

\*\*\*\*\*DISCLAIMER\*\*\*\*\*

THE FOLLOWING IS AN UNEDITED DRAFT TRANSLATION. THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE VERBATIM, HAS NOT BEEN PROOFREAD AND MAY CONTAIN ERRORS. PLEASE CHECK WITH THE SPEAKER(S) FOR ANY CLARIFICATION.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE COPIED OR DISSEMINATED UNLESS YOU OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE OFFICE OR SERVICE DEPARTMENT THAT IS PROVIDING CART CAPTIONING TO YOU.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE USED IN A COURT OF LAW. -MB

\*\*\*\*\*DISCLAIMER\*\*\*\*\*

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, WELCOME.  
SO, WE ARE RECONVENING OUR REGULAR MEETING AT 7:05 PM.  
CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLE?

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE EDER.  
TRUSTEE ENYIA.  
TRUSTEE LEVING-JOHNSON.  
TRUSTEE STRAW.  
TRUSTEE TAGLIA.  
TRUSTEE WESLEY.  
PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: HERE.  
AND I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AS IT HAS BEEN PRESENTED?

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.  
>>SPEAKER: 2ND.  
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)  
AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND WE HAVE 2 PROCLAMATIONS ON THE AGENDA THIS EVENING AND I'M INTENDING CLIENT TO TAKE THEM BEFORE NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT.

WE HAVE NONE AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT?

>>SPEAKER: (AWAY FROM MIC).  
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THEN IN THAT CASE - THAT IS FINE.  
>>CLERK WATERS: WHAT IS?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: (CHUCKLE) WE CAN TAKE NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS TIME.  
AS WELL AS A NEED TO SPEAK BEFORE TOO LATE.  
(CHUCKLE).

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING.  
MY NAME IS CAROLINA SONG AND I LIVE IN A FRANK LLOYD WRIGHT HOUSE.  
MY HUSBAND AND I WERE PRIVILEGED TO WIN THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION AWARD FOR OUR WORK LASTER PUTTING IT HISTORICALLY APPROPRIATE CLAY TILE ROOF ON OUR HOUSE.  
WE HAVE THOUGHT DEEPLY AND DEVOTED A LOT OF TIME AND MONEY TO HISTORIC PRESERVATION.  
HOWEVER, I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT HISTORIC PRESERVATION SHOULD BE USED AS A CULTURAL TO THROTTLE ALL CHANGE.  
FRANK LLOYD WRIGHT'S WORK WAS A SUBSTANTIAL DEPARTURE FROM THE VICTORIAN ARCHITECTURE OF THE TIME AND IF OUR HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION EXISTED BACK THEN I WONDER IF WRIGHT HIMSELF WOULD BE ABLE TO GET HIS PLANS APPROVED.  
I ASK THAT YOU GRANT A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THE PROPOSED 10 STORY ADDITION FOR THE BOULEVARD ARCADE BUILDING WHICH WOULD NOT BE VISIBLE FROM THE FRONT OF THE STREETS.  
HOUSES AND COMMUNITIES ARE LIVING THINGS.  
NEEDS EVOLVE OVER TIME AND SOMETIMES BUILDINGS BECOME OBSOLETE.  
THE VILLAGE ACTUALLY ALLOWS OWNERS OF WRIGHT OTHER HISTORIC HOUSES TO MAKE ADDITIONS THAT ARE NOT VISIBLE FROM THE FRONT STREET.  
AND THIS IS A GOOD THING, BECAUSE OTHERWISE THERE IS GREAT RISK THAT PEOPLE WOULD BE UNWILLING TO MAKE THE PERSONAL COMMITMENTS OF TIME AND MONEY THAT ALLOW HISTORIC STRUCTURES TO BE MAINTAINED.  
I ALSO BELIEVE THAT THIS CASE AND THE CASE A FEW YEARS BACK WERE THE OWNERS OF THE HOUSE SEVERELY DAMAGED BY FIRE HOW TO BRING AN APPEAL OF A HPC DENIAL TO THE VILLAGE TRUSTEE POINT, THE NEED TO RECALIBRATE.  
I MYSELF SIT ON A VILLAGE COMMISSION AND I AM CERTAIN THAT THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION HAS ACTED IN GOOD FAITH.  
HOWEVER, I DO NOT THINK THIS IS A GOOD OUTCOME.  
I ASKED VILLAGE TRUSTEES TO REVISIT THE GUIDELINES FOR THE HPC, THE BOUNDARIES OF OUR CURRENT HISTORIC DISTRICTS AND OUR CURRENT ZONING RESTRICTIONS.  
GOING FURTHER I ASK VILLAGE TRUSTEES TO DIRECT STAFF TO PROVIDE BENCHMARKS AND DATA FOR HOW LONG IT TAKES PEOPLE TO NAVIGATE THE PERMITTING PROCESSES IN OAK PARK.  
I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN A COUPLE OF VERY PRELIMINARY CONVERSATIONS ABOUT THE FEASIBILITY OF A MAKER SPACE/ ART INCUBATOR HERE IN OAK PARK AND I HAVE ALREADY BEEN WARNED ABOUT THE DIFFICULTY AND EXPENSE IN TRYING TO NAVIGATE THOSE PROCESSES.

FURTHER, I BELIEVE THAT AVAILABILITY OF HOUSING AT VARIOUS PRICE POINTS IS VITAL FOR A HEALTHY AND PROGRESSIVE COMMUNITY.

THERE IS A LOT OF DATA SHOWING THAT THROTTLING DEVELOPMENT OF HOUSING WHETHER ENTRY-LEVEL OR LUXURY ONLY SERVES TO MAKE HOUSING MORE SCARCE AND MORE EXPENSIVE.

YES, THE DATA SHOWS THAT EVEN THE BUILDING OF LUXURY HOUSING PLAYS A ROLE IN MODERATING TRENDS TOWARD HOUSING ON AFFORDABILITY.

AND THE ONEROUS PROCESSES THAT WE HAVE MAKE IT HARDER TO GET ANY HOUSING BUILT AND DIMINISH THE ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF OUR VILLAGE.

THANK YOU, FOR YOUR SERVICE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: PERFECT TIMING.

IF THERE IS NO OTHER NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT THIS EVENING THEN I'LL PROCEED WITH THE PROCLAMATIONS.

I ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE A PROCLAMATION ANNUALLY DESIGNATING HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH FROM 15 SEPTEMBER TO 15 OCTOBER.

MOTION, PLEASE?

>>SPEAKER: MOTION.

>>SPEAKER: 2ND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MOTION BY TRUSTEE WESLEY AND 2ND BY TRUSTEE STRAW.

THANK YOU, VERY MUCH.

>>>

WHEREAS, THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK OBSERVES HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH FROM SEPTEMBER 15TH TO OCTOBER 15TH BY RECOGNIZING AND CELEBRATING THE HISTORIES, CULTURES, AND CONTRIBUTIONS OF AMERICAN CITIZENS WHOSE ANCESTORS CAME FROM SPAIN, MEXICO, THE CARIBBEAN, AND CENTRAL AND SOUTH AMERICA; AND WHEREAS, SEPTEMBER 15TH IS A SIGNIFICANT START DATE FOR LATINO HERITAGE MONTH AS THE ANNIVERSARY OF INDEPENDENCE FOR THE LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES OF COSTA RICA, EL SALVADOR, GUATEMALA, HONDURAS, AND NICARAGUA; MEXICO AND CHILE CELEBRATE THEIR INDEPENDENCE ON SEPTEMBER 16TH AND SEPTEMBER 18TH RESPECTIVELY; AND INDIGENOUS PEOPLES' DAY, OR DÍA DE LA RAZA, FALLS WITHIN THIS MONTH ON OCTOBER 12TH; AND WHEREAS, LATINO/LATINX ARE DESCENDANTS FROM LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES WHO SPEAK A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT LANGUAGES AND DIALECTS AND HISPANICS ARE DESCENDANTS FROM SPANISH-SPEAKING COUNTRIES, INCLUDING SPAIN. LATINX IS A GENDER-NEUTRAL OR NON-BINARY TERM FOR PEOPLE OF LATIN AMERICAN DESCENT, AND WE RECOGNIZE THE LATINX IDENTITY ALSO ENCOMPASSES PEOPLE IN BLACK/AFRICAN, ASIAN, INDIGENOUS, AND QUEER COMMUNITIES; AND WHEREAS, HISPANICS, LATINOS, AND LATINX HAVE SERVED AND CONTINUE TO LEAD AS CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS, EDUCATORS, PUBLIC SERVANTS, BUSINESS OWNERS, ACTIVISTS, ARTISTS, COLLEAGUES, FRIENDS, AND MUCH MORE IN OUR COMMUNITY AND ACROSS THE WORLD; AND THEIR VALUES-SERVICE TO OTHERS, NON-VIOLENCE, ACCEPTANCE OF ALL PEOPLE, RESPECT FOR LIFE AND THE

ENVIRONMENT, AND CELEBRATING COMMUNITY, ARE ALSO AMERICA'S VALUES; AND WHEREAS, DURING NATIONAL HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH, WE CELEBRATE HISPANIC, LATINO, AND LATINX CULTURE, HONOR THE INVALUABLE WAYS HISPANIC, LATINO, AND LATINX INDIVIDUALS CONTRIBUTE TO OUR COMMON GOALS, AND WORK TOWARD A STRONGER, MORE INCLUSIVE, MORE PROSPEROUS SOCIETY FOR ALL; AND WHEREAS, THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK IS A COMMUNITY WITH A SIGNIFICANT POPULATION OF HISPANIC AND LATINO DESCENDANTS WHO ENHANCE THE RICH DIVERSITY OF OUR COMMUNITY AND IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF OUR COMMUNITY TO CELEBRATE THIS HISTORIC EVENT TO DEEPEN AND STRENGTHEN OUR CULTURAL TIES; AND WHEREAS, THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK HAS PLANNED A SPECIAL EVENT TO HONOR AND CELEBRATE HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH, THE ¡VIVA! CELEBRATION, A FESTIVAL WITH CULTURAL PERFORMANCES, FOOD, ART, AND MUSIC, WHICH WILL BE HELD ON OCTOBER 11TH TO CONCLUDE THE MONTH'S FESTIVITIES; AND BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK SUPPORTS THE CELEBRATION OF HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH; AND NOW, THEREFORE, I, VICKI SCAMAN, PRESIDENT OF THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK AND THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK SHALL RECOGNIZE SEPTEMBER 15TH THROUGH OCTOBER 15TH AS HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH IN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK AND ENCOURAGE ALL COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO RECOGNIZE THE SIGNIFICANCE OF HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH AND JOIN US IN CELEBRATION. THANK YOU, ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)

AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I DO RECOGNIZE THAT WE HAVE SOME OF OUR LEADERS FROM THE HISPANIC COMMUNITY AND THE SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY SUPPORTING THOSE OF OUR CULTURE OR THE HISPANIC CULTURE AS IT HAS CONTRIBUTED TO OUR VILLAGE IN THE MOST POSSIBLE WAY PRESENT AND IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO AT LEAST COME UP AND HAVE A PHOTO, BUT I WILL NOT PUT ANYONE ON THE SPOT.

I GUESS I AM!

I DON'T KNOW WHY I SAID THAT!

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

I ENTER A MOTION TO DECLARE AUGUST 15 THROUGH NOVEMBER 15, 2025 AS LIGHTS OUT FOR BIRDS DURING PEAK MIGRATION.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: VERY GOOD, SECOND BY TRUSTEE STRAW AND MOTION BY TRUSTEE EDER AND I KNOW THAT WE HAVE STUDENTS HERE TO EDUCATE US ON THE REASONS FOR THE PROCLAMATION THAT WE HAVE.

TEACHER LAURA STAN, WOULD YOU LIKE TO JOIN US?

PLEASE DO.

>>SPEAKER: LAST YEAR - THESE ARE MEMBERS OF MY BROOKS EARTH ACTION TEAM, WE ARE CALLED THE BEATS AND WE LAST YEAR LEARNED ABOUT MIGRATING BIRDS INTO AN EXHIBIT AT THE OAK PARK PUB. LIBRARY WHICH IN THE IDEA BOX AND WE ALSO HOSTED A COMMUNITY WORKSHOP ABOUT MIGRATING BIRDS TO CONTRIBUTE TO OUR PROJECT.  
AND THE STUDENTS WANT TO SHARE WITH YOU WHAT THEY LEARNED.

>>SPEAKER: HELLO, I AM PHOEBE AND I AM A MEMBER OF BEATS AT BROOKS AND WE ARE IN FAVOR FOR LIGHTS OUT FOR BIRDS DURING PEAK MIGRATION. THIS HELPS MIGRATING BIRDS FROM BECOMING DISORIENTED FROM LIGHTS WHICH MAY LEAD TO BIRDS ALIGHTING INTO BUILDINGS DUE TO ARTIFICIAL LIGHT BETWEEN 365 MILLION - 1 BILLION BIRDS DIE EVERY YEAR AND WE HOPE TO HELP DECREASE THIS NUMBER THROUGH LIGHTS OUT.

>>SPEAKER: HELLO.  
I AM TATUM AND I AM STUDENT AT BROOKS MIDDLE SCHOOL AND I PARTICIPATE IN BEATS.  
DID YOU KNOW 365 UP TO \$988 MILLION BIRDS THAT IN COLLISIONS EVERY YEAR.  
-- OVER 250 SPECIES MIGRATE THROUGH CHICAGO.  
ABOUT 8 MILLION BIRDS AND ALL.  
AND MANY BIRDS KILLED BY BUILDINGS ARE SMALL MIGRANT BIRDS FROM THE TROPICS.  
WE CAN HELP SAVE THESE BIRDS BY DOING LIGHTS OUT.  
THANK YOU.

>>SPEAKER: HELLO, MY NAME IS RACHEL - SINCE 1970 WE HAVE LOST 2.9 BILLION BIRDS.  
THIS IS FOR A COUPLE OF REASONS, BUT ONE OF THE MAIN ONES IS LIGHT POLLUTION.  
LIGHT POLLUTION AFFECTS BIRDS DURING MIGRATION BY DISORIENTING THEM AND MAKING THEM RUN INTO WINDOWS.  
JUST IN CHICAGO, AROUND 1000 BIRDS DIE EACH NIGHT DURING MIGRATION SEASON EVERY YEAR.  
THIS NEEDS TO CHANGE.  
BY PARTICIPATING IN LIGHTS OUT WE CAN SAVE SO MANY BIRDS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH.  
THAT IS REALLY WONDERFUL AND INFORMATIVE AND FROM THE ADVOCACY THAT YOU HAD FOR THE PROCLAMATION HERE EXISTING I WILL STILL READ IT OUT LOUD AND THIS IS THEIR WORK.  
OKAY, LIGHTS OUT FOR BIRDS DURING PEAK MIGRATION AUGUST 15 THROUGH NOVEMBER 15 OF 2025.

>>>  
WHEREAS, EACH FALL, APPROXIMATELY 8 MILLION BIRDS REPRESENTING MORE THAN 250 SPECIES MIGRATE THROUGH OAK PARK AND THE GREATER CHICAGO REGION ON THEIR JOURNEY TO WINTERING GROUNDS; AND WHEREAS, ARTIFICIAL LIGHT POLLUTION DISORIENTS MIGRATORY BIRDS, INCREASING THE RISKS OF

EXHAUSTION, PREDATION, AND COLLISIONS WITH BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES; AND WHEREAS, SINCE 1995, CHICAGO'S TALL BUILDINGS IN THE LOOP HAVE PARTICIPATED IN THE NATIONAL AUDUBON SOCIETY'S LIGHTS OUT PROGRAM - THE FIRST OF ITS KIND IN THE NATION - SAVING AN ESTIMATED 10,000 BIRDS EACH YEAR AND SERVING AS A NATIONAL MODEL FOR BIRD-FRIENDLY PRACTICES; AND WHEREAS, OAK PARK RESIDENTS ARE URGED TO TURN OFF UNNECESSARY LIGHTS AND CLOSE DRAPES AND BLINDS AFTER 11:00 P.M. DURING THE FALL MIGRATION SEASON, NOW THROUGH NOVEMBER 15, 2025, TO HELP ENSURE SAFE PASSAGE FOR THESE BIRDS; AND WHEREAS, REDUCING LIGHT POLLUTION YEAR-ROUND - BY TURNING OFF UNNECESSARY LIGHTS, SHIELDING OUTDOOR FIXTURES, AND DIRECTING LIGHT ONLY WHERE IT IS NEEDED - NOT ONLY PROTECTS MIGRATORY BIRDS BUT ALSO IMPROVES COMMUNITY SAFETY BY REDUCING GLARE, CONSERVES ENERGY, AND LOWERS MAINTENANCE COSTS; AND WHEREAS, THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK CONTINUES ITS EFFORTS TO REDUCE LIGHT POLLUTION BY INSTALLING DARK-SKY COMPLIANT FIXTURES IN STREET AND ALLEY LIGHTING UPGRADES, CONTRIBUTING TO BOTH ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY AND PUBLIC SAFETY. NOW, THEREFORE, I, VICKI SCAMAN, PRESIDENT OF THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK AND THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM LIGHTS OUT FOR BIRDS DURING PEAK MIGRATION IN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK, AND ENCOURAGE ALL RESIDENTS TO JOIN IN PROTECTING MIGRATORY BIRDS DURING THIS CRITICAL SEASON. THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK.

ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)

AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING YOU AGAIN!

ALL RIGHT.

AND MOVING FORWARD TO VILLAGE MANAGER REPORT.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: THANK YOU PRESIDENT SCAMAN, WE DO NOT HAVE A REPORT TONIGHT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

AND WE DO HAVE A BOARD VACANCY REPORT PROVIDED BY THE VILLAGE CLERK OFFICE OR ANYBODY INTERESTED IN RESERVING A VILLAGE BOARD OR COMMISSION, PLEASE REACH OUT TO CLERK CHRISTINA WATERS AT [CLERK@OAK-PARK.US](mailto:CLERK@OAK-PARK.US).

THANK YOU.

WE DO NEXT HAVE A FIRST READING, A FIRST READING APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE 12 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE TO ADOPT THE 2014 ILLINOIS PLUMBING CODE WITH IN MINUTES AS RECOMMENDED BY THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION.

MOTION, PLEASE?

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON?

>>MANAGER JACKSON: THANK YOU PRESIDENT SCAMAN AND TRUSTEE MEMBERS. THE DIRECTOR WILL HANDLE THIS ITEM AND WE ASK THAT YOU ACTUALLY - THIS WILL PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW FOR THIS ITEM AS WELL AS ALL OF THE OTHER RELATED ITEMS THROUGH M. SO, ITEMS D THROUGH M. SO, WE WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO CONSIDER THEM ALTOGETHER.

CRAIG?

>>SPEAKER: THANK YOU VILLAGE MANAGER.

CRAIG FALOR.

TONIGHT IS A RETURN FOR OUR SECOND READING ON THE BUILDING CODES THAT WE HAVE PRESENTED TO YOU BEFORE.

WE HAVE ONE FOR A FIRST READING WHICH IS OUR PLUMBING CODE AND WE ARE REQUESTING THAT ALL 10 CODES BE APPROVED THIS EVENING.

AT THE JUNE MEETING THE VILLAGE BOARD POSED QUESTIONS TO STAFF TO CONSIDER AND PROVIDE RESPONSES WHICH WE HAVE IN OUR PRESENTATION. SEAN PATEL, OUR CHIEF BUILDING OFFICIAL WILL WALK YOU THROUGH A PRESENTATION WHICH ADDRESSES THE PROPOSED MINOR PLUMBING CODE CHANGE AND ALSO PROVIDING RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONS FROM THE JUNE MEETING IN SUPPORT OF THE MEMORANDUM THAT YOU SHOULD HAVE RECEIVED AS PART OF THE PACKET FOR THIS EVENING AND WILL PROVIDE YOU A SNIPPET OF THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSIONS REASONINGS FOR THE CHANGE RELATIVE TO THE FIRE SPRINKLER REGULATIONS.

SO, JUST TO LET YOU KNOW AS WELL THAT THE BUILDING CODE PROVIDES DIVISION CHAIR TIM KELLY IS HERE THIS EVENING AS WELL AS THE OAK PARK FIRE CHIEF, TERRY, FOR ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE IN REGARDS TO THE FIRE CODES. JOHN?

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING, MY NAME IS SEAN, CHIEF BUILDING OFFICIAL. LET'S ACTUALLY GET TO THE FIRST SLIDE.

