

*****DISCLAIMER*****

THE FOLLOWING IS AN UNEDITED DRAFT TRANSLATION. THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE VERBATIM, HAS NOT BEEN PROOFREAD AND MAY CONTAIN ERRORS. PLEASE CHECK WITH THE SPEAKER(S) FOR ANY CLARIFICATION.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE COPIED OR DISSEMINATED UNLESS YOU OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE OFFICE OR SERVICE DEPARTMENT THAT IS PROVIDING CART CAPTIONING TO YOU.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE USED IN A COURT OF LAW. -MB

*****DISCLAIMER*****

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, GOOD EVENING ALL.
IT IS 7:04 PM.

I WOULD LIKE TO CALL THIS MEETING OF THE VILLAGE BOARD TO ORDER.
CLICK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE ENYIA, TRUSTEE EDER, TRUSTEE STRAW, TRUSTEE WESLEY, TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN, PRESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: HERE.
ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AS AS BEEN PRESENTED?

>>SPEAKER: MOTION.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MOTION BY TRUSTEE EDER AND SECOND BY LEVING-JACOBSEN PEERED ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)

AYE AND ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES FROM THE JANUARY 13 REGULAR MEETING OF THE VILLAGE BOARD.

>>SPEAKER: MOVE.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MOTION BY LEVING-JACOBSEN AND SECOND BY EDER AND ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)

AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THE MINUTES HAVE BEEN APPROVED, VILLAGE MANAGERS REPORT.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: THANK YOU PRESIDENT SCAMAN, NO REPORT TONIGHT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SO, WE DO HAVE A CITIZEN COMMISSION VACANCY REPORT AS PROVIDED BY THE VILLAGE CLERK, ANYONE INTERESTED IN SERVING ON THE VILLAGE BOARD OR COMMISSION CAN EMAIL CLERK WATERS AT CLERK@OAK-PARK.US.

I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS HAS BEEN PRESENTED?

DID I SKIP RIGHT OVER THERE?

>>MANAGER JACKSON: PRESIDENT SCAMAN NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT AND ALSO THE PROCLAMATION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, I DON'T KNOW HOW I DID THAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: EFFICIENCY!

HOWEVER, OKAY, NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT, DO WE HAVE ANY THIS EVENING?

>>CLERK WATERS: YES, WE HAVE ONE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

>>CLERK WATERS: MICHAEL?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: HELLO, MICHAEL.

PLEASE.

>>MICHAEL: GOOD EVENING EVERYBODY.

MY NAME IS MICHAEL GIBBS.

BORN AND RAISED FORMALLY ON 140 S. EUCLID AND NOW I LIVE IN THE EVIL EMPIRE TO THE WEST.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMITMENT AND SACRIFICES TO SERVE THE RESIDENTS OF OAK PARK.

I APPEAR BEFORE YOU TONIGHT WITH GREAT RELUCTANCE.

I HAVE A VARIETY OF LAW ENFORCEMENT FAMILY MEMBERS AND I MYSELF SERVE TWO TERMS OF TRUSTEE FOR RIVER FOREST, COMMUNITY SERVICE IS IN MY FAMILY TRAITS.

I DO NOT BELIEVE IN AIRING DIRTY LAUNDRY IN A PUBLIC OREM.

BUT, I HAVE BEEN DRIVEN TO THIS POINT BY A LACK OF CONCERN BY THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK POLICE DEPARTMENT.

AT 12:30 AM ON DECEMBER 20, MY 22-YEAR-OLD DAUGHTER WAS RETURNING HOME AND WAS STOPPED AT A RED LIGHT AT HARLEM AND RANDOLPH.

SHE WAS REAR-ENDED AND THE OFFENDER DROVE AWAY LIVING HIS BUMPER AND LICENSE PLATE IMPALED TO THE REAR OF MY MERCEDES CAUSING \$4000 DAMAGE. POLICE WERE CALLED AND ARRIVED OVER 45 MINUTES LATER.

THE RESPONDING OFFICER TOLD MY DAUGHTER THAT IS WHY YOU HAVE AN INSURANCE COMPANY.

NO ATTEMPT WAS MADE THE NIGHT OF THE OFFENSE TO GO TO MELROSE PARK TO THE RESIDENT OF THE OFFENDER TO SEE HEAVEN FOR BID IF HE WAS A DRUNK DRIVER.

A CRIME HAD BEEN COMMITTED.

12 DAYS PAST BEFORE I WAS ABLE TO OBTAIN A REPORT FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO PASS ON TO STATE FARM TO FILE THE CLAIM.

AFTER SPEAKING WITH THE PATROLMAN, THE PATROL SERGEANT, THE PATROL COMMANDER, I WAS REPEATEDLY TOLD THAT IT IS NOW STATE FARM'S PROBLEM. THE COMMANDER ACTUALLY TELLING ME THAT OAK PARK WILL MAKE NO EFFORT TO APPREHEND THE OFFENDER.

OTHER POLICE DEPARTMENTS HAVE TOLD ME THAT THE OFFENDER HAD PRIOR NO INSURANCE INCIDENT IN JULY.

A HIT-AND-RUN CRIME HAD BEEN COMMITTED BY A REPEAT OFFENDER AND NOTHING IS GOING TO BE DONE BY THE OAK PARK POLICE.

I CANNOT EVEN GET A RETURN PHONE CALL FROM THE DAY COMMANDER.

MULTIPLE OTHER FRIENDS THAT SERVED IN POLICE DEPARTMENTS HAVE TOLD ME " OAK PARK WILL NOT DO ANYTHING." NEARLY 80 YEARS AGO MY GRANDFATHER WAS THE POLICE COMMISSIONER OF THE OAK PARK LEASE DEPARTMENT.

TIMES HAVE NOT CHANGED FOR THE BETTER.

AS THE ELECTED OFFICIALS OF OAK PARK, I DO NOT BLAME THE POLICE, I BLAME LEADERSHIP.

ARE YOU OKAY WITH THIS REPUTATION IN THE GREATER COMMUNITY OF WHAT YOUR POLICE DEPARTMENT WILL DO WITH THIS INCIDENT?

I WILL ASK AN EVEN MORE SIMPLE QUESTION.

WHAT IF IT WAS YOUR DAUGHTER?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU FOR MAKING SURE WE ARE AWARE OF THAT SITUATION.

OKAY, VILLAGE MANAGER IS STATING THAT HE WILL SHARE A RESPONSE AND I BELIEVE WE WILL GET AN UPDATE, AS WELL.

THAT WAY WE CAN FOLLOW-UP APPROPRIATELY.

THANK YOU.

DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT THIS EVENING?

OKAY.

AND THEN WITH NO VILLAGE MANAGER REPORTS, WE WENT THROUGH THE VACANCY AND I'M DOING IT AGAIN - PROCLAMATION.

I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE A PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING DOCTOR MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. DAY IN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MOTION BY TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN AND SECOND BY TRUSTEE WESLEY.

AND I HAVE ASKED TRUSTEE ENYIA IF YOU WOULD PLEASE READ THIS PROCLAMATION FOR US ALOUD.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: NO PROBLEM.

IT WOULD BE MY HONOR.

DOCTOR MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. DAY - WHEREAS, DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., BORN JANUARY 15, 1929, IN ATLANTA, GEORGIA, WAS A TRANSFORMATIVE LEADER OF THE AMERICAN CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT WHOSE MORAL CLARITY, COURAGE, AND COMMITMENT TO NONVIOLENCE RESHAPED THE NATION'S CONSCIENCE AND ADVANCED THE CAUSE OF RACIAL JUSTICE; AND

WHEREAS, DR. KING'S LEADERSHIP WAS DEEPLY INFORMED BY HIS FAITH, HIS FAMILY, AND THE INFLUENCE OF HIS FATHER, THE REVEREND MARTIN LUTHER KING, SR., A RESPECTED PASTOR AND CIVIL RIGHTS ADVOCATE WHO INSTILLED IN HIS SON THE ENDURING PRINCIPLES OF DIGNITY, SERVICE, AND SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY; AND WHEREAS, ALONGSIDE DR. KING, CORETTA SCOTT KING EMERGED AS A CIVIL RIGHTS LEADER IN HER OWN RIGHT, SUSTAINING AND EXPANDING THE MOVEMENT FOR JUSTICE AND PEACE, PRESERVING DR. KING'S LEGACY, AND REMINDING THE NATION THAT THE WORK OF EQUITY REQUIRES PERSISTENCE, COURAGE, AND COLLECTIVE ACTION; AND

WHEREAS, DR. KING TAUGHT THAT "EVERYBODY CAN BE GREAT, BECAUSE EVERYBODY CAN SERVE," AND CALLED UPON INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES TO MEASURE THEIR LIVES BY THEIR SERVICE TO OTHERS, A CALL THAT CONTINUES TO GUIDE COMMUNITIES STRIVING TO BUILD UNDERSTANDING, EQUITY, AND MUTUAL RESPECT; AND

WHEREAS, THE ASSASSINATION OF DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. ON APRIL 4, 1968, AT THE AGE OF 39, WAS A PROFOUND LOSS TO THE NATION AND THE WORLD, UNDERSCORING BOTH THE COSTS OF CONFRONTING INJUSTICE AND THE URGENCY OF CONTINUING THE WORK TO SECURE CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS FOR ALL PEOPLE; AND

WHEREAS, THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS ESTABLISHED DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. DAY AS A FEDERAL HOLIDAY TO HONOR HIS LIFE AND LEGACY, AND DESIGNATED IT AS A NATIONAL DAY OF SERVICE, ENCOURAGING AMERICANS TO TRANSFORM REMEMBRANCE INTO ACTION BY STRENGTHENING THEIR COMMUNITIES THROUGH SERVICE AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT; AND

WHEREAS, THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK STRIVES TO EMBODY DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.'S VISION OF A BELOVED COMMUNITY AS A GROUP OF PEOPLE THAT COULD COME TOGETHER TO SOLVE ANY SOCIAL ISSUE, DIVISION OR STRUGGLE. ONE OF THE GREATEST STRENGTHS OF OAK PARK IS THE DIVERSITY OF ITS PEOPLE WHO COME FROM MANY DIFFERENT WALKS OF LIFE. MAY WE NEVER LOSE SIGHT OF THIS AS A UNIFIER AND NEVER SOW DIVISION; AND

WHEREAS, THE MOST POIGNANT QUESTIONS WE HERE IN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK MUST ASK OURSELVES: WHAT WILL BE THE LEGACY OF SOCIAL AND CIVIL RIGHTS IN OAK PARK?

WHAT ARE WE WILLING TO SACRIFICE TO CONTINUE TO BE A LEADER IN SOCIAL JUSTICE?

WHAT WILL THE YOUTH IN OAK PARK INHERIT AND LEARN FROM OUR ACTIONS OR LACK THEREOF?

AS DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. STATED, "THE ULTIMATE MEASURE OF A MAN/PERSON IS NOT WHERE HE/THEY STAND IN MOMENTS OF COMFORT AND CONVENIENCE, BUT WHERE HE/THEY STAND AT TIMES OF CHALLENGE AND CONTROVERSY." MAY WE ALL COMMIT TO BANISHING OUR COMFORT ZONES IN THE PURSUIT OF RACIAL AND SOCIAL JUSTICE AND CARRY THE SPIRIT OF DR. MARTIN LUTHER AND CORETTA SCOTT KING ALONG THE WAY.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, CHIBIKUE ENYIA AND THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES DO HEREBY PROCLAIM JANUARY 20, 2026, AS DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. DAY IN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK, IL, AND URGE ALL COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO OBSERVE THE ANNIVERSARY OF DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.'S BIRTH AS A TIME OF REFLECTION, LEARNING, AND SERVICE ON RACIAL AND RECOMMIT THEMSELVES TO ADVANCING EQUITY, JUSTICE, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL. ALTHOUGH HIS LEGACY AND THE LEGACY OF HIS WIFE CORETTA SCOTT KING ARE UNPARALLELED, WE ALL CAN AND MUST COMMIT TO CREATING OUR OWN LEGACY OF SOCIAL JUSTICE HERE IN OAK PARK.

DATED THIS 20TH DAY OF JANUARY 2026.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU FOR READING THAT OUT LOUD AND THANK YOU TO OUR STAFF AND VILLAGE CLERK OFFICE FOR BRINGING THIS PROCLAMATION FORWARD ANNUALLY WITH THOUGHT AND PREPARATION INTO THE WORDS THAT WE ARE SHARING WITH OUR COMMUNITY.

I WAS GOING TO SHARE SOME COMMENTS FOR THE END OF THE MEETING HAVING ALONG WITH SOME PROTESTS THAT HAPPENED DURING THE DAY TODAY THAT I SUPPORT, BUT THE PROCLAMATION ITSELF IS MAKING ME WANT TO JUST SHARE THESE WORDS OF MARTIN LUTHER KING AND RESERVE MY COMMENTS FOR AGAIN AT THE END.

HOLD ON, I AM TRYING TO TIME THIS SO THAT YOU CAN HEAR.

(VIDEO PLAYING)

>>

MAY BE WE CAN UNDERSTAND SOME OF THESE ILLEGAL INJUNCTIONS.
IT MAY BE I CAN UNDERSTAND THE DENIAL OF CERTAIN FIRST AMENDMENT PRIVILEGES BECAUSE THEY HAVE COMMITTED THEMSELVES, BUT SOMEWHERE I READ THAT THE FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY AND SOMEWHERE I READ OF THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND SOMEWHERE I READ OF THE FREEDOM OF PRESS AND SOMEWHERE I READ THE GREATNESS OF AMERICA IS THE RIGHT TO PROTEST.
WE WILL NOT LET ANY DOGS OR WATER HOSES TURN US AROUND.
WE WILL NOT LET ANY INJUNCTION TURN US AROUND.
AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT WILL HAPPEN NOW.
WE HAVE SOME DIFFICULTIES AHEAD.
BUT, IT REALLY DOES NOT MATTER NOW, BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN TO THE MOUNTAINTOP.
LIKE ANYBODY I WOULD LIKE TO LIVE A LONG LIFE, LONG JEVITY HAS ITS PLACE.
I AM NOT CONCERNED ABOUT THAT NOW.
I JUST WANT TO DO GOD'S WILL AND HE HAS ALLOWED ME TO GO UP TO THE MOUNTAIN AND I LOOKED OVER AND I HAVE SEEN THE PROMISED LAND.
I MAY NOT GET THERE WITH YOU, BUT I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT FOR ME AS A PEOPLE WILL GET TO THE PROMISED LAND.

AND SO I AM NOT WORRIED ABOUT ANYTHING.
I AM NOT FEARING ANY MAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THERE IS NOTHING THAT I CAN SAY BETTER THAN MARTIN LUTHER KING HIMSELF.
WE SERVE AND PROTECT OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT THE RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF SPEECH, PRESS AND THE PROTESTS.
AND I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO ALL MY COLLEAGUES FOR OUR SERVICE TO OUR COMMUNITY AND AS WE SAID IN A PROCLAMATION WE ARE COMMITTED FULLY TO CONTINUING TO FIGHT FOR JUSTICE FOR ALL.
ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)
AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: DID WE HAVE A MOTION?
>>TRUSTEE STRAW: IT WAS MOVED AND SECONDED.
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WHO MOVED AND SECONDED?
>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: JENNA AND THEN I SECONDED.
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, WE ALL CAUGHT UP ON OUR PAGE AND OUR SERVICE AND OUR MEETING THIS EVENING.
THANK YOU.
I DON'T WANT US MAKING NOISE -
>>TRUSTEE EDER: DO WE DO THE ALL IN FAVOR AGAIN?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)
AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA.
>>SPEAKER: MOVED.
>>SPEAKER: SECOND.
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)
AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: APPROVED.
WE HAVE A STUDY SESSION TO REVIEW PROPOSED ENERGY GRANT GUIDELINES FOR 2026
AND OTHER ENERGY EFFICIENCY RELATED PROGRAMMING. I WILL TURN IT OVER TO VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON.
>>MANAGER JACKSON: I WILL TURN THIS OVER TO LINDSAY, CHIEF SUSTAINABILITY OFFICER AND JUST ACKNOWLEDGE DEPUTY VILLAGE MANAGER AMAN IS HERE WITH THE OFFICE OF SUSTAINABILITY AND RESILIENCE.
LINDSAY?
>>LINDSEY: CHIEF SUSTAINABILITY OFFICER.

SO, TONIGHT WE ARE GOING TO GO OVER A FEW CONCEPTS AS WELL AS REVIEW THE RESULTS OF THE 2025 ENERGY GRANTS AND PRESENT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 2026 GRANT GUIDELINES AND SOME OTHER PROGRAMMING THAT WE CAN DO TO HELP US MEET SOME OF THE GOALS THAT WE HAVE.

SO, I WILL START BY TALKING BUILDING STRUCTURE FROM ITS AND FUSING CREDITS AND THIS RECOMMENDATION COMES OUT OF WHAT WE HEARD YEAR FROM THE BOARD AND AN INTEREST IN WAIVING FEES FOR IMPROVEMENTS FOR PROPERTIES THAT RELATE TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY AS WELL AS AN INTEREST IN TRACKING THINGS LIKE THE INSTALLATION OF ELECTRIC HEAT PUMPS AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS.

SO, WHAT WE ARE PROPOSING DOING IS CREATING A SUSTAINABILITY CREDIT THAT WOULD ESSENTIALLY BE AN ADDITIONAL PERMIT CATEGORY THAT WOULD HAVE A NEGATIVE VALUE TO IT.

AND THIS WILL BE DESIGNED TO COVER THE PERMIT FEES OF THAT IMPROVEMENT AS WELL AS OTHERS AND WE HAVE \$100,000 IN FISCAL YEAR 26 AND THE SUSTAINABILITY BUDGET AND WE ARE PROPOSING THE CREDITS BECAUSE IT MAKES IT EASIER TO TRACK THE SPECIFIC IMPROVEMENTS AND ALSO WE ARE PROPOSING AN ENERGY FEE THAT RELATE TO THE BUILDING ITSELF, THE ENVELOPE AND ANYTHING THAT COULD CONCEIVABLY COVER THE ENERGY USE AND SO IT WOULD NOT BE DRIVEWAYS OR ANYTHING THAT IS NOT RELATED TO ENERGY.

WE ARE 2700 SUCH PERMITS AND WE HAD A \$40 FEE ON TOP OF THAT WOULD EQUAL ABOUT \$100,000 A YEAR MAKING THIS PROGRAM REVENUE NEUTRAL WHICH IS OUR GOAL.

AND SO THE BENEFITS OF THIS IS ALLOWING US TO BETTER TRACK THESE PROJECTS OF INTEREST AND A REVENUE STREAM TO SUPPORT THE CREDITS AS WELL AS PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INCENTIVE FOR ELECTRIFICATION.

SO, WE ARE PROPOSING CRATING THESE CREDIT CATEGORIES FOR SPECIFIC IMPROVEMENTS OF INTEREST SUCH AS ELECTRIC HEAT PUMPS AND HYBRID HEAT PUMP SYSTEMS AND RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ELECTRICAL WORK AND ELECTRIC WATER HEATERS.

