut Avenue Wilmette, Illinois 60091 phone: 847-401-2642

SOUTH BOULEVARD The RESIDENCES

Revised for PC | 01.09.17

Revised for Plan
Commission Hearing | 12.22.16
Issued for Plan
Issued for Plan
Commission Hearing | 12.15.16
Date



### STREETSCAPE ALONG SOUTH BOULEVARD


John conrad schiess architect


GOPPROHIL JUTIO, SUTIONA, Autiliard representations are represented in the copyright and other copyright in those plans are compared in these plans are metaled and ideas. These plans are not to be memorished fortuped of or outside it and form or instant we have been at its result and the copy plans are plans are plans are not to be memorished for the order of the plans are plans are plant and are plant an


PROPERTY OWNER: 717 South Boukerd, LLC 3529 Walnet Avonero Winnerts, Blooks 6009: pruner: 947-401-2042

The RESIDENCES Revised for PC 01.09.17
Revised for Plan
Commission Hearing 12.22.16
Issued for Plan
Commission Hearing 12.15.16
Date

Street Tille STREET SCAPE VIEW







### PROPOSED NORTH ELEVATION SOME 187 = 1.0°

COPPRIGHT. John C. Schless, Architect expressly treaven that common taw copyright and other copyrights in these planes control to the special common taken the special common to the proposal common to the copyright in any form or manner copying in any form or manner common to anything party, without first obtaining the express withing premission and connected John Corman Schless and Common Corman Schless. john conrad schiess architect

PROPERTY OWNER: 717 South Boulvard, LLC 3528 Walnut Avenue Wilmette, Illinois 60091 phone: 847-401-2642

The RESIDENCES 


John conrad schiess architect @ 2015

Revised for PC | 01.09.17

02.08.16 Date

Sheet Title
ELEVATIONS





## John conrad schiess architect

PROPERTY OWNER: 717 South Boukard LLC 3529 Mehrit Avenue Witnerte, il feois 6089\* onone: 847-481-2042

COPPIRIGNT, Joyn C. Servisee, Aprilled: especially servine meritarians are opportunities and other coppingly in these plants are directly and other coppingly in the other coppingly in the other coppingly in the other coppingly and other coppingly are directly of our coppingly in any form of the opportunities and other coppingly in any form of the other coppingly in any form of the other coppingly of coppingly of the opportunities of the other containing of John Committee on the

Revised for PC 01.09.17

Revised for PD 109.18.16


Burbalt for PD 100.18.16


Revised for Technical D5.31.16

Revised for Technical D5.31.16 Acal 02.08.16 Date

### The RESIDENCES

Sheet Title ELEVATIONS





john conrad schiess architect
400 Ashland Avenue River Forest Illinois 60305
tel. 708.386.1500 john@j.carchitect.com

PROPERTY OWNER: 717 South Boulvard, LLC 3528 Walnut Avenue Wilmette, Illinois 60091 phone: 847-401-2642 COPPRIGHT. John C. Schletz, Architect expressly reterve his common law copyright and other copyrights in these planes control original matching and feast. Those planes control original matching and feast. Those planes are not lot be reportuded riminged or not lot be reportuded riminged or original in any form or manner assigned virtuations or, more any they to be assigned virtuations, without first originality the express withing party, without first originality the express withing party.

Revised for PC | 01.09.17 Revised for PC | 12.22.16

The RESIDENCES

Sheet Title LINE OF SIGHT

SK4.3