THANK YOU.

AS LISTED THESE ARE THE 10 DIFFERENT CODES THAT WE DID THE FIRST READINGS FOR PRIOR AND I DID DISCUSS THIS THE LAST TIME THAT I WAS HERE AND UNFORTUNATELY IT GOT MISSED I GUESS - SO, ALL OF THESE CODES HAVE GONE BEFORE THE BUILDING COMMISSION AND THEY HAVE AGREED WITH EVERYTHING THAT IS IN THEM WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ONE PERCENTAGE NUMBER WHICH IS THE FIRE CODE.

IT ALSO WAS FOUND IN THE EXISTING BUILDING CODE AND THE RESIDENTIAL CODE. THE PLUMBING CODE MENTIONED THIS BEFORE, THE CODE IS DEFINITELY OUTDATED.

IT IS BACK FROM 2014 AND ALL THE CODES WE ARE LOOKING AT NOW ARE BASICALLY 2024.

THERE IS MULTIPLE UPDATES TO THEM, BUT UNFORTUNATELY THEY DID NOT INTEGRATE THEM YET.

SO, I WILL GET BACK TO WHERE I WAS THINKING, SORRY.

BASICALLY WITH BUSINESS OWNERS TRYING TO OPEN UP A BUSINESS HERE AND EVERYTHING ELSE THEY WERE RUNNING INTO ISSUES WITH THE BATHROOMS AND EVERY THING ELSE AND SO PLAN REVIEWERS, BUSINESSES, ARCHITECTS, PLUMBING, WE CAME UP WITH THIS AMENDMENT THAT MEETS ALL OF THE CODES OUT THERE AND STREAMLINES THE PROCESS.

I AM HIDING THAT - ALL RIGHT - SO, THESE ARE SOME OF THE BOARD QUESTIONS FROM LAST TIME AND I SAVE THEM FOR MORE IN-DEPTH ANSWERS, PLEASE REFER QUESTIONS AT THE END WHERE THE NUMBER OF ADU HAVE UPDATED WATERLINES AND THAT WOULD BE ESSENTIALLY ALL OF THEM WHICH ETHIC IS APPROXIMATELY 19 OR 20.

THOSE HAVE ALL BEEN NEW UNIT AND WE DON'T HAVE ANY RECORDS FOR ANY OF THE OTHER TYPES.

NUMBER OF RENOVATIONS BELOW THE 50% THRESHOLD.

I WAS ABLE TO CRUNCH THE NUMBERS TONIGHT, THAT IS 98%.

THERE HAS BEEN 109 SPANGLER'S INSTALLED AND WE ARE OVER 4600+ PERMITS DURING THAT SAME TIME, SO BY AND LARGE, THEY DON'T HAVE THEM INSTALLED. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PLAN, THIS IS SOMETHING THAT YOU ACCEPTED AS A CODE UPDATE, NOVEMBER 4 AND 12TH AND WE HAVE TWO THAT WILL BE HELD HERE IN THE FIRST ONE WILL BE FOR THE COMMERCIAL CHANGES IN THE SECOND ONE WILL BE FOR THE RESIDENTIAL CHANGES.

AND THEN THERE WILL BE ANOTHER ONE EARLY FEBRUARY AND APRIL WHERE WE ACTUALLY COMBINE THEM TO HELP CATCH ANY OF THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE ACTUALLY MISSED THE PRIOR CODES.

AND SO THOSE ARE GOOD DATES TO ACTUALLY HELP CATCH THEM.

THE COST IMPACT - THERE IS NO ANSWER TO THAT ONE.

OUR COMMUNITY IS NOT LIKE A NEW CONSTRUCTION AND YOU CAN FIGURE IT OUT BASED OFF OF THE SUBDIVISION COSTS.

ARE YOU DOING THE KITCHEN OR THE BATHROOM OF THE WHOLE RENOVATION, THE COSTS CAN VARY.

THERE ARE A LOT OF COST SAVINGS FROM FOR INSTANCE THE ATTIC AND BASEMENT, THEY HAVE ACTUALLY LOWER THE THRESHOLD NOW FOR THE CEILING HEIGHT AND SO NOW THEY DON'T HAVE TO BREAK OUT CONCRETE OR RAISE THE CEILING.

THEY MAY BE ABLE TO ACTUALLY FITTED IN THE EXISTING STRUCTURE RIGHT THEN. SOME OF THE COSTS ARE GOING UP AND WANTING SAMPLE WOULD BE GARAGES BECAUSE THE STANDARDS HAVE POPPED UP IN THE TABLES YOU KNOW FROM ASTM AND THE OTHERS AND INSTEAD OF USING 2 X 8 THEY NOW HAVE TO USE TWO BY TENS.

ESSENTIALLY NOTHING CHANGES, JUST THE MATERIAL COSTS.

COST OF THE SPRINKLER - AGAIN, THIS VARIES COMPLETELY ACROSS THE BOARD. THE RANGE IS THREE - SIX DOLLARS PER SQUARE FOOT AND WE DID PULL UP SOME SUBSTANTIAL MONTHS AND LET ME SEE IF I CAN FIND THE NUMBERS AGAIN.

AND I LOST THOSE NUMBERS.

I AM SORRY - I AM SORRY, I LOST THOSE NOTES.

THE ONE THING I DO REMEMBER FROM THOSE NOTES IS WITH THE WATERLINES, THE COST WAS HIGHER.

BUT, THERE ARE OTHER OPTIONS AS WELL.

FOR INSTANCE USING THE TANK SYSTEM AND AS I RECALL THE ONE WITH WATERLINES, THAT WAS 19,000 AND THE ONE WITH THE TANKS AND PUMPS WAS 15 TO 16.

IMPACT TO INSURANCE - MOST OF THEM OFFER DISCOUNTS TREE ACROSS THE BOARD.

AND MOST PEOPLE ALSO SEE INCREASE PROPERTY VALUES FOR THEIR HOUSES BECAUSE THE SPRINKLERS ARE INSTALLED.

AND ONE OF THE OTHER ONES AND MY INSURANCE ACTUALLY LIKES DOING THE REDUCTION IS THAT IT IS BASED ON TWO SPRINKLER HEADS GOING ON FOR 10 MINUTES WHICH IS ABOUT 400 GALLONS AS WE RESEARCH THEM CORRECTLY.

IF A FIRE BREAKS OUT, IT IS ABOUT 250 GALLONS PER MINUTE AND THEY ARE GENERALLY USED IN THREE OR FOUR HOUSES AND MOST OF THOSE ARE RUNNING FOR AT LEAST 10 MINUTES.

SO, THERE IS A LOT MORE WATER DAMAGE AND EVERYTHING TO THE HOUSES WITH THAT CONSTRUCTION.

10, WHY IS TAX RECOMMENDING?

A LOT OF IT IS BASED ON THE NUMBERS I HAVE GIVEN YOU AND THE OTHER THING I WOULD POINT OUT AND YOU CAN LOOK DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET AND I MEAN WE HAVE TWO HOUSES WITHIN 2 FEET OF EACH OTHER.

I MEAN ONE GOES, THE OTHER GOES.

THEY ARE VERY CLOSE TOGETHER.

SO - WITH THAT I WOULD LOOK AT SOME OF THE COMMISSION POINTS AND I'LL MAKE A FEW AND THEN OPEN IT UP TO QUESTIONS IF THAT WORKS.

I AM STILL LOOKING FOR THAT MOUSE.

SO COME ON THIS WHEN THEY'RE SAYING 75% MAINTAINS A THRESHOLD ABOVE THE VAST MAJORITY OF ILLINOIS MUNICIPALITIES.

AND I THINK THEY LIST LIKE FIVE OF THEM.

THERE SHE THAT IS IN WITH ALL OF YOUR GUYS PACKETS, I COUNTED AT LEAST 38 THAT HAVE ESTROGEN OR NOT MORE STRINGENT AND I DO SAY MORE STRINGENT BECAUSE SOME OF THE THINGS ARE BASED OFF OF SQUARE FOOTAGE AND OTHERS ARE BASED ON VALUATION.

SO, YOU CAN ACTUALLY REDO ONE KITCHEN AND YOU CAN ACTUALLY BUMP PAST THAT VALUATION MARK.

NOW, MIND YOU IT IS A HIGH-END KITCHEN, BUT YOU CANNOT BUMP PAST AND ALL YOU DID WAS A KITCHEN.

LIFE SAFETY IMPACT, THEY ARE SAYING PUTTING THE SPRINKLER'S AND DOES NOT IMPROVE IT.

AGAIN I WILL JUST POINT TO THE MEMO.

AND THIS ONE, THE OAK PARK CLIMATE READY PLAN IS NEGATIVELY IMPACTED AND THEY LIST THAT EXTERIOR WALL INSTALLATION AND ELECTRIFICATION IS AND MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT IT HAS NO USE OTHER THAN INTERIOR REQUIREMENT PAID

YOU CAN PUT EXTERIOR WALL INSTALLATION OUT THERE AND IT DOES NOT TREAT THE SPRINKLER.

ELECTRIFICATION.

YOU WANT TO PUT IN A INDUCTION STOVETOP FROM THE GAS ONE AND YOU ARE GOING TO CHANNEL ACROSS THIS OTHER ROOM THE CHANNELING DOES NOT COUNT AGAINST THE DRYWALL COUNT.

GENERALLY A LOT OF TIMES THAT IS WHEN THEY START GETTING TWO OR 4 FOOT BETS AND TAKING OFF ENTIRE WALLS OR CEILINGS.

SO, WITH THAT I WILL OPEN IT UP TO QUESTIONS AND I REMIND YOU THAT CHIEF TERRY IS HERE AND ALSO TIM KELLY, THE CHAIRMAN FOR THE BUILDING CODES COMMISSION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

WOULD WE LIKE TO HEAR FROM CHIEF?

>>SPEAKER: YES, PLEASE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND I IMAGINE YOU WILL BE NEXT.

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING, JD TERRY, FIRE CHIEF.

IN ADDITION TO THE MEMO I WOULD LIKE TO ADD THAT FIRE GROWS EXPONENTIALLY AND EVERY MINUTE IT DOUBLES AS OF THE TIME THAT THESE WRINKLES ACTIVATED WHILE THE FIRED APARTMENT IS IN ROUTE, NOT ONLY KEEPS THE FIRE IN CHECK, BUT ALSO MAKES IT SAFER FOR THE RESIDENTS TO EVACUATE AND ALSO FOR THE FIREFIGHTER PARAMEDICS WHEN WE GET ON SCENE.

SO, THAT IS A VERY GOOD POINT JUST ADD TO NOT ONLY THE REDUCED WATER AND REDUCE EMISSIONS, BUT THE SAFETY FACTOR ADDED, ALSO.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I MEAN WE COULD JUST PROCEED WITH HAVING QUESTIONS FOR YOU UNLESS THERE IS SOMETHING SINCE STAFF HAS ADDRESSED THE QUESTIONS CHAIRED BY THE BUILDING CODE OR THE POINTS MADE BY THE BUILDING SUPERVISOR.

DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO COUNTER ON ANY OF THAT? OKAY, THEN DEREK WE ARE TURNING IT OVER TO YOU, APPARENTLY.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I APPRECIATE YOU GUYS PUTTING ALL OF THIS TOGETHER. CHECKING OUT THE MEMO.

I WOULD HAVE LOVED AND WOULD LIKE TO GET A LITTLE BIT SOONER BUT I UNDERSTAND THERE WAS A LOT OF WORK THAT WENT INTO IT AND SO IT IS A LOT TO GO THROUGH AND LIKE EIGHT HOURS OR SOMETHING - AND SO I THINK I WILL JUST SAY IT RIGHT OUT THE GATE I AM STILL SORT OF IN THE SAME PLACE THAT THE BUILDING CODES COMMISSION IS RECOMMENDING WITH THE 75% AND I AM FOR A COUPLE REASONS.

AND I COULD PUT IN THE FORM OF A QUESTION AND I DON'T THINK YOU WOULD HAVE AN ANSWER, BUT THE CONCERN IS HOW MANY PROJECTS ARE GOING INTO LIKE THE 49% FOR THE WORKABLE AREA KIND OF JUICING THE NUMBERS TO GET THAT BELOW 50% THRESHOLD THEN TRIPS ALL OF THESE ADDITIONAL EXPENSES AND I HAVE HEARD ANECDOTALLY THAT THAT DOES HAPPEN QUITE A LOT AND MY CONCERN IS THAT IN THOSE SCENARIOS PEOPLE ARE SORT OF TRYING TO NOT FOLLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE RULES IN WHICH WE ARE PUTTING IN FRONT OF THEM.

AND NOT TO MENTION ALL OF THE FOLKS WHO PROBABLY AND I MEAN WE KNOW THAT WORK IS DONE IN THE VILLAGE WITHOUT ANY PERMITS WHATSOEVER AND SO THERE IS A UNMEASURED NUMBER OF FOLKS WHO ARE NOT GETTING PERMITS AND IT IS HARD TO REALLY KNOW WHY, BUT I BELIEVE IT IS REASONABLE TO ASSUME THAT SOME OF THE REASONS ARE TO AVOID COSTS AND THE SPRINKLER REQUIREMENT IN OAK PARK IS A COST, A SUBSTANTIAL ONE.

AND I KNOW IT IS A RANGE AND I HEAR YOU ON THAT AND I HAVE HEARD FIVE DIFF \$15,000 FOR A SINGLE FAMILY HOME AND IT GOES UP OBVIOUSLY FOR OTHER PROJECTS.

AND SO FOR ME IT IS HARD TO MEASURE AND I THINK THE MEMO THAT WAS SHARED ADDRESSES SOME OF THESE POINTS, BUT TO ME IT IS ULTIMATELY ON THE POINT THAT I AM MAKING IT IS NOT CONVINCING TO ME THAT IT IS MEETING THE SPIRIT OF THESE REGULATIONS.

I THINK IT IS ALL ABOUT SAVING LIVES AND THAT IS WHY WE ARE DOING THIS, RIGHT? AND I AM CONCERNED THAT THE ASPIRATION OF WHAT WE HAVE AND THE REALITY OF PEOPLE LIVING IN THAT SYSTEM OR OPERATING OR IN SOME CASES NOT OPERATING WITHIN IT, THERE IS A GOAL FOR RIGHT THERE AND THAT IS SOMETHING TO ALSO START SEEING IF WE CAN TRY TO IDENTIFY THAT AND IT HAS WORKED FOR THE BUILDING CODES COMMISSION TO TRY TO UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT TO ME IT SEEMS LIKE THE MARGINAL INCREASE TO 75% MAKES SENSE FOR THOSE REASONS. AND SO THERE IS NOT A QUESTION IN THERE, I AM SORRY.

(CHUCKLE).

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THAT IS YOUR STANCE.

OKAY, SO COULD WE GO TO OTHER MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, IF YOU WILL GIVE YOUR OPINION ON AGREEING WITH RAISING THAT THRESHOLD TO 75% AND IF NOT, WHAT WOULD BE - IS THERE A DIFFERENT NUMBER THAT YOU WOULD BE LOOKING AT?

ANYBODY ELSE LOOKING TO ADDRESS THE SAME ISSUE?

>>SPEAKER: NO, JUST I CONCUR WITH 75%.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, ANYONE ELSE?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I AM IN THE SAME PLACE I THINK 75% MAKES SENSE.

I THINK THAT THE 50% I KNOW I HAVE BEEN LOOKING OUT AND DOING SOME RENOVATIONS AND WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT PHASING RENOVATIONS, BECAUSE WHEN YOU DO NOT PHASE RENOVATIONS, THERE ARE SUBSTANTIAL ADDITIONAL COSTS INCLUDING HAVING TO TEAR OUT A WHOLE LOT OF ADDITIONAL STUFF TO PUT IN A SPRINKLE SYSTEM.

SO, I THINK I DEFINITELY DO SEE YOU AND IT IS SOMETHING THAT I'VE HEARD FROM OTHER PEOPLE AND I THINK THAT WHEN WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THOSE MARGINAL COST WHAT IT WOULD BE 15 OR 20,000 THAT DOES NOT FEEL LIKE A PARTICULARLY MARGINAL COST WHEN IT IS IMPACTING THE CHOICE OF THE OTHER MATERIALS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO USE IN YOUR HOME RENOVATION AND WHETHER OR NOT YOU CAN DO A PROJECT YOU OTHERWISE WOULD NOT DO.

SO, I THINK THAT A LOT OF THE REASONS GIVEN FOR MAKING THAT CHANGE TO 75% MAKES SENSE.

AND I DO THINK THE FACT THAT EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THE ADDITIONAL ADUs THAT WE BUILT IN THE VILLAGE REQUIRED A NEW WATER SERVICE TO DO SPRINKLERS IS A SIGNIFICANT THING TO UNDERSTAND.

THAT YOU KNOW WE SAY THAT WE WANT TO PROMOTE ADUs AND I KNOW THIS 50 TO 75% CHANGE DOES NOT NECESSARILY ADDRESS THE ADU QUESTION, BUT YOU KNOW THIS IS AN ADDITIONAL \$20,000 OF COSTS WE ARE PUTTING ON ANYONE WHO WANTS TO ADD A ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT YOU KNOW I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE SOLUTION IS BUT WE SHOULD BE CONSIDERING THIS WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THIS AGAIN NEXT YEAR.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: I'LL PROBABLY ALWAYS DEFER TO THE SAFETY RECOMMENDATIONS OF FIREFIGHTING EXPERTS ARE NOT PLANNING ANY WORK ON MY HOME BUT I DID ESCAPE A BURNING HOUSE ONCE AND IT WAS THE HOUSE NEXT DOOR THAT BURNED TO THE GROUND AND SO I HAVE SORT OF LIKE A VISCERAL REACTION TO THINKING ABOUT SAFETY AND FIRE SAFETY.

I WOULD APPRECIATE A RESPONSE THAT THOUGH.

I DID NOT KNOW ABOUT THIS WORKAROUND OF CLAIMING 49% COVERAGE AND GETTING AWAY FROM THE REQUIREMENT.

CAN YOU THAT IF THAT IS GOING TO KEEP PEOPLE FROM DOING ANY SPEAKERS AT ALL VS.

RAISING IT TO A LESS SAFE NUMBER, BUT MAY BE GETTING MORE PARTICIPATION -

>>SPEAKER: I WOULD HAVE TO DEFER TO THE ACTUAL PERMITTING POLICY AND EVERYTHING.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: IT IS A QUESTION TO HAVE A QUESTION TO THE RESPONSE THAT TRUSTEE TRENT EIGHT HAD ABOUT THE WORKAROUND THAT SOME PEOPLE ARE DOING TO GET AROUND THE REQUIREMENT ALTOGETHER BY CLAIMING 49% INSTEAD OF - IF THEY MET 50% THEN THEY WOULD HAVE TO PUT IN THOSE WRANGLERS.

>>SPEAKER: I DO NOT CONSIDER IT A GET AROUND, THE CODE IS SPECIFICALLY WRITTEN AS 50% OR MORE BASED ON THE PARAMETERS THAT WERE SET. SPRINKLERS ARE REQUIRED.

IF YOU STAY BELOW THE 49%, IT IS NOT REQUIRED.

IT DOESN'T MATTER IF YOU PUT AT 75 OR 90 OR 95, THERE GOING TO BE PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING TO GO AGAINST THAT LINE.

IT IS THE NATURE OF LIFE EVERYWHERE.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: SO, YOU DON'T THINK IT WOULD INCREASE PARTICIPATION?

>>SPEAKER: NO.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, I AM LOOKING TO SEE IF THERE IS A MAJORITY OF THE BOARD INTERESTED IN THE 75% OTHERWISE I MAY ASK IF 70% RAISES ANY COMFORT?

I AM NOT A AUCTIONEER.

ARE WE - 75% IS WHAT IT IS?

OKAY.

SO - WE ARE TAKING THIS AS A DISCUSSION AS A WHOLE.  
SO, IT IS NOTED THAT ON THE FIRE CODE BUILDING CODES RECOMMENDATION WILL BE LOOKING TO MODIFY THAT TO 75%.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YOU CAN CONTINUING OTHER POINTS, YES.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I HAD JUST A COUPLE OF THE POINTS AND I GOT YOUR EMAIL, CRAIG AND SO THANK YOU FOR SENDING THAT.