WE ARE PRICING THE CREDITS AT \$190, BECAUSE THAT WILL COVER MOSTLY THE BILLING FEES BETWEEN 150 AND \$175 DEPENDING ON THE PROJECT AND THE \$40 ENERGY FEE.

ESSENTIALLY CANCELING THOSE OUT ON THE BUILDING PERMIT FEES.

SLIGHTLY SMALLER ON THE HYBRID HEAT PUMP, BECAUSE WE RECOGNIZE THAT IS NOT FULLY ELECTRIC, BUT WE ALSO TO ENCOURAGE EVERYONE TO IMPROVE THE EFFICIENCY EVEN IF ELECTRIC IS OUT OF REACH.

AND WITH THE FEEDBACK WE RECEIVED TONIGHT WE WILL INCORPORATE THAT TO THE CREDIT GUIDELINES AND BRING THAT BACK TO YOU NEXT WEEK FOR APPROVAL AND THEN WHEN DEVELOPMENT SERVICES BRING FORWARD THEIR SCHEDULE, YOU WILL SEE THESE FEES ON THERE, AS WELL.

FOR THE ENERGY GRANTS WE WANT TO START WITH JUST GOING OVER THE 2025 RESULTS.

SO, WE DID OUTREACH IN THE COMMUNITY TO BE SURE THAT PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF THE GRANTS AND WE WORKED WITH CLIMATE OUTREACH OLD MARK TO HELP US EXPAND OUR REACH BEYOND OUR OFFICE AND OUR VILLAGE OUTREACH.

WE INCLUDED INFORMATION IN THE OP FYI, THE E NEWS AND STAFF FROM MY OFFICE AND NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES WERE AT MULTIPLE EVENTS THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY AND OF COURSE OUR VERY TALENTED COMMUNICATIONS TEAM MADE A PROMO VIDEO STARRING OUR DEPUTY CFO.

AND OF COURSE WE HAD SUPPORT FROM AYE FOUR, INTERFAITH GREEN AND OTHER COMMUNITY PARTNERS.

THIS RESULTED US RECEIVING 84 APPLICATIONS BUT WE HAD A LOT OF INTEREST IN WEATHERIZATION, WE SAW IN OUR LOWER INCOME A LARGE INTEREST IN WINDOWS AND DOORS AND RENEWABLE ENERGY BEING POPULAR WITH OUR HIGHER INCOME.

JUST A REMINDER OF WHAT OUR GUIDELINES WERE IN 2025, WE HAD THREE TIERS AND ONE BEING BELOW 80% AMI AND THESE WERE ALSO ELIGIBLE FOR THE CDBG WITH A \$10,000 GRANT WITH NO MATCH AND TIER 2 WAS BETWEEN 80 AND 120 AND THAT WAS A \$5000 MATCHING GRANT AND THE THIRD WAS ABOVE 120 PERCENT OF THE AREA MEDIAN INCOME THAT WAS A THOUSAND DOLLAR GRANT.

AND SO SOME LESSONS WE LEARN WE FOUND THAT BEING ABLE TO PAY THE CONTRACTOR DIRECTLY WAS BENEFICIAL FOR ALL INCOME LEVELS.

WE STARTED OUT OFFERING IT JUST AT TIER 1 AND IT WAS BENEFICIAL ACROSS THE BOARD.

SO, IT WAS AVAILABLE TO EVERYONE.

WE HEARD THAT THE APPLICATION PROCESS COULD BE A CHALLENGE AND SO WE WILL BE PROPOSING SOME CHANGES TO HELP STREAMLINE THAT.

WE SPOKE FREQUENTLY WITH OPCAN AND THE CLIMATE COACHES AND THEY SHARED THE EVEN FOR HOUSEHOLD SATIRE INCOME LEVEL OF THE INITIAL CAPITAL OUTLINE WAS A CHALLENGE AND WE WILL ADDRESS THAT WITH SOME OF OUR RECOMMENDATIONS, AS WELL.

WE HEARD AN INTEREST IN SUPPORTING APPLIANCES THAT ARE NOT CURRENTLY ALLOWED UNDER THE GRANT PROGRAM THINGS LIKE INDUCTION STOVES OR WINDOW HEAT PUMPS.

AND WE ALSO PARTICIPATED IN A TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM WITH ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY THAT PRODUCED A REPORT THAT DEMONSTRATED THE BENEFITS OF FOCUSING ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS ON OUR MULTI-HOUSING STOCK.

WE PROVIDED A MEMO ABOUT THAT I BELIEVE LAST WEEK AND THERE WILL ALSO BE A PRESENTATION FROM ARGONNE NEXT WEEK ON THAT, AS WELL.

SO, WE ARE GOING TO BE RECOMMENDING SOME CHANGES TO THE GUIDELINES. MOSTLY CLARIFYING ELIGIBLE COSTS AND CLARIFYING WHAT IS ELIGIBLE UNDER THE HVAC SYSTEMS AND CLARIFYING THAT WATER HEATERS CAN BE A GAS TO ELECTRIC CONVERSION OR ELECTRIC TO A MORE EFFICIENT ELECTRIC CONVERSION AS WELL AS ADDING SOME CLARIFYING LANGUAGE AROUND EV CHARGERS AND EB RELATED INTERCEPTORS.

THAT WAS SOMETHING WE HEARD FROM THE BOARD, AS WELL.

WE RECOMMEND REMOVING THE MATCHING REQUIREMENT FOR ALL GRANTS. WE WILL BE ENCOURAGING, BUT NOT REQUIRING THE ENERGY ASSESSMENT AND IN PART THIS IS BECAUSE WE WILL BE OPENING OUR ENERGY NAVIGATOR HOPEFULLY IN THE NEXT MONTH OR TWO AND SO FREE ENERGY ASSESSMENTS WILL BE AVAILABLE AND WILL BE ENCOURAGING PEOPLE TO GO TO THAT PROGRAM PRIOR TO DOING THE PROJECTS THAT THEY ARE SUBMITTING FOR THE GRANTS.

WE WILL BE ENCOURAGING, BUT NOT REQUIRING THREE BINS. IT IS GOOD PRACTICE TO GET MULTIPLE BINS, BUT WE DO RECOGNIZE THAT THIS HAS BEEN A BARRIER FOR SOME APPLICANTS THIS YEAR AND WE ARE ALSO REMOVING THE REQUIREMENT FOR 12 MONTHS OF ENERGY BILLS AND WE ARE STILL GOING TO BE ASKING FOR THIS SO WE CAN TRACK IMPROVEMENTS, BUT WE ARE JUST NOT GOING TO BE ASKING FOR IT AS PART OF THE APPLICATION.

SO, OUR RECOMMENDATIONS FOR 2026 IS THAT FOR THE ENERGY GRANTS THAT WE INCREASE THE AMOUNT FOR THE LOWER INCOME HOUSEHOLDS. INCREASING THE GRANT FROM \$10,000 UP TO \$20,000 FOR HOUSEHOLDS OF 80% AMI ENVELOPE WE BELIEVE WILL ALLOW THOSE HOUSEHOLDS TO DO LARGER MORE IMPACTFUL PROJECTS AND POSSIBLY REDUCE THE BARRIER TO ENTRY FOR MORE OF OUR LOWER INCOME HOUSEHOLDS.

FOR HOUSEHOLDS BETWEEN 80 AND 120 AMI WE RECOMMEND KEEPING THE 5000 DOLLAR GRANT AND REMOVING THE MATCHING REQUIREMENT. I SPOKE WITH OPCAN TODAY AND THEY RECOMMENDED RAISING THAT UP TO 7500. AND FOR THE 120% OR ABOVE WE RECOMMEND ELIMINATING THE \$1000 GRANT AND INSTEAD WE ARE SUGGESTING THAT WE CREATE AN ENERGY LOAN. THIS WOULD BE A \$10,000 NO INTEREST FIVE YEAR LOAN WITH ANNUAL REPAYMENTS THAT WOULD BE OPEN TO ANY HOUSEHOLD AT ANY INCOME LEVEL. THIS WOULD ALLOW TO OVERCOME THE CAPITAL OUTLAY PROBLEM THAT WE HEARD OF FOR EVEN OUR HIGHER INCOME HOUSEHOLDS AS WELL AS HELP THE MIDDLE AND LOWER INCOME HOUSEHOLDS INVEST IN LARGER PROJECTS WITH THE ADDITIONAL FINANCING.

AND IN ADDITION WE ARE ALSO PRESENTING THE IDEA OF CREATING A MULTI FAMILY PROPERTY LOAN. THIS CAME OUT OF THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FROM ARGONNE THAT DEMONSTRATED THIS WAS A REALLY GOOD PLACE FOR US TO FOCUS TO GET SIGNIFICANT ENERGY SAVINGS.

AND SO WE RECOMMEND CREATING A REVOLVING LOAN, AGAIN A FIVE YEAR NO INTEREST LOAN OF UP TO \$150,000 PER PROPERTY OR \$10,000 PER UNIT. WE ALSO HAVE HEARD THIS INTEREST IN REBATES OR FOR ALLOWING A FINANCIAL INCENTIVE FOR APPLIANCES THAT ARE NOT CURRENTLY A PART OF THE ENERGY GRANT.

WITH THE ENERGY GRANT AND THE AMOUNT JUST HISTORICALLY WE ARE LOOKING TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENTS THAT ARE IMPROVEMENTS TO THE PROPERTY THEMSELVES AND PERMANENT INSTALLATIONS WITH THE APPLIANCES, BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT TYPICALLY THE EXPENSE OF WHAT IS ALLOWED IN THE GRANT AND BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE EASILY MOVED A REBATE SYSTEM IS MORE TYPICALLY

WHAT IS USED AND WE ARE IN CONVERSATIONS WITH, AND HOW THEY CAN SUPPORT OUR POTENTIAL REBATE PROGRAM WITH THEIRS.

AND WITH ALL OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS THIS WOULD CREATE ABOUT \$350,000 THAT WOULD BE REVOLVING LOAN FUND WHICH MEANS THAT WOULD COME BACK INTO THE SUSTAINABILITY FUND A LITTLE BIT YEAR OVER YEAR SO WE CAN PUT THAT BACK OUT AND CONTINUE MAKING GRANTS AND IMPROVING PROPERTIES IN THE VILLAGE.

>>>

SO, FOR THE NEXT STEPS ONCE WE HEAR FEEDBACK FROM YOU ALL TONIGHT WE ANTICIPATE BRINGING FORWARD GUIDELINES FOR THE GRANT, THE LOANS AND THE SUSTAINABILITY CREDITS AT NEXT WEEK 'S MEETING FOR APPROVAL AND YOU WILL ALSO HEAR FROM DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ABOUT THEIR FEE SCHEDULE RELATED TO THAT AND WITH YOUR FEEDBACK AND WITH YOUR DIRECTION TO MOVE FORWARD WE WILL BRING THAT PROGRAM BACK FOR THE MULTI FAMILY LOAN AND THE EQUIPMENT REBATE.

SO, NOW I AM HERE FOR FEEDBACK!

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

DEREK?

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I WILL START.

I VERY MUCH WANT TO HEAR FROM MY COLLEAGUES AS WELL AND SO I WILL START. FIRST, THANK YOU FOR BRINGING ALL OF THIS FORWARD.

THIS IS A LOT AND I THINK IT IS VERY ENCOURAGING.

I'M OVERALL VERY SUPPORTIVE OF ALL OF THESE INITIATIVES YOU KNOW GOING BACK TO NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER AND NOW ADVOCATING FOR MORE FUNDING FOR SUSTAINABILITY AND HERE YOU ARE COMING BACK AND HERE'S HOW WE WILL SPEND IT.

I LOVE THAT.

THANK YOU.

AND I GUESS WE WILL START WITH THE PERMIT FEES AND I SENT AN EMAIL ABOUT THIS AND I SAW THAT YOU RESPONDED TO IT AND I WAS JUST CURIOUS ABOUT THE FEES THEMSELVES AND DOES DISCOVER 100% OR MORE OR LESS?

IT SOUNDS LIKE THE ANSWER IS YES.

IT DOES COVER MOST OR ROUGHLY 100% AS MAYBE YOU CAN SAY MORE ABOUT THAT.

SEE 20 MORE OR LESS.

THE 190 IS DESIGNED TO COVER MOST IF NOT ALL OF THE ENERGY FEE AND THE PERMIT ENERGY FEE.

EXCEPT FOR THE HYBRID WHICH IS HALF.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I SAW THAT, YES.

AND THAT IS ALL THROUGH THE CITYVIEW PLATFORM?

>>LINDSEY: THAT WOULD BE IN THE DEPARTMENT, YES.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I HAVE PLAYED AROUND WITH THAT SYSTEM A LITTLE BIT AND IT IS A LOW BUT COMPLICATED.

HAVE YOU TALKED ABOUT HOW THAT WOULD BE IMPLEMENT IT?
HOW LONG WOULD IT TAKE TO SET IT UP FOR EXAMPLE IN CITYVIEW TO ADD THESE
NEW WAYS OF MANAGING THE FEES AND ALL OF THAT?
DO YOU HAVE A SENSE OF THAT?

>>LINDSEY: I DON'T HAVE AN EXACT NUMBER OF DAYS IT WOULD TAKE, BUT IT
WILL BE - IT WILL BE SET UP WITHIN THE CITYVIEW SYSTEM AS THEY ARE UPDATING
THEIR PERMIT FEES FOR THE NEW YEAR.
IT SHOULD BE FAIRLY SEAMLESS.

>>SPEAKER: SO, WE MEET WITH CITYVIEW WEEKLY AND WE ARE CONSTANTLY
CONFIGURING THE SYSTEM TO ANY NECESSARY CHANGES AND SO THIS WILL JUST
FOLLOW INTO THAT CYCLE AND WE WOULD BE PROACTIVE IN GETTING THESE
CHANGES TO HAPPEN AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE TO APPLY.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: ANY MENTION BECAUSE WE WILL BE ADDING THIS STRUCTURE
THAT IT WILL GIVE US A WAY TO TRACK THESE THINGS IN MORE DETAIL AND SO IS
CITYVIEW GOING TO GIVE YOU A REPORT OR SOME WAY TO ACCESS THAT IN AN
EASIER WAY?

I KNOW IT HAS BEEN SOMEWHAT CHALLENGING TO GET THAT DATA OUT.

>>LINDSEY: THE PERMIT WOULD BE MORE GENERAL IN THIS WAY WE ARE
ESSENTIALLY TAKING A WAY TO MAKE A HEAT PUMP PERMIT.
WHICH WOULD MAKE IT EASIER TO QUERY.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: AS LONG AS IT IS EASY FOR US TO GET THE DATA.
THAT IS GREAT.

I THINK YOU KNOW - THE ONLY OTHER COMMENT I HAVE ON THE PERMITTING SIDE IS
PERMITTING IS ALREADY REALLY COMPLICATED AND THIS IS ANOTHER THING THAT
YOU HAVE TO EXPLAIN.

SO, I GUESS I'M CURIOUS WHAT YOUR PLANS ARE TO HELP US OUT SO THE
CONTRACTORS YOU KNOW I JUST UNDERSTAND IT IS MOSTLY CONTRACTORS USING
THAT PERMITTING SYSTEM, BUT WHAT DOES THAT ROLLOUT LOOK LIKE TO MAKE
SURE EVERYONE IS EDUCATED ABOUT WHAT THESE NEW CATEGORIES WILL BE AND
ALL THAT?

>>LINDSEY: WE WILL BE SURE TO COMMUNICATE THAT AS WE COMMUNICATE THE
ENERGY GRANT AND IT CAN BE COMMUNICATED ESPECIALLY WITH THE RESIDENTIAL
THROUGH THE ENERGY NAVIGATORS AS THEY ARE HELPING THEM WORK THROUGH
THE PERMITTING AND THEN WE WILL ALSO DO OUTREACH TO OUR CONTRACTORS
TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY KNOW THAT IT IS THERE.

IT WILL BE AN ADDITIONAL STEP AND THEY WOULD HAVE TO SELECT THE PERMIT,
BUT THAT IS WHY WE HAVE CREATED THE CREDIT SO THERE IS AN INCENTIVE TO DO
THAT.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: OKAY, GREAT.
AND ON THE ENERGY GRANT YOU KNOW IT IS GREAT TO GET THE COUNT AND SO DO
YOU HAVE A TOTAL AMOUNT THAT WAS SPENT THROUGH 25?

>>LINDSEY: THAT IS MORE DIFFICULT AND I DON'T HAVE A FINAL NUMBER
BECAUSE NOT ALL OF THE APPLICATIONS HAVE BEEN CLOSED AND PAID OUT.

OF THOSE 84, 19 HAVE BEEN FULLY EXECUTED AND SO WE WILL IN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS HAVE THE FINAL NUMBERS.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: IT WOULD BE GREAT WHENEVER YOU HAVE THAT WHERE WE ARE LANDING AS WE ARE MAKING CHANGES TO IT.

I AM VERY GLAD TO SEE THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT THE APPLICATION PROCESS ITSELF AND I KNOW THAT FORM WAS LIKE KIND OF LONG AND IT WAS A LOT OF TEXT AND MY COMMENT ON THAT IS ANYTHING YOU CAN DO TO MAKE THAT FORM CORRIDOR OR BREAK IT UP IN DIFFERENT STEPS WILL ALWAYS MAKE THINGS EASIER FOR FOLKS AND SO WHATEVER YOU CAN DO ON THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

I THINK I KNOW THE ANSWER TO THIS, BUT INCLUDING INDUCTION CELLS, THAT WAS A RECOMMENDATION THAT OPCAN HAD AND IF YOU ARE STARTING TO ELECTRIFY, IT IS AN EASIER UPGRADE TO MAKE.

AND SO IS THAT ESPECIALLY GOING TO BE ALLOWED NOW UNDER THESE DIFFERENT PROGRAMS?

>>LINDSEY: IF WE ARE GIVEN THE DIRECTION TO PURSUE CREATING THE REBATE PROGRAM, THEN INDUCTION STOVES WOULD BE INCLUDED WITHIN THAT.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: AND THOSE ARE THE INSTALLED ONES, NOT THE COOKTOPS THAT SIT ON YOUR COUNTER, RIGHT?

>>LINDSEY: WE ARE PROBABLY TIED IN TO COMMENT REBATE AND FOR THEM IT IS THE FULL RANGE.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: THAT MAKES SENSE.
IT IS LESS PORTABLE THAN THE OTHER ONES.
OKAY, THAT IS GREAT.

I'M ALSO CURIOUS, THERE'S A COUPLE FOOTNOTES WITH THE ENERGY GRANT AND THE PERMIT YOU KNOW YOU ARE EARMARKING A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF MONEY AND IT MAY RUN OUT.

IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU COULD PLEASE REPORT BACK IF YOU'RE GETTING CLOSE TO THAT?

BECAUSE I WOULD LOVE TO KNOW AND THEN MAYBE WE CAN FIND SOME MORE FUNDING FOR THIS TO CONTINUE.

I WOULD LOVE FOR THIS TO BE A HUGE SUCCESS.

I KNOW THAT HAS BEEN THE CHALLENGE SO FAR IN THIS FIRST YEAR OR SO IN THIS PROGRAM.

SO, IF YOU CAN REPORT TO US ON THAT, THAT WOULD BE REALLY GREAT.

I THINK I WILL PAUSE THERE AND TURN IT OVER TO OTHERS.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT I WAS ONLY BEING A LITTLE BIT TEASING AND WE VERY MUCH APPRECIATE YOUR QUESTIONS AND PREPAREDNESS FOR THE MEETING.

AND TRUSTEE STRAW?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: THANK YOU FOR THIS.
I REALLY APPRECIATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS HERE.

AND I'M PARTICULARLY EXCITED ABOUT THE INTEREST-FREE ENERGY LOANS APPROACH AND TAKING THAT APPROACH MAKES A LOT OF SENSE, ESPECIALLY WITH

THE HIGHER INCOME APPLICANTS WHERE IT MAY BE THE UPFRONT CAPITAL IS A CHALLENGE FOR SOME OF THE IMPROVEMENTS THAT THEY WANT TO MAKE AND I AM DEFINITELY SUPPORTIVE OF THE REBATE PROGRAM THAT GETS AT SOME OF THOSE ELECTRIFICATION THINGS THAT ARE NOT THE BIGGER TICKET ITEMS THAT WE ARE FOCUSING ON IN THE OTHER COMPONENTS.

I DO HAVE SOME QUESTIONS AROUND THE PERMIT FEES AND THE SUSTAINABILITY CREDITS.

BECAUSE, ONE THING THAT WE ALWAYS HEAR FROM RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNDERTAKING CONSTRUCTION, ESPECIALLY WHEN THAT CONSTRUCTION WAS OF A MORE URGENT NATURE IS THAT THOUGH PERMIT FEES AND ALREADY FEEL HIGH AND HERE WE ARE ADDING AN ADDITIONAL PERMIT FEE ON TOP.

\$40 AS A FLAT ITEM, HOW DOES THAT SORT OF - ARE MOST OF THE PERMIT FEES WE ARE LOOKING AT RIGHT NOW IN THE \$150 RANGE?

ARE WE TALKING ABOUT ADDING 25% ON TOP OF MOST PREMISE THAT PEOPLE ARE GETTING?

>>LINDSEY: YES.

THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT THAT AS A REVENUE SOURCE, BECAUSE IF I NEEDED TO DO A PLUMBING TASK WHICH IS SOMETHING YOU KNOW ON THE INTERIOR OF THE HOME AND I AM PAYING THE ADDITIONAL \$40 PERMIT FEE BECAUSE I HAVE GOT A LEAK IN MY WALL AND I HAVE TO HAVE DRYWALL REMOVED AND THE PLUMBING REPAIRED AND WHAT HAVE YOU, I AM ALREADY FACING A SIGNIFICANT UNEXPECTED EXPENSE AND THE ADDITIONAL \$40 PERMIT FEE SORT OF FEELS LIKE ADDING INSULT TO INJURY.

I GUESS WITH THE CREDITS THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT, THIS \$100,000 AND SORT OF EXPECTED CREDITS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, IS THAT ASSUMING A SIGNIFICANT UPTICK IN THE PROJECTS THAT ARE HAPPENING OR IS THIS BASED ON OUR ESTIMATE ON HOW MANY OF THESE HYBRID HEAT PUMPS ISOLATION HAS BEEN HAPPENING IN RECENT YEARS?

>>LINDSEY: YES.

SO, WHEN WE CAME UP WITH \$100,000 WE LOOKED AT THE PERMITS FOR A 12 MONTH TIME FROM THE MIDDLE OF 24 TO THE MIDDLE OF 25 AND HOW MANY OF THOSE WERE OF INTEREST AND THEN ADDED A LITTLE BIT WITH THE IDEA THAT THE INCENTIVE WOULD INCREASE THE NUMBER.

AND IT IS ROUGHLY BASED ON HISTORICAL DATA AND EXPECTATIONS THAT THEY WOULD BE AN INCREASE.

I WOULD ALSO SAY THAT WE DO HAVE \$100,000 BUDGETED FOR THIS YEAR TO COVER THOSE CREDITS.

AND SO THE FEE AND THE CREDITS ARE NOT NECESSARILY INEXTRICABLY TIED TO EACH OTHER, AS WELL.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I AM IN FAVOR OF THE CREDITS.

I AM NOT IN FAVOR OF AC THAT IS APPLIED ACROSS THE BOARD.

I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF LOOKING AT A FEE, AND ENERGY FEE THAT IS TIED TO PROJECTS THAT MAYBE WE DISFAVOR, BUT NOT ONE THAT IS JUST LIKE

DISFAVORING ALL CONSTRUCTION, BECAUSE I CERTAINLY DO NOT THINK THAT THAT IS A STANCE THAT WE HAVE IN THIS VILLAGE AND WE WANT PEOPLE TO IMPROVE THEIR HOMES AND WE DO NOT WANT TO DISCOURAGE PEOPLE FROM DOING SO. AND YOU KNOW \$40 ON ITS OWN DOES NOT NECESSARILY FEEL LIKE A LARGE DISCOURAGEMENT, BUT YOU KNOW \$40 YEAR AND \$40 THERE STARTS TO ADD UP. SO, I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF DOING THE SUSTAINABILITY CREDITS AND SEEING WHAT THAT ULTIMATELY ENDS UP LOOKING LIKE AND THEN WE CAN TALK ABOUT WHETHER WE NEED TO ADD AN ADDITIONAL REVENUE SOURCE FOR THAT, BECAUSE IT HAS EXCEEDED EXPECTATIONS AND WHAT THAT SHOULD BE, BUT I DON'T NECESSARILY WANT TO ADD ANOTHER PERMIT FEE TO ALL PROJECTS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: CORY?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I FIGURED I WOULD GO NEXT BECAUSE I'M JUST GOING TO SAY WHAT BRIAN SAID.

FIRST OF ALL.

THANK YOU.

I THINK IT WAS A GREAT PRESENTATION AND YOU KNOW I JUST DON'T LIKE ADDING ADDITIONAL COST TO HOUSING.

AND THAT IS WHAT THE PERMIT FEE DOES AND SO I WOULD RATHER US FIGURE OUT ANOTHER WAY TO FIGURE OUT THAT REVENUE.

AND LET'S SEE, I JUST HIGHLIGHTED IT.

PAGE 11 ON THE SLIDE DECK, THERE IS THE ENERGY GRANTS AND LOANS AND SO THERE IS A HUGE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LESS THAN 80% AMI AND 80 UP TO 120 AND HUGE DIFFERENCE IN THE GRANT AMOUNT.

NOT A HUGE DIFFERENCE IN THE PRACTICALITY OF THE INCOME BRACKET.

I AM WONDERING IF WE COULD DO SOMETHING TO MILK THAT DELTA - SHRINK THAT DELTA DOWN BECAUSE I'M NOT SURE IF THAT \$5000 IS GOING TO CONVINCING YOU TO DO ANYTHING.

>>LINDSEY: THAT IS PART OF THE REASONS WHY WE CREATED THE LOANS TO BE FOR ANY HOUSEHOLD AND NOT JUST THE HIGHER HOUSEHOLD WHICH IS THE FIRST THOUGHT THAT WE HAD.

AND SO WE THOUGHT WITH THE 80 TO 120, THERE IS A \$5000 GRANT AND THE \$10,000 LOAN THAT WOULD BRING THAT UP TO \$15,000 UPFRONT THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I GOT IT.

I HAVE THE SAME WORRY FOR FOLKS IN THE LOWER INCOME BRACKET EVEN THOUGH IT IS INTEREST-FREE YOU KNOW THAT IS OBVIOUSLY GREAT.

JUST THINKING ABOUT BEING IN 80 120% AND THEN HAVING THE \$10,000 LOAN TACKED ON THE ALREADY STRESSED FINANCES BECAUSE YOUR TRY TO LIVE IN OAK PARK AND I DON'T SEE THIS GETTING DONE.

AND SO I THINK WE MAY NEED TO RETHINK THE BRACKETS HERE A LITTLE BIT IN TERMS OF THAT MIDDLE INCOME.

>>SPEAKER: TRUSTEE WESLEY, WE DID CHANGE THIS FROM ONE THERE WAS A 50% MATCH.

AND SO WE DID NOT GET RID OF THE 50% MATCH AND SO THERE IS NOT NECESSARILY ANY OUT-OF-POCKET COSTS FOR THOSE HOMEOWNERS IF THEY WANTED TO STAY WITHIN THE \$5000 RAIN AND NOT DO THE ADDITIONAL 10,000. AND SO THERE IS THE ADDITIONAL FLEXIBLE TODAY.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: SO, INSTEAD OF SAYING A \$10,000 PROGRAM YOU HAVE HALF OF IT THAT YOU WILL PAY BACK AND YOU ALSO HAVE THE OPTION OF TAKING A \$10,000 NO INTEREST LOAN.
GOT YOU.

OKAY, I AM INTERESTED TO SEE HUNDRED PEOPLE AVAIL THEMSELVES OF THIS. YES, I AM JUST INTERESTED TO SEE HOW THIS PLAYS OUT. I AM NOT OPTIMISTIC, BUT I COULD BE WRONG.

>>LINDSEY: MAY I ASK IS THERE AN AMOUNT THAT SOUNDS MORE APPROPRIATE?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I'M NOT SURE REALLY.

AND IT WAS JUST LOOKING AT IT WHEREAS THE \$20,000 GRANT AND THE \$5000 GRANT, THAT DELTA IS PRETTY HUGE AND THE DELTA BETWEEN 80 AND 120 YOU KNOW THAT IS NOT ALL THAT HUGE PRACTICALLY IN OAK PARK. AND SO THAT IS JUST MY LOGIC ON IT AND I DON'T REALLY KNOW - I DON'T HAVE A NUMBER IN MIND YET.

I CAN COME BACK TO YOU IF YOU GIVE ME A DAY OR SO.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: DID YOU ALREADY SAY 7500 IS A THOUGHT?

>>LINDSEY: THAT IS WHAT RECOMMENDED AND THAT IS BASED ON THE LOSS OF THE TAX CREDITS FOR THIS YEAR.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: I THINK THAT IS MORE DOABLE.

I MEAN THERE IS PROBABLY A BETTER WAY TO CALCULATE, BUT I THINK MOVING IT UP AT LEAST \$2500 HELPS A PERSON IN THAT BRACKET MAKE A LITTLE BIT MORE OF A DECISION JUST KNOWING WHAT SOME OF THOSE THINGS COST AND I DID SOME UPGRADES TO MY HOUSE AND YOU KNOW JUST TO DO A PART OF THE TRENCH WORK AND UPDATE THE ELECTRICAL PANELS WAS \$7500 YOU KNOW PLUS THEY HAVE TO GET THEIR MONEY AS WELL AND SO THE CONTRACTOR HITS YOU OVER THE HEAD ON TOP OF THAT.

AND THAT IS JUST KNOWING WHAT SOME OF THESE PROJECTS COULD END UP COSTING AND I THINK HELPING A LITTLE BIT MORE WILL INCENTIVIZE THAT, BUT I DID HEAR GREAT THINGS ABOUT THE VILLAGE AND THE PERMITTING PROCESS. YOU KNOW ONE GUY SAID THAT OUR ELECTRICIAN SAID HEY, IT IS A LITTLE BIT HARD AND I HAVE NOT HAD TO DO THIS BEFORE AND I TOLD HIM ABOUT THE TAX CREDITS AND IT IS LIKE OKAY, THAT MAKES SENSE AND SO HE UNDERSTOOD THAT AND THE OTHER PEOPLE WHO DID THE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AROUND MY HOUSE SAID THAT YOU ALL WERE PHENOMENAL AND IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN PRETTY STRAIGHT TO THE POINT AND THEY UNDERSTAND IT PRETTY WELL.

AND I DID NOT TELL THEM THAT I WORK - AND SO I APPRECIATE IT AND THE GRANT PROCESS WAS PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD WHEN I HAD ANY QUESTIONS I HAD ACTUALLY REACHED OUT AND TALK TO THEIR DEPARTMENT AND THEY GAVE ME THOROUGH ANSWERS AND YOU KNOW I GOT A LITTLE WORRIED, BECAUSE SOME OF THE DOCUMENTATION THAT YOU HAVE TO GIVE BACK AND FORTH AND TRYING TO

GET THE CONTRACTOR TO GIVE YOU THE RIGHT FORM YOU KNOW THIS IS NOT AN INVOICE.

THIS YOU KNOW I NEED AN INVOICE.

AND THEN WONDERING IF THEY ARE SENDING YOU ALL THE RIGHT INFORMATION WAS AN ISSUE, BUT YOU WERE ABLE TO ALLEVIATE SOME OF THE QUESTIONS I HAD, BECAUSE THERE IS A STRICT AMOUNT THAT YOU WANT TO GET DONE THAT SOME OF THESE CONTRACTORS HAD NOT SEEN BEFORE.

AND THEY WERE WILLING TO DO IT AND I JUST THINK THAT IT IS NOT A COMMON PRACTICE.

AND I DO BELIEVE THAT WHEN I TOLD OTHERS ABOUT THE PROGRAM THEY ARE LIKE WAIT, WHAT?

AND YOU KNOW AND THANK YOU DEREK WHO PUSHED ME OVER THE EDGE LIKE OKAY, WE ARE DOING THIS.

AND IT DEFINITELY SPURRED MY NEIGHBORS THEY WERE LIKE WAY, WHERE IS THIS PROGRAM?

AND I'M LIKE THIS IS A REALLY COOL PROGRAM, BUT YOU ARE TOO LATE FOR THIS YEAR!

>>LINDSEY: BEFORE ANY PROJECT IS COMPLETED IN 2025 THE APPLICATIONS ARE STILL AVAILABLE I THINK THE DAY AS FEBRUARY 6 AND SO WE ARE STILL ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS AND THEN I ALSO HAVE TO SAY THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR SHARING THAT FEEDBACK AND GIVE ALL OF THE CREDIT TO NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES WHO ADMINISTERS THE GRANTS AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AND THE PERMITTING STAFF.

THANK YOU.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: YES, DEFINITELY THANK YOU TO THEM.

AND I WAS JUST SHARING WITH CLERK WATERS BY SOLAR POWER CAPTURE FOR THE DAY AND YOU KNOW MY FATHER-IN-LAW WAS LIKE YOU WILL NEVER GET ENOUGH LIGHT!

AND SO BEING ABLE TO PROVE HIM WRONG IS COOL.

AND TO KNOW THAT THAT IS A POSSIBILITY THAT I WOULD HAVE NEVER DONE HAD THIS GRANT BEEN THERE.

BECAUSE, IT TOOK A LOT OF CONVINCING WITH MY WIFE TO BE LIKE HEY, WE ARE GETTING SOME MONEY BACK!

AND IT IS A PROGRAM WORTH WHILE AND YOU ALL DO A GREAT JOB OF OPCAN AND NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES DOING THIS AND MAKING IT SOMETHING THAT IS ATTRACTIVE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I AGREE WITH THAT, TOO.

A COUPLE OTHER THINGS THAT I WANT TO MENTION.

I TOTALLY AGREE ABOUT THE CONTRACT DIRECTLY BEING BENEFICIAL PURE LET'S KEEP DOING THAT AND NOBODY LIKES HAVING TO GO THROUGH AND HAVING TO GET THAT CHECK - AND ON THE CHANGES IN TERMS OF ENERGY ASSESSMENTS AND THE 12 MONTHS OF ENERGY BILLS WITH THE APPLICATION, I THINK - I WOULD LIKE US TO REQUIRE ONE OR THE OTHER AND MAYBE NOT BOTH AND YOU KNOW I WILL ASK FOR

THOSE IN ORDER TO DO THAT BASELINE AND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ASK AND REQUIRE AND BE A LOT OF DATA THAT WE MISS.

>>LINDSEY: YES.

THE REASON WE ARE ASKING FOR THE 12 MONTHS OF ENERGY DATA WAS SO THAT WE COULD FOLLOW-UP LATER AND SEE WHAT THE DIFFERENCE WAS.

BUT, WE STILL INTEND TO DO THAT AND TO YOU KNOW SHORTEN THE APPLICATION AND WE WERE LOOKING AT THINGS THAT WE COULD POSSIBLY MAKE A LITTLE BIT EASIER.

WE ARE HAPPY TO LEAVE THAT INCOME AS WELL.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I'M FINE WITH THAT, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO REQUIRE IT AS PART OF THE CONDITIONS ON THE GRANT THAT THAT PERSON IN 12 MONTHS PASS TO COME BACK AND PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION.

>>LINDSEY: WE CAN MAKE THE LANGUAGE AS SUCH FOR SURE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: BECAUSE OTHERWISE WE DON'T KNOW WHAT IMPACT WE ARE HAVING AND SO SOME OF THESE THINGS WILL WORK REALLY WELL AND THEY KEEP PUMP MIGHT WORK AMAZINGLY WELL AND THE THERMOSTAT DOESN'T YOU KNOW LIKE - WHAT IS EV RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE?

>>LINDSEY: LOBULAR TRENCHING ELECTRICAL UPGRADES TO HAVE THE CHARGER.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OKAY, THAT MAKES SENSE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: THAT IS ALL I HAVE.

OKAY, THANK YOU.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: OKAY, THANK YOU SO MUCH.

I GENERALLY SUPPORT THE PROPOSED CHANGES, ESPECIALLY THE TIER 2. I THINK THE BEST PART FOR ME WAS SEEING MORE OR LESS PARITY BETWEEN TIER 1 AND TIER 3 AND IF THERE IS ANY REFLECTION ON HOW YOUR HARD WORK PAID OFF AND CONNECTING WITH ENOUGH RESIDENCE WHO FELL INTO THAT TIER, WAS THERE ANY LESSONS ABOUT HOW TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT CATEGORY WAS INFORMED AND THEY FELT THE PROGRAM WAS ACCESSIBLE TO THEM?

>>LINDSEY: YES, I THINK ONE OF THE BENEFITS WE HAD ESPECIALLY WITH THESE CANDIDATES IS THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AND THE SAME STAFF THAT IS ADMINISTERING THESE GRANTS IS ADMINISTERING THOSE PROGRAMS, AS WELL. SO, WE DID OUTREACH IN PREVIOUS HOUSING PROGRAMS AND AS PEOPLE CAME IN FOR OTHER THINGS WE DIRECTED THEM TO EVERYTHING THAT WAS AVAILABLE TO THEM.

I THINK THE WORK AS WELL WITH ONE OF THE COLLECTIVE AND CLIMATE OUTREACH OAK PARK THAT THEY DID A LOT OF GRASSROOTS FOCUSED AND THINGS THAT WE WANT TO INCREASE NEXT YEAR IS THE DIRECT MAILING TO HOME.

I HAVE STAFF NOW AND SO WE CAN BE MORE PLACES AND WE INTEND TO JUST BE OUT IN THE COMMUNITY MORE AND THEN ALSO WE WILL BE LAUNCHING OUR ENERGY NAVIGATOR PROGRAM VERY SOON AND ALL OF THE OUTREACH AND THE EVENT AND MARKETING AROUND THAT WILL ALSO INCLUDE LANGUAGE ABOUT THE ENERGY GRANTS AND VICE VERSA AND THEN AS PEOPLE GO THROUGH THE ENERGY

NAVIGATOR PROGRAM THEY WILL BE DIRECTED TO THE RESOURCES THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO THEM.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: .THAT IS GREAT AND REALLY SMART. THANK YOU.