I DO NOT THINK I AM ASKING FOR ANY CHANGES, BUT I DO WANT TO SAY IT PUBLICLY THAT THE STRETCH CODE, THERE IS A STRETCH CODE, REALLY WANT TO SEE THAT I KNOW THAT IS NOT INCLUDED IN THESE CHANGES, BUT I SAW IN YOUR EMAIL THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE WILL START TO CONSIDER YOU KNOW IN THE NEXT YEAR THAT IS FOR THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION TO WEIGH IN ON AS WEALTHIER AND I REALLY WANT TO THEATER, IT IS IN THE CROP, THE CLIMATE ACTION AND PARKLANE AND I KNOW THAT THIS DISTRICT ALWAYS WORKS, EVANSTON HAS ALREADY DONE AND SOME FOLKS THAT I TALKED TO ACTUALLY JUST TODAY SAID THAT IT WAS PRETTY EASY, NONCONTROVERSIAL AND EASY FOR THEM, AT LEAST. AND SO THAT MAY BE WORTH SOMETHING TO CONSIDER.

AND THEN I ALSO ADDED A REQUEST FOR ONE OF THE AMENDMENTS, THE HIGHER PERFORMANCE BUILDING STANDARD TO BE CONSIDERED AND I GUESS MAYBE THAT IS A QUESTION FOR CRAIG, IS THAT SOMETHING WE COULD NOT DO WITH THIS BUNDLE?

I THINK I READ FROM THIS BUNDLE THAT YOU DID NOT SEE A ISSUE WITH THAT? IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU WANT SOME MORE TIME TO CONSIDER?

>>CRAIG FALOR: I THINK WE PROBABLY NEED TO THINK ABOUT IT LITTLE BIT MORE AND COME BACK WITH AN AMENDMENT AND SEE WHICH CODES WE WANT TO INCLUDE THAT INPUT.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: OKAY, GREAT.  
OKAY, EXCELLENT.

OKAY, SO NO OTHER ACTION I THINK HERE, JUST WANTED TO SAY THOSE THINGS BEFORE WE MOVE ON.  
THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES?

>>MANAGER JACKSON: IF THE STAFF HAS INFORMATION ABOUT THE STRETCH CODE, JUST TO BE SURE THAT WE HAVE COMPLETE INFORMATION ON WHERE WE STAND WHEN CONSIDERATION OF THE STRETCH CODE.

>>CRAIG FALOR: WE HAVE REVIEWED THE STRETCH CODE WAS BASED ON THE 2021 NOTES AND WE HAVE ASKED MIA TO DO AN ANALYSIS BETWEEN THE STRETCH CODE FOR THE 2021 NOTES AND 24 AND THEY WERE INCLUDED AND WILL BE INCLUDED IN A MEMO THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE GIVING TO YOU WHICH HAS THE EXPLANATION OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ALL THREE OF THOSE. BUT, WE ALSO UNDERSTAND NOW THAT THE STATE IS LOOKING AT A NEW STRETCH CODE VERSION 4 2025.  
AND SO WHAT WE KNOW NOW IS THAT THE 21 STRETCH CODE, IF WE ADOPT THE 24 CODY PRETTY MUCH TAKES CARE OF WHAT IS IN THE 2021 STRETCH CODE, BUT THE

25 CODE MAY PROVIDE MORE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT WOULD MEET THE CROP CLAN REQUIREMENTS ONCE WE SEE THAT.

THAT IS THE ONE THAT WE PROBABLY WANT TO BRING BACK TO YOU.

BECAUSE WITH THE 24 CODES, THEY KIND OF CAPTURE THE THINGS THAT WERE ALREADY IN THE 21 STRETCH CODE.

SO, WE THINK WE WOULD HAVE A BETTER OPTION COMING IN THAT WAY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SO, YOU'RE SAYING THAT WHAT IS BEFORE US TONIGHT IS EQUIVALENT TO OR MEETS THE BASIC REQUIREMENTS OF THE STRETCH CODE IN THE 2021 VERSION?

>>CRAIG FALOR: IT CAPTURES A LOT OF WHAT IS IN THE 2021 CODE AND ADVANCES THAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.  
AND DEREK, ANY COMMENTS?

I MEAN IT IS IMPORTANT TO US TO BE DOING EVERYTHING THAT WE CAN TO REACH OUR CROP GOALS.

AT LEAST YOU KNOW FOR MYSELF AND TO SEE THAT COME BACK AND YOU KNOW - BEING CLEAR ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT WE ARE REACHING THE STRETCH CODE IS WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE.

>>CRAIG FALOR: A COUPLE THINGS ON THAT.  
THE STRETCH CODE IS SOMETHING THAT WE WANT TO WORK WITH THE BUILDING CODE ADVISOR ON A STRETCH CODE FOR EXISTING STRUCTURES, AS WELL. THAT WILL HELP CAPTURE 90% OF WHAT WE ARE DOING RIGHT NOW BECAUSE WE ARE ONLY DOING ABOUT 10% NEW CONSTRUCTION BASED ON EVERYTHING WE DO. SO, THE STRETCH CODE IS VERY LIMITED ON WHAT WE WOULD CAPTURE. SO, WE WANT TO EXPAND THAT WITH THE BCAC AND THEN ALSO LOOK AT THE 25 ONE THAT COMES IN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE CLOSING IN AS TIGHT OF A TIMELINE AS WE CAN, BECAUSE WHEN WE DO SEE NEW CONSTRUCTIONS, IT TENDS TO BE SIGNIFICANT OR CAN BE.  
OKAY, MORE?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I'M WONDERING IF THERE IS APPETITE TO CHANGE THE CODE FOR THE TV AND THE GARAGES FROM EV INSTALL TO EV READY?  
I AM HEARING A LOT ABOUT THAT IN THE COMMUNITY IN TERMS OF FOLKS WHO DO NOT OWN A EV.  
I'M NOT SURE OF THE CODE AND I HAVE NOT ACTUALLY READ IT, SO I'M ASKING FOR LITTLE GUIDANCE ON THIS.

DO WE REQUIRE A CHARTER INSTALL OR DO WE JUST REQUIRE A CIRCUIT?

>>SPEAKER: THE CODE IS THAT YOU WILL PUT A EV CHARGER ON ANY NEW CONSTRUCTION AND THAT APPLIES TO GARAGES.  
SO, IT IS NOT SPECIFICALLY LIMITED TO YOU BUILDING A NEW HOUSE, YOU HAVE TO PUT IN A EV CHARGER.  
IF YOU REBUILD YOUR GARAGE, YOU HAVE TO PUT A EV CHARGER IN.

AND WE ACTUALLY DID GET THAT TALK INTO BECAUSE THE LANGUAGE DOES SPECIFICALLY SAY A CHARGER AND WE MANAGED TO FINALLY GET THAT 40 AMP OUTLET IS CONSIDERED THE CHARGING POINT AND IT MET ALL OF THE NUANCES.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: GOT IT.

DID WE AND WE AMENDED TO SAY THAT SPECIFICALLY?

>>SPEAKER: THAT IS HOW WE HAVE BEEN ENFORCING IT SINCE LIKE TWO WEEKS OR THREE WEEKS AFTER THE CODE HAD PASSED THE ELECTRIFICATION. THE ONE POINT YOU DID MAKE IS ON DO WE MAKE IT EV READY? THE ONLY THING YOU ARE SAVING IS \$40 FOR THAT PLUG.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I WAS NOT AWARE OF THE PLUG VS. THE CHARTER, I'M PERFECTLY FINE WITH THE PLUG.

>>SPEAKER: THE REASON WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE COSTS IS BECAUSE IN ORDER TO MAKE IT AYE NINE READY YOU HAVE TO SUPPLY ALL OF THE ELECTRICITY TO IT AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

SO, YOU SO HAVE TO DO YOUR METER UPGRADES AND YOU STILL HAVE TO DO YOUR LOAD COUNT AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

SO, AS I SAID THE ONLY THING YOU'RE SAVING BY GOING AYE NINE READY IS THE COST OF THAT PLUG.

EVERYTHING ELSE ON THE BACK AND STILL HAS TO BE DONE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: WHAT IS THE APPROXIMATE COST OF ALL OF THE ADDITIONAL THINGS THAT YOU JUST MENTIONED, THE LOAD CALCULATIONS AND ADDITIONAL METERS AND SO ON AND SO FORTH?

>>SPEAKER: LOAD COUNT DEPENDS ON THE ELECTRICIAN AND I'VE HEARD SUMMER CHARGING 500 BUCKS AND SOME THAT CAN DO ON A SPREADSHEET IN ABOUT TWO MINUTES FLAT AND DON'T CHARGE THE CUSTOMERS AT ALL. SO, IT DOES GET DOWN TO WHAT THE ELECTRICIAN IS CHARGING.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I GUESS WHAT I'M TRY TO FIGURE OUT IS HOW MUCH, WHAT IS THE MONETARY COST TO THIS ORDINANCE ON A NEW GARAGE? ON AVERAGE?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MAY I CHIME IN?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: ABSOLUTELY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SO, YOU CAN DO A TRICKLE CHARGE, RIGHT? WITH JUST A REGULAR OUTLET IF YOU HAVE ENOUGH AMP OR DOES THAT NOT QUALIFY FOR AYE NINE READY?

>>SPEAKER: NUMBER ONE YOU HAVE OUR ORDINANCE AND NUMBER TWO YOU ALSO HAVE THE ILLINOIS ORDINANCE.

SO, THE ILLINOIS ORDINANCE BASICALLY REQUIRES THE EV READY ALSO AT BOTH OF THEM REQUIRE 40 AMP SERVICE TO THAT GARAGE.

AND THE OTHER CATCH IS THAT YES I HAVE A EV AS WELL AND YES I HAVE A 20 AMP PLUG BLAH BLAH BLAH, I AM LUCKY IF I CAN GET 12 A OUT OF THAT.

DRIVING FROM MY PLACE TO HEAR IT TAKES OVER 12 HOURS IF I WANT TO CHARGE IT AT HOME.

NEEDLESS TO SAY, I CHARGE HERE.

BECAUSE I HAVE OTHER STOPS TO MAKE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I APPRECIATE IT.  
SO, THE COST IS REALLY IF YOU NEED TO INCREASE YOUR AMPERAGE.

>>SPEAKER: SO, MOST OF THE HOUSES HAVE 60 - 100 AMP.  
THAT IS NOT ENOUGH FOR A EV CHARGER AND SO IT EITHER HAS TO UPGRADE THE  
ENTIRE SERVICE OR AND I HAVE SEEN PLENTY THAT WILL DO IT, TRYING TO SAVE THE  
COST FROM DOING THAT TO ACTUALLY PUTTING IN A SECONDARY SERVICE ON A  
GARAGE.

THE ONLY PROBLEM WITH A SECONDARY SERVICE ON A GARAGES NOW YOU HAVE  
TWO SERVICE FEES AND EVERYTHING ELSE EVERY MONTH.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: GOT IT.  
I TOTALLY AGREE WITH THE SPIRIT OF THIS ORDINANCE AND BEFORE I EVEN GO  
THERE AND SO YOU'RE SAYING LET'S SAY WE STRUCK THIS THING COMPLETELY.  
WOULD THE ILLINOIS ORDINANCE THEN BE IN EFFECT AND WOULD FOLKS THEN  
NEED TO HAVE A EV CHARGER ANYWAY?

>>SPEAKER: AS I UNDERSTAND THE ILLINOIS ORDINANCE, IT DOES NOT APPLY TO  
GARAGES.

I THINK IT IS ONLY NEW CONSTRUCTION OF HOUSES, ONE IN TWO SINGLE-FAMILY  
DWELLINGS AND I DO NOT THINK IT APPLIES TO GARAGES.

NOW, IF SOMEBODY IS BUILDING A HOUSE OVER A NEW GARAGE, THAT IS A NEW  
CONSTRUCTION AND YES, THEY DO HAVE A LIVING SPACE AND SO THAT WOULD  
ESSENTIALLY HAVE TO PUT ONE ENTERED BOOK, THAT TIME THERE IS PROBABLY  
ALSO GOING TO DO A NEW SERVICE ANYWAY AND SO THE COST IS ESSENTIALLY  
NEGLIGIBLE THERE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: GOT YOU.  
OKAY.

YES, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHAT WE CAN DO ABOUT THIS HONESTLY I THINK IT IS  
ADDING A EXTRA EXPENSE TO A NEW GAS STATION WHICH IS ALREADY EXPENSIVE  
GIVEN MATERIALS AND COST INCREASES IN LABOR INCREASES AND SO ON AND SO  
FORTH.

ULTIMATELY I THINK WHAT HAPPENS IS THAT PEOPLE JUST LEAVE THEIR GARAGE UP  
AND LEAVE IT LIKE LEANING IN THE ALLEY AND YOU KNOW MAYBE RECITE THEM AND  
MAYBE WE DON'T, BUT THEY WILL JUST LEAVE THE GARAGE AS IT IS UNTIL IT  
PRACTICALLY FALLS OUT WHICH I DON'T THINK IS IN OUR BEST INTEREST EITHER.  
I JUST WANT TO FIGURE OUT WE CAN DO TO MAKE THIS A BIT MORE ECONOMIC FOR  
FOLKS.

THEY NEED A NEW GARAGE AND MAY NOT WANT OR HAVE A EV AND YOU KNOW I  
DRIVE A EV AND I HAVE A TARGET MY GARAGE AND I APPRECIATE THAT, BUT I ALSO  
KNOW THAT THE PRICE I PAID TO GET MINE INSTALLED IN 2060 OR 2017 IS LIKE THREE  
TIMES TO GET IT INSTALLED NOW.

FROM SOME OF THE QUOTES I HAVE GOTTEN.

AND SO THAT IS WHERE I AM AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHAT WE CAN DO TO MAKE  
THAT BIT MORE ECONOMICAL.

THAT IS PRETTY MUCH WHAT I HAVE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: JIM?

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: I AGREE WITH CORY, IF WE CAN REDUCE THE COST BENEFIT -- COSTS FOR BENEFITS, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

MANY CAR COMPANIES WILL NOT INCLUDE THE SERVICE AND INCLUDE THAT IN THE PURCHASE PRICE OF THE CAR AND IF YOU'RE GOING TO DO THAT, IT IS INCLUDED. AND I DO THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO TRY AND BE ECONOMICAL WHERE POSSIBLE AND NOT TO DISCOURAGE PEOPLE I DO NOT THINK IT WOULD DISCOURAGE PEOPLE IN OAK PARK, BUT PEOPLE WHO NEED THEM AND SO THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: BRIAN?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER THE COST OF EV CHARGERS IN GARAGES AND I THINK THAT ONE OF THE GREAT WAYS WE DO THAT IS WE HAVE SOME GRANT MONEY AVAILABLE FOR ELECTRIFICATION AND IF WE CAN SUBSTANTIALLY DEFLATE THE COST OF HAVING EV CHARGING TO A GARAGE THROUGH A ELECTRIFICATION GRANT, I THINK THAT COVERS CONCERNS I HAVE REGARDING THE COST WOULD I THINK THAT WHEN WE ARE DOING NEW GARAGES, MAKING SURE THAT THOSE GARAGES ALREADY FOR EV AND FOR THE NEXT OWNER OF THE HOME EVEN IF THAT PARTICULAR OWNER IS NOT PLANNING ON MAKING THE TRANSITION TO A EV IS A NET GOOD AND SO I DO THINK THAT WE SHOULD BE COGNIZANT OF THE CONCERNS ARE ON COST, BUT I DO THINK THE ELECTRIFICATION GRANT THAT WE HAVE BUDGETED FOR THIS PAST YEAR AND I EXPECT THIS BOARD WILL CONTINUE NEXT YEAR WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY DEFLATE THOSE COSTS.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: THIS MIGHT BE A QUESTION FOR LINDSAY, BUT CAN YOU USE THOSE ENERGY EFFICIENCY GRANTS FOR ELECTRIFYING YOUR GARAGE?

>>SPEAKER: YES, WE DID ADD THIS YEAR ELECTRICAL UPGRADES AS ALLOWABLE COSTANZO NOT NECESSARILY THE EV CHARGER ITSELF, BUT THE ELECTRICAL UPGRADES.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: WHICH IS THE MAJORITY OF THE COST.  
OKAY.

I MEAN I AM A BIT TORN ON THIS MYSELF.

SO, I DRIVE A EV AND WE HAVE A GARAGE THAT IS A GOOD ENOUGH SHAPE AND SO WE HAVE NOT HAD TO DO ANY WORK, WE JUST PLUG IT INTO THE 120 V PLUG, IT IS SLOW, THAT IS FOR SURE, FOR OUR NEEDS IT IS FINE.

I DON'T DRIVE A TON.

SO, THE AFFORDABILITY LIKE YOU ARE TRYING TO BALANCE THESE TWO THINGS, BUT ULTIMATELY I THINK I AM FINE WITH KEEPING IT.

I THINK THE POINTS THAT TRUSTEE STRAW MADE IS THAT THERE IS STILL MONEY IN THAT POT IF ANYBODY WANTS TO APPLY AND GET SOME MONEY - THAT IS A WAY TO ADDRESS THE AFFORDABILITY ISSUE.

AND YOU CAN GET THEM FOR \$10,000 IF YOU ARE A INCOME QUALIFY AND SO IT SOUNDS LIKE THAT IS MORE ENOUGH THAN TO COVER THE COST AND SO FOR THAT I AM WILLING TO KEEP IT KNOWING THAT PROGRAM EXISTS AND THAT IT DISQUALIFIES.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I TEND TO AGREE WITH DEREK.  
YOU KNOW I HAVE HEARD FROM FOLKS THAT TRICKLE CHARGE YOU KNOW IF THEY ARE STAYING WITHIN OAK PARK IT MAY WORK FOR THEM AND IT IS OBSOLETE NOT

GOING TO WORK FOR EVERYBODY, BUT THEN A MAJOR PART OF THE COST IS DIGGING THE TRENCH FROM YOUR HOME TO THE GARAGE FOR THAT NEW POWER. AND IF AT THE SAME TIME IT IS SOMEBODY THAT IS COMING IN FOR PERMITTING AND TO SEEK OUT OR TO BUILD A NEW GARAGE AND THEY ARE GETTING INFORMATION ABOUT THIS GRANT PROGRAM AND YOU KNOW I THINK THAT IS WHAT I WOULD WANT AS A FOLLOW-UP TO MUCH OF WHAT WE ALREADY DISCUSSED AND YOU KNOW WE HAVE CLARIFIED SOME OF WHAT THE DEFINITION IS ON A CHARGER, BUT WE HAVE HAD PEOPLE IN THE PAST WHO DID MORE THAN THAT, BECAUSE THEY DID NOT PLAY WITH THAT DEFINITION, RIGHT?

AND PUSH ON IT A LITTLE BIT MORE.

SO, WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE GOING TO DEFINE A WAY TO BE PROACTIVE AND HAVE THIS CLARIFICATION, BECAUSE THE WORD-OF-MOUTH IS FASTER THAN US, YOU KNOW?

IN THAT WAY.

SO, I THINK THE TIME THAT SOMEBODY COMES IN FOR PERMITTING, WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO GIVE THEM THAT GRANT INFORMATION.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: DO WE KNOW THE AVERAGE COST OF PERMITTING TO DO A GARAGE?

>>SPEAKER: THE TOTAL COST?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OF THE PERMITS THAT THEY WOULD PAY US.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: NOT THE COST TO BUILD, JUST THE PERMIT PAIR.

>>SPEAKER: SEE ME IN ABOUT TWO WEEKS WHEN WE ARE BACK YOUR FEES! I CANNOT ANSWER THAT WAS STRAIGHT OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: THREE FIGURES OR FOUR FIGURES OR FIVE FIGURES?

>>SPEAKER: I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT THAT CHART - 37 CAN YOU BALLPARK LIKE THREE FIGURES OR FOUR FIGURES?

>>SPEAKER: I WOULD SAY - I DON'T EVEN ESTIMATE ANYMORE FOR ANYTHING. I AM SORRY -

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I AM THINKING THAT IF FOLKS ARE GOING TO INSTALL A EV TARGET WE WAIVE THE PERMITS AND THEN THEY DON'T HAVE TO APPLY FOR THE ELECTRIFICATION INCENTIVES THAT CAN GO TO OTHER FOLKS DOING OTHER WORK. ASSUMING THAT THE FEES TO INSTALL A NEW GARAGE ARE SUBSTANTIAL. BUT, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY ARE AND I DON'T WANT TO WAIVE THEM IF THEY COST MORE THAN THE CHARGER -

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I MEAN I'M CURIOUS TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION AND IT SOUNDS LIKE WE WILL HAVE IT IN A COUPLE WEEKS AND SO WHEN WE KNOW WHAT THOSE FEES ARE I WOULD BE HAPPY TO TALK ABOUT IT THEN WHEN WE HAVE REAL NUMBERS TO SEE WHAT IS POSSIBLE AND IN SPIRIT INTO YOUR POINT -

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: TO GIVE PEOPLE INSTEAD OF THEM HAVING TO PROACTIVELY REACH OUT AND GET A GRANT TO COVER IT WE ARE SAYING THAT THESE ARE OUR VALUES AND HERE'S WHAT WE WANT AND WE REALIZE THAT THIS IS THE TOUGHEST GARAGE ORDINANCE IN THE NATION AND SO IN EXCHANGE FOR THAT WE ARE GOING TO REDUCE EVERYTHING.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: WE HAVE PLENTY OF STICKS, WE NEED SOME CARROTS, IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?

>>SPEAKER: THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT I'M SAYING.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: THIS IS THE TOUGHEST GARAGE ORDINANCE IN THE COUNTRY FROM MY UNDERSTANDING OR ONE OF.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: 8% OF CONTRACTION COSTS.  
.8% OF THE CONSTRUCTION COST.

AND THE AVERAGE GARAGE BEING BETWEEN 19.6 AND \$28.2 THOUSAND.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I MEAN THAT IT WOULD PROBABLY WORK FOR ME.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YOUR GOOD WITH MATH.  
SO, .8% OF \$20,000?

1600 IS WHAT THE ANSWER IS, OKAY.

SO, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A COUPLE HUNDRED DOLLARS.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO HAVE THIS CONVERSATION - YOU KNOW WE ARE REALLY DOING THE WORK OF THE COMMISSION AND THEY DO MUCH BETTER THAN WE DO AND SO CAN WE THROW THIS ONE BACK?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SO - OR WE PASS IT AS IS AND WE COME BACK WITH THE INCENTIVE PROGRAMS.

THAT IS WHAT WE ARE TALKING - OKAY.

ALL RIGHT.

THEN ANY OTHER OF THE CODE DIALOGUE BETWEEN THE FIRST READING IN THE SECOND READING OF OUR 2024 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE?

OKAY.

SO, WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO GO THROUGH THESE A LITTLE BIT CAREFULLY. THE ASK OF THE STAFF IS TO APPROVE TONIGHT ANYTHING OR APPROVE ON SCHEDULE AND APPROVED TONIGHT INCLUDING THE WAIVING THE SECOND READING ON THE PLUMBING, OKAY?

AND SO I WILL GO THROUGH THEM ONE AT A TIME FOR THE VOTE AND MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE THE AMENDMENT NOTED, OKAY?

SO, I HAVE A MOTION READY ON THE TABLE FOR 2014 ILLINOIS PLUMBING CODE, BUT I HAVE NOT MADE A MOTION TO WAIVE THE SECOND READING, DO I NEED TO DO THAT?

>>SPEAKER: THAT IS NOT NECESSARY, THIS IS READY FOR A VOTE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: GREAT, CLERK WATERS?

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE EDER?

TRUSTEE ENYIA?

TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSENS?

TRUSTEE STRAW?

TRUSTEE TAGLIA?

TRUSTEE WESLEY?

PRESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

OKAY, ITEM D HAS BEEN APPROVED AND MOVING TO THE SECOND READING CATEGORY AND I ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE A SECOND READING AND

APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE 15 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE TO ADOPT 2024 ICC SPA CODE IN SUMMING POOL AS BY THE COMMISSION.

MOTION PLEASE?

>>SPEAKER: MOTION.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THERE IS NO AMENDMENT TO THIS ONE AND AND YOU PLEASE TAKE THE ROLE?

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE EDER, TRUSTEE STRAW, TRUSTEE ENYIA, TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSENS, TRUSTEE TAGLIA, TRUSTEE WESLEY, RESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND FOR ITEM F TO APPROVE CHAPTER 7 TO ADOPT THE 2024 INTERNATIONAL CODE COUNCIL BUILDING CODE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

MOTION BY TRUSTEE EDER AND SECOND BY TRUSTEE STRAHL.

CLERK WATERS?

>>CLERK WATERS: ROLL CALL -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

OKAY, ITEM G. A MOTION TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE 16 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE TO ADOPT THE 2024 INTERNATIONAL ENERGY CONSERVATION CODE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLE.

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE EDER, TRUSTEE ENYIA, TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSENS, TRUSTEE STRAHL, TRUSTEE TAGLIA, TRUSTEE WESLEY, PRESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

OKAY.

AND ITEM I, IS THAT CORRECT?

H?

OKAY, ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE SECOND READING AND APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE 3 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE TO ADOPT A 2023 NATIONAL ELECTRIC CODE AS ARE COMMITTED BY THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION.

>>SPEAKER: MOTION.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: CLERK WATERS?

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE EDER?

TRUSTEE STRAW?

TRUSTEE ENYIA?

TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSENS?

TRUSTEE TAGLIA?

TRUSTEE WESLEY?

PRESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE SECOND READING AND APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE 2 OF OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE TO ADOPT A 2024 INTERNATIONAL EXISTING BUILDING CODE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION PEER.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>CRAIG FALOR: THIS IS THE ONE WITH THE CHANGE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SO, THIS IS GOING TO 75%?

THAT IS J.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: IT IS BOTH, IT IS IN TWO PLACES.

>>CRAIG FALOR: IT IS I, J AND M.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, SO WE WILL BE - SO, THEN WE NEED AN AMENDMENT -

>>SPEAKER: THE MOTION ON THE TABLE RIGHT NOW IS TO APPROVE ORDINANCE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION.

THAT DRAFT ORDINANCE INCLUDES THE 75% FIGURE.

SO, THE MOTION THAT WAS ON THE FLOOR RIGHT NOW IS THE 75% FIGURE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: BECAUSE IT BECAME FROM THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY?

OKAY, THANK YOU.

AND CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLE.

>>CLERK WATERS: WHO SECOND THE MOTION?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I HEARD TRUSTEE EDER AND THEN COREY?

>>SPEAKER: SOUNDS GOOD TO ME.

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE WESLEY MOTION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, MAYBE JUST A EDER SECOND APPEARED.

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE WESLEY, TRUSTEE EDER, TRUSTEE ENYIA, TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSENS, TRUSTEE STRAW, TRUSTEE TAGLIA, PRESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

AND SINCE THE RECOMMENDATION CAME FROM BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION WE ARE FREE TO APPROVE J AS IS, AS WELL.

AND I ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ENTERTAIN SECOND READ AMENDING CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE 5 OF THE OAK PARK CODE TO ADOPT THE 2024 INTERNATIONAL CODE COUNCIL FIRE CODE AS ARE COMMITTED BY THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, VERY GOOD, THAT WAS EDER AND STRAW.

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE EDER, TRUSTEE STRAW, TRUSTEE ENYIA, TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSENS, TRUSTEE TAGLIA, TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

AND MOVING TO ITEM K, ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR A SECOND READING AND APPROVAL OF AN ORDINANCE CEMENTING CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE 11 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE TO ADOPT THE 2024 INTERNATIONAL CODE COUNCIL FUEL AND GAS CODE AS ARE COMMITTED BY THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION. MOTION, PLEASE?

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THAT WAS EDER AND STRAW AGAIN. CLERK WATERS?

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE EDER, STRAW, TAGLIA, ENYIA, LEVING-JOHNSON, PRESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

OKAY.

ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR SECOND READING AND APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE 4 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE GO TO ADOPT THE 2024 INTERNATIONAL CODE COUNCIL MECHANICAL CODE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE BUILDING CODE ADVISORY COMMISSION.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MOTION EDER AND SECOND STRAW AGAIN.

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE EDER, TRUSTEE STRAW, TRUSTEE ENYIA, TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSENS, TRUSTEE TAGLIA, PRESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

I ENTERTAIN A MOTION OR SECOND READING AND APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 7 ARTICLE 6 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE GO TO ADOPT THE 2024 INTERNATIONAL CODE.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE EDER, TRUSTEE STRAW, TRUSTEE ENYIA, TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSENS, TRUSTEE TAGLIA, TRUSTEE WESLEY, PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

WE WILL THEN BE LOOKING TO INCENTIVE PROGRAMS FOR THE AYE NINE STATIONS AND GARAGES AS WELL AS JUST ANYTHING THAT WORKS TOWARDS OUR PROBLEM. THANK YOU.

ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA.

OKAY, I WILL JUST SAY ALLOW THE ITEMS D THROUGH M HAVE ALL BEEN APPROVED. AND ENTERTAIN A MOTION FOR CONSENT AGENDA AS IT HAS BEEN PRESENTED?

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: CLERK WATERS THAT WAS COREY AND ENYIA? DID I HEAR THAT RIGHT?

THANK YOU.

CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLE.

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE WESLEY, TRUSTEE ENYIA, TRUSTEE EDER, TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSENS, TRUSTEE STRAW, TRUSTEE TAGLIA, PRESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES, THANK YOU.

OKAY, REGULAR AGENDA.

ON ITEM U ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO DENY THE APPEAL AND CONCUR WITH HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION AND ADOPT AN ORDINANCE DENYING A CERTIFICATE OF A PROBE WITNESS TO BUILD A 10 STORY ADDITION BEHIND AN EXISTING OAK PARK LANDMARK BUILDING, KNOWN AS THE BOULEVARD ARCADE BUILDING LOCATED AT 1035 SOUTH BOULEVARD.

MOTION, PLEASE?

>>SPEAKER: MOTION.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MOTION BY TRUSTEE STRAW AND SECOND BY TRUSTEE WESLEY.

AND I'M CONFIDENT THAT WE HAVE SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THIS, HOWEVER OUR PROTOCOLS DO ALLOW ME TO LIMIT THAT TO FIVE PUBLIC COMMENT FOR EITHER SIDE.

FOLLOWING THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION HAS A HEARING PROCESS OF THIS ITEM.

CLERK WATERS, HOW MANY PUBLIC COMMENT DO WE HAVE?

>>CLERK WATERS: SO, WE HAVE - ARE THERE ANYMORE?

OKAY, JUST A SECOND BECAUSE I'M GOING AGAINST THE LIST THAT I HAD FROM THE PHONE CALLS AND THE EMAILS, SO BEAR WITH ME.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND THANK YOU TO EVERYBODY WHO DID RIGHT INTO THE VILLAGE BOARD.

AND WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEETING. JOHN, ARE YOU ON ZOOM?

>>JOHN M: YES, I AM.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: 16 TOTAL AND WE HAVE ANY WAY OF KNOWING WHO ARE FOR AND WHO ARE AGAINST?

>>CLERK WATERS: I HAD A HARD TIME ORGANIZING AND HONESTLY THE MAJORITY ARE IN AGREEMENT AS IT IS PRESENTED.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, IF I CAN PLEASE START WITH THOSE WHO ARE YOUR IN SUPPORT OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROCEEDING?  
ALEX?

>>SPEAKER: HELLO, MY NAME IS ALEC HARRIS, LONGTIME RESIDENT OF OAK PARK AND I'VE LIVED HERE SINCE 1996 AND I LIVED IN TWO HISTORIC PRESCHOOL HOMES INCLUDING THE FRANK LOYD WRIGHT AND EMMETT B HOUSE YOU HEARD MY WIFE EARLIER.

MY COMPANY IS THE LARGEST TENANT OF THE CURRENT CROSS FUNCTION OFFICE SPACE AND WE HAVE FOUR OF THEIR SHOPS ON THE SECOND FLOOR AND WE HAVE HAD A EXCELLENT RELATIONSHIP AND WE HAVE BEEN IN THE BUILDING FOR MORE

THAN THREE YEARS AND I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT I KNOW THE ARCADE OWNERS HAVE TAKEN A LOT OF CARE TO PRESERVE THE BUILDING'S HISTORIC LEGACY IN ONE OF THE REASONS WE ARE THERE IS THAT IT IS JUST A BEAUTIFUL AND HISTORIC SPACE.

AND OUR TEAM IS VERY UNCONCERNED ABOUT THE IMPACT ON THE EXISTING ARCADE AND THE ABILITY TO MAINTAIN ITS HISTORIC NATURE.

WE ALSO WELCOME THE ADDITION OF A PARKING GARAGE AND PARKING IN THE AREA IS FAIRLY LIMITED ON THE STREET.

AND WE ARE HAPPY THAT THEY WILL BE GOOD STEWARDS OF THE BUILDING AND I HAVE WORKED WITH CHRISTINE AND TRENT, THE LANDLORDS FOR QUITE SOME TIME AND I KNOW THAT THEY LOVE THE AREA AND THEY WILL BE WONDERFUL STEWARDS.

AND FINALLY I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT WE NEED MORE HOUSING IN OAK PARK.

THERE IS A SHORTAGE OF HOUSING AND IF YOU'RE GOING TO DO CONSTRUCTION THEN IT MAKES SENSE TO DO IT ALONG THE CORRIDOR.

AND IT JUST FEELS LIKE WE NEED MORE HOUSING AND IF YOU'RE GOING TO DO IT THIS IS A VERY LOGICAL AND OBVIOUS PLACE TO DO IT IN THE PARKING LOT THAT WILL BE TAKEN UP, THERE'S NOTHING HISTORIC ABOUT IT IF THEY PUT A NEW BUILDING WHERE THE CURRENT PARKING LOT IS.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

IS ANYONE ELSE HERE IN SUPPORT OF THE DEVELOPMENT?

AND IF YOU WILL SHARE YOUR NAME SO CHRISTINA -

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING, MY NAME IS JILLIAN OVER BACK I AM THE PRESIDENT OF OVERBANK INSURANCE INCORPORATED AND I HAVE BEEN INSIDE A CROSS FUNCTION INSIDE THE BOULEVARD ARCADE FOR ALMOST FIVE YEARS NOW. I HAVE ACTUALLY GOTTEN SO GOOD AT THE TORT AND IF HE IS BUSY I WILL SOMETIMES GO THROUGH AND JUST SHARE ALL OF THE BEAUTIFUL PRESERVATION THAT WE HAVE DONE.

I AM ACTUALLY A RESIDENT INSIDE RIGHT ON LAKE STREET AND I WAS IN ALBEE ON RIGHT BEFORE THE AND ALL EVERYONE EVER TALKS ABOUT IS THE SHORTAGE OF HOUSING AND I ACTUALLY OWN TWO HOUSES, RIGHT BEHIND THE HIGH SCHOOL ON SCHOOL BILL AND WHENEVER I PUT THEM UP ON THE LOW, I GET ABOUT 25 APPLICATIONS IN AN HOUR AND I HAVE IT RENTED OUT IMMEDIATELY WHICH JUST GOES TO SHOW PEOPLE WANT TO LIVE HERE AND WE NEED HOUSING.

I THINK THAT THE PARKING GARAGE IS AMAZING AND MY TEAM IS VERY VERY BIG AND THE PARKING IS SO LIMITED RIGHT THERE AND SO VERY MUCH APPROVED AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU, ANYBODY ELSE?

THANK YOU AND YOUR NAME?

>>SPEAKER: MY NAME IS HARRY AND I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT OF OAK PARK SINCE 1997.

I'VE ALSO CONTAIN AN OFFICE HERE FOR A COMPANY, EVERGREEN ARCHITECTURAL ARTS WHICH IS DEDICATED TO RESERVATION.

AND WE HAVE THE HONOR OF RESTORING SEVERAL IN MARKET AREAS IN THE BUILDING INCLUDING A HISTORIC FINISH OF THE UNITED TEMPLE AND FINISHES AT THE AUDITORIUM THEATER AS WELL AS THE CHICAGO CULTURAL CENTER FORMALLY THE CHICAGO PUBLIC LIBRARY.

AND WE ARE CURRENTLY FINISHING WORK ON THE CAPITAL.

FOR THE PAST FOUR YEARS I'VE HAD AN OFFICE ABOVE THE ARCADE AND I CAN ATTEST TO THE OWNERS PASSION FOR THE BUILDING HISTORY AND ADAPTIVE REUSE.

THEIR COMMITMENT TO PRESERVING AND ENHANCING THE PARKS AND ARCHITECTURAL SIGNIFICANCE AND THEIR OFTEN VERY PROUD OF WHAT THEY HAVE DONE AND THE BOULEVARD ARCADE BUILDING AND IN TERMS OF RESTORING AND PRESERVING THE HISTORIC ELEMENTS.

THEY HAVE SHARED PLANS WITH ME FOR THE NEW STRUCTURE BEHIND THE BUILDING AND THEIR INTENT OF THE HISTORIC BUILDING ITSELF WILL REMAIN UNCHANGED AND WITH THE NEW BUILDING IT WILL PERHAPS BECOME A LANDMARK ITSELF SOMEDAY DIVIDING MUCH NEEDED HOUSING.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ANYONE ELSE?

ANYONE ELSE IN SUPPORT OF THE DEVELOPMENT?

OKAY, SO THEN CLERK WATERS, TAKE YOUR TIME, BUT HOW MANY DOES THAT LEAVE US FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OR AGAINST THE DEVELOPMENT AS THE MOTION ON THE TABLE?

>>CLERK WATERS: 13.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, IF I CAN - AND THERE IS NOT A ORGANIZED GROUP THAT HAS PLANNED THIS THAT IS LEADING THE PUBLIC COMMENT?

SOMETIMES THAT IS THE CASE.

OKAY, I WILL START WITH THE PERSON THAT IS ON ZOOM.

JOHN?

>>JOHN M: YES.

GOOD EVENING.

MY NAME IS JOHN M AND I LIVE AT 110 S. MARION STREET AND I WOULD LIKE TO SHARE WITH THE TRUSTEES JUST A COUPLE PERSPECTIVES RELATED TO THIS PROPOSAL PAIRED FIRST FROM A HISTORIC PERSPECTIVE THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION OF OAK PARK HAS VOTED FOUR TIMES AND EACH TIME IT WAS UNANIMOUS, A TOTAL OF 33 VOTES AGAINST AND ZERO VOTES FOR OVERRIDING THE UNANIMOUS VOTE WOULD NOT ONLY DISRESPECT THE COMMISSION DEDICATION FOR PRESERVING OAK PARK HISTORIC LAND MARKS, THEIR TIME, CARING AND EXPERTISE, IT WOULD ALSO SEND A MESSAGE TO THE GREATER COMMUNITY THAT IT IS OKAY TO HAVE A MENACING 10 STORY METAL AND GLASS TOWER CONNECTED TO A 120-YEAR-OLD TWO STORY BRICK LANDMARK IN THAT IT IS OKAY TO ALLOW THE SHED OF THE ARCADE BUILDING TO BE PERMANENTLY DESTROYED BUT ALSO PLEASANT HOME.

NOW, FROM A NEED FOR MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING PERSPECTIVE, CLEARLY A TOWER THAT IS DESCRIBED AS LUXURY APARTMENTS IS NOT ADDRESSING THE OAK PARK AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPPORTUNITY GAP.

FROM A QUALITY-OF-LIFE PERSPECTIVE THE SCALE OF THIS TOWER WILL BLOCK DIRECT SUN FOR ALL EAST FACING USING ITS MOST OF WHICH ARE SENIOR CITIZENS.

LOWERING THE HEIGHT PERHAPS THE TWO STORIES WOULD ALLOW DIRECT SUNLIGHT FOR A PORTION OF THE DAY AND NEGATE THE FEW SHED ISSUES. MOST IMPORTANTLY, FROM A SAFETY PERSPECTIVE THIS BUILDING PROPOSAL HAS SUCH MASS AND SCALE ON SUCH A SMALL PARKING LOT THAT IT REQUIRES 10 STORIES TO ACCOMMODATE 24 APARTMENTS AND THE 45 CARS FOR BOTH RESIDENTS AS WELL AS THE PEOPLE WHO WORK ON THE BOULEVARD.

IT WILL REQUIRE TWO CAR LIFTS.

FOR THE CAR LIFT TO MOVE ONE CAR FROM THE SECOND IT PULLS INTO THE LEFT IS THEN TRANSPORTED TO THE APPROPRIATE PARKING LEVEL, EXITS THE LEFT AND THEN RETURNS FOR THE NEXT CAR CAN TAKE UP TO A MINUTE OR MORE.

I MEASURED THE EXCESSIVE GRIDLOCK IN THE ALLEY.