AND A REFLECTION ON THE DATA THAT YOU PROVIDED SLIDE SEVEN AROUND OUTREACH EFFORTS AND SO THERE IS LIKE THESE NUMBERS OF CONTACTS AND SO DO YOU HAVE ANY SENSE OR ANY A QUANTIFIABLE WAY OF SHOWING THE PAYOFF OF THESE INVESTMENTS AND WHAT WAS SPENT AND WHAT MAY BE THAT GENERATED IN TERMS OF APPLICATIONS OR SUCCESSFUL APPLICATIONS?

>>LINDSEY: WHEN WE FOLLOW-UP WITH THE YEARS APPLICANTS WE WILL ALSO ASK OTHER QUESTIONS AROUND THE PROGRAM AND FEEDBACK ON THE APPLICATION PROCESS AND HOW WE CAN IMPROVE AND WE CAN ALSO ASK HOW DID YOU HEAR ABOUT THIS.

AND THAT IS PERHAPS EVEN SOMETHING THAT WE CAN ADD IN TO THE APPLICATION ITSELF.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: THAT IS GREAT. AND THE ONLY OTHER PIECE IS THE QUESTION SIMILAR TO OUTREACH. AND THE CHANGES AGAIN I THINK I WOULD SUPPORT, BUT I HAD TO LOOK AT IT A COUPLE OF TIMES AND SO I AM JUST CURIOUS THE THOUGHTS YOU HAVE ABOUT EXPLAINING THE WHOLE CONCEPT OF CREDITS AND MAKING THAT NOT A BARRIER TO THE ACCESSIBILITY OF THE PROGRAM OR TO EVEN APPLY, BECAUSE IF SOMEBODY JUST THINKS YOU KNOW I CAN DO IN IMPROVEMENT AT A LOWER COST BECAUSE OF THIS PROGRAM AND THERE IS LIKE A WHOLE NON-DOLLAR EQUIVALENT SYSTEM IN PLACE, WHAT IS YOUR THOUGHT ON OUTREACH AND EDUCATION AND PROMOTION WITH THESE CHANGES?

>>LINDSEY: WE CAN CREATE FLYERS THAT CAN BE AT THE PERMIT DESK SO PEOPLE ARE COMING IN THEY CAN SEE YOU AND WE CAN HAVE INFORMATION ON THE WEBSITE AS PEOPLE APPLY FOR PERMITS AND WILL DO THAT MARKETING ALONG WITH THE GRANT ESSAY YOU KNOW WITH THESE IMPROVEMENTS COME THESE CREDITS THAT ESSENTIALLY WAIVE YOUR PERMIT FEES AND IT WOULD BE EMBEDDED INTO THE PERMIT APPLICATION AND AN OPTION THAT CAN BE SELECTED AND PROMPTED FOR THE RIGHT PAPERWORK AND DOCUMENTATION.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: I AM JUST A LITTLE BIT LIKE AWARE OF JUST HAVING TO KEEP TRACK OF SOMETHING LIKE THAT FOR AN INDIVIDUAL WHO MAY BE HAS NOT WORKED WITH - I LOVE THAT WE ARE TOUCHING PEOPLE WHO ARE ALREADY HERE AND THAT IS A GREAT WAY OF GETTING SERVICES AND OPPORTUNITIES TO FOLKS, BUT IF WE DON'T AND THEY HAVE NOT GONE TO A PROCESS WITH US BEFORE I'M JUST SORT OF AWARE THAT IT MAY SEEM OVERLY COMPLEX FOR A PROJECT THAT MAY ALSO FEEL OVERWHELMINGLY COMPLEX AND SO JUST A NOTE THAT THE WAY IN WHICH WE PRESENT OR THE WAY IN WHICH THE APPLICATION AND MARKETING MATERIALS ARE PRESENTED, ESPECIALLY WITH THIS CREDIT PROGRAM YOU KNOW I THINK SIMPLIFY BECAUSE AGAIN FOR ME FOR SOME THINGS THAT WOULD BE A TURNOFF IF I DID NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT I WAS APPLYING FOR AND I KNOW THERE IS VERY SMART EDUCATED ENVIRONMENTALLY

SAVVY FOLKS, BUT ALSO PEOPLE WHO HAVE NEVER DONE ANY KIND OF GRANT OR APPLICATION OR ENGAGE WITH THE MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT. AND SO THAT IS SOMETHING THAT I JUST WANT TO MENTION. BUT, THANK YOU. VERY EXCITING.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON, ANYTHING YOU WANT TO ADD BEFORE GO TO TRUSTEE ENYIA -- TRUSTEE TAGLIA?

>>MANAGER JACKSON: NO, WE HAVE IT.

WE ARE STRUCTURALLY SET UP GLC THE SUSTAINABILITY AND NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES AND A MORE FOCUSED EFFORT ARE NOT ONLY WORKING WITH CLIENTELE, BUT ALSO POSITION SERVICES WITH MORE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AND I THINK WE'LL SEE MORE OF THAT WITH THE OFFICE OF EQUITY AND GET EQUITY INVOLVED WITH PUBLICATION SPACE AND I THINK THE POINTS YOU ARE MAKING ARE WELL APPRECIATED AND SO JUST SOME GOOD FEEDBACK.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, JIM?

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: JUST A FEW COMMENTS.

I AM LARGELY SUPPORTIVE.

JUST SOME QUESTIONS TO UNDERSTAND A LITTLE BIT BETTER.

THANK YOU FOR THE GOOD WORK HERE.

I APPRECIATE THAT.

FIRST OF ALL, THE AMOUNT THAT WE UTILIZE IN 2025 WE DON'T HAVE THAT BECAUSE THE YEAR JUST ENDED, BUT THIS IS KIND OF VAGUE IN HERE.

HOW CLOSE I MEAN CAN YOU TELL ME LIKE DO WE UTILIZE HALF OR TWO THIRDS OR YOU KNOW -

>>LINDSEY: I KNOW THAT WE DID NOT GET CLOSE TO SPENDING THE 750,000 WHICH WAS ALLOCATED WHICH WAS A PART OF THE ALLOCATION FOR INCREASING THE GRANT AMOUNT AND FUNDING OTHER PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE HEARD THAT WOULD BE OF INTEREST.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: OKAY.

SO THE IDEA OF INCREASING THE AMOUNTS, YOU ARE SURE BASED ON WHAT I READ HERE THAT IT IS NOT A EDUCATION ISSUE.

IT SEEMS LIKE YOU HAVE DONE A LOT OF WORK IN THE VILLAGE TO MAKE SURE THAT THESE PROGRAMS EXIST.

CORRECT?

>>LINDSEY: WE HAVE DONE A LOT TO ENSURE THAT PEOPLE KNOW AND I THINK THAT WE HAVE SOME STRATEGIES THAT PEOPLE HAVE LEARNED FROM US TO ENSURE THAT MORE PEOPLE FIND OUT ABOUT IT NEXT YEAR.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: INCREASING THESE, IS THAT AN EFFORT - IS THIS A RESULT - IF THE EDUCATION PIECE WAS SOLID, IS INCREASING THIS, ARE YOU TRYING TO - ARE YOU HEARING FROM CONTRACTORS AND RESIDENTS THAT THE SIZE OF THESE PROJECTS ARE NOT MOVING THE NEEDLE SO TO SPEAK?

WE NEED TO BE IN LARGER SCALE?

IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE HEARING?

>>LINDSEY: IN SHORT, YES.

A LARGER INCOME AMOUNT TO MEET THE CAPITAL OUTLAY WOULD HELP MORE PEOPLE PARTICIPATE.

THE BELIEF THAT INCREASING IT IN THE LOWER TIER WOULD REDUCE THE BARRIER TO ENTRY FOR MORE PEOPLE SO THAT THERE IS LESS PERSONAL ALLY HAS TO BE A PART OF THAT AND WE ARE ALSO LOOKING FORWARD TO THE PROGRAMMING THAT WE HAVE FOR THIS YEAR INCLUDING THE LAUNCH OF THE ENERGY NAVIGATOR WHICH WILL PROVIDE PEOPLE WITH A ROADMAP AND STEP TO STEP PROJECT GUIDELINE WITH THE PAYBACK AND COST-BENEFIT AND WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT THAT WILL BE INSPIRING PEOPLE AND ENCOURAGING PEOPLE TO DO LARGER MORE IMPACTFUL PROJECTS AND WE WANT TO BE SUPPORTIVE OF THAT AND WE HAVE A PARTICULAR FOCUS WITH THAT WHERE WE ARE FOCUSING ON ELEVATE AND BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS WITH COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS TO ENSURE THAT WE ARE REACHING MORE OF THESE TIER 1 HOUSEHOLDS SO THAT WE CAN GET THOSE BENEFITS TO WHERE THEY ARE MOST NEEDED.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: OKAY, I ASCERTAIN THAT PRETTY MUCH FROM A LOT OF THESE THINGS, BUT YOU KNOW THIS IS REALLY WHAT WAS DRIVING IT AND I DID HEAR THAT THE EDUCATION SOUNDED LIKE IT WAS IN PRETTY GOOD SHAPE.

SO, TO BE CLEAR, THE AMOUNT ON BOTH YEARS IS THE SAME, CORRECT?
750,000?

IN 25 VS. 26?

>>LINDSEY: FOR THE MIDDLE TIER, IN 2025 IT WAS 5000, BUT IT WAS A MATCHING GRANT.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: THE TOTAL BUDGET.

>>LINDSEY: YES, 750,000.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: DEREK MENTIONED THE POSSIBILITY OF USING THE ACTION 200,000 OR LOOKING FOR ANOTHER SOURCE IF WE RAN OUT.

AND THIS IS SEVERELY UNDERUTILIZED AND WE HOPE TO BE FULLY UTILIZED AND EVERYONE IS IN AGREEMENT AND WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT MONEY PUT INTO PLAY HERE.

AND THE OTHER MONEY THAT WE ALLOCATED WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO AND ON TOP OF ALL OF THESE FUNDS AND WE WOULD NOT NECESSARILY NEED IT FOR THIS ALTHOUGH IT COULD COME INTO PLAY IF OVER WILDLY SUCCESSFUL, I SUPPOSE.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: THIS IS FOR THE TOTAL AMOUNT?

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: YES, BUT WE ALSO PUT AN EXTRA 200,000.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: TO MAKE IT THAT.

IT WAS GOING TO BE LOWER AND THAT WE KEPT AT THE SAME.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: WE LOWERED IT, BECAUSE WE WERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT WE WOULD NOT UTILIZE IT AND THIS IS THE RESPONSE TO THAT?

>>LINDSEY: YES.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: OKAY, I GET HOW THE PIECES COME TOGETHER PAIRED ALSO, BRIAN 'S POINT WAS WELL TAKEN WITH THE AMOUNTS AND I THINK WITH THE SUSTAINABILITY CREDIT AND THE ONLY ISSUE IS FINDING A SOURCE IS DIFFICULT WHEN YOU'RE DOING IT GOING AHEAD AND WE ARE IN THE MIDST AND YOU ARE

LETTING IT RIDE THIS YEAR POTENTIALLY GOING BACK, BUT MY ONLY CONCERN IS THAT I WOULDN'T WANT THAT TO BE THE BURDEN OF EVERY RESIDENT EITHER. THAT IS SOMETHING OUT SUPPORT.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE RIGHT ANSWER IS AS A BALANCE THERE AND I'M NOT SURE WHAT IT IS, BUT I WOULD BE CONCERNED IF WE WERE TO JUST FUND THIS AND GIVE THESE CREDITS OUT WITHOUT OFFSETTING THE SOURCE IN SOME FASHION THAT WOULD NOT BE SOMETHING THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE PERSONALLY.

THAT IS EVERYTHING I HAVE.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I THINK THAT LAST PART IS WHERE I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE ENOUGH CLARITY OR CONSENSUS FROM THE BOARD ON WHAT THEY ARE HEARING -

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I CAN JUMP IN ON THAT.

TWO COMMENTS, I APPRECIATE THIS CONVERSATION, THIS IS A GREAT CONSTRUCTIVE CONVERSATION.

FIRST IS I FORGOT TO MENTION, BUT ALSO PLUS ONE FOR THE 7500 FOR THE MIDDLE CATEGORY.

I THINK THAT MAKES SENSE.

I DON'T THINK I SAID THAT INITIALLY.

I APPRECIATE THE COMMENTS RAISED BY TRUSTEE STRAW AND WESLEY AND THE FEES AFFECTING OTHER AREAS.

I AM OKAY WITH FINDING REVENUE SOMEWHERE ELSE, BUT IT DOES OPEN THE QUESTION AS TRUSTEE TAGLIA ASKED WITH WEAR, RIGHT?

AND THAT MAY SET US UP FOR ANOTHER CONVERSATION WHICH IS OTHER SUSTAINABILITY RESOURCES AND WAYS TO STABILIZE THAT FUND, BECAUSE WE ARE CURRENTLY SPENDING YOU KNOW IN MY ESTIMATION, WE NEED TO SPEND MORE.

MY POSITION IS THAT WE NEED TO DO MORE, CLIMATE ACTION IS DESPERATELY NEEDED.

THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS PULLING BACK AND IT IS UP TO LOCAL ORGANIZATIONS AND MUNICIPALITIES TO FILL IN THE GAP AND BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT WE WILL BE IN THE SITUATION, THE FEDERAL LANDSCAPE FOR AT LEAST A LITTLE WHILE LONGER.

WE HAVE TO RISE TO THAT CHALLENGE.

THAT IS WHY I CONTINUE TO ADVOCATE FOR MORE SPENDING, BUT WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT IRRESPONSIBLE WAY TO CONTINUE THAT.

WE WERE TO RUN OUT OF FUNDING IN 2027 IF WE KEEP A STEADY AS IT GOES YOU KNOW NO CHANGES AT THE CURRENT SPENDING LEVEL.

SO, WE DO NEED TO HAVE A CONVERSATION ABOUT THOSE OTHER WAYS TO FUND THAT ENTER STABILIZE THAT FUND IN A WAY THAT IS EQUITABLE, BUT ALSO DOES NOT PENALIZE BEHAVIOR THAT WE DON'T WANT TO PENALIZE BUT ALSO INCENTIVIZES THE HEAVY WE DO WANT TO SEE AND SO TRUSTEE STRAW MENTIONED THAT MAYBE IT IS NOT ALL PERMITS, BUT IF SOMEBODY IS INSTALLING A NEW GAS FURNACE WHICH IS GOING TO SET THEM UP FOR ANOTHER 15 OR 20 OR 30 YEARS OF EMITTING POLLUTION, MAYBE WE WANT TO MAKE THAT A MORE

EXPENSIVE CHOICE AND INCENTIVIZE THEM TO NOT DO THAT AND INSTEAD DO A HEAT PUMP INSTEAD.

AND SO THAT MAKES SENSE, BUT MAYBE THE EXAMPLE THAT YOU GAVE FOR A PLUMBING SIX, THAT IS AN UNFAIR THING AND SO I THINK THAT LOGIC MAKES A LOT OF SENSE, BUT WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT A WAY TO COME UP WITH THAT DELTA THERE.

AND I'M HAPPY TO PROCEED AS IS AND HAVE THAT CONVERSATION A LITTLE BIT LATER, BUT IT DOES NEED TO HAPPEN FOR THIS PROGRAM AND ALL OF THE OTHER ONES THAT WE CARE ABOUT AS WELL THAT YOU ARE OVERSEEING.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

SO, IS THAT THE WILL OF THE BOARD THAT WE WILL GET RID OF THE \$40 PERMIT FEE THAT IS ACROSS-THE-BOARD, BUT THEN AS WAS FIRST MENTIONED BY BRIAN AND DEREK IS SAYING THAT IF THERE IS A THING THAT IS COUNTERPRODUCTIVE TO OUR GOALS, ARE WE OKAY LEAVING FE THERE SO IT IS NOT FOR THE EMERGENCY FIX, BUT FOR THE BRENDA SYSTEM THAT YOU ARE CHOOSING TO GO GAS INSTEAD OF ELECTRIC?

BECAUSE, CURRENTLY WE HAVE ELECTRIFICATION CODES FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT, NEW BUILDING AND SO IS THAT WHAT I'M HEARING?

ARE WE OKAY LEAVING THE \$40 FEE AND THE NEW THING THAT YOU'RE PUTTING IN YOUR HOME NOT TO FIX?

YES?

CORY?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: YES.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

DOES THAT MAKE IT TRICKY?

TO BE CONSISTENT?

>>LINDSEY: IT MAY MAKE IT TRICKY.

WE'LL HAVE TO CONSULT WITH DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ON THAT.

I WILL SAY THAT WE DO HAVE \$100,000 ALLOCATED IN THIS YEAR'S BUDGET FOR THIS CREDIT PROGRAM AND IF WE WANT TO RUN IT WITH JUST THE CREDITS AND SEE ABOUT THE UPTAKE AND THE RESULTS THIS YEAR AND BRING BACK THE IDEA OF ADDING FE LATER TO TRUSTEE EDER 'S POINT WE WILL BE COMING BACK SOON WITH A WHOLE LIST OF OPTIONS OF SUSTAINABILITY FUND REVENUE FOR YOU ALL TO CONSIDER, AS WELL.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

AND YOU SAID WE WOULD BE SEEING PERMIT FEES COMING FORWARD IN AN AGENDA ITEM ACROSS-THE-BOARD -

>>LINDSEY: YES, DEVELOPMENT SERVICES WILL BE BRINGING BACK THIS AND SO WE CAN CONSULT WITH THEM ON THE POSSIBILITY OF ATTACHING THE FEE TO SPECIFIC PERMITS WHICH WOULD BE -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MAYBE SOME LIGHT CHANGES AND YOU KNOW WE WILL LET YOU LOOK AT THAT.

AND IT LOOKS LIKE BRIAN MAY HAVE MORE TO ADD, BUT I WANT TO ASK IF WE HAVE FALLEN ON \$7500 FOR THE MIDRANGE GRANT?

IS THAT WHERE WE HAVE FALLEN INSTEAD OF 5000?

OKAY, I'M HEARING NO OBJECTION.

NEWMAN REQUIRING THE 12 MONTH COMMENT DATA AND DID YOU HAVE SOMETHING MORE TO SHARE, BRIAN?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: YES, THE ONE THING THAT I WOULD SAY IS ON THE FEES FOR COUNTERPRODUCTIVE APPROACHES, I WANT TO BE ABLE TO RESERVE JUDGMENT UNTIL I SEE WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE, BECAUSE I DO NOT KNOW WHAT THE VOLUME OF THOSE PROJECTS IS AND ARE WE TALKING ABOUT NOW WE ARE PUTTING A \$500 FEE - OBVIOUSLY WE ARE GIVING - HERE IS AN IDEA, NOT LIKE HERE IS THE PATH FORWARD, BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE THE DATA TO SEE LIKE AS WE MOVE THESE LEVERS WHAT NUMBERS THEY ARE RESULTING IN TO GET TO THE SETTING OF THE \$800,000?

THOSE DOORKNOBS ARE THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROBLEM OF OUR DAYS!

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, JUST BRING THAT BACK SOON.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: JUST A QUICK QUESTION.

SO, THE FEE IN THE PERMITTING SYSTEMS ARE DESIGNED TO COVER THE COST OF THE PERMIT, RIGHT?

SO, WE DO NOT MAKE PROFIT, WE JUST MAKE ADJUSTMENTS INTO THESE PERMITS THAT WILL BE TAKEN OUT, THESE RATHER THAN DO A CREDIT WITH ALL OF THIS, IT SEEMS COMPLICATED.

AND IT MAKES SENSE TO REDUCE THE PERMIT FEE UNDERSTANDING YOU KNOW ARE THEY NOT ADDITIVE TO OUR PERMIT LOAD AND THEN THAT WAY WE ARE NOT TAKING ON EXTRA STAFF, ARE WE?

(AUDIO LOST)

>>SPEAKER: IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT THAT CALENDAR?

>>TRUSTEE EDER: THANK YOU FOR RESPONDING TO THAT QUESTION.

I THINK IT MAKES SENSE.

MY ONLY THOUGHT IS THAT YOU KNOW I AM NOT CHECKING THIS PAGE.

I AM CHECKING REGISTRAR ALL THE TIME.

I ALWAYS APPROVE THE CALENDAR EVERY MONTH OR SO AND IS IT POSSIBLE TO JUST PUT THAT ON THE AGENDA TO SAY HERE IS THE TENTATIVE ANNUAL CALENDAR?

SO IT IS IN MULTIPLE PLACES JUST SO WE CAN SEE AND NOT MISS IT?

>>SPEAKER: SURE, WE DO THAT SOMETIMES AND WE MAKE SURE IT IS THERE EVERY TIME YOU SEE THE UPDATED CALENDARS LIKE YOU SAID PERIODICALLY WE TRIED TO DO IT MONTHLY AND THE SECOND ITEM WILL ALWAYS BE THERE.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: AND THAT IS THE FULL BOARD?

>>SPEAKER: WE DO NOT HAVE FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETINGS, EDITORS THE REGULAR MEETINGS AND THAT PURPOSE IS TO COMPLY WITH THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT AND YOU CAN DEVIATE FROM THOSE MEETINGS AND THEN AFTER THAT IT IS POSTED IN THE SPECIAL MEETINGS.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: SEEMS REASONABLE TO ME.

>>SPEAKER: WE CAN JUST ADD IT EVERY TIME WE BRING THE CALENDAR FORWARD UPDATES.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: THIS IS ABSURDLY SMALL AND I DO NOT WANT IT TO BE A LOT OF WORK FOR YOU IF IT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS EASY. SO, THE CALENDAR IS LIKE A PICTOGRAPH. AND SO IS THERE ANYWAY TO GET AN ITEMIZED ON LIKE THE DAY AND THE TIME? AND IF IT IS NOT -

>>SPEAKER: ATTACHED TO THE AGENDA ITEM -

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: AM I LOOKING AT THE WRONG THING? AND WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE TO PUT LIKE ENTRIES FOR EACH DATE OF THE MEETING AND AT THE TIME? AND AGAIN ABSURDLY LOW QUALITY OF LIFE IMPROVEMENT BECAUSE I CAN JUST TAKE THIS THING AND THROW IT INTO AI AND THEN I CAN THROW IT IN MY CALENDAR SO I DON'T MISS MEETINGS. BUT, AGAIN I CAN MAKE IT WORK THIS WAY AS WELL.

>>SPEAKER: TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND CORRECTLY YOU WANT A PICTURE AT THE BOTTOM AND MORE LIKE A LISTING WITH THE DAY AND THE TIME AND THE TOPIC? JUST DAY AND TIME?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: AND IF IT WILL BE A ABSURD AMOUNT OF WORK I CAN GET AROUND IT.

>>SPEAKER: IF I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING I THINK IT IS SIMPLE ENOUGH.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OKAY, THANK YOU.

>>SPEAKER: OKAY, LET ME JUST WRITE THIS NOTE.

OKAY, THE NEXT ITEM WE RECEIVED A QUESTION ON REALLY RELATES TO PAGE THREE AND IF YOU GO TO PAGE 3 BECAUSE PAGE 1 IS THE COVER SHEET, BUT THIS IS RELATED TO THE ISSUE OF COMMENTS BY NOON ON THURSDAY WITH A PREVIEW COMING OUT THE DAY BEFORE, TWO DAYS BEFORE.

I WANTED TO JUST EMPHASIZE WHEN THAT ITEM WAS PUT IN THE PROTOCOLS AND IT HAS BEEN A COUPLE VILLAGE BOARDS NOW, THE PURPOSE OF THAT WAS TO JUST BE NOTING THAT THERE WAS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR COLIN IF YOU SEE AND TAKE A GLANCE AT THAT AGENDA AND NOT READ IT, BUT THE ITEMS ON IT AND YOU SEE SOMETHING THAT MAYBE YOU HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT, THEN MAYBE YOU CAN CALL IN BEFORE IT IS PUBLICLY POSTED AND THE BEST WAY THAT I CAN FURTHER EXPLAIN THIS IS THE EXAMPLE I GAVE OF A TIME WHEN I KNEW IT WAS USED, THIS PROTOCOL.

SO, THERE WAS AN ITEM THAT CAME BEFORE A COMMISSION AND IT WAS GENERALLY KNOWN IN THE PUBLIC JUST TALKING TO CITIZENS AND SOCIAL MEDIA THAT IT WAS MAYBE GOING TO BE HELD AT A DIFFERENT TIME IN THAT CITIZEN COMMISSION THEN WHAT WAS COMING OUT THAT WEEK.

AND SO THE TRUSTEE CALLED AND SAID I THINK IT WILL HAPPEN ON THIS DATE, NOT THIS ONE AND IN ORDER NOT TO CREATE CONFUSION BECAUSE REMEMBER BACK THEN THESE MEETINGS WERE ALSO ON MONDAYS I THINK I HAD A CONVERSATION

WITH THE MANAGER AND THEN NEEDED THAT EXTRA TIME, BECAUSE I THINK TRUSTEES WERE ALSO MEETING WITH SOME OF THOSE RESIDENTS OVER THERE ISSUES AND WE HAD A CONVERSATION WHERE WE SAID I THINK THIS IS REALLY BETTER DELAYED AND ALSO AN ADVANTAGE TO HOST A AND SO DO NOT CREATE CONCERNED ABOUT THEM BECOMING AND THE RESIDENCE MAY NOT BE READY FOR IT MEETING THEY DID PLAN THE SCHEDULE AROUND IT.

THAT WAS THE BEST EXAMPLE I CAN GIVE WHEN THEY NOTICED SOMETHING AND THEY WANTED TO YOU KNOW GET AHEAD OF THAT PUBLIC POSTING THAT HAPPENS AT THE END OF THE WEEK.

SO, I DON'T KNOW, I WILL LEAVE IT BACK TO YOU IF THAT HELPS IF THERE IS A WAY TO BETTER EXPLAIN IT AND AS I SAID IN MY RESPONSE TO YOU TODAY, QUESTIONS YOU ARE ALWAYS WELCOME TO ASK QUESTIONS OBVIOUSLY UP UNTIL THE MEETING TIME.

IT IS JUST A MATTER OF HOW SOON YOU ASK THEM AND WE HAVE A BETTER SHOT OF GIVING YOU A MORE THOROUGH ANSWER AND GETTING AHEAD OF IT WITH STAFF, BECAUSE IT WAS MENTIONED IN THERE AS WELL.

BUT, THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THIS VERY PARTICULAR SHORT TURNAROUND AND IT WAS MORE TO JUST MAKE NOTE OF WHEN THIS AGENDA IS GOING TO GO PUBLIC AND GIVE YOU A CHANCE.

AND I DID NOT USE IT VERY OFTEN, BECAUSE FOR THE MOST PART EVERYONE KIND OF KNOWS THAT CERTAIN THINGS ARE COMING UP AND LOOKS TO THE VILLAGE MANAGER FOR THAT PLANNING AND COORDINATION.

THAT IS THE BEST WAY THAT I CAN EXPLAIN IT.

WE CAN ALSO TAKE ANOTHER SHOT AT IT IF YOU WOULD LIKE ME TO EMPHASIZE THAT AND I CAN READ IT OUT LOUD HOW IT IS LIKE NOW.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I APPRECIATE THE CLARIFICATION OF THAT AND I'M NOT REALLY TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THIS OPTION REALLY.

I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHEN THEY GET PUT ON, BECAUSE I'M TYPICALLY ONLY CHECKING THE PUBLIC VERSION OF REGISTRAR EVEN THOUGH I KNOW WE GET A PREVIEW OF IT.

AND WHEN I'M LOOKING AT IT I WANT TO START TO DIVE IN AND KNOW THAT IT IS NOT FINALIZED AND I DON'T WANT TO LOOK AT SOMETHING BEFORE ALL OF THE INFORMATION IS THERE.

AND SO I KIND OF JUST DON'T TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS CURRENTLY, BUT YOU KNOW OTHER FOLKS HAVE BEEN ON THE BOARD LONGER AND I GUESS I'LL JUST SAY THAT I AM FINE WITH THAT AS IS AND I JUST HAVE NOT SEEN THE NEED TO USE IT YET.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: I DON'T HAVE ANY ISSUE WITH THE PROTOCOL AS EDITED, I AM JUST WONDERING IF THE RATIONALE BEHIND IT IS TO AVOID PUBLIC CONFUSION.

SHOULD THERE BE - AND IF THERE ISN'T AND I HAVE MISSED IT - A NOTIFICATION ON THE PUBLIC VERSION THAT SAYS YOU KNOW THIS IS STILL SUBJECT TO CHANGE, BECAUSE I COULD SEE A PERSON IN THE COMMUNITY SEEING AN AGENDA ITEM AND GETTING RILED UP AND GETTING CONFUSED AND HAVING A REACTION AND THEN

THAT DISPERSING THROUGH THE IMMUNITY AND IT IS REMOVED OR EDITED, BUT THEY DO NOT REFRESH.

AND THEN WE HAVE MISINFORMATION OR UNNECESSARY CONFUSION.

IS THERE LIKE A SIMPLE WAY THAT WE CAN JUST SAY KEEP REFRESHING OR COME BACK FOR A FINAL VERSION?

TO SORT OF GIVE OURSELVES A LITTLE DISCLAIMER TO THE PUBLIC THAT IT REMAINS A LIVING DOCUMENT?

BECAUSE WE HAVE CHANGED AND REMOVE THINGS FROM CONSENT JUST BEFORE TAKING ROLE.

AND SO IT IS AN EFFORT OF TRANSPARENCY AS WELL TO LET THE PUBLIC KNOW THAT IT IS NOT FINAL.

IS THIS A WAY TO GET AROUND WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO WITH THIS ROLE?

>>SPEAKER: WE CAN WORK ON SUGGESTING LANGUAGE FOR YOU AND MANAGERS, BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE TO BE EXPLAINED IN A WAY THAT IS STILL IN LINE WITH THE OPENS READING ACT AND SO THE ACTUAL ITEM HAS TO BE LOCKED OUT FOR EIGHT HOURS, BUT LIKE I SAID THE ORDER AND THE ORDER MAY CHANGE, BUT I WOULD WANT TO RUN A SENTENCE BY LEGAL AND SAY SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT.

ESPECIALLY IF AN ITEM COMES OUT THURSDAY AND MAYBE EARLIER AND MAYBE CAN CHANGE ON FRIDAY, BUT WE CAN WORK ON IT AND STAND SOMETHING - AND YOU KNOW IT IS THE ORDER OF THE ITEMS, BUT THE ACTUAL ITEMS HAVE TO BE ALWAYS CONFIRMED AND POSTED.

AND I THINK IT IS 48 HOURS.

AND THAT WOULD BE UNDER THIS - AND I GUESS I COULD ADD IT AS A PROTOCOL, BUT IT IS DEFINITELY ON OUR END OF CREATING THE AGENDA.

ALMOST LIKE ANOTHER NUMBER HERE IN THIS SECTION.

ANYTHING ELSE ON THAT ONE?

AND WE CAN CIRCLE BACK AND I HAVE A FEW MORE AND - AND THE NEXT ONE IS RELATED TO - I THINK IT IS ON PAGE 11 AND THERE IS A QUESTION ABOUT CREATING A VMO STAFF EMAIL AND THERE IS A FEW REFERENCES IN THE PROTOCOLS ABOUT CONTACTING THE MANAGER AND HAVING QUESTIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS.

AND THIS WAS JUST TO CLARIFY THAT WE ARE ADDING ALL OF THE INDIVIDUALS ON THE MANAGER'S OFFICE WHO ARE A PART OF THE COMMUNICATION GROUP.

AND SO IN LIEU OF THAT I KNOW IT IS MUCH EASIER FOR PEOPLE TO HAVE ONE EMAIL WHERE EVERYBODY IS INCLUDED AND THEN YOU JUST HAVE TO REMEMBER THAT AND PUT EVERYONE'S NAME AND INDIVIDUALLY AND YOU ARE CORRECT THAT IT WILL BE THE STAFF AND IT IS NOT ACTIVATED AND THAT WAS THE QUESTION, BECAUSE WE ARE JUST WAITING FOR THIS TO BE CONFIRMED.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I LIKE THIS ONE SO MUCH THAT I TRIED TO USE HER BEFORE AND THAT I GOT A BOUNCE BACK AND WAS SO, I LOVE ALIASES, IT JUST MAKES LIFE A LITTLE BIT EASIER AND IT IS ONE OF THOSE QUALITY-OF-LIFE THINGS. SO, LET'S DO IT.

>>SPEAKER: ON THAT SAME NOTE - THERE WAS A SECOND PART TO THE QUESTION AND IN THE SAME AREA THERE IS A REFERENCE TO YOU ARE ASKING WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ALL OF THESE EMAILS BEING SUGGESTED? AND IT WAS A GOOD QUESTION, BECAUSE I HAVE ONLY KNOWN LIKE BOARD .US.OAK PARK HAS ALWAYS BEEN THERE, BUT THEN I REALIZED THROUGH YOUR QUESTION AND RECENTLY TALKING TO OTHERS THAT THERE WAS A VOP BOARD THAT ALSO IS STARTING TO APPEAR AND THAT IS SOMETHING IN MY RESPONSE BACK THAT IT STARTED CREATING SOME PROTOCOLS IN THE ORGANIZATION WITH DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS THAT HAD INCLUDED THAT FOR A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT REASONS, BUT WE CAN CLEAR IT UP INTERNALLY. AND KIND OF THE SAME THING WITH THE CLERK HAVING A CLERK EMAIL AND AS I WAS SAYING IN MY NOTES FROM THAT DAY I JUST WROTE WE NEED A VMO EMAIL AND CLEARING THE BOARD EMAIL AND I GUESS I CAN GO MULTIPLE WAYS AND IT WAS THE IDEA OF INCLUDING THE CLERK SO PEOPLE KNOW THAT IT IS THE BOARD AND THE CLERK AND JUST RECOGNIZING THE NAME, BUT THE BOARD IS QUITE YOU KNOW SURE THAT WE CAN USE SEPARATE AND WE CAN ALSO DISKEEPER DOLLARS ONE AND IT WAS REALLY JUST A NOTE FROM THAT DAY ABOUT CREATING THOSE EMAILS.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I MEAN I WOULD WANT TO HEAR WHETHER THE CLERK WANTS TO BE INCLUDED IN ALL OF THE EMAILS, BUT I DO NOT THINK THERE IS A NEED NECESSARILY FOR A SEPARATE BOARD AND CLERK AND IT FEELS LIKE IT ADDS CONFUSION IF YOU ARE OKAY WITH BEING INCLUDED IN ALL OF THE EMAILS THAT WE ALL GET.

>>SPEAKER: I WOULD SAY IT IS TOTALLY FINE AND I DON'T DO MUCH WITH THEM, BUT THEY ARE INFORMATIVE JUST TO STAY IN THE KNOW.

I THINK TO HAVE A SEPARATE BOARD AND CLERK EMAIL WOULD BE REALLY CONFUSING TO THE PUBLIC.

TYPICALLY WHAT I HEAR IN TERMS OF FEEDBACK IS FROM YOU KNOW THEY THINK I AM ON THOSE EMAILS ANYWAY AND THEY DON'T QUITE REALIZE THAT LEADERSHIP IS ON THOSE EMAILS AS WELL TO MAKE SURE THAT THE RESPONSES ARE ANSWERED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

SO, I THINK THAT WOULD JUST BE CONFUSING.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: AND IS IT POSSIBLE TO CREATE AN EMAIL WHERE IF I SEND AN EMAIL TO WHATEVER YOU WOULD BE THAT IT WOULD SEND IT TO VMO STAFF AND BCC THE BOARD?

AND I JUST DON'T KNOW IF THAT IS POSSIBLE - AND I JUST ALWAYS FORGET TO BCC THE BOARD AND THEN THERE IS A FOLLOW-UP EMAIL SAYING LIKE I DON'T KNOW IF THERE IS AN EASY WAY TOO SHORT... WHERE I CAN JUST PUT IN ONE EMAIL AND IT SEPARATE IT OUT CORRECTLY.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: IT IS NOW IN OUTLOOK -

>>SPEAKER: I CAN ASK THE QUESTION, BUT -

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: IT IS CALLED THE HUB TRANSPORT RULE AND YOU CAN DO IT AND YOU KNOW I HAVE DONE 30 YEARS OF THE STUFF, BUT YOU CAN DO IT AND I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE THE RIGHT VERSION -

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I WOULD LOVE THAT.

>>SPEAKER: I'LL ASK.

AND SPEAKING - YOUR LAST QUESTIONS, BECAUSE HE IS A PART OF THE STAFF TEAM BECAUSE HE HEARD THE CONVERSATION, ESPECIALLY DURING LAST STUDY SESSION ABOUT AN AUTOMATED SYSTEM WHERE YOU CAN GO AND SEE THE QUESTIONS BEING ASKED AND ALL THE RESPONSES OF THE TRUSTEES AND GET A STATUS REPORT.

AND SO THAT IS COMING AND I HOPE TO HAVE IT COMPLETED IN THE SECOND QUARTER.

AND WILL KEEP YOU POSTED.

AND I THINK ONE OF THE LAST QUESTIONS I KNOW WE WERE THINKING ABOUT AN ISSUE THAT WAS BROUGHT UP AND THERE IS A SECTION WHERE WE WERE GOING TO TRY TO ESTIMATE WHAT HAPPENS WITH AGENDA ITEMS AND WHEN STAFF CREATES AN AGENDA, A REALISTIC NUMBER OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, BUT WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT AND I THINK EVERYONE IS AWARE OF THIS, THERE MAY BE A BETTER WAY TO SAY HEY, IF WE ARE MORE THAN TWO AGENDA ITEMS WE NEED TO YOU KNOW ONE SUGGESTION IS TO START THE MEETING AT 6:30 PM AND LET ME EXPLAIN THIS.