THE ONLY ACCESS FOR MANY RESIDENTS IN THE AREA.

IF 10 OR MORE CARS RETURN AT THE SAME TIME OR 20 CARS OR 30 OR ALL 45 CARS, THIS WILL CAUSE A POTENTIAL LIFE-THREATENING SITUATION DUE TO THE DELAY FOR FIRETRUCKS, EMT AND POLICE.

ADDITIONALLY, IT WILL CAUSE GRIDLOCK FOR NUMEROUS SERVICE TRUCKS IN THE ALLEYS SUCH AS SANITATION, FOOD SERVICE TRUCKS DELIVERY TRUCKS AS WELL AS RESIDENTS, CARS, PEDESTRIANS AND BIKE RIDERS.

IF APPROVED, THIS LIFE-THREATENING SITUATION WILL NEVER HAVE A REMEDY AND WILL CREATE A PERMANENT ENDANGERMENT.

NOW, THE RIGHT PERSPECTIVE.

DO NOT ALLOW ATTEMPTS TO REBUILD A STORY OF THIS SIZE OF MASS AND SCALE TO BE BUILT IN THIS LOCATION.

THE RIGHT PERSPECTIVE IS PERHAPS A TWO STORY BUILDING THAT IS SUBORDINATE TO THE HISTORIC LANDMARK FOR THIS UNIQUE LOCATION.

BY DOING SO, THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES REDUCES THE SHED ON HISTORIC LANDMARKS ATTACHED TO THIS BUILDING AND REDUCING THE QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES FOR NEARBY RESIDENTS, YOU DEMONSTRATE RESPECT FOR THE HPC DECISIONS AND MOST OF ALL YOU SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE THE LIFE-THREATENING ALLEY GRIDLOCK ISSUES PEER THIS APPEAL SHOULD CLEARLY BE DENIED.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

OKAY, CLERK WATERS, IF YOU WILL PLEASE PROCEED WITH THE NEXT AS YOU HAVE THEM?

>>SPEAKER: ARNOLD?

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE.

MY NAME IS ROBERTA ARNOLD AND I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT OF OAK PARK SINCE 1991 AND I CURRENTLY RESIDE AT 110 S. MARION STREET.

I AM READING A LETTER FROM ANDREW PARSON WHO IS THE ADVOCACY MANAGER OF LANDMARK ILLINOIS.

ONE OF THE THINGS ABOUT LANDMARK ILLINOIS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY IS THAT THEIR VISION IS A FUTURE WHERE PRESERVATION IS A ACCESSIBLE, EQUITABLE AND EFFECTIVE TOOL SUPPORTING STRATEGIC COMMUNITY DRIVEN REVITALIZATION. AND THAT IS A VISION THAT MS. PARSON ARTICULATES IN THIS LETTER.

THE PRESIDENT SCAMAN AND THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, ON BEHALF OF LANDMARK ILLINOIS, WE URGE THE BOARD TO UPHOLD THE DENIAL OF THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS TO CONSTRUCT A 10 STORY REAR ADDITION AT 10 THREE 135 SOUTH BOULEVARD KNOWN AS THE BOULEVARD ARCADE BUILDING.

SINCE JANUARY WE HAVE PROVIDED SEVERAL LETTERS AND A STATEMENT TO THE HPC OUTLINING OUR OPPOSITION.

BECAUSE IT IS A INDIVIDUALLY DESIGNATED OAK PARK LANDMARK, PROPOSALS FOR THE BOULEVARD ARCADE BUILDING MERIT A HIGH DEGREE OF SCRUTINY.

THE APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL IS WHOLLY OUT OF SYNC WITH OAK PARK 'S ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW GUIDELINES.

MR. S, THE APPLICANT ARCHITECT HAS ASSERTED BEFORE THE HPC THAT HE BELIEVES HIS DESIGN TO MEET REVIEW GUIDELINES.

NOW, IT IS TRUE THAT THE GUIDELINES ARE SUBJECTIVE.

THEY DELIBERATELY LEAVE ROOM FOR NUANCE AND FLEXIBILITY.

BUT, THE SUITABILITY OF THE PROPOSED DESIGN IS NOT A QUESTION OF NUANCE.

IT GOES AGAINST PRESERVATION STANDARDS IN ALMOST EVERY ASPECT.

MR. SHEETZ HAS ALSO STATED BEFORE THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION THAT THE OWNER'S REASON FOR SEEKING THIS APPROVAL IS THE EXPLORATION OF A HISTORIC TAX BREAK THAT THIS PROPERTY PREVIOUSLY RECEIVED.

HAVING FINANCIALLY BENEFITED FROM THE BOULEVARD ARCADE BUILDING LANDMARK STATUS UNDER OAK PARK HISTORIC PRESERVATION ORDINANCE, IT IS IRRECONCILABLE THAT THE OWNER NOW SEEKS TO OVERRIDE THE STANDARDS OF THAT ORDINANCE.

MY ORGANIZATION PLACES A HIGH VALUE ON THE USE OF THOUGHTFUL DESIGN TO ADD DENSITY AND HOUSING TO LANDMARK PROPERTIES.

REGRETTABLY THE THOUGHTFUL DESIGN IS NOT WHAT IS BEFORE YOU FOR CONSIDERATION.

WE URGE YOU TO DENY THIS CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS, SINCERELY  
KENDRA PARSON.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SO, KENDRA PARSON IS SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF LANDMARK ILLINOIS OR HERSELF?

>>SPEAKER: (AWAY FROM MIC).

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: PLEASE GO AHEAD.

>>CLERK WATERS: ROBERT ROYAL?

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING, MY NAME IS ROBERT ROYAL'S AND I HAVE BEEN IN OAK PARK SINCE 1982.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMITMENT TO OUR VILLAGE AND THE VALUE OF TRANSPARENCY AND THIS GOVERNMENT.

MY COMMENTS FOCUS ON THE THREATS TO TRANSPARENCY INHERENT IN THE PROJECT BEFORE YOU.

FIRST, YOU'RE BEING ASKED BY A ILL-DEFINED DEVELOPER TEAM TO OVERTURN FOUR UNANIMOUS DECISIONS MADE BY OUR COMMUNITIES TRUSTED ADVISORY TO HPC.

SECOND YOU'RE BEING ASKED TO TAKE SUCH AN EXTRAORDINARY STEP BY STAKEHOLDERS WHOSE POSITIONS AND ACTIONS HAVE PUT SOME OF OUR TRUSTEES IN A DIFFICULT AND POSSIBLY CONFLICTING POSITION.

I WILL NOT REITERATE THE MIC TO FAKING CASE AGAINST THIS TO REQUIRE COA MADE SO WELL BY OUR HPC AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY OVER THE PAST EIGHT MONTHS BEFORE MEETING WITH THE COMMISSION.

INSTEAD I WANT TO SHARE THE RESULTS OF MY RESEARCH WITH ALL OF YOU.

IN MY RESEARCH I LEARNED THAT THE COMANAGERS OF THE LLC CONTROLLED EXISTING LANDMARK STRUCTURE WHERE OAK PARK PLAN COMMISSIONER PAUL BECKWITH, HIS WIFE LEAH AND CFX LLC MANAGED BY JOHN'S REDSTONE.

ACCORDING TO CFX ANNUAL REPORT, COMMISSIONER BECKWITH IS ALSO THE ADVISOR FOR REAL ESTATE STRATEGY TO CFX.

WHO SEEMS TO BE THE DEVELOPER.

ARCHITECT JOHN SHEETZ IS THE SPOKESPERSON AND INDICATING THAT COMMISSIONER BECKWITH IS A PART OF THE DEVELOPER TEAM AND/OR A PROJECT OWNER.

AVENUE ONE REALTY IS THE PROPOSED LEASING BROKER FOR THE NEW STRUCTURE.

ONE OF THE PRINCIPLES OF AVENUE ONE IS MARIANO MOLLO.

MR. SHEETZ HAS REPEATEDLY SAID THAT THE PROJECT IS OWNED BY BOULEVARD ARCADE HOMES LLC.

A ENTITY THAT DOES NOT EXIST IN THE SECRETARY OF STATE DATABASE OF EXISTING CORPORATE ENTITIES.

I RESEARCHED OTHER STATES WITH THOSE LLCS WITH NO LUCK.

WE DO NOT KNOW WHO THE MANAGER OR THE OWNERS OF THE ARCADE HOMERS ARE, IF THEY CAN FUND THE PROJECT OR IF THEY HAVE ANY EXPERTISE OR EXPERIENCE IN ATTACHING A NEW 10 STORY STRUCTURE TO A LANDMARK BUILDING.

TO SUMMARIZE MY FIRST POINT, A ENTITY THAT DOES NOT SEEM TO EXIST LEGALLY WITH UNKNOWN FUNDING OR EXPERTISE IS ASKING OUR VILLAGE BOARD TO OVERTURN FOUR UNANIMOUS DECISIONS BY OUR COMMUNITY EXPERTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ATTACHING A TOWER OF 24 LUXURY APARTMENTS TO A LANDMARK STRUCTURE THAT BECKWITH HAS ALREADY RECEIVED A 20 YEAR TAX FREEZE ON SO THEY CAN RECEIVE ANOTHER MASSIVE TAX BENEFIT AND INCREASE EVALUATION OF THE UNDERLYING ASSET.

IN MY SECOND POINT ABOUT MEMBERS OF THIS BOARD PUT IN COPPER BUZZING POSITIONS.

THREE INDIVIDUALS ESSENTIALLY INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT HAVE MADE QUOTATIONS TO PRESIDENT SCAMAN, PAUL BECKWITH, JOHN SHEETZ AND MARIANO MOLLO.

MR. BECKWITH AND MR. SHEETZ HAVE MADE DONATIONS TO TRUSTEE WESLEY.

THE PRESIDENT SCAMAN NAMED TRUSTEE WESLEY AS TRUSTEE LIAISON TO THE PLAN COMMISSION ON WHICH MR. BECKWITH SETS.

SUCH DONATIONS ARE NOT ILLEGAL BY ANY MEANS PAIRED BECOME OF THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE BEEN MADE BY PEOPLE WITH STRONG STAKES IN THE PROJECT TO PEOPLE EMPOWERED BY THE VOTERS OF OAK PARK TO MAKE DECISIONS ON SUCH PROJECTS UNDERMINES TRUST AND TRANSPARENCY.

ONE OPTION AVAILABLE TO PRESIDENT SCAMAN AND TRUSTEE WESLEY IS TO RECUSE THEMSELVES FROM THE BOARD VOTE.

AS FOR THE OTHER TRUSTEES, YOU NEED TO ASK YOURSELVES IF THERE'S TOWER ATTACHED TO A LANDMARK IS THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT YOU WANT IN THIS VILLAGE.

THE LEGACY OF OAK PARK HISTORIC ARCHITECTURE BELONGS TO ALL OF ITS CITIZENS.

IF YOU WANT YOUR NAMES TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH TRAMPLING LEGACY AND THE POSSIBLE PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF CRONYISM.

I EMPLOY OUR COMMUNITY BOARD OF TRUSTEES TO HEED THE ADVICE GIVEN BY OUR EXPERTS OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION.

TO NOT APPROVE THE APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND YOUR PATIENCE.

>>CLERK WATERS: BILL BAUER?

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING EVERYONE MY NAME IS BILL BAUER, I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT SINCE 1953 AND SO I THINK I WENT.

MY INITIAL PLAN WAS TO USE MY THREE MINUTES TO GO THROUGH ALL OF THE POINTS AND REASONS WHY THEY DEVELOP IT FEELS TO FAIL TO MEET THE CONTRACTOR GUIDELINES AND THEN I REALIZED YOU REALLY DON'T NEED ME TO DO THAT.

THE HPC, A GROUP THAT IS FARMOUT QUALIFIED HAVARTI MADE THIS DECISION NOT ONCE BUT FOUR TIMES AND UNANIMOUSLY REJECTED BY THE COA, THIS IS SETTING FORTH THE BASIS FOR THE DECISION.

THE VILLAGE HAS ALSO RECEIVED NUMEROUS LETTERS AND DOCUMENTS ABOUT THE POSITION AND SIGNED BY OVER 70 OF OUR NEIGHBORS AND A LARGE RECORD THAT SUPPORTS THE COA AND SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO TALK ABOUT IN MY TWO MINUTES AND 19 SECONDS IS COMMITMENT AND TRUST.

OVER THE PAST NINE MONTHS I HAVE OBSERVED THE HPC AND THE PRESERVING OF THIS LANDMARK.

I HAVE HEARD COMMENTARY SHARED BY MANY OF THE COMMISSIONERS AND I HAVE DEVELOPED A TRUST IN THAT BODY'S ABILITY TO INHERENT MISSIONS WHICH I ALSO UNDERSTAND BE THE MISSION OF OAK PARK AND INDEED THE QUALIFICATIONS

LISTED FOR MEMBERSHIP ON THE COMMISSION INCLUDE DEMONSTRATED EXPERTISE AND HISTORY, ARCHITECTURAL HISTORY, ARCHITECTURE ENGINEERING, PLANNING, LOCOMOTOR REAL ESTATE, ETC. I SUGGEST THAT TRUSTEES ARE FACED WITH THE SAME TWO CONTRACTS, COMMITMENT AND TRUST.

IS THE VILLAGE COMMITTED TO PRESERVING THE STRUGGLE AND ARCHITECTURAL INTEGRITY OF OAK PARK?

IN THE RESIDENCE OF OAK PARK TRUST THAT COMMITMENT?

DOES THE VILLAGE HAVE TRUST THAT THE COMMITMENT OF THE HPC TO DO WITH THEY WERE CHARGED TO DO, COMMISSIONERS APPOINTED TO THE POSITION BASED APART ON QUALIFICATIONS.

IS THE VILLAGE COMMITTED TO STANDING BEHIND THE COMMISSION AND IN TURN WILLING TO REACH THE RIGHT RESULT?

ABLE TO UPHOLD THE DENIAL OF THE COA ESTABLISHES THAT COMMITMENT AND RECOGNIZES THAT TRUST AND HONORS THE RIFLE DECISION THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN MADE.

A VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE COA DOES THE ABSOLUTE OPPOSITE.

IT REJECTS THE COMMISSION ABILITY TO REACH THE RIGHT RESULT.

IT IGNORES TO DO SO AND DIMINISHES THE TRUST OF THE RESIDENCE AND OAK PARK COMMITMENT TO HISTORIC PRESERVATION.

FOR THOSE REASONS AS WELL AS ALL THE DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN PRESENTED AS WELL AS THE PAST FOUR HEARINGS BEFORE THE HPC, THE COA NEEDS TO BE DENIED.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

>>CLERK WATERS: AND THE LAST ONE IS NANCY AND RICK, ARE EITHER NANCY OR RICK VAN DYCK HERE?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ARE YOU TOGETHER?

BECAUSE, THAT WOULD BE -

>>CLERK WATERS: THEY ARE LIKE TOGETHER -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: (CHUCKLE) WE ONLY HAVE ONE MORE LEFT.

>>SPEAKER: I JUST WANTED TO SAY I AM NANCY VAN DYCK AND I AM A RESIDENT OF 110 S. MARIANNE.

AND I REALLY WOULD LIKE TO GET MY TIME TO OTHERS IN THE ROOM WHO ARE SPEAKING IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT.

I DID NOT INTEND TO SPEAK AND I WROTE A NOTE ON THAT TO YOU.

BUT, I WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM SOME OTHERS IN THE ROOM WHO ARE FOR -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I WILL LISTEN TO ONE MORE - OR WE WILL TAKE ONE MORE PUBLIC COMMENT.

I DO APPRECIATE EVERYONE FOR BEING HERE.

I HAVE WATCHED ALL HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEETINGS INVOLVED WITH THIS AND I'LL BE MAKING MY DECISION TONIGHT SOLELY ON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED AND THE MERITS OF THE ENTIRE PRESENTATION FROM HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION.

THANK YOU.

>>CLERK WATERS: IS RYAN HERE?  
OKAY, TODD OR CARRIE ANDERSON?  
AND BOB WYATT?

OKAY.

AND DAVE BATES?

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING TRUSTEES.

DAVE BATES.

MEMBER OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION AND I'M SPEAKING PURELY  
IN MY CAPACITY AS A CITIZEN.

YOU'VE HEARD A NUMBER OF ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST THIS BUILDING.

YOU HAVE A LOT OF DOCUMENT TATION ABOUT.

I WANT TO SPEAK BRIEFLY ABOUT THE PROCESS OF HOW WE GOT HERE.

IN JULY, THIS WAS THE THIRD OF THE FOUR TIMES THAT THIS WAS UNANIMOUSLY  
JACKED UP AT ONE OF THE COMMISSIONERS ON OUR BODY ASK MR. SHEETZ THE  
ARCHITECT FOR A ACCLAMATION ON SOMETHING WHICH IS WHY WHEN WE WERE  
SEEING THIS BUILDING FOR THE FOUR-TIME WHEN WE IN THE COMMUNITY HAD  
TAKEN TIME TO OFFER THOUGHTFUL COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS, WHY WERE WE  
NOT SEEING SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN THE PROPOSAL?

WHY WERE WE SEEING A VERY SIMILAR BUILDING FOR THE THIRD TIME?

AND MR. SHEETZ GAVE A LENGTHY ANSWER IN ONE OF THE THINGS HE SAID IS THAT  
HIS CLIENT WAS PREPARING FOR AN EVENTUAL APPEAL TO THE VILLAGE BOARD.  
AND IT BECAME APPARENT THAT MR. SHEETZ AND HIS CLIENT REALLY DID NOT HAVE  
ANY INTENTION OF TAKING THE HPC SUGGESTION OR DECISIONS ARE THOSE IN THE  
COMMUNITY SERIOUSLY.

THEY HAD NO INTENTION OF FOLLOWING THE ESTABLISHED PROCEDURE AND  
GETTING THE PROJECT APPROVED AND THEIR INTENTION WAS TO CIRCUMVENT A  
DULY APPOINTED VILLAGE BODY AND A PROCESS OF REPRESENTING AND HOPE  
THAT YOU HERE TONIGHT WOULD BE BETTER DISPOSED TOWARD THEIR PROPOSAL.  
MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT HOUSING SUPPLY AND HOUSING COSTS ARE A MAJOR  
ISSUE FACING OUR VILLAGE.

I UNDERSTAND THAT RESOLVING THIS ISSUE MAY CONFLICT WITH HISTORIC  
PRESERVATION AND AT THE RISK OF INCURRING THE WRATH OF SOME OF MY  
COMRADES I FRANKLY DO NOT BEGRUDGE ANYBODY WHO WOULD PRIORITIZE  
HOUSING, BUT REGARDLESS OF YOUR OPINIONS I FEEL VERY STRONGLY THAT THIS  
PROCESS WAS NOT APPROPRIATE.

AND GRANTING THE COA WOULD ONLY BE AN ENDORSEMENT OF THIS PROCESS AND  
AN ENCOURAGEMENT TO OTHER DEVELOPERS AND SO WITH NOTHING ELSE IN THE  
INTEREST OF GOOD GOVERNANCE ARE ESPECIALLY ASK THAT YOU DENY THE COA.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

I WILL TURN IT BACK OVER TO STAFF.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: THANK YOU PRESIDENT AND TRUSTEE MEMBERS.

CRAIG FALOR AND MIKE ROOS ARE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS ITEM.

>>CRAIG FALOR: THANK YOU.

THE ART DECO STYLE 10 STORY BUILDING WITH 24 DWELLING UNITS PROJECT IS PROPOSED FOR THE REAR OF THE BOULEVARD ARCADE BUILDING WHICH WAS ACTUALLY LANDMARKED BY THE VILLAGE IN 2007.

THE ITEM BEFORE - THE ITEM BEFORE THE VILLAGE BOARD TONIGHT IS AN APPEAL BY THE APPLICANT OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION DECISION TO DENY THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS.

MIKE ROOS THE VILLAGE MANAGER AND BOY - TAKE TWO!

MIKE BRUCE, THE VILLAGE PLANNER WILL WALK YOU THROUGH THE PROJECT AND DISCUSS THE COMMISSION AND LOU GEHRIG'S POLO, THE COMMISSION CHAIR IS ALSO AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS THIS EVENING.

MR. JOHN EVS THREE, THE APPLICANT AS WELL AS MR. TRENT STONER THE REPRESENTATIVE IS HERE IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR THEM.