SO, WHEN YOU GET TO THE SECTION WHERE IT TALKS ABOUT HOW THE VILLAGE PRESIDENT WILL CONDUCT A MEETING INTEGRAL TO THE PROCESS OF FIRST ASKING EVERYBODY FOR A COMMENT WHICH YOU KNOW IS VERY TYPICAL AND NORMAL AND THEN YOU GO THROUGH QUESTIONS, EVEN IF YOU JUST DID THE MATH WITH EACH OTHER, FIVE MINUTES TIMES SEVEN PEOPLE FOR BOTH OF THE SECTIONS YOU ARE ALREADY - IF YOU GIVE EVERYBODY THREE MINUTES OR FIVE MINUTES IT IS SOMEWHERE BETWEEN AT LEAST ALMOST CLOSE TO AN HOUR OR AN HOUR AND 1/2.

AND I KNOW THAT WE HAVE STAFF PRACTICING ON ESTIMATING AND BEING ABLE TO TURN IN THINGS AND WHEN WE ESTIMATE WHAT THE CONVERSATION WILL BE, BUT NOBODY OFF THE TOP ADDS AN HOUR TO AN HOUR AND 1/2.

AND ALL OF THE ESTIMATES ARE COMING IN ABOUT 45 MINUTES OR 30 MINUTES AND THEY HAVE AN IDEA AND IT COULD BE MORE OF AN HOUR OR THIS IS TWO HOURS AND I KNOW THAT IT IS HARD TO THINK THROUGH THAT.

AND IT IS JUST NOT BUILT IN THAT WAY AND IT IS ALMOST LIKE THOSE TWO ARE IN CONFLICT AND WE MAY BE THOUGHT THAT ANOTHER WAY TO DO IT IS TO SAY THAT IF THERE IS MORE THAN TWO ITEMS TO START THE MEETING AT 6:30 PM IF THERE IS NO EXECUTIVE SESSION FOR EXAMPLE AND SO JUST SOMETHING TO THINK ABOUT AND I KNOW - WILL RESTART ADDING TIME -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I WILL CERTAINLY TALK ABOUT HOW WE CAN SHORTEN SOME OF THE MEETINGS, BUT YOU KNOW IS A 6:30 PM START DIFFICULT FOR ANYONE 'S SCHEDULE?

>>TRUSTEE EDER: 6:30 PM IS NOT A PROBLEM, BUT 6 PM GET HARD WITH LIKE THE KIDS AND ALL OF THAT STUFF AND IF WE HAVE EXECUTIVE SESSION, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT IT IS ALWAYS SEVEN AND IF WE HAVE EXECUTIVE SESSION, THAT EATS INTO THE OTHER END OF IT.

AND WE HAVE EXECUTIVE SESSION FREQUENTLY AND NOT EVERY TIME, BUT AT LEAST 50% OF THE TIME.

AND IT COULD MAKE THINGS DIFFICULT AND MAKE THOSE 6 O'CLOCK MEETING TIMES MORE COMMON WHICH COULD BE DIFFICULT FOR ME WITH YOUNGER KIDS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I THINK IT IS DIFFICULT WHEN WE CHANGE THE START TIME WITHOUT A RATIONALE AT THE COMMUNITY LEVEL AND PEOPLE REALIZE THAT WE CAN START TO COUNT ON 7 O'CLOCK, 7 O'CLOCK, 7 O'CLOCK.

AND SO TO JUST MOVE IT UP BECAUSE WE HAVE A SLIGHTLY LONGER MEETING, UNLESS WE ARE GOING TO START MOVING AND MOVE IT UP TO 6:30 PM - AND I THINK WE LEAVE IT AT 7 PM.

>>SPEAKER: THESE ARE A GUIDE, BUT JUST KNOW THAT THOSE ESTIMATES -

>>MANAGER JACKSON: YES, THAT IS JUST YOU KNOW ABLE TO SPEAK TO IT - 70 UP TO 90 MINUTES POTENTIALLY AND SO WE HAVE TWO ITEMS AND THAT IS TWO ITEMS AND SO YOU LOOK AT AND YOU START AT 7 PM AND IF YOU HAVE 75 OR 90 MINUTES PER ITEM WE ARE DONE.

WE HAD A SIGNIFICANT PRETTY AGGRESSIVE AGENDA AND I DO ANTICIPATE SOME OF OUR GOALS STARTED TO SHRINK A LITTLE BIT AND WE REQUIRED A LOT OF DISCUSSIONS AND THESE ITEMS WOULD BE A FULL AGENDA.

AND WE ARE TALKING ABOUT TWO REGULAR ITEMS WHETHER IT IS A STUDY SESSION OR A REGULAR ITEM THAT IS A NONROUTINE ISSUE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ARE WE CONCEDED THAT THE TWO AGENDA ITEMS IS ALL WE CAN HANDLE IN THREE HOURS?

BECAUSE I THINK FOLKS, THERE WERE SEVERAL THINGS WE CAN DO TO DO THIS.

SEND YOUR QUESTIONS IN WHICH WE ARE ALL GETTING BETTER AT IN ADVANCE.

AND WE REALLY NEED TO COME TO THE MEETING ACTUALLY READY TO VOTE.

AND WHAT WE ARE DOING IS SHARING WITH THE PUBLIC WHILE WE ARE VOTING THE WAY WE ARE VOTING.

THERE IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE THAT TIME WHERE WE WANT TO LEAVE SPACE TO LEARN FROM EACH OTHER, BUT WHAT WE DO NOT WANT TO BE DOING IS CREATING A PRACTICE WHERE WE ACTUALLY DECIDE BASED ON THE DIALOGUE AND DISCUSSION AT THE BOARD TABLE AND DEBATING STILL.

WE NEED TO BE COMMUNICATING IN BETWEEN MEETINGS SO IT IS LESS AND THE THING IS THAT I THINK THAT THIS BOARD ACTUALLY LIKES TO TALK TO EACH OTHER AND WE LIKE THE CONVERSATION AND IT HAS BECOME REWARDING FOR US, BUT IT IS OVERLY BURDENSOME FOR PEOPLE WHO HAVE TO WORK THE NEXT MORNING AT 8 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING HERE AT VILLAGE HALL.

SO, I DON'T WANT TO CONCEDE THE TWO AGENDA ITEMS IS ALL WE CAN HANDLE IN A THREE HOUR MEETING.

I WANT US TO CONTINUE TO WORK TOWARDS BEING MORE EFFICIENT.

THAT ALSO MEANS WATCHING THE COMMISSION MEETINGS.

IF IT IS RELATED TO OUR AGENDA ITEM.

SO, WE ARE NOT ASKING THE SAME QUESTIONS THAT WE ARE ASKING AND ANSWERING AT THE COMMISSION LEVEL.

AND TO CONTINUE TO HAVE MORE CONVERSATIONS WITH OUR VILLAGE MANAGER STAFF ON A REGULAR BASIS.

WE CAN STILL BE EXTREMELY TRANSPARENT TO THE COMMUNITY AND BE RESPONDING TO PUBLIC COMMENT AND LEAVE ROOM FOR CHANGING OF OUR MINDS, BUT I NEED EVERYBODY TO BE WALKING IN HERE PRETTY MUCH READY TO VOTE ON EVERY ITEM ON THE AGENDA.

AND THAT IS THE INTENTION.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I THINK IF CHIBIKUE AND JIM AND JENNA WOULD STOP TALKING SO MUCH!

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: THAT IS A JOKE BECAUSE HE KNOWS THAT HE AND I TALK TOO MUCH!

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: NOBODY NEEDED THAT EXPLAIN!

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: TO CLARIFY FOR THE PUBLIC -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

SO, BRIAN AND COREY -

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: YOU DID THIS FOR THE GOOD OF EVERYONE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: HOWEVER, I DO APPRECIATE THIS AND YOU KNOW IT IS NOT THAT STAFF IS ONLY TRYING TO HELP US RIGHT NOW - AND SO YES -

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: AND I WILL SAY THAT I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD SAY THAT WE ARE LIMITING OURSELVES TO JUST TWO AGENDA ITEMS.

I THINK MANY TIMES WE HAVE HAD A LOADED AGENDA WHERE WE WILL FLY THROUGH MANY AGENDA ITEMS WITHOUT MUCH DISCUSSION AND IT IS ONLY WHEN WE GET TO METEOR ISSUES WHERE THERE IS SOME DIVIDED OPINION OR WHERE WE NEED TO REALLY PULL SOMETHING APART THAT WE END UP WITH MORE DISCUSSION.

AND SO I THINK PUTTING A NUMBER OF ITEMS RATHER THAN A STAFF ESTIMATE AND HAVING A STAFF ESTIMATE THAT IS BROKEN INTO PRESENTATION TIME AND SORT OF PREDICTED LIKE AMOUNTS OF TIME FOR Q&A, BECAUSE SOME ITEMS IN THE PRESENTATIONS DO STRETCH TO BE QUITE LONG PARTICULARLY WHEN WE WERE RECEIVING CONSULTANT REPORTS AND THEY WANT TO DEMONSTRATE THE VALUE THAT THEY HAVE PROVIDED THOSE PRESENTATION ITEMS CAN STRETCH TO 30 OR 40 MINUTES ON THEIR OWN.

AND SO I THINK THAT SPLITTING THOSE THINGS UP AND MAY BE COMMUNICATING TO US IN THAT PRELIMINARY AGENDA LIKE HERE IS HOW MUCH TIME WE EXPECT THIS TO TAKE EVEN IF THOSE ESTIMATES ARE NOT ON THE PUBLIC AGENDA, THAT HELPS US UNDERSTAND THAT THEY ARE NOT EXPECTING MANY QUESTIONS ON THIS.

AND I NEED TO FLAG THAT THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT I AM EXPECTING TO WANT TO HAVE MORE DISCUSSION ON.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THAT DOES BRING ME YOU KNOW SOMETIMES PRESENTATIONS ARE VERY BRIEF AND WE KNOW THAT AS WELL AND SO OKAY, CAN THE TIME ESTIMATES BE VISIBLE TO THE VILLAGE BOARD ONLY NOT NECESSARILY TO THE PUBLIC?

OKAY.

AND I WOULD LIKE ALSO PEOPLE - CAN WE COMMUNICATE WHAT EXACTLY IT IS THAT WOULD HELP US BE MORE BRIEF IN OUR DIALOGUE?

LIKE THE LEGISLATIVE DOCUMENTS AND FOR ME THE LEGISLATIVE DOCUMENT AND THE COVER SHEET SHOULD HAVE THE HISTORY OF THE ITEM AND IT TYPICALLY DOES AND THE COMMISSION MEETINGS THAT ARE RELEVANT AND I WANT TO BE ABLE TO GET YOU KNOW THE LION SHARE OF INFORMATION THAT IS REALLY NEEDED TO BE A DECISION-MAKER FROM THAT LEGISLATIVE DOCUMENT.

AND I WANT THAT TO BE SUMMARIZING FOR US IN THAT LEGISLATIVE DOCUMENT WHAT WE WILL SEE IN THE SUPPORT DOCUMENTS.

AND I THINK THAT IS HELPFUL TO THE PUBLIC AND I THINK THAT HELPS US REFRAIN FROM GETTING IN THE WEEDS AND PRETENDING LIKE WE GOT THE DEGREES THAT YOU ALL HAVE.

AND TO BRING US STRAIGHT TO THE LEVEL OF DECISION-MAKER, OKAY? WHERE WE ARE VOTING.

AND ALL OF THE OTHER SUPPORT MATERIALS ARE THERE AND WE ARE BEING TRANSPARENT, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A LITTLE BIT MORE MEAT IN THE LEGISLATIVE COVERSHEET.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: I WILL SPEAK TO THAT AS WELL BECAUSE I THINK IT IS KIND OF NUANCED.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: I'LL JUST ADD THAT I HAD THAT HAPPENED RECENTLY WHERE I RELIED ON THE DOCUMENT AND I DON'T ALWAYS READ ALL OF THEM AND SOME OF THEM ARE VERY VERY LENGTHY AND IT DID NOT HAVE ALL OF THE INFORMATION AND I MADE ASSUMPTIONS BASED ON THAT WHICH TURNED OUT TO BE NOT CORRECT.

AND THAT KIND OF A THING WHERE YOU KNOW THE MORE THE BETTER WE CRAM IN THEIR ANGER TO THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS IF WE NEED TO.

AND HAVE A COUPLE OTHER SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: I THINK THAT IS GREAT FEEDBACK, BUT I WANT TO OFFER YOU GUYS YOU KNOW SOME COMMUNITIES MAY SAY HEY AND WILL HAVE LIKE TWO NIGHTS OUT OF THE FOUR NIGHTS THAT WE NEED JUST FOR STUDY SESSIONS ONLY WHICH TEND TO BE MORE CONFLICT INVOLVED TOPICS AND YOU SPEND MORE TIME ON THOSE AND WE SAY HEY, WE'RE GOING TO LIMIT THOSE STUDY SESSION NOTES NO MORE THAN TWO TOPICS BECAUSE WE KNOW THESE TOPICS TAKE LONGER AND THE OTHER NIGHTS WE ARE JUST GOING TO BE VOTING ON ITEMS THAT HAVE ARGUMENT BAKE AND SO THERE IS THAT.

AND THERE IS ALSO COMMUNITIES TO SAY YOU KNOW LET'S SPEND 15 OR 20 PAGES ARTICULATING SPENDING A LOT OF TIME, BUT WHAT IT DOES IS THAT IT COULD ACTUALLY SLOWED THE AGENDA ITEM DOWN.

AND IT COULD SLOW THE PACE DOWN LITTLE BIT.

AND I KNOW WHAT WAS IN PLACE AND KNOW WHEN I GOT HERE WE GOT INTO THIS CADENCE TO MAKE CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENTS EACH TIME AND WE HAD A BREAK TO TRY TO FRAME THE AGENDA ITEMS IN A WAY WHERE WE CAN PROVIDE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE AND THE CATEGORIES OF INFORMATION AND IN THE BACKGROUND MATERIALS SO YOU CAN WORK THROUGH THEM PRETTY QUICKLY

AND GET ENOUGH INFORMATION AND THEN IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS THEN WE HAVE THE PREVIEW TO FOLLOW UP WITH STAFF AND YOU CAN ALWAYS MEET WITH STAFF AND SO FORTH AND THE PASTOR IS TO CREATE DYNAMIC PACE WHERE WE ARE PUSHING AND TRYING TO GO AS FAST AS WE CAN, BUT AT THE SAME TIME TRYING TO PROVIDE QUALITY INFORMATION.

AND SO THERE IS A MANAGEMENT APPROACH TO THAT AND I WANT TO ARTICULATE THAT, BECAUSE I DO HAVE SOME RIGHT HERE IN ILLINOIS AND THIS STUFF IS PUBLIC AND I DO HAVE SOME EXPERIENCE WHERE YOU REALLY DEDICATE A LOT OF TIME TO THE MEMORANDUMS AND IT DOES FILL THE AGENDA DOWN A LITTLE BIT.

AND THIS IS NOT THE ONLY COMMUNITY I'VE BEEN IN WHERE YOU TRY TO STRIKE A BALANCE WITH THE MEMORANDUMS VS.

MOVING THE AGENDA FORWARD.

AND SO WHAT I'M TRYING TO DO WITH THE TEAM IS JUST EVALUATING YEAR-TO-YEAR THE KIND OF QUESTIONS THAT WE ARE GETTING AND LET'S SEE IF WE CAN ENHANCE THE FRAME OF THE AGENDA AND THE CONTENT IN THE AGENDA SO WE GET THE RIGHT INFORMATION AND SUMMARIZE IT AND I THINK THE FEEDBACK IS GREAT FEEDBACK AND WE PROVIDE COMPLETE INFORMATION AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO DO AND IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS EVER, OBVIOUSLY WE WELCOME THOSE QUESTIONS AS IS PRESENTED AND IT IS IMPORTANT FOR ME TO PROVIDE THAT LENS, BECAUSE THERE'S DIFFERENT WAYS TO APPROACH IT AND ARE AGENDA WITH THE WAY THAT IT HAS BEEN OVER THE LAST FEW YEARS WE HAVE HAD A LOT OF HEAVY TOPICS IN IMPORTANT TOPICS AND THE DESIRE TO MOVE FAST AND WE TRIED TO STRIKE A BALANCE BETWEEN OKAY, I WILL GO TO SPEND EIGHT HOURS ON PRODUCING THE CONTENT AND THEN NARROWING THE AGENDA DOWN AND THEY DON'T HAVE AS MANY ITEMS OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEAR VS. LET'S FIND THAT SWEET SPOT WHERE WE CAN PROVIDE QUALITY INFORMATION.

JUST WANT TO OFFER THAT INDISCRETION AS WELL.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: SORTABLE RELATED TO THIS AND NOT SO RELATED THAT WE TALKED ABOUT IT AT THE BOARD TABLE MR. ATTORNEY (CHUCKLE) AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I THOUGHT ABOUT JUST SINCE I'VE HAD THIS JOB IS THAT IT WOULD BE VERY BENEFICIAL IF IT WOULD BE EASY TO UNDERSTAND HOW AN ITEM THAT CAME BEFORE US GOT BEFORE US BASED ON PREVIOUSLY BOARD 'S ACTIONS AND NOT NECESSARILY ON WHAT STAFF IS DOING TO BRING IT FORWARD, BUT IF THERE WAS AN ORDINANCE THAT WE ARE MODIFYING AND IT WENT INTO EFFECT IN 95 IT WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE SOME CONTEXT AROUND WHAT DROVE IT AND WHAT MODIFIED IT ALONG THE WAY TO GET HERE AND I DON'T THINK THAT STAFF SHOULD BE CREATING A ALONG THE WAY, BUT I DO THINK THAT YOU KNOW WHAT IS IT RACIAL EQUITY EVALUATION OF ALL OF OUR ORDINANCES? ENCOMPASSING THAT HISTORY WOULD BE GREAT IF WE COULD SOMEHOW WORK THAT IN TOGETHER AND I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHY NOTHING EXISTS BEFORE I TEAR IT DOWN.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE CURRENT TEMPLATE THE BOARD ACTION WAS AT THE BACK AND REPORTED AT THE FRONT AND THAT SECTION TO

TRY TO GET AT THAT AND WHAT I'M HEARING IS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE INFORMATION -

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: IT IS HARD TO TELL WHAT IS RELEVANT AND WE WENT BACK LIKE FIVE YEARS AND YOU KNOW I DON'T KNOW HOW TO SAY GIVE ME THE RELEVANT INFORMATION WITHOUT GIVING ME EVERY DEBT YOU HAVE, BUT I'LL THINK STAFF SHOULD BE DOING THAT ON A WEEKLY BASIS AND I THINK IT SHOULD BE SOMETHING THAT EXISTS ALONGSIDE THE ORDINANCES AS PART OF THAT HISTORY AND THEN THEY CAN JUST BE SURFACED.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: LIKE THE LEGISLATIVE SUMMARY.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: LIKE IF I GO TO CONGRESS AND EVEN THE STATE OF ILLINOIS I CAN SEE ALL THE PERMUTATIONS AND COMMITTEES AND ALL OF THIS STUFF THAT GETS RECORDED.

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: AND ALL OF THESE COVER MEMORANDUM ARE EASILY ACCESSIBLE AND YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE LEGISLATIVE HISTORY OF CONGRESS AND SO FOR THE MORE RECENT ITEMS THAT HAVE BEEN PUT IN SINCE REGISTRAR HAS BEEN ADOPTED IS VERY EASY FOR STAFF TO GET TO THOSE GOING PAST THE POINT WHEN IT IS USED IS VERY DIFFICULT BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO GO INTO THE ARCHIVES AND BOARD MEETING MINUTES ONLY TELL SO MUCH OF THE STORY AND PRIOR TO THAT WOULD ONLY EXIST IN PAPER OR IN PDFS. AND ALL OF THAT TO SAY IS THE THINGS THAT ARE IN IT IS EASY TO CARRY THAT FORWARD.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THIS IS ONLY 2014.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: SO, DO WE NEED TO EXPAND THE DIGITAL ARCHIVING? IT SOUNDS LIKE IT COULD BE GOING HAND-IN-HAND FOR SOME OF THAT STUFF THAT IS PAPER ONLY.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: ARCHIVING IS ONE THING, BUT MAKING IT SEARCHABLE AND FINDABLE AND LINKABLE IS THE OTHER THING AND IT DOES HAVE THE ABILITY TO BE REFERENCED THAT YOU CAN SAY THE RELATED ITEMS AND YOU CAN REFER TO THOSE AND SOMETIMES THAT SHOWS UP IN THE LEGISLATIVE ITEM, BATTLES MENTIONED FOR ABOUT 10 YEARS WE HAD A PLACE TO SEARCH FOR ALL OF THAT STUFF AND YOU CAN MUCH MORE EASILY LINK TO ALL OF THOSE THINGS AND HAVE THAT CONTEXT AND I GUESS I GET TO WHAT PRESIDENT SCAMAN WAS TALKING ABOUT AND HERE IS A LINK TO A VIDEO OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND MEETING ABOUT THIS AND YOU KNOW IT WAS JUST A HYPERLINK AT THE END OF THE DAY AS LONG AS WE BUILT THE SYSTEM TO BE ACCESSIBLE THAT WAY.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: AND WE ARE SPOILED.

AND SHE HAS A LOT OF HISTORY HERE AND WE ARE TRUSTING TAGLIA AND CLERK WATERS AND FOLKS HERE WHO HAVE HISTORY AND HAVE BEEN SITTING AT THIS TABLE AND SOMETIMES WHEN THEY COME FORWARD THERE IS A LITTLE BIT MORE ENRICHMENT THAT COMES WITH IT AND THERE IS PROBABLY SOME OF THOSE IN THE PDFS IN THE CRATES SOMEWHERE AND IT WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE THAT AS WELL JUST TO SURFACE IT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THE HOW HAS ALREADY BEEN DETERMINED, IT IS ALL A BIT TRICKY, BUT WE ARE WORKING TOWARDS IT WITH ARCHIVING IT WITH AI ON

OUR WEBSITE AND OTHER WAYS AND I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR - WE ARE NOT LOOKING FOR A LIST OF DATES, WE ARE LOOKING FOR THE SUMMARY AS TO THE WIRE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: CORRECT.

AND ALSO NOT TO HAVE STAFF DO THIS ON A WEEK TO WEEK BASIS. I DON'T THINK THAT WOULD BE TIME EFFICIENT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I APPRECIATE THIS CONVERSATION, BECAUSE IT IS REALLY SPEAKING TO AVOIDING UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES AS WE MAKE DECISIONS AND JUST RESPECTING OUR HISTORY AS WELL. SHE FIRED TWO BRIEF COMMENTS AND I DON'T KNOW IF THEY WOULD NECESSARILY APPLY AND ITEM 6B ADDRESSES ITEMS BEING SENT TO THE ENTIRE VILLAGE BOARD AND OCCASIONALLY AT LEAST I GET SOMEONE THAT WILL REACH OUT TO ME AND I'LL TRY TO CONNECT SOMEONE TO AN ANSWER, BUT I DON'T KNOW SOMETIMES WHEN IT GETS RESOLVED AND MAYBE I DON'T NEED TO KNOW EVERY TIME, BUT SOMETIMES YOU MAKE A CONNECTION AND SOMEBODY MAKES A PROBLEM AND YOU WANT TO HELP THEM FIX IT AND THEN YOU DON'T KNOW HOW IT GOT RESOLVED.

AND THEN IT HAPPENS AGAIN AND THEN YOU ASK WHAT WAS THE OUTCOME AND HOW DOES THAT HAPPEN?

THIS REALLY ADDRESSES THE ENTIRE VILLAGE BOARD AS OPPOSED TO ONE MEMBER ASKING A QUESTION OF STAFF.

THERE IS VALUE IN A SIMILAR WAY OF ACKNOWLEDGING AND SOMETIMES IT IS NOT 100% AND MAYBE ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THIS HAS BEEN ADDRESSED AND I'M NOT TRYING TO GET INTO THE BUSINESS OF THE STAFF, BUT IF I MAKE A CONNECTION IT IS GOOD TO KNOW THAT IT WAS FINISHED AND IT MET ITS GOAL.

AND SO THAT IS ONE ITEM AND I DON'T KNOW IF THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED AND SORRY, THIS IS A QUESTION - AND JUST ONE OTHER COMMENT THAT STANDARDS FOR PUBLIC EMERGENCIES AND SO WE HAVE A PROTOCOL AND I KNOW I HAVE TALKED TO YOU ABOUT THIS BEFORE WHERE IF THERE WAS AN EMERGENCY IN THE VILLAGE AND WE GET NOTIFIED UNDER CERTAIN CRITERIA IF THERE IS SOME TERRIBLE EVENT THAT THE BOARD WOULD WANT TO BE NOTIFIED.

HAS THAT BEEN REMOVED?

STANDARDS FOR PUBLIC EMERGENCIES IS MORE FORWARD FACING IS ON THE BOARD REACT WHEN THEY ARE ASKED ABOUT AN EVENT IN THE VILLAGE AND IF SOMETHING HAPPENED IN THE VILLAGE LIKE THERE WAS A TRAGIC EVENT AND THERE IS SOME CERTAIN -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY - I HAVE NOTICED RECENTLY WHEN STAFF IS RESPONDING TO A QUESTION OF A CONSTITUENCY THAT WE'VE ALL RECEIVED THAT YOU WILL GET AN EMAIL SAYING THAT THIS WAS STAFF 'S RESPONSE.

AND THAT IS ACTUALLY VERY HELPFUL.

AND YOU ARE ASKING FOR THAT TO BE ACROSS THE BOARD AND WHEN THE SITUATION IS RESOLVED AND IT TAKES RESEARCH AND BACK-AND-FORTH WITH LIKE OKAY, DEAR BOARD, THIS SITUATION WAS RESOLVED.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: WHATEVER FORM -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: JUST SO THAT IF WE GET AN EMAIL A MONTH LATER YOU KNOW BECAUSE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF TIME WILL GO BY AND WE WILL ASSUME THAT IT IS TAKING CARE OF AND THEN WE MAY GET ANOTHER EMAIL THAT SAYS HEY, THIS IS STILL A CHALLENGE.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: JUST SO WE UNDERSTAND WHAT IS HAPPENING.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I WILL LET STAFF RESPONDED THAT IN THE MINUTE. AND THEN THE COMMUNITY ALERTS. AND I THINK JIM AND I ARE THE HISTORIANS HERE - IF THERE WAS AN UNFORTUNATE PASSING, WE WOULD GET A PHONE CALL VS. AN EMAIL. RIGHT NOW WE GET EMAIL ALERTS. IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT?

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: I WILL GIVE AN EXAMPLE. A BANK ROBBERY. THERE WAS AN ISSUE A WHILE BACK WHERE THERE WAS AN ARMORED CAR THAT WAS HELD UP. AND WE DON'T WANT PEOPLE TO SAY WHAT YOU THINK ABOUT THAT ARE WHAT IS HAPPENING, WHAT ARE YOU DOING ABOUT THAT? AND WE ARE LIKE DOING ABOUT WHAT?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YOU WANT TO DEFINE A THRESHOLD BETWEEN EMAIL AND PHONE CALL.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: THE PHONE CALLS ARE UNDERSTOOD PEERED WE GET THE PHONE CALLS AND THEY'RE USUALLY NOT GOOD, BUT WE GET THEM AND WE HAVE TO, RIGHT? AND THESE ARE THINGS THAT ARE HAPPENING IN THE VILLAGE WHERE PEOPLE WOULD THEN COME TO US AND THEN COME TO YOU AND SAY WHAT ARE YOU DOING ABOUT THIS OR WHAT DO YOU KNOW ABOUT THIS? AND I DON'T WANT TO MAKE THIS MORE COMPLEX AND I AM JUST SUGGESTING THAT THERE IS VALUE IN TRUSTEES WITH SOME SORT OF A NOTIFICATION, BECAUSE WE GET ASKED AND WE NEED TO KNOW. AND I'M NOT TRYING TO GET INTO YOUR BUSINESS, BUT WE NEED TO KNOW -

>>MANAGER JACKSON: I THINK WHAT WE TRIED TO DO WITH THE ALERTS WHICH I DON'T THINK EXISTED UNTIL I GOT HERE IS TO RESPOND QUICKLY AND PUT THAT INFORMATION OUT THERE SO WE CAN GET SOMETHING GOING. AND THE INTENT IS TO PROVIDE UPDATES UNTIL THE EVENT GETS CLOSED OUT AND CERTAINLY IF IT IS A SIGNIFICANT EVENT YOU WILL GET A PHONE CALL FROM ME. AND SOME THINGS I DON'T PUT THINGS IN AN EMAIL, IT IS NOT APPROPRIATE AND YOU WILL GET A PHONE CALL AND WHAT WE CAN DO IS TRY TO BE IN COMMUNICATION AND WHEN SOMETHING HAPPENS TO PUT ALERTS OUT AND IF WE GET INFORMATION FROM EITHER ONE OF YOU AND YOU KNOW WE CREATE THE ALERT AND CONFIRM IT AND CREATE THE ALERT AND IT IS HELPFUL TO GET THE EXAMPLES BECAUSE THERE ARE EXAMPLES WHERE YOU THINK THIS IS THE THRESHOLD WHERE WE THINK WE SHOULD ALL GET A PHONE CALL OR I DON'T ALSO SOMETHING ELSE YOU ARE LOOKING FOR LIKE IF YOU WERE TO GET INVOLVED IN THE INCIDENT AND THERE IS NO WAY -

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I'LL TELL YOU ABOUT A PHONE CALL ESPECIALLY IN WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR IS A, NOTHING HAPPENED AND B, WHAT WERE DOING ABOUT A AND C, WHAT I SPECIFICALLY NEED TO DO.

IF WHATEVER THAT IS - JUST SO THAT I KNOW LIKE AM I SUPPOSED TO BE AT THE VILLAGE TOP PRESS CONFERENCE?

ARE WE NOT SHOWING UP AS A BOARD OR WHATEVER AND JUST TO KNOW WHAT THE PROTOCOL IS GOING TO BE AND SO IF I AM IN OAK PARK AND I GET A CALL I CAN JUMP BACK TO OAK PARK OR NOT.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO KNOW, BECAUSE IN THE INSTANCES WHERE I FEEL LIKE YOU NEED TO BE HERE OR LIKE HEY, YOU NEED TO DO THIS - SURELY THERE IS NO DISCOMFORT IN YOU LETTING ME KNOW THAT.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: ABSOLUTELY.

AND ANYTIME THAT ANYTHING THAT RISES TO A PHONE CALL SHOULD HAVE ATTACHED TO IT AND THIS IS WHAT IS EXPECTED OF YOU, RIGHT?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OR MAYBE EVEN A PLEASE DO NOT TAKE A CALL FROM A REPORTER.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: THAT AS WELL!

AND I TYPICALLY DON'T, BUT -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WE WOULD LIKE TO BE ONE VOICE AND STAFF WILL BE WORKING ON A STATEMENT.

THAT KIND OF ADVICE.

OKAY, IT FEELS LIKE THE REALLY SERIOUS STUFF IS UNDERSTOOD AND IT FEELS LIKE WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT - YOU KNOW A DEADLY CAR ACCIDENT OR BICYCLE - YES, OKAY.

SO, WHAT WE UNDERSTAND HERE IS WITH THE VILLAGE MANAGER FORM OF GOVERNMENT, IT IS THE VILLAGE MANAGER JOB TO RESPOND TO THAT.

AND WHAT YOU WANT IS THAT YOU DON'T WANT TO GET CAUGHT OFF GUARD BY SOMEBODY IN THE PRESS CALLING AND SAYING HEY, WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THIS AND YOU'RE LIKE I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

AND SO I DO THINK A LITTLE BIT OF TIME AND CARE, BECAUSE I THINK IT IS THE BORDERLINE STUFF THAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR, NOT THE SUPER SERIOUS STUFF - AND WE ALREADY HAVE SYSTEMS FOR.

IS THAT RIGHT?

AND THE REASON TO HAVE A DISCUSSION THEN IS SO WE UNDERSTAND - NOW CAN I SHARE A BRIEF CONVERSATION THAT TRUSTEE AND I HAD EARLIER JUST BECAUSE IT MAY FIT INTO CONTEXT?

BECAUSE, SOME OF YOU PROBABLY ALREADY KNOW THIS AND THIS IS JUST BECAUSE IT SEEMS RELEVANT.

YOU ALL CAN TAKE CALLS FROM THE PRESS ABOUT YOUR RESPONSE TO VOTE IN YOUR POSITION ON ANYTHING.

YOU OWN YOUR VOTE IN YOUR OPINION AND YOU ARE DULY ELECTED AND YOU SERVE AT LARGE, OKAY?

IF IT IS SOMETHING THAT IS NOT RELATED TO POLICY RIGHT HERE, BUT A TRAGEDY IN THE COMMUNITY THEN YOU ARE REFERRING THAT TO MYSELF AND KEVIN JACKSON IN NOT TAKING THAT INTERVIEW.
AND IF THE PRESS OR TELEVISION STATION ARE CALLING YOU AND ASKING FOR YOUR RESPONSES AND YOUR FEELINGS ON UPTAKE IN CARJACKINGS OR SOMETHING AS AN EXAMPLE, YOU STILL WANT TO BE VERY CAREFUL, BECAUSE YOU CAN SPEAK FOR YOURSELF, BUT YOU ARE ALSO SERVANTS OF THIS COMMUNITY AND YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR OUR REPUTATION AT LARGE, AS WELL.

>>>

SO, WE HAVE A COMMUNICATIONS TEAM FOR A REASON.
THEY WILL WORK WITH US ON STATEMENTS THAT ARE CONSISTENT AND HONEST AND NOT DAMAGING OF OUR REPUTATION.
SO, I REALLY DO ADVISE YOU - YOU NEVER HAVE TO ASK ME FOR PERMISSION IF YOU CAN TAKE AN INTERVIEW ON YOUR INDEPENDENT VOTE ON SOMETHING, BUT PLEASE AVOID SPEAKING AND ALSO JUST REMEMBER THAT SOME OF THE TEAMWORK THAT WE HAVE CREATED WITH THIS THAT I THINK WE ARE ALL PROUD OF IS THAT ONCE A VOTE IS DONE WE ARE UNIFIED AND WE ARE MOVING FORWARD AND MOVING ON.
SO, PLEASE RECOGNIZE THAT.
BUT, YOU HAVE EVERY RIGHT TO EXPLAIN YOUR VOTE TO YOUR CONSTITUENCY.
AND THEN WHEN THERE IS A PATH FORWARD TO MOVE ON WE ARE ONE BOARD.
ANY QUESTIONS?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: NOT A QUESTION, BUT WHAT I'D TYPICALLY LIKE TO ADD LIKE WHAT ABOUT A BOARD THING?

I SPEAK FOR MYSELF EXPLICITLY SO IF I'M TALKING TO LIKE A REPORTER HE GETS WRITTEN DOWN VS.
THEM ASSUMING THAT THEY ARE JUST GETTING MY OPINION AND I WOULD JUST LIKE TO PUT THAT OUT THERE AND IT WAS SOMETHING YOU KNOW I FORGOT ABOUT IT AND IT MAY HAVE BEEN JIM - BUT, YES, IT WAS JUST SOMETHING THAT I ALWAYS TELL FOLKS LIKE YOU DON'T SPEAK FOR THE BOARD, BECAUSE YOU DON'T.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I ALSO DON'T YOU TO TAKE WHAT I'M SAYING AND NOT THE PRESS BECAUSE YOU ARE DULY ELECTED AND IT IS JUST THAT THING THAT IS MORE OVERARCHING ABOUT THE HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY AND IT CAN BE DAMAGING TO OUR REPUTATION AND WE HAVE TO BE A UNIFIED VOTE.
YOU CAN ADD -

>>MANAGER JACKSON: I DON'T TO GO BACKWARDS, BUT I DO WANT TO JUST - WE ARE TALKING ABOUT COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE MEDIA, BUT EVEN THOSE ALERTS ARE A FORM OF PUBLIC COMMUNICATION THAT ARE SENT OUT AND THEY ARGUE NO - WE CAN ADD AS MUCH INFORMATION TO THOSE ALERTS AS WE CAN AT THE TIME AND IT CREATES A UNIFIED MESSAGE AROUND THE ISSUE UNTIL WE UPDATE IT AND ADD MORE AND MORE AND MORE AND PARTICULARLY WITH OUR ISSUES AROUND AND IT COULD BE LEGAL QUESTIONS AND QUESTIONS OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND SO THOSE MESSAGES ARE REALLY INTENTIONAL IN TRYING TO CREATE A UNIFIED VOICE

AND IF THERE IS A NEED FOR THE TRUSTEES TO DO SOMETHING SPECIFICALLY AROUND THAT, WE WOULD DEFINITELY PUT THAT IN THERE OR I WOULD CALL YOU AND LET YOU KNOW.

AND I JUST WANT TO POINT THAT OUT WHILE WE WERE TALKING ABOUT PUBLIC COMMUNICATION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SPEAK TO YOUR PLATFORM AND ANSWER ALL THOSE QUESTIONS.

THAT IS GREAT.

ABSOLUTELY.

GO AHEAD.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I THINK JUST A FOLLOW-UP ON SOMETHING THAT COREY SAID REGARDING IF THERE IS A PRESS CONFERENCE OR SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES, JUST EXPLICIT DIRECTION EITHER WAY LIKE YOUR PRESENCE IS REQUESTED IN FABLE OR THIS IS - WE ARE TRYING TO KEEP THIS LIMITED TO THIS LIST OF STAFF LEADS, BECAUSE I DO THINK THAT THERE WAS SOME SENSITIVITY AFTER TRAGEDIES THAT HAD OCCURRED IN THE RECENT PAST WHERE SOME PEOPLE SHOWED UP AND OTHER PEOPLE DID NOT AND FOLKS WERE WONDERING LIKE DID I NOT GET THE MEMO THAT ARE SUPPOSED TO BE THERE AND VICE VERSA.