THEY HAVE - THEY ASK IF YOU WOULD ALLOW YOU PROVIDE A BRIEF STATEMENT PRIOR TO STAFF OVERVIEW IF THAT IS THE PURVIEW OF THE BOARD.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>>SPEAKER: THANK YOU MR. FALOR AND VILLAGE BOARD.

MY NAME IS MIKE BRUCE AND I'M THE VILLAGE PLANNER, NOT THE VILLAGE MANAGER.

AS MR. FALOR SAID THE APPLICANT PROPOSAL IS TO CONTRACTOR 10 STORY ADDITION IN A ART DECO STYLE BEHIND THE EXISTING BUILDING LOCATED AT THE PLEASANT DISTRICT.

THE ADDITION WILL CONSIST OF SIX LEVELS OF RESIDENTIAL SPACE, 24 UNITS ABOVE THREE LEVELS OF PARKING AND 39 PARKING SPACES ARE PROPOSED. IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE 10 STORY ADDITION PROJECT MEETS THE DEFINITION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS AND THEREFORE A COA FROM THE HPC IS REQUIRED.

IN THE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW GUIDELINES AND THE ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW GUIDELINES ALLOW FOR VERTICAL ADDITIONS AS WELL AS THEY ARE SET BACK SO THAT THEY DO NOT VISUALLY OVERWHELM THE PRIMARY FAÇADE OF THE BUILDING. THE SIZE, CONFIGURATION AND ADDITIONS SHALL NEGATE THE STREET WHEN THE ADDITION DOES NOT VISUALLY OVERPOWER THE HISTORIC BUILDING.

AND THE FRONT FAÇADES ARE GENERALLY PROHIBITED AND THE OVERALL MASSING AND SCALE OF THE ADDITION SHALL BE COMPATIBLE WITH THE NEIGHBORING BUILDINGS AND WHEN THE SURROUNDING IS BUILT.

SO, STARTING IN SEPTEMBER AND ENDING IN AUGUST THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION HELD MEETINGS AND PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE PROPOSAL.

THE RELEVANT STANDARDS THAT WERE USED ARE FROM THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR STANDARDS REHABILITATION AND THE RELEVANT STATUS FROM THE REQUIREMENTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION, ADDITION AND DEMOLITION PROJECTS.

IN THE END, THE HPC VOTED TO NOT ISSUE A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR THE ADDITION AND THE APPLICANT CHOSE TO APPEAL THESE DECISIONS TO THE VILLAGE BOARD.

LOU GEHRIG'S POLO, THE HPC CHAIR CAN SPEAK TO THE HPC DECISION NOT TO ISSUE THE COA AND AS MR. FALOR SAID, MR. SHEETZ AND TRENT STONER ARE ALSO HERE FOR QUESTIONS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I WILL HONOR THE REQUEST TO MAKE A STATEMENT. THANK YOU, MIKE.

>>SPEAKER: GOOD EVENING AND THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING US SOME TIME. I WILL BE AS BRIEF AS POSSIBLE WHICH IS DIFFICULT, BUT MIKE OUTLINED THE PROCESS AND THROUGH THE PROCESS WE HAD A CHALLENGE, WE HAD A CHALLENGE OF PRESERVING A HISTORIC LANDMARK AND ASSET TO THE COMMUNITY AND ALSO POSITION IT FOR A LONG FUTURE.

A LOT OF US CAN SEE EXAMPLES IN THE VILLAGE WHERE THESE ASSETS WERE JUST INVESTED AND THEY DID NOT WANT THAT.

THEY WANTED TO PRESERVE IT.

AND THAT LED TO A UNIQUE BUILDING, BECAUSE IT IS SET BACK 85 FEET FROM THE FRONT.

IT IS VISUALLY SUBSTANTIAL.

ALL OF THESE THINGS ARE A MATTER OF RECORD AND I AM SURE YOU HAVE READ AND SEEN THE STAFF REPORT AND POSSIBLY EVEN SOME VIDEOS.

BUT, WHAT WE WERE LED TO IS A CHALLENGE TO ENHANCE THE BUILDING AND TO ENHANCE THE ASSET FOR THE VILLAGE AND FOR THAT.

SO, WE FOLLOWED THE PROCESS FOR MEETINGS WITH ONE EXCEPTION AND THAT IS FRANKLY THE REQUIREMENT.

I WAS INVOLVED IN A HOME WHERE IT TOOK OVER FOUR MEETINGS TO GET THAT DEVELOPMENT APPROVED.

THAT IS JUST THE WAY IT HAPPENED WITH GOING BACK AND FORTH AND LISTENING TO RECOMMENDATIONS.

WE CITED FIVE SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES FROM THE FIRST TIME WE SUBMITTED THE DESIGN TO THE LAST MEETING.

WE LOWERED IT IN BUILDING HEIGHT AND WE CHANGE THE ELEVATION.

THOSE WERE COMMENTS DIRECTLY FROM HPC AND WE TOOK THAT TO HEART IN THE OWNERSHIP AGREEING TO LOWER THE HEIGHT OF THE BUILDING AT A SUBSTANTIAL COST AND IT MEANT PUTTING TWO LIFTS IN THE BUILDING, TWO PARKING LIFTS.

THAT WAS HOW WE REDUCED IT.

WE ALSO REDUCED THE HEIGHT AND THE BULK OF THE TOP FLOOR, THE COMMUNITY ROOM WHEN ORIGINALLY PRESENTED IT WAS MUCH LARGER.

SO, DOES THE PROCESS WORK?

YES.

I THINK WE HAVE A BETTER BUILDING THEN WHEN WE FIRST STARTED.

BUT, THE APPEALS PROCESS IS PART OF THE ORDINANCE.

AND SO I WILL STOP THERE.

OUR LEAD INVESTOR IS HERE AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN SUMMARIZE SOME CLOSING COMMENTS AFTER WE ARE HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS.

WE WOULD LOVE TO ANSWER THEM.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

SO, WHERE TO GO FROM HERE?

I THINK I JUST WANT TO ADDRESS BEFORE I SEE WHERE WE ARE AT WITH MY COLLEAGUES AND WHAT THEIR CONCERNS ARE IN THE PROCESS FOR A MOMENT, BECAUSE I WAS CONFUSED MYSELF.

AND I REMEMBER I ASKED FOR SOME CLARIFICATION AS I WAS WATCHING THE MEETINGS.

AND SO I WILL ASK FOR ANY ASSISTANCE IN THIS, AS WELL.

I WATCHED THEM UNFORTUNATELY OUT OF ORDER WHICH MADE IT THAT MORE CONFUSING AND I HAD TO GO BACK AND TRY TO FIGURE IT OUT.

THE VERY FIRST MEETING AND SO LOU, ANYTHING THAT I SAY THAT YOU WANT TO RESPOND TO WHEN WE CALL YOU UP NEXT, PLEASE DO, OKAY?

AND YOU KNOW I WATCHED THE LAST MEETING KNOWING THIS WAS COMING UP AND YES THERE WAS A LOT OF SAYING THAT NOTHING HAD BEEN CHANGED, NOTHING HAD BEEN CHANGED.

BUT, THEN WHEN I WENT BACK AND WATCHED ALL OF THE MEETINGS, THERE WAS THE VERY FIRST MEETING I DID SEE WHAT JOHN IS TALKING ABOUT.

THERE WAS DISCUSSION COMP LAMENTING THE CLOCK AND THERE WAS DISCUSSION ABOUT THE FAÇADE AT THE TOP BEING HEAVY AND THE FAÇADE BEING TALLER AND WHEN IT WAS BROUGHT BACK IT WAS BROUGHT DOWN.

SO, I WAS CONFUSED AS TO WHY IT WAS STATED THAT THERE WERE NO CHANGES.

AND I JUST WELCOME ANY RESPONSE TO THAT FOR MY OWN CLARITY WHEN YOU DO COME UP.

BUT, I ALSO WAS QUESTIONING AS YOU KNOW BECAUSE I BRING THE NAMES FORWARD TO THE APPOINTMENTS FOR THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION AND I TAKE SOME TIME AND I THINK I'M VERY GRATEFUL TO PEOPLE WHO SERVED NOT ON JUST THAT COMMISSION BUT ALL OF THE COMMISSIONS.

AND I DID NOT UNDERSTAND WHY IN ESSENCE FIVE MEETINGS ALSO, YOU KNOW? YOU KNOW THAT SEEMS LIKE A WASTE OF TIME AND DISRESPECTFUL THE PEOPLE SITTING AT THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION.

BUT, THEN I DID YOU KNOW TRY TO UNDERSTAND WHY THAT HAPPENED AND IT WAS THAT IT WAS WRITTEN IN OUR CODE AND MAYBE THERE IS ROOM TO CHANGE THAT BY THE WAY - BECAUSE I JUST DO THINK THAT IT IS KIND OF A LOT.

IT IS KIND OF A LOT.

YOU KNOW IT CAME FORWARD FIRST WITH THIS EARLY ARCHITECTURE REVIEW AND THEN A MEETING AND CRAIG, WHAT IS THE FIRST TWO MEETINGS AND THEN THERE WAS A DEADLINE MISSED AND THEN TO COME TO THE BOARD EARLIER SO THEN HE IN ESSENCE HAD TO DO IT AGAIN.

WAS THAT RIGHT?

THANK YOU, PLEASE DO.

>>MIKE BRUCE: THE FIRST MEETING WAS DECEMBER 12, 2024.  
IT WAS A PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION, OKAY?  
NEXT MEETING, JANUARY 9, 2025, THAT WAS A FORMAL CERTIFICATE OF  
APPROPRIATENESS APPLICATION.  
AND THE HPC CHOSE NOT TO ISSUE THE COA.  
AFTER THIS MEETING, THE ARCHITECT SHOWS TO MODIFY THE PLANS AND THAT  
STARTS THE PROCESS OVER.  
I DON'T KNOW START THE PROCESS OVER IS THE RIGHT WORD, BUT THAT LED US TO  
THAT MARCH 27 MEETING WHERE THEY WERE GOING TO REVIEW THE CHANGES  
THAT WERE MADE BY THE ARCHITECT.  
THAT MEETING WAS POSTPONED AND THEN WE GET TO MAY 22 WHEN WE HAD A  
FORMAL COA APPLICATION AND IT WAS DENIED BY THE HPC, HOWEVER THE NEXT  
STEP IS TO APPEAL BY THE APPLICANT TO HAVE A FORMAL PUBLIC HEARING.  
BUT, THE APPLICANT MISSED THE DEADLINE TO APPEAL.  
AND SO IN THE NEXT MEETING, JULY 24, IT WAS KIND OF BACK AT THE FIRST STAGE  
AGAIN REVIEWING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS AGAIN.  
AND AFTER THE MEETING ON JULY 24, THE APPLICANT APPEALED THE DENIAL AND  
HE GOES BACK FOR PUBLIC HEARING IN FRONT OF THE HPC.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: SO IT WAS DENIED AND YOU STILL HAVE TO GO BACK FOR  
PUBLIC HEARING?  
WHY IS THAT?

>>SPEAKER: WELL, THE CODE IS WRITTEN THAT WAY AND IF YOU GET A DENIAL ON  
A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATE NEST JUST BASED ON REGULAR MEETINGS THEN  
YOU CAN COME BACK AND PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AT THE PUBLIC  
HEARING AND YOU CAN BRING IN EXPERTS AND YOU CAN PROVIDE ADDITIONAL  
INFORMATION FOR THE HPC TO REVIEW AT A PUBLIC HEARING SIMILAR TO THE  
BOARD OF APPEALS.  
PEOPLE CAN COME AND SPEAK ABOUT IT AND THEY CAN DO CROSS EXAMINATIONS  
JUST LIKE ANY OTHER PUBLIC HEARING AND SO IT IS REALLY KIND OF SETTING IT UP  
FOR A SIMILAR APPROVAL AND THE HPC GETS TO MAKE THE FINAL DECISION.  
IT IS NOT A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD.  
AND WHEN THE HPC DENIES THE APPLICATION IN A PUBLIC HEARING, THAT IS WHEN  
THE APPLICANT CAN APPEAL THEIR DECISION TO THE BOARD.  
THAT IS WHERE WE ARE TONIGHT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND ONLY AT THAT POINT?

>>CRAIG FALOR: AND ONLY AT THAT POINT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND I ALSO HEARD AND WATCHING THE MEETINGS AND IT  
DOES HAPPEN, THAT PEOPLE MISS DEADLINES AND THIS IS NOT NECESSARILY A  
ISOLATED CASE.  
IS THAT CORRECT?

>>MIKE BRUCE: YES, THAT IS CORRECT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THEN, LOU, IS THERE ANYTHING THEY ARE TO SHARE AT  
THIS POINT?

>>SPEAKER: (AWAY FROM MIC).

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.  
OKAY, WHO WOULD LIKE TO START US OFF THIS EVENING?  
NOBODY IS JUMPING.  
(CHUCKLE) IT IS CUSTOMARY FOR ME TO GO LAST.  
SO - BRIAN, THANK YOU, BRIAN.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: JUST AS A QUESTION AS I AM MULLING OVER WHAT I HAVE READ AND EVERYTHING I'VE HEARD TONIGHT, THIS IS, THIS PROPERTY IS ZONED THREE, CORRECT?

>>SPEAKER: CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: AND IN THE CODE THERE IS A HEIGHT MAXIMUM OF 60 FEET IN THAT ZONING CATEGORY, CORRECT?

>>SPEAKER: THAT SOUNDS CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: REGARDING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS, THE FURTHER PROCESSES THAT IT HAS TO GO THROUGH -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ONLY IF WE APPROVE IT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: SORRY, IF WE DECLINE THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS OR IF WE REVERSE THE DECISION, IT HAS A WAYS TO GO STILL THROUGH VARIOUS PROCESSES?

>>MIKE BRUCE: IT WOULD BE A PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROCESS PEERS.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: CAN YOU LAY OUT WHAT THAT PROCESS LOOKS LIKE?

>>MIKE BRUCE: PLANNING AND OF ELEMENT IS A SPECIAL USE WHERE A APPLICANT CAN REQUEST RELIEF FROM THE ZONING DISTRICT, REGULATIONS AND OTHER REGULATIONS IN THE ZONING ORDINANCE.  
IT IS A MORE EFFICIENT WAY TO HANDLE A LARGE-SCALE PROJECT.  
THAT IS IT IN A NUTSHELL.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: AND CAN YOU WALK THROUGH WHAT THE PUBLIC PROCESS FOR A PLANNED DEVELOPMENT -

>>MIKE BRUCE: THERE WILL BE A PUBLIC HEARING AND IT WOULD BE AT THE BANNING COMMISSION LEVEL AND PLANNING COMMISSION WILL REVIEW THE APPLICATION AGAINST THE STANDARDS AND THE PUBLIC WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO WEIGH IN ON THE PROPOSAL AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION WOULD MAKE A RECOMMENDATION BACK TO THE VILLAGE BOARD.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: AND THAT PROCESS IS TYPICALLY WHERE CONCERNS REGARDING TRAFFIC, DENSITY, IMPACT ON THE ALLEY, THOSE KINDS OF CONCERNS ARE TYPICALLY PLAN COMMISSION CONCERNS RATHER THAN HISTORIC RESERVATION COMMISSION CONCERNS?

>>MIKE BRUCE: I WOULD SAY THAT IS CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: AND I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I HAD MY UNDERSTANDING CORRECT FOR OUR DISCUSSION HERE TONIGHT, OUR DISCUSSION REALLY FOCUSES ON THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION QUESTIONS AROUND THIS DEVELOPMENT APPEARED.

>>MIKE BRUCE: THAT IS CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: OKAY, I WANT TO HEAR WHAT EVERYBODY ELSE HAS AND WHAT QUESTIONS EVERYBODY ELSE HAS AND THEN I MAY HAVE SOME FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS AS I THINK THROUGH THIS.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I HAVE A QUESTION.

THIS PARTICULAR PARCEL IS NOT IN THE HISTORIC DISTRICT, IS THAT CORRECT?

>>CRAIG FALOR: NO, IT IS A LANDMARK OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: AND IS THIS PROPOSED BUILDING CONNECTED TO THE LANDMARK?

>>CRAIG FALOR: IT IS ATTACHED.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: AND WHAT IS CURRENTLY ON THE PARCEL?

>>CRAIG FALOR: MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THEY WILL BE REMOVING A ADDITION OFF THE BACK OF THE BUILDING THAT WAS NOT PART OF THE HISTORIC BUILDING ITSELF, BUT WAS ADDED TO A HISTORIC STRUCTURE PRIOR TO IT BEING A LANDMARK.

SO, THEY WILL REMOVE THAT PIECE AND THEN THE REST OF THE SITE THAT THERE BUILDING ON IS THE PERMIT.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OKAY, THEY ARE RESTORING A HISTORIC BUILDING TO ITS MORE HISTORIC NATURE, IS THAT WHAT I THINK I HEARD? AND IN ADDITION TO BUILDING SOMETHING NEW?

>>CRAIG FALOR: THEY ARE BASICALLY REPLACING A NEWER ADDITION WITH A BRAND-NEW ADDITION.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: CORRECT.

OKAY.

SO, HISTORIC DISTRICTS ARE LARGE ENOUGH OBVIOUSLY FOR THEM TO BE REACHING OUTSIDE OF THE EXERCISE OF POWER AND I THINK FOR ME PERSONALLY THE FACT THAT THIS HAS GONE THROUGH FOUR HEARINGS AND INSTILL NEEDS TO GO THROUGH EVEN MORE HEARINGS AND A PLANNING DEVELOPMENT YOU KNOW I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHY THEY WANT TO BUILD ANYTHING HERE.

LIKE IT HAS ALREADY BEEN A YEAR SINCE THEY HAVE STARTED THIS AND IT WILL PROBABLY BE ANOTHER YEAR BEFORE THEY FINISH, RIGHT?

I MEAN THIS IS A PROBLEM.

AND AT THIS BOARD WE NEED TO FIX THAT.

WE CANNOT HAVE FOLKS WAITING TWO YEARS TO KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THEY CAN BUILD SOMETHING OR EVEN A YEAR.

I THINK THAT IS UNACCEPTABLE AND I THINK IT WILL COST DEVELOPMENT THAT WE WOULD OTHERWISE USE, BECAUSE IF ANYONE HERE HAS NOT WATCHED A BOARD MEETING THAT I PARTICIPATED IN THEY WOULD KNOW THE THAT HOUSING IS ONE OF MY NUMBER ONE PRIORITIES AND ADDING MORE HOUSING INCREASES THE AFFORDABILITY GENERALLY OF THE ENTIRE VILLAGE AND SO IN GENERAL I WILL BE SUPPORTIVE OF ADDING ADDITIONAL HOUSING TO THIS VILLAGE.

I ALSO DON'T REALLY CARE ABOUT PARKING LOTS.

SO, IF WE WANT TO BUILD A BUILDING ON A PARKING LOT I THINK ELEVATES THE USE OF THAT LAND OF WHAT IS CURRENTLY BEING USED ABOVE HOW IT IS CURRENTLY BEING USED AND I THINK THAT IS A VALUE ADD FOR THE VILLAGE, AS WELL.

PARKING - IT DOES NOT PAY VERY MUCH AND PROPERTY TAXES, BUT BUILDINGS DO. BUILDINGS AT NEIGHBORS TO A COMMUNITY AND THOSE COMMUNITIES BRING VIBRANCY AND THE BASIS OF WHICH ARE BUSINESS DISTRICT THRIVES. WE HAVE NOTICED THAT WHEN WE ADD THOSE ADDITIONAL BUILDINGS IN DOWNTOWN OAK PARK THAT ARE BUSINESS THRIVES TO THE POINT THAT WE MAKE RECORD SALES TAX REVENUE NUMBERS TWO YEARS IN A ROW. AND WE SAW RECORD BUSINESS LICENSE APPLICATIONS TWO YEARS IN A ROW. THESE THINGS DON'T HAPPEN ACCIDENTALLY. THIS IS ALL A PART OF THE SYSTEM AND THAT SYSTEM NEEDS PEOPLE TO ACTUALLY RUN IT.

AND WHEN I THINK ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD BUILD A ADDITIONAL BUILDING ON A PLOT OF LAND THAT IS CURRENTLY SERVING MOST PARKING AND NOBODY CAN ACTUALLY SEE OR IF WE SHOULD LEAVE IT AS PARKING AND BE COMPLETELY UNDERUTILIZED AS IT IS NOW YOU KNOW FOR ME THE DECISION IS EASY.