AND SO JUST THAT WE CAN SHOW UP AS A BOARD AND AS A WHOLE WHEN THAT IS HELPFUL TO SHOW RESPECT AND UNITY AND EXPLICIT DIRECTION AND WE ARE HOLDING A PRESS CONFERENCE AND THE BOARD IS NOT EXPECTED TO ATTEND OR YOU KNOW THE BOARD ATTENDANCE IS PREFERRED.

SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES THAT GIVES US GUIDANCE AT THE VERY LEAST.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, SO THEN GOING BACK TO JIM 'S SUGGESTIONS OF SOMETHING LIKE YOU KNOW A HOLDUP OF AN ARMORED CAR REACHES THE LEVEL OF WHERE WE MAY GET QUESTIONS THROUGH FACEBOOK OR WHATEVER AND SO THE PERCEPTION THAT A BOARD MEMBER BE LESS THAN AWARE COULD BE SOMETHING THAT MAKE YOU FEEL UNCOMFORTABLE.

AND SO WE ARE CREATING - MUTUAL RESPECT FOR THE EXPECTATIONS THAT OUR COMMUNITY HAS FOR US AS WELL AS THE VILLAGE MANAGER.

AND IT IS ALL WITH SENSITIVITY AND OPEN DIALOGUE THAT HELPS AVOID ANY MISCONCEPTIONS.

ALL RIGHT.

WITH A LOT OF GREAT, BECAUSE THINGS ARE MOVING SOMETIMES RATHER QUICKLY. AND FROM THIS POINT FORWARD WE KNOW THAT THAT IS THE GOAL.

THAT WE ARE WORKING TOGETHER.

OKAY, I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU PUT ALL OF THAT PROTOCOLS -

>>SPEAKER: OKAY, I THINK WE WILL THEN BRING THIS BACK AT THE NEXT MEETING WITH YOUR FEEDBACK SINCE THERE ARE A FEW THINGS THAT WE NEED TO CHANGE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IT IS NICE TO SEE IT NOT ALL WITH REDLINES AND FINAL READ THROUGH - SO, THEN WE ARE DONE?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: ONE QUICK QUESTION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THAT WAS NOT AN ADJOURNMENT - THAT WAS GO AHEAD, CORY -

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: IT IS - HOLD ON, I AM FINDING IT.
I HAD IT EARLIER - AND IT IS - YES, OKAY - WE HAD SECTION B7 - ABOUT A MOTION AND SECOND - PAGE 3. THANK YOU.
AND THIS IS FINANCE FUNDING REQUEST AND I HAVE BEEN GOING BACK AND FORTH ON THIS AND I'M WONDERING IF FUNDING REQUEST ARE TOO NARROW FOR EVERYTHING THAT GOES FOR A MOTION AND SECOND SHOULD HAVE DISCUSSION FIRST EVEN IF IT COMES BACK ON CONSENT AND I DON'T KNOW IF I'M SOLD ON THIS AND I AM STILL TALKING THIS THROUGH, BUT MY THOUGHT ON IT IS IF THE MEAT IS ON TUESDAY YOU GET A MOTION AND SECOND ON FRIDAY FOR SOMETHING TO BE INCLUDED ON THURSDAY'S MEETING, STAFF MAY NOT HAVE ENOUGH TIME TO PROVIDE ANY KIND OF ANALYSIS TO GO ALONG WITH THAT.
I DO NOT KNOW - SOMETIMES I AM COMFORTABLE WITH THAT DEPENDING ON WHAT THE THING IS AND MOST OF THE TIME I WILL PROBABLY WANT TO KNOW WHAT STAFF HAS TO SAY ABOUT IT AND IF WE ARE ONLY HAVING THE ONE MEETING AND MOTION AND MEETING AND VOTE AND ADOPT A KIND OF PRECLUDES STAFF HAVING AN ABILITY TO WEIGH IN AND I THINK BASED ON AND THIS KIND OF HIT MY HEAD LAST WEEK WHEN TALKING TO ROB ABOUT LRS AND RFPS AND LIKE YES WE SHOULD JUST GO STRAIGHT TO RFP AND HE IS LIGHTWEIGHT, HOLD ON THERE IS SOME STUFF WE DID NOT TALK ABOUT IT IS LIKE YOU'RE RIGHT, THOUGH STUFF WE DID NOT TALK ABOUT AND HOW DO WE KNOW WHEN THERE IS STUFF THAT WE DID NOT TALK ABOUT BEFORE WE WERE VOTING, RIGHT?
AND I JUST LIKE TO BE THOROUGH AND MAKE SURE THAT WHAT I'M VOTING ON SOMETHING I VOTING ON THE THING BASED ON ALL OF THE AVAILABLE INFORMATION THAT I CAN HAVE AND I THINK SOMETIMES IT WILL BE HARD WHEN WE GO MOTION AND SECOND ON FRIDAY AND TUESDAY, LOW IN ADOPT.
AND AGAIN, TALKING IT THROUGH LIKE MAYBE IT DOESN'T MATTER IF IT IS A FUNDING THING, BUT MAYBE IT DOES.

>>SPEAKER: WELL, ONE SUGGESTION WOULD BE THAT WE COULD CHANGE HOW THIS IS WRITTEN TO CLARIFY THAT THAT IS HOW IT WOULD OCCUR AND THERE WOULD ALWAYS BE A SECOND MEETING AND THEN WE CAN READ IT AND SEE HOW WE RESPOND TO IT, BECAUSE WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE WAY IT IS WRITTEN, IT SAYS THIS IS CONSIDERED ONLY ON THE AGENDA ITEM IT IS INHERENT IN THERE AND I THINK WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS THAT IN THE DISCUSSION THE FIRST TIME YOU COULD BE MAKING DECISIONS AND YOU JUST WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT YOU ARE NOT GOING TO MAKE A FINAL DECISION UNTIL IT COMES BACK.

>>SPEAKER: AND THAT IS THE PART THAT IS MISSING BECAUSE THERE ARE SO MANY DIFFERENT EXAMPLES AND THEY ARE ALL A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT THE MOTIONS THAT YOU HAVE HAD AND SO IT IS HARD TO PUT THEM ALL - THEY ARE NOT ALL EXACTLY THE SAME, BUT WHAT COULD BE SAID IS THAT ALL ITEMS WILL COME BACK FOR A FINAL VOTE AT A SUBSEQUENT MEETING AND YOU COULD REACT TO THAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: RIGHT, AND I WILL TURN IT OVER TO BRIAN IN JUST A SECOND - CAN WE ADD SOMETHING THAT WE WAIVED THAT NEED IF NECESSARY?

BECAUSE, THERE ARE TIMES WHEN WE MAY BE RESPONDING IN MORE EMERGENT SITUATIONS WHERE TIME MATTERS.

AND I THINK WHAT WE UNDERSTAND IS RESPECTING THE VILLAGE MANAGER FORM OF GOVERNMENT AND HAVING THE FULL BACKGROUND AND DATA AND ANALYSIS CONSISTENTLY WITH ALL ITEMS THAT WE VOTE ON AND RESPECTING AS PROFESSIONALS THAT WORK WITH US TO HELP US ACCOMPLISH OUR GOALS. BUT, WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO TALK ABOUT EVERY EXAMPLE OF ONE - AND IF WE COULD JUST HAVE THE ABILITY TO CONSCIOUSLY WAIVE THAT, THEN WE CAN COVER ALL SCENARIOS.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I THINK THE EXPECTATION IS WHAT I AM LOOKING FOR TO WAIVE IT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT I WAS ABOUT TO SAY WHEN I RAISED MY HAND.

WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO SAY YOU KNOW UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED.

THE BOARD CAN AGREE TO ACT, BECAUSE THERE HAVE BEEN RECENT EXAMPLES WHERE SOMETHING HAPPENED URGENTLY LET'S SAY IN OUR PARKING LOT AND WE NEEDED TO TAKE A ACTION QUICKLY AS A BOARD TO ADDRESS THAT WERE WE DON'T WANT TO SAY EVERYTHING IS ONLY DISCUSSION AND MUST COME BACK YOU KNOW OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE THE INHERENT RIGHT TO WAIVE THAT WITHOUT GET IN THERE, BUT EXPLICITLY THIS IS SENSIBLE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THIS IS ALSO AN EXAMPLE WHERE OUR STAFF IS WITH US AND READY TO TAKE THE SAME TYPE OF ACTION, BUT IT IS ONLY FAIR TO TALK ABOUT HOW THAT IS SOMETHING WE WANT TO WORK TOWARD.

AND STILL I THINK THAT YOU KNOW WE JUST CANNOT PREDICT ALL SITUATIONS.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: THIS IS GOOD.

JUST IN GENERAL LET'S GIVE OURSELVES CREDIT AND IT TENDS TO WORK AND ONE OF THE THINGS I WOULD ADD AS CONTEXT TO THIS IS THAT WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO COMMUNICATE TO THE BOARD LIKE WHAT ARE THE EXPECTATIONS FOR TIMELINE AND SO FORTH AND PARTICULARLY WHEN YOU HAVE AN EXISTING WORKLOAD AND PRIORITIES THAT STAFF IS ALREADY WORKING AND WE WANT TO BE SURE THAT IF THERE IS A DECISION ABOUT YOU KNOW WE WANT TO ACCELERATE THIS AND WE WANT TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SAY WE CAN DO THAT FOR YOU, BUT IT MAY HAVE THESE IMPACTS AND I WILL GO BACK TO THIS AND WHAT IS IMPORTANT THAT JUST CAME UP IS THAT THERE ARE SITUATIONS THAT COME UP IS THAT WHAT WORKS BEST AND WE HAVE SEEN SOME OF THIS RECENTLY WITH CONVERSATIONS WITH MANAGEMENT WHEN THOSE SITUATIONS ARISE THAT ADDS CONTEXT TO THE MOTION AND ARE ABLE TO GET SOME DIRECT COMMUNICATION WITH THE MANAGER AROUND SOME OF THOSE ISSUES AND SO SOMETIMES THE MOTION DOES EVEN THOUGH IT IS ACCELERATED, THE DECISION IS ACCELERATED THERE HAS BEEN SOME CONVERSATION TO CREATE SOME CLEAR UNDERSTANDING AROUND ABOUT EMERGENCY SITUATION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, WE ARE MISSING THAT ONE ACROSS THE BOARD MORE GENERICALLY INCLUSIVE OF ALL TYPES BUT WITH THE OPPORTUNITY TO WAIVE THAT SECOND MEETING UNDER BOARD DIRECTION, RIGHT?

OKAY.

ALL RIGHT.

ANYTHING ELSE?

>>SPEAKER: SINCE IT IS ALREADY TUESDAY NIGHT IT WILL NOT BE ON THE NEXT AGENDA BECAUSE IT WILL BE ABOUT TO GO OUT, BUT -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THERE IS NO MEETING ON FEBRUARY 3, BUT WHAT HOLIDAY IS FEBRUARY 3?

>>SPEAKER: I'LL HAVE TO LOOK.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IT IS YOUR BIRTHDAY?

>>LISA: THERE IS NO MEETING ON FEBRUARY 3.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: THE CALENDAR DOES NOT REFLECT THE MEETING ON FEBRUARY 3. I WAS JUST INQUIRING ABOUT THIS TODAY.

>>LISA: WE CAN CHECK AND GET BACK TO YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I AM SURE IT WILL BE SOMETHING DOUBLY OBVIOUS AND WE WILL BE LIKE OKAY, FORGET I SAID THAT.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: WE CAN CHECK AND SEE AND FIND OUT QUICKLY AND MAYBE WE HAVE A CONSENSUS FROM THE THIRD AND THE FOURTH AND I THINK WE ARE TRENDING TOWARD SKIPPING THAT -

>>LISA: IT COULD BE -

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: IT WAS AMENDED TO DISALLOW MEETINGS ON ELECTION DAYS EVEN WHEN NO BALLOTS ARE BEING PASSED IN THE VILLAGE. FEBRUARY 3 IS AN ELECTION DAY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THANK YOU.

I WILL BE WATCHING FOR THAT BLUE WAVE!

I HAD TO ASK.

OKAY, NOTHING MORE YOU WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT FOR US ON THE PROTOCOLS?

OKAY, VERY GOOD.

SO, WE ARE CALLED TO BOARD AND CLERK.

CHRISTINA?

>>CLERK WATERS: PASS.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: PASS C7 PASS.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: PAST.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: PASS.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: I'M GOING TO RECOGNIZE MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. DAY.

SPECIFICALLY BECAUSE FIRST I AM GRATEFUL THAT WE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REFLECT EVEN THOUGH WE WERE NOT TOGETHER YESTERDAY HERE AT THE START OF THIS MEETING AND TO REMIND OURSELVES THAT DOCTOR KING WAS MURDERED IN 1968 AND THE HOLIDAY DID NOT EXIST FOR LIKE ANOTHER 15 YEARS AND THERE WAS A LOT OF OPPOSITION TO EVEN RECOGNIZING HIS CONTRIBUTION IN THE CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT.

A LOT OF US TALK EVERY YEAR ON HOW HIS LEGACY HAS BEEN WHITEWASHED AND THAT IS ONE OF THE THINGS.

IT WAS NOT ALWAYS A GIVEN TO HONOR DOCTOR KING AND HIS CONTRIBUTIONS.

AND ALTHOUGH I DON'T THINK ANYBODY WOULD DISAGREE THAT IT IS IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE DOCTOR KING EVERY YEAR WITH A NATIONAL HOLIDAY THAT THE MAKING OFFICIAL OF A NATIONAL HOLIDAY IN HONOR OF DOCTOR KING REALLY DID NOT DO MUCH MORE AND THERE WAS NO MONEY APPROPRIATED TO ANY KIND OF REPAIR IN THAT HONORING OF HIM.

AND I JUST THINK THAT IS AN IMPORTANT THING FOR US TO REMEMBER BECAUSE A LOT OF DOG WE HAVE COMMITTED TO DOING AT THIS BOARD IS IN FURTHERANCE OF REPARATIONS AND REPAIR AND FULFILLING MORE OF THE LEGACY THAT WAS MADE SHORT BY DOCTOR KING 'S LIFE.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I'LL JUST ATTEMPT TO PLUG OUR EVENT OPCAN AT THE CRC ON MONDAY, 26 JANUARY AND SO LESS THAN A WEEK AWAY AND BRINGING CLEAN ENERGY ON BEHALF OF OAK PARK.

IT IS A FREE EVENT AND INVITED AND WELCOME TO JOIN AND WILL BE HEARING FROM SARAH MOSCOWITZ, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF ALBERT, CITIZEN UTILITY BOARD AS WELL AS GENERAL WALLING WHO IS THE CEO OF ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENT OF COUNSEL AND THEY ARE GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE RECENTLY PASSED GRID ACT AND THE TRANSIT BILL THAT HAPPENED BACK IN OCTOBER OF LAST YEAR AND SO EVERYONE IS INVITED AND WILL HAVE A LOW BIT OF FOOD AND A LOT OF GOOD TIMES.

SO, THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SO, OPCAN STARTS AT 6:30 PM AT THE CRTCC? AND THEN THE GOVERNMENT 101 IS HERE AT 6 O'CLOCK?

>>MANAGER JACKSON: THAT IS WHERE WE ARE TAKING IT OFF.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WILL BE ABLE TO GO FROM ONE TO THE OTHER FOR THE QUICKLY, BUT WE WILL INVITE MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITY WHO ARE SIGNED UP FOR THAT.

THEY NEED TO BE SIGNED UP FOR THAT GOVERNMENT 101.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: YES, WE HAVE CONFIRMED AND WE ARE AT THE LIMIT AND WE HAVE 30 PARTICIPANTS AND WE ARE EXPECTING TO COMMIT TO THE NINE WEEK PROGRAM AND KICKING IT OFF NEXT WEEK ON THE 26TH.

WITH THE ORIENTATION INTRODUCTION AND WERE HAPPY TO HAVE YOU FOR A FEW MINUTES TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT AND THEN WE WILL FOLLOW UP ON THE SECOND AND IT WILL TAKE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ANNOUNCE THAT WE HAVE A NIGHT DEDICATED TO THE OFFICIALS ON FEBRUARY 2 AND WE WILL BE ADVANCING EMAIL COMMUNICATION AND ALSO TRY TO LOCK IN ON THE CALENDAR IF YOU ARE AVAILABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THAT CLASS AND THAT CLASS IS TWO HOURS LONG. AND 6 PM UNTIL 8:30 PM AND FEBRUARY 2 -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SO, THERE IS A GOVERNMENT 101 CLASS AND IT IS FULL WITHIN 30 PARTICIPANTS AND IT IS JANUARY 26 FOR NINE SESSIONS. AND ALL OF US WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY THEN TO PARTICIPATE ACTIVELY WITH THAT COURSE AND HOPEFULLY THIS IS SOMETHING THAT IS ONGOING AND WE TALK ABOUT THINGS THAT WE CAN ALSO PUT ON OUR WEBSITE TO SHARE HOW TO GET MORE INVOLVED IN OUR COMMUNITY WHICH IS ALSO SOMETHING THAT WE LEARN FROM DOCTOR MARTIN LUTHER KING AND WE PRACTICE IN HIS MEMORY.

OKAY.

SO, THANK YOU FOR THAT THIS IS A BIG ACHIEVEMENT AND A DISTANTLY WONDERFUL THAT WE ARE DOING AND I WAS GOING TO SHARE THAT AND I SAY THANK YOU TO JIM THAT IT SHOULD TAKE SOME TIME AT SOME POINT TO READ THE PROCLAMATION FROM THE SENATE IN HONOR OF FRANCIS SULLIVAN AND IT WAS ALSO MONROE SULLIVAN WHO WROTE FROM O'HARE WITH MARTIN LUTHER KING TO A INTERFAITH COALITION MEETING TO TALK ABOUT JUSTICE.

AND HE CAME FROM A VERY ACTIVIST FAMILY FROM THE MEMORIAL PLAQUE THAT SAYS ACTIVIST AND I AM VERY PROUD THAT YOU'VE KNOWN THEM BOTH.

BUT, THIS MORNING AND THIS AFTERNOON AT 2 O'CLOCK WHICH WAS THE ONE-YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF THE CURRENT ADMINISTRATION AND THERE WAS A WALKOUT AT THE HIGH SCHOOL AND ALSO AT KINDNESS CREATORS DAYCARE WHICH IS AT OAK PARK ARMS THAT MY GRANDDAUGHTER IS A PART OF EDGES HOLDING THE SIGN THAT SAYS LEAD WITH PEACE WITH HER RAINBOW UNICORN HAT AND WE HAD A LOT OF FUN.

AND SO IT IS JUST REALLY GREAT THAT WE ARE RECOGNIZING THE IMPORTANCE OF TEACHING OUR YOUNG PEOPLE THE NEED TO STAND UP FOR WHAT IS RIGHT.

AND YES - TERRIBLY CUTE.

OKAY, SO IT WAS VERY INSPIRING TO BE AROUND THOSE YOUNG PEOPLE THIS AFTERNOON AT 2 O'CLOCK AND IT FELT LIKE MORNING - AT THIS MOMENT IN TIME.

AND WITH THAT I ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ADJOURN.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)

AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MY GRATITUDE GOES OUT TO KINDNESS CREATORS!

OKAY, HAVE A GOOD EVENING, EVERYBODY!