AND YOU KNOW FOR ME YOU KNOW LIKE IT JUST SHOULDN'T TAKE FOUR MEETINGS TO GET YOU GUYS HERE.

I HAVE BEEN BITING MY TONGUE ON THIS IN PUBLIC, BECAUSE THAT IS THE LAW AND I CANNOT COMMENT ON THIS, BUT THIS IS INSANE THAT IT HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR A YEAR AND WE ARE JUST NOW HEARING ABOUT IT AND YOU WERE TO GO BACK THROUGH THE PLANNING DEVELOPER AND PROCESS AGAIN WHICH MAY TAKE YOU ANOTHER YEAR AND IT WILL BE ALL THE SAME PEOPLE WHO WILL THEN SAY THAT THIS BUILDING SHOULD NOT BE BUILT AND THEY ARE PROBABLY GOING TO USE THE SAME MARKET, MAY BE DIFFERENT ONCE, BUT IT WILL BE THE SAME.

AND YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH THIS WHOLE THING ALL OVER AGAIN.

WE JUST CANNOT RUN A VILLAGE THAT WAY.

SO - I THINK I TOUCHED ON EVERYTHING AND WANTED TO TOUCH ON.

AND MY VOTE IS TO OVERTURN THE HPC.

AND ALSO WE NEED TO REVISIT THE GUIDANCE THAT WE PROVIDE TO THEM SO THAT THIS DOESN'T HAPPEN AGAIN.

WE CANNOT HAVE THOSE FOLKS GOING - THIS IS A WASTE OF THEIR TIME AND OUR TIME AND A WASTE OF THE FOLKS WHO ARE PROPOSING TO BUILD IN OUR VILLAGE TIME.

LIKE I HEARD ONE OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT MENTIONED THAT YOU KNOW ALSO THROUGHOUT THE MEETINGS AND THE MINUTES THAT THERE ARE - LIKE WHY ARE WE SEEING THIS YEAR AGAIN, RIGHT?

OVER AND OVER AGAIN, IT IS FOUR TIMES IN A ROW.

LIKE THEY GET IT, EVERYBODY GETS IT, HPC DIDN'T LIKE IT AND THEY VOTED FOUR TIMES TO NOT DO IT AND IT COULD HAVE BEEN ONCE AND THEN IT COULD HAVE COME HERE.

AND WE COULD HAVE DECIDED WHATEVER THIS VOTE OUTCOME IS GOING TO BE AND WE HAVE WASTED THE COMMISSION'S TIME OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEAR AND WE HAVE WASTED A APPLICANTS TIME OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEAR AND

WE WILL CONTINUE TO DO THAT OVER THE NEXT YEAR, AS WELL WHICH I APOLOGIZE FOR.

MY DECISION MAY BE DIFFERENT IF THIS WAS INSIDE OF A HISTORIC DISTRICT AND I THINK THAT OUR HISTORIC DISTRICT ARE WAY TOO BIG AND WE ARE NOT THAT HISTORIC AND WE ARE NOT THAT SPECIAL.

AND OUR LANDMARKS ARE GREAT, BUT WE HAVE AN ENTIRE HISTORIC DISTRICT DEDICATED TO STUCCO, READ THE ORDINANCE.

AND WHAT MAKES OAK PARK GREAT IS NOT THE BUILDINGS, BUT THE PEOPLE AND WE NEED PLACES FOR THEM TO LIVE AND THERE IS SO MANY OAK PARKERS THAT HAVE MADE SUCH A HUGE DIFFERENCE ON THIS WORLD AND THEY MAY NOT BE OAK PARKERS IF THEY DIDN'T HAVE A PLACE TO LIVE IN THIS VILLAGE AND THE ONLY THING AND THE ONLY REASON THEY HAD A PLACE TO LIVE HERE - AND SO MY VOTE IS TO OVERTURN THIS IN MY VOTE WILL ALWAYS BE IN FAVOR OF HOUSING.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: JIM?

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: I HAVE A COUPLE QUESTIONS.

FIRST I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THE WORK HERE.

THEY DID THEIR BEST EFFORTS TO TRY TO ALIGN WITH THE STANDARDS AND ANALYSIS AND TRY TO MATCH THEM UP AS BEST THEY COULD AND I DO THINK THAT THAT IS IMPORTANT WORK AND I DO SAY THANK YOU FOR THAT AND THERE IS A LOT OF EFFORT AND MULTIPLE TIMES AS YOU MAY HAVE HEARD.

AND I JUST HAVE ONE QUESTION FOR MR. SHEETZ IF I COULD.

AND SO ON THE LAST ITERATION WHERE THEY WERE DENIED THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATE NEST, WAS THERE ANY INFORMATION PRESENTED AT THAT MEETING?

>>MR. SHEETZ: FROM THE PREVIOUS?

WHY IS THAT THE CASE -- FROM THE PREVIOUS, NO.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: WHY IS THAT THE CASE?

I HAVE NO MOVEMENT ON NINE OUT OF THE 10 ITEMS THAT THEY ARE REFERENCING AND WHY IS THAT THE CASE?

>>MR. SHEETZ: WE DISAGREE ON THAT.

FOR THE FIRST TIME IN ALL FOUR HEARING PROCESSES I PRESENTED OUR VIEW AND OUR POSITIONS AND CITED EVIDENCE THAT WE HAD SUBMITTED THAT WE HAD OVERWHELMINGLY MET AT LEAST EIGHT - AND YOU HAVE TO REMEMBER THAT 10 HAD SUBJECTIVE LANGUAGE AND YOU KNOW WE HIGHLIGHTED IT AFTER THE FACT. THERE IS SUBJECTIVE WORDS IN THEIR THAT SAY YOU CAN LEAN ONE WAY OR THE OTHER AND WE FELT SOLID WITH THE EIGHT AND OUR TEAM REVIEWED IT.

THIS IS A VERY SMART INVESTMENT TEAM THAT GOT REALLY INTO THE DETAILS.

AS A MATTER OF FACT AS WE WERE THINKING ABOUT COMING TONIGHT WE REVIEWED THEM AND WE SAID THAT WE BELIEVE THIS, BUT WE ALSO SUBMITTED THIS AND BUILDING HEIGHT IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD, WE PRESENTED TWO CHARTS THAT WERE FROM THE VILLAGE, ZONING CHARTS THAT SAY HEY, THIS IS THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD THERE IS A 12 STORY BUILDING. THERE IS A 18 STORY BUILDING.

AND WE CITED WHERE THEY WERE AND THEY ARE WITHIN FIVE MINUTES WALKING DISTANCE OF EACH OTHER.

AND I THINK YOU KNOW WHICH ONES I'M TALKING ABOUT.

SO, WE MADE A STRONG EFFORT AND WE BELIEVE THAT WE HAD EXHAUSTED OUR EFFORTS.

WE LOWERED THE BUILDING HEIGHT, WE CHANGED THE TOP OF THE BUILDING, WE CHANGED THE GROUND FLOOR OF THE BUILDING TO ADDRESS CONCERNS THAT WERE OUTSIDE OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION, BUT WE ALSO HAD TWO ELEVATORS AND ONE MINUTE EACH AND WAY BEYOND THE STANDARDS AND WE THOUGHT THAT WE HEARD IT FROM THE FIRE CHIEF AND LESS REFLECTED THOSE THAT ARE NOT - IT WE HAVE A OUT OF THE 10 STANDARDS OF WHEN I SAT ON THE COMMISSION THAT THAT IS GOOD ENOUGH FOR ME?

8/10?

AND WE TOOK IT TO HEART AND WE PRESENTED IT.

SO, WAS THERE ANYTHING DIFFERENT PRESENTED?

YES, WE DID.

LET ME LEAVE IT AT THAT.

I THINK I ANSWERED A QUESTION.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: MAY BE FOR MR. FALOR OR BRUCE, IS THERE ANY WAY TO RECONCILE THE DIFFERENCES THAT WE TALK ABOUT YOU KNOW NOT HAVING A MEETING OF THE MINDS.

I UNDERSTAND THERE IS ROOM FOR INTERPRETATION, BUT OUT OF 10 THERE IS LIKE WHAT, COUPLE THAT WE ARE IN AGREEMENT ON?

AND BETWEEN THE VILLAGE - I SHOULD JUST LET THE PROCESS PLAY OUT, OBVIOUSLY, BUT IT JUST SEEMS LIKE IT COULD BE VERY BROAD LIKE WHAT DO YOU THINK?

>>MIKE BRUCE: MAY I HAVE LOU TRY TO ANSWER THIS?

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: SURE.

>>CRAIG FALOR: THE NEED TO DETERMINE WHETHER IT MEETS THE STANDARDS OR NOT - AND SO THE DISCREPANCY IS BETWEEN -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND THE COMMISSION -

>>LOU: SOME OF THE STATEMENTS THAT THERE WERE NO REVISIONS IS NOT CORRECT.

INITIALLY THE HEIGHT WAS CHANGED AS WAS STATED BY 9 FEET.

THE POINT OF THE REVIEW IS THAT THE BUILDING IS OVERPOWERING A LANDMARK BUILDING.

SO, IT IS NOT IN A HISTORIC DISTRICT, BUT IT IS A LANDMARK.

SO, THERE ARE GUIDELINES FOR LANDMARK BUILDINGS.

WHAT ARE THE OTHER ELEMENTS?

THE MATERIAL OF THE BUILDING IS NOT COMPATIBLE WITH THE BUILDING SURROUNDING.

THERE WERE NO CHANGES.

IT IS METAL, ALL OF THE BUILDING IS METAL PANELS.

ALL OF WHAT EXISTS ON THE STREET IN THE ENTIRE BLOCK AND SO IS THERE A WAY TO RESPOND TO THAT?

THE BUILDING COULD BE MASONRY OR STONE AND THAT WOULD BE A RESPONSE.

WE HAVE INCLUDED IN THE BACK OF OUR SUMMARY ALL OF THE ITEMS THAT ARE NOT COMPATIBLE.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: IT IS A GOOD SUMMARY.

>>LOU: MASSING AND SCALE, HOW DO YOU MENTION THAT?

MAYBE A SMALLER BUILDING WOULD RESPOND TO THAT.

CHANGING IT BY 9 FEET?

DOES NOT RESPOND TO THAT.

THE PROPOSED ADDITION REALLY DOES OVERPOWER THE LANDMARK.

THAT IS ONE OF THE REASONS FOR THE GUIDELINE.

SO, TO RESPOND TO THAT WITH THE BUILDING THAT IS MUCH LESS BULK WOULD RESPOND TO IT.

SO - SO, THE COMMISSION TOOK A LOT OF TIME TO REVIEW AND TO COME UP WITH SOME COMMENTS AND THE COMMISSION FEELS STRONGLY AND THERE ARE SEVERAL THAT HAVE ATTENDED THIS MEETING TONIGHT BECAUSE OF THAT AND SO I JUST URGE YOUR THOUGHTFUL REVIEW OF WHAT WE SUBMITTED.

THE NEED FOR HOUSING NOTWITHSTANDING.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: ONE MORE QUESTION AND THEN I WILL LET YOU SIT.

THANK YOU.

SO, JUST A QUICK QUESTION TO THAT POINT, THESE OTHER THINGS ARE A LITTLE BIT MORE DIFFICULT.

I KNOW FOR A DEVELOPER WHEN WE ARE TALKING ABOUT DENSITY, BUT THE MATERIALS, THE MATERIALS, IT DOES NOT SEEM - IS THERE A REASON WHY THOSE SEEM TO BE EASIER THINGS AND MAYBE THERE IS COST IMPLICATIONS TO THOSE, BUT THEY SEEM TO BE NOT DIFFICULT OR PERHAPS THE MOST EASY THING TO CHANGE IS TO MAKE THE CHOICE OF MATERIALS COMPATIBLE WITH THE EXISTING STRUCTURE AND THAT DOESN'T SEEM LIKE THAT HAPPENED.

WHAT IS THE REASON FOR THAT?

>>MR. SHEETZ: AS CONTEXT AROUND THAT QUESTION, FOUR TOWERS HAVE BEEN APPROVED.

NOT ONE OF THEM HAS A MASONRY EXTERIOR AND THERE IS A REASON FOR THAT, BECAUSE THERE IS NOT JUST THE INITIAL COST, THERE IS THE LONG-TERM MAINTENANCE OF MAINTAINING MASONRY AND EIGHT OR NINE OR 10 STORIES.

IT IS A SIGNIFICANT COST.

AND IT USUALLY IS A DEVELOPMENT KILLER.

THAT IS THE STRAIGHT ANSWER.

I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT A FEW THINGS.

THE CHART YOU ARE REFERRING TO THAT THE BOARD GOT A COPY OF, THAT WAS NEVER SUBMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.

THAT WAS A COMMISSIONER TAKING DOCUMENTS THAT WE SUPPLY FOR THEIR OWN USE AND BROUGHT IT IN FRONT OF YOU.

THAT WAS NEVER GIVEN TO US.

WE ARE THE ONES THAT PRESENTED THAT WITH OUR OPINIONS AND SO THE CHART YOU ARE REFERRING TO, THEY HAD FOUR EARRINGS TO BRING THAT TO OUR ATTENTION AND NEVER DID.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: THANK YOU.

AND SO JUST TO SUM UP, THIS IS A VERY DIFFICULT DECISION.

I DO - I THINK THERE IS VALUE IN LETTING THE PROCESS PLAY OUT, BUT I ALSO HEAR THE OTHER SIDE WHICH THERE ARE SOME SINCERE CONCERNS HERE.

AND IN TERMS OF THE STANDARDS THAT EXIST IN THIS NOT MEETING SOME OR MANY OF THEM, IT IS CONCERNING AND I AM STILL CONSIDERING THIS AS WE SIT HERE TONIGHT.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, ANYBODY PREPARED TO GO NEXT?

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: I ALSO FIND THIS TO BE QUITE DIFFICULT.

I REALLY APPRECIATE THE TIME AND THE COMMITMENT OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION AND I DON'T FEEL GOOD DISMISSING EXPERTISE AND A LOT OF EFFORT AND TIME BY OVERTURNING A WELL THOUGHT DECISION.

I HEARD PUBLIC COMMENTS TONIGHT EXPRESSING CONCERNS UNRELATED TO THE HISTORIC CHARACTER OF THE PROPOSAL THAT I THINK WERE IMPORTANT POINTS TO MAKE AS WELL AS READING ALL OF THE EMAILS WE HAVE GOTTEN ON THIS RELATED TO SAFETY AND IN PARTICULAR I CARE VERY MUCH ABOUT AND ALSO THE FAIRNESS AND TRANSPARENCY OF PROCESS, I THINK TONIGHT AND AN EMAIL - I THINK WE ARE SUPPOSED TO BE FOCUSED JUST ON THE HBC ON THE REJECTION OF THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS, BUT I DO THINK SINCE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HOUSING THIS IS A MOMENT FOR US TO MAYBE RECOMMIT AND NOT MAYBE, BUT I'M CALLING TO RECOMMIT STRENGTHENING OUR INCLUSIONARY HOUSING ORDINANCE SO THAT WE CAN GET PROPOSALS OF 24 UNITS THAT GET AWAY WITH CONTRIBUTING TO OUR TRUST FUND AND WE DON'T GET ANY SET-ASIDES FOR AFFORDABILITY.

SO, THOSE ARE MY PRIMARY CONCERNS, BECAUSE WE NEED MORE HOUSING AND HOUSING IS A PRIORITY AND I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO SEE US PUT THE PARAMETERS IN PLACE SO THAT WE GET THE DEVELOPMENT THAT MOST SERVE OUR COMMUNITY AND THE HOUSING THAT WE NEED.

I KNOW WE ARE NOT MAKING A DECISION ON THAT TONIGHT, BUT I CANNOT HELP MYSELF.

SO, WITH THAT SAID I THINK THE OTHER SIDE THAT I WEIGHING IN ON HERE ARE THE REASONS FOR THE REJECTION LISTED IN THE REPORT AND THEY ALL PRETTY MUCH SEEM TO DEAL WITH THE SIZE OF THE PROPOSED BUILDING WHICH IS NOT ONE OF THE CONCERNS THAT I'M WEIGHING AROUND THIS DEVELOPMENT.

I DO NOT THINK THAT THAT IS THE PRIORITY AS OPPOSED TO THE OTHER THINGS I MENTIONED, BUT MOST IMPORTANTLY THE INCREASE OF HOUSING SUPPLY IN OUR COMMUNITY.

SO, THAT IS WHERE I'M AT RIGHT NOW.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ARE YOU CONTRIBUTE INTO THE DIALOGUE?

>>SPEAKER: (AWAY FROM MIC).

>>TRUSTEE EDER: YES, THIS IS VERY ENLIGHTENING TO HEAR THIS CONVERSATION PLAY OUT.

I AM A BIG FAN OF PROCESS AND I AM A BIG FAN OF LOGIC AND DETERMINISTIC OUTCOMES AND THIS FEELS LIKE THE PROCESS LIKE IT DOESN'T FEEL LIKE YOU KNOW WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO GET WHEN YOU GO THROUGH THIS PROCESS. ANYBODY, RIGHT?

THE FOLKS WERE TRYING TO BUILD THESE BUILDINGS AND THE FOLKS WHO ARE TRYING TO EVALUATE A SET OF CRITERIA AND IT HAS BEEN SAID MANY TIMES TONIGHT THAT THERE ARE SORT OF - THERE ARE LOTS OF ROOM FOR INTERPRETATION IN THIS PROCESS.

AND THAT JUST SEEMS REALLY FRUSTRATING.

IT SEEMS LIKE THE CAUSE OF A LOT OF FRUSTRATION.

AND SO I AM LEARNING THAT AND I'M VERY CURIOUS AND I THINK TRUSTEE WESLEY MENTIONED THAT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO REVISIT AND WHAT ARE THESE PROTOCOLS?

BECAUSE, I ALSO DO NOT LIKE WASTING PEOPLE'S TIME AND IT SEEMS LIKE THAT IS WHAT HAS HAPPENED HERE QUITE A BIT THROUGH THIS PROCESS.

SO, I'LL START WITH THAT JUST BECAUSE IT SEEMS LIKE THERE IS SOME WORK TO DO HERE THAT I THINK OF US AS A BOARD CAN HELP WAY IN, BUT OBVIOUSLY BOARD MEMBERS ON THE HBC AS WELL, YOU ARE LIVING THIS AS WELL AS THE FOLKS THAT ARE TRYING TO GET THROUGH THIS PROCESS.

THERE IS LOTS OF USE IT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION HERE.

AND SO I REALLY THINK WE SHOULD DO THAT, BECAUSE IT REALLY SHOULD NOT TAKE THIS LONG TO GET TO WHERE WE ARE RIGHT NOW AND AS TRUSTEE WESLEY ALSO MENTIONED, IF THIS EVEN PROCEEDS, THERE IS EVEN MORE WORK, RIGHT? AND IT IS JUST SUCH A WASTE OF TIME AND MONEY TO SPEND SO MUCH TIME ON THAT.

WHERE I AM SITTING AT IT ESPECIALLY LOOKING THROUGH A CLIMATE PERSPECTIVE DENSITY IS BETTER FOR THE CLIMATE AND HOUSING IS SOMETHING THAT WE NEED IN THIS VILLAGE AND THAT IS A THING THAT I AM WEIGHING MORE HEAVILY IN THIS SITUATION.

AND SO THAT IS WHERE I AM SITTING WITH THIS PARTICULAR DECISION IS THAT I THINK THE NEED FOR HOUSING IS THERE AND THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO BUILD MORE HOUSING.

TRUSTEE LEVING-JOHNSON ALSO MADE A POINT THAT WE ARE GETTING TO 24 UNITS, BUT 25 WOULD HAVE TRIGGERED SOME AFFORDABLE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

IF THIS DOES COME BACK TO US, I WOULD LOVE TO SEE AND HAVE SOME INPUT INTO THAT, BECAUSE WE DO NEED MORE HOUSING, NOT JUST LUXURY WHICH WAS MENTIONED FROM LOTS OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT TONIGHT.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

AND I KNOW THAT HAS COST IMPLICATIONS, BUT THAT IS WHAT WE ARE HERE TO DO. WE ARE HERE TO MAKE SURE THAT OAK PARK IS GROWING AND IS PROSPEROUS FOR ALL OF US AND FOR FOLKS THAT CANNOT AFFORD LUXURY APARTMENTS.

AND SO NOT REALLY A DECISION TONIGHT, BUT THAT IS SOMETHING TO KEEP IN MIND FOR THE FUTURE.

ALL RIGHT, THAT IS ME.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: GO AHEAD, BRIAN.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: IF THIS BUILDING HAD BEEN PROPOSED AND HAD BEEN BUILT IN THE PARKING LOT OF 1039 INSTEAD OF 1035, WOULD IT HAVE TRIGGERED HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION REVIEW?

1039 IS THE BUILDING NEXT DOOR.

IT IS THE OAK PARK REGIONAL HOUSING CENTER.

IT HAS A PARKING LOT ABOUT THE SAME SIZE IN THE BACK.

>>MIKE BRUCE: IT WOULD STILL BE WITHIN 350 FEET OF A LANDMARK AND STILL UNDER THE PURVIEW OF THE HBC TO REVIEW.

BUT, NOT ACOA.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: THEY WOULD HAVE A ADVISORY REVIEW BUT NOT ACOA AND IT WOULD NOT HAVE COME BEFORE US?

>>MIKE BRUCE: THAT IS CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: THIS IS ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I'M STRUGGLING WITH IS THAT IF IT HAD BEEN PROPOSED IN THE PARKING LOT NEXT DOOR IT WOULD HAVE REQUIRED ACOA AND IF IT HAD BEEN BUILT NOT JUST IN THE PARKING LOT BEHIND THE BUILDING NEXT DOOR, BUT LITERALLY NEXT DOOR IN 1039, THE BUILDING COME UP TO EACH OTHER, IT WOULD NOT HAVE REQUIRED A COA.

IT WOULD HAVE HAD A MUCH MORE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THE VISUAL OF THE LANDMARK AND IT WOULD HAVE HAD A MUCH MORE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON THAT STREETScape AND IT WOULD NOT HAVE REQUIRED A COA.

YOU KNOW ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THIS BUILDING THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT RIGHT NEXT TO THE BOULEVARD ARCADE, WE HAVE A COLLISION CENTER.

WE HAVE A AUTOBODY SHOP.

I DON'T - I HAVE DIFFICULTY RECONCILING THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION CONCERNS OF A BUILDING THAT IS SET BACK 85 FEET FROM THE STREET WITH THE FACT THAT WE HAVE AN AUTO BODY SHOP RIGHT NEXT DOOR TO THIS STRUCTURE.

AND SO I VALUE LIKE I THINK THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION DID A GREAT JOB APPLYING THE STANDARDS AND I THINK THAT THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION YOU KNOW GAVE ALL OF THE TIME AND ATTENTION THAT ONE WOULD HAVE HOPED TO THOSE STANDARDS AND I DO THINK THAT WHAT IS BEING REPLACED IS A PARKING LOT.

AND THE 2007 ADDITION FOR UTILITIES ON THE BACK OF THE BUILDING.

THE THING THAT IS BEING PRESERVED IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS WORTH THE PRESERVATION, IT IS THE ARCING LOT.

AND THE OPERA CLUB IS JUST AS DISRUPTIVE TO THE STREETScape AND TO THE MASSING AND TO ALL OF THE CONCERNS BEING DISCUSSED HERE, BUT BECAUSE IT IS NOT ON THAT LOT IT DID NOT REQUIRE ACOA WHEN IT WAS BUILT.

SO, I DO THINK THAT WE NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT HOW WE ADDRESS THESE CONCERNS BECAUSE THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION DID A EXCELLENT JOB OF APPLYING THE STANDARDS THEY WERE GIVEN AND IN THIS CONTEXT IT DID NOT SEEM TO RESULT IN A LOGICAL RESULT BECAUSE OF WHAT IS BEING PRESERVED IS A PARKING LOT.

I AM RESERVING ALL OF THESE PHOTOS - LIKELY PLAY DEVELOPMENT QUESTIONS FOR WHEN WE ARE ADDRESSING THOSE CONCERNS, BECAUSE FOLKS ARE RAISING CONCERNS ABOUT PARKING AND TRAFFIC AND THOSE KINDS OF THINGS AND IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE IS GOING TO BE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS ALL OF THAT, BUT I THINK WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE - THAT WE ARE HAVING THE REVIEW IN FRONT OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION ALIGNED WITH WHAT WE ARE LOOKING TO COME OUT OF THOSE, BECAUSE IF WE ARE REGULARLY IN THE POSITION OF OVERTURNING THOSE DECISIONS, THAT MEANS THAT WE AS A BOARD HAVE FAILED TO KEEP THAT ORDINANCE IN LINE WITH WHAT WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO ACHIEVE OUT OF THAT COMMISSION AND THAT IS A FAILURE ON OUR PART AND IT IS NOT A FAILURE ON THE PART OF THE COMMISSION AND THAT PROCESS.

I WOULD ALSO SAY AS WE ARE DISCUSSING THAT PROCESS POINT THAT THERE SHOULD BE A POSSIBILITY IF SOMEBODY MISSES A DEADLINE THAT THEY CAN REQUEST A WAIVER AND THE COMMISSION CAN GRANT A WAIVER OF THAT DEADLINE SO WE CAN GO DIRECTLY TO AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SO THAT WE ARE NOT CAUSING THE COMMISSION TO WASTE AND THE APPLICANT TO WASTE THEIR TIME GOING THROUGH TWO ADDITIONAL MEETINGS THAT EVERYONE UNDERSTANDS IS A BIT OF A SHOW JUST FOR LIKE I NEED TO COME AND APPEAR AND STAMP SOMETHING LIKE THEY ALREADY WENT THROUGH YOU KNOW A MEETING AND APPEAL AND THAT PUBLIC HEARING, WE CAN WAVE A DEADLINE AND THE COMMISSION CAN WAIVE THE DEADLINE TO ALLOW AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD IF THAT IS A PROCESS THAT WE BAKE INTO THAT ORDINANCE.

SO, I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE OUGHT TO CONSIDER IF THIS IS SOMETHING THAT IS HAPPENING WITH SOME FREQUENCY, BECAUSE I KNOW IF I WERE ON THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION THAT I WOULD BE TERRIBLY FRUSTRATED BY SITTING THROUGH TWO ADDITIONAL MEETINGS CONSIDERING THE SAME EXACT APPLICATION THAT HAD ALREADY BEEN REJECTED WHEN EVERYBODY THERE KNEW, APPLICANT AND COMMISSION THAT THE PURPOSE OF THOSE MEETINGS WAS JUST SETTING UP THE ULTIMATE APPEAL TO THE VILLAGE BOARD WHICH PROCEDURALLY THEY HAD DONE ONCE AND MISSED A DEADLINE FOR. ULTIMATELY I AM GOING TO BE VOTING TO OVERTURN THE DECISION OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE REASONS I STATED BEFORE, BUT I DO THINK AS WE GO THROUGH THE PLAN DEVELOPMENT PROCESS, I THINK THE NATURE OF THE DEVELOPMENT AND THAT IT IS RIGHT UP AGAINST THE MINIMUMS FOR CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING FUND AND AS WE ARE CONSIDERING WHAT THOSE CONCESSIONS THROUGH THE PLAN PROCESS - I WOULD LIKE TO SEE YOU KNOW SIGNIFICANT FUNDING TOWARD THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING FUND AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE CONSIDERATION REGARDING IMPROVEMENTS TO THE ALLEY THAT MAY BE NECESSARY TO SUPPORT THE FACT THAT ONE OF THE PRIMARY ENTRANCES IS GOING TO BE THROUGH THE ALLEY AND I WOULD LOVE TO MAYBE SEE - I WOULD LOVE TO SEE THEM CONTRIBUTING TO MAYBE SOME PUBLIC ART THAT COULD ALSO ALLOW FOR BIKE STORAGE.

LIKE LET'S BE THOUGHTFUL ABOUT WHAT WE CAN DO AS WE ARE CONSIDERING WHAT THE BACK-AND-FORTH AND THAT PROCESS WOULD LOOK LIKE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: REAL QUICK WHILE I WAS LISTENING TO FOLKS AND THINKING ABOUT THIS ONE - THERE IS A LOT OF PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM AND A LOT OF FULL FEAR WHO ARE LARGELY PROTESTING THE FACT THAT THE BUILDING IS RATHER LARGE COMPARED TO NOTHING BEING THERE.

OR WHAT THEY DESIRED TO BE THERE AND I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT THE APPLICANT HAD TO GO THROUGH A YEARS WORTH OF HEARINGS IN ORDER TO GET TO THIS POINT MAKES DEVELOPMENT MORE EXPENSIVE WHICH IS WHY YOU GET LARGE BUILDINGS, BECAUSE THE BUILDING HAS TO ACTUALLY BE FINANCIALLY VIABLE IN ORDER TO BE BUILT AND THE MORE TIME IT TAKES AND THE MORE EXPENSE THAT IS AND THAT MEANS YOU NEED MORE UNITS TO RECOUP THAT INVESTMENT.

IF WE MAY DEVELOPMENT EASIER HERE AND MORE CLEAR AND I'M NOT SAYING WE NEED TO ABOLISH ALL DEVELOPMENT CONCERNS, BUT WHAT I AM SAYING IS THAT IF IT WAS MORE CLEAR AND TRANSPARENT AND FOLKS KNEW WHAT THEY COULD BUILD OR AT LEAST HAD A REASONABLE UNDERSTANDING OF THAT, THEN THIS PROCESS COULD BE A LOT MORE SEAMLESS AND IT WOULD BE LESS EXPENSIVE AND THEN MAYBE A SMALLER BUILDING WOULD HELP AS WELL.

AND AS LONG AS YOU ARE IN THE SITUATION WHERE THIS BUILDING IS GOING TO TAKE TWO YEARS TO GET BUILT LIKE WHO KNOWS WHAT INTEREST RATES ARE GOING TO BE IN TWO YEARS?

WHO KNOWS WHAT MATERIAL COSTS ARE GOING TO BE SO ON AND SO FORTH. AND YOU GET TO THE POINT WHERE IN ORDER TO BUILD SOMETHING, YOU HAVE TO OVERBUILD IT TO COMPENSATE FOR THE FACT THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHEN YOU WILL GET APPROVED FOR IT.

AND ULTIMATELY YOU HAVE TO HAVE A PROFIT IF YOU WANT TO GET INVESTMENT. I'VE ALSO HEARD ABOUT THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND I REALLY SUPPORT THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND I DO WANT TO NOTE THAT IT IS REALLY INTERESTING ASKING SABBATICAL WILL BE GOING THROUGH A PROCESS FOR TWO YEARS TO THEN GIVE US THE MONEY BEHIND IT.

YOU KNOW I WOULD LIKE IT STILL, BUT I DO RECOGNIZE THE BIT OF AN ISSUE FOR ME THERE AT LEAST.

BUT, YES - I WOULD STILL LIKE TO SEE THAT HAPPEN, BUT I JUST WANTED TO KNOW THOSE TWO THINGS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, TRUSTEE ENYIA?

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: WE HAVE MADE IT HERE AND IT IS 9:30 PM.

I'LL TRY NOT TO TALK TOO MUCH SO I DON'T COUGH IT UP.

A LOT OF THINGS SAID I THINK I WHOLEHEARTEDLY AND IN SO MANY DIFFERENT WAYS AGREE WITH THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN SET ON BOTH SIDES AND I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO MEET WITH ROBERTA AND WE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO WALK THE BLOCK TO SEE WHAT SIGNIFICANT CHANGES WOULD BE MADE OVER TIME AND HOW IT CAN AFFECT THE RESIDENCE CURRENTLY LIVING IN THE AREA AND ALSO HOW THAT DENSITY WOULD AFFECT US ON THINGS LIKE THURSDAY NIGHT OUT AND

THINGS THAT WOULD BE IN THAT SAME AREA THAT WOULD CAUSE FOR ANY EXTREME AMOUNT OF CONFUSION DURING THOSE TIMES AND ALSO A REAL NAVIGATIONAL ISSUE.

THAT BLOCK - IT DOESN'T LOOK THE SAME AT ALL, BUT PEOPLE ARE CONTRIBUTING TO OUR COMMUNITY IN SUCH A VIBRANT AND NEEDED WAY AND I AM ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE THAT IS LIKE YES, IF WE ARE GETTING BUILDINGS THAT ACTUALLY CONTRIBUTE TO THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING FUND THEN I'M ALWAYS FOR IT AND THAT IS THE FIRST THING I ASKED, HEY, IS THIS CONTRIBUTING?

NO.

THEN IT WILL BE HARD TO GET MY VOTE BECAUSE I WANT TO SEE A SIGNIFICANT GROWTH IN OAK PARK, BUT NO STANDARDS HAVE TO BE IN THAT AND IT ALWAYS SEEMS LIKE DEVELOPERS COME UNDERNEATH OF THAT ALL OF THE TIME.

AND I DON'T THINK IF I SAID HEY, NOW IT IS AT 25 AND IF YOU SAY WE'RE GOING TO DO 30 THEN THEY SAY WE WILL DO 29.

I FEEL LIKE THIS IS ALWAYS THE PROBLEM AND I WOULD LOVE TO HAVE A GOOD RELATIONSHIP THERE, BUT I REMEMBER WHEN I WAS MOVING OUT OF MY APARTMENT AND I TOLD THEM THIS IS JUST NOT AFFORDABLE ANYWAY AND HE SAID WELL, SOMEBODY ELSE WILL MOVE IN THAT CAN AFFORD IT.

AND THAT IS A PROBLEM THAT WE ARE ALWAYS GOING TO FACE, BUT I DON'T WANT US TO NOT BE ABLE TO CONTROL OUR OWN DESTINY WHEN SITUATIONS ARISE.

I FEEL LIKE AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEEDS TO BE CONSISTENT AND IF WE ARE GOING TO GO INTO THIS DEVELOPMENT THAT THERE HAS TO BE SOME DEVELOPMENT THERE AND THE SAME THING GOES FOR OUR HISTORIC PRESERVATION.

I DON'T WANT TO HAVE A COMMISSION THAT DOESN'T HAVE A OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD AND WHEN THEY ARE HURT YOU KNOW IT IS TOLD THAT THE PROCESSES ARE WRONG AND THEY ARE JUST NAVIGATING THROUGH WHAT WE HAVE GIVEN THEM.

AND SO I DON'T WANT TO SEE THEIR HARD WORK DISCOUNTED AND I WOULD MUCH RATHER BRING US BACK SOMETHING THAT IS ACTUALLY WORKABLE.

AND I THINK WE TALKED ABOUT THE HEIGHT.

IF YOU ARE GOING TO BE BUILDING A BUILDING LIKE THIS AND THEN KNOWING THAT IT IS NEXT TO THIS HISTORIC AREA AND THEN CREATING SOMETHING THAT OVERSHADOWS THE REASON IT IS HISTORIC MINUTE MAKES IT HARD FOR PEOPLE TO ENJOY THE BEAUTY.

SO, I UNDERSTAND WHAT PEOPLE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

IT IS NOT ALWAYS WHAT IS JUST GOING INTO THE MATERIALS AND WHERE IT IS LOCATED, THERE ARE SO MANY OTHER FACTORS THAT GET BROUGHT INTO AND THAT IS WHY YOU HAVE ALL OF THESE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION LOOKING AT THIS IN SUCH A WAY.

I TRULY BELIEVE THAT THEY PUT AN EXORBITANT AMOUNT OF EFFORT INTO SEEING THIS SO MANY TIMES AND ROBERTA KEPT ASKING ME LIKE WHAT IS THE ACTUAL PROCESS I JUST WANT TO KNOW WHAT IT IS SO WE CAN UNDERSTAND HOW TO PREPARE FOR SOMETHING LIKE THIS.

AND I THINK THAT THAT IS SOMETHING THAT IS NOT JUST FAIR, BUT IT SHOULD BE DEMANDED SO IT IS SOMETHING THAT THEY UNDERSTAND EVERY TIME SOMETHING LIKE THIS IS COMING TO OAK PARK AND IT IS NOT A GUESSING GAME FOR THE COMMUNITY TO JUST SAY WELL, THIS IS JUST WHAT THE VILLAGE DOES AND SO YOU SHOULD UNDERSTAND THAT.

IT HAS TO BE SOMETHING THAT IS CONSISTENT AND ALL THE TIME.

BUT, IT DOES NEED TO BE REVIEWED IN A WAY THAT ALLOWS FOR US TO SAY OKAY THIS IS WHAT IT NEEDS TO BE MOVING FORWARD AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD BE ADDRESSING, AND I DON'T THINK AT LEAST IN MY MIND THAT I CAN MOVE FORWARD WITH THING LIKE THIS THAT HAS NOT MET THE STANDARDS THAT I HAVE BEEN ASKED AND I THINK I WOULD NEED SOMETHING THAT REACHES THOSE STANDARDS, BUT ALSO SOMETHING THAT REACHES OUR ACTUAL HOUSING NEEDS AND GOALS AND I DON'T THINK THAT REACHES OUR GOAL.

I THINK WE WANT TO SEE MORE AND IN A WAY THAT MAKES IT MORE AFFORDABLE AND I HEAR THE ARGUMENTS THAT JUST ADDING IT WILL MAKE THAT HAPPEN, BUT I WOULD MUCH RATHER BE ADDING A SUSTAINABLE FUND FOR THE FUTURE AND SO THAT IS WHERE I SIT WITH IT AND I DO APPRECIATE THAT BOTH SIDES SHOW UP AND NOW YOU PROBABLY UNDERSTAND ME A LITTLE BIT MORE, BECAUSE I HAVE SAID THIS ABOUT THE HOUSING DENSITY AND I AM OKAY TO CONTINUE TO BUILD UP IF WE ARE BUILDING IN A WAY THAT MAKES OAK PARK MORE AFFORDABLE TO OTHERS AND ALSO CONTRIBUTES TO THAT FUND AND I HAVE SET IT SINCE DAY ONE AND THAT IS KIND OF WHERE I WILL BE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THANK YOU.

I WILL TRY TO CLOSE US OUT QUICKLY.

SO, IT DOES SOUND LIKE WE DO HAVE A MAJORITY OF THE BOARD THAT WILL BE VOTING TO OVERTURN THE DECISION OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION WHICH IS REALLY NEVER THE WAY THAT WE START THESE THINGS OUT WANTING TO DO.

WE VALUE AND I VALUE THE PARTICIPANTS AND WHAT THEY BRING TO ANY AND ALL DIALOGUE AND BELIEVE THE HISTORICAL BUILDINGS ARE ASSETS THAT WE DO HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO PRESERVE AND I ALSO BELIEVE THAT AS WAS CONTRIBUTED BY THE PRESENTATION FROM LANDMARK ILLINOIS AND THE SPEAKER SERIES LAST YEAR FROM HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION THAT BUILDINGS WERE MEANT FOR PEOPLE.

BUILDINGS ARE MEANT TO - AND I HAVE SPOKEN WITH THE CHAIR OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION ABOUT CONSIDERING WORKING THROUGH WHAT IS A RELEVANCY GUIDEBOOK.

MY COLLEAGUES HAVE HEARD ME MENTION THIS AT LEAST THREE TIMES AND SO I HOPE TO SEE IT ACTUALLY PROCEED, BECAUSE I DO THINK THAT WHEN PEOPLE FEEL THEY CONTRIBUTE IS NOT BEING HEARD THEN THAT IS GOING TO INEVITABLY GOING TO LEAD TO FRUSTRATION WHETHER IT BE A DEVELOPER OR MEMBERS OF ANY COMMISSION OR OURSELVES OR OUR STAFF.

AND I DO THINK OUR PROCESS IS ACTUALLY EXTREMELY LOGICAL.

IT IS JUST THAT I THINK THAT THERE ARE THINGS WE CAN TWEAK.

IT WAS MENTIONED AND I AGREE WITH MY COLLEAGUES THAT IF THERE IS A DEADLINE MISSED, BUT THE SAME MATERIAL WAS VERY MUCH PRESENTED PREVIOUSLY, THEN THERE SHOULD BE SOME PROCESS TO NOT HAVE TO MAKE HUMAN BEINGS GO THROUGH THE SAME THING JUST FOR THE SAKE OF GOING THROUGH THE SAME THING.

BUT, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE IN THAT LAST APPEAL PROCESS THAT IT WAS ACTUALLY HELPFUL TO HEAR EXPLAINED WHY ONCE THERE IS A DENIAL THAT THERE WOULD STILL BE A ADDITIONAL APPEAL WITH THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND MORE DIALOGUE TO ACTUALLY TRY TO ADDRESS WHETHER CONCERNS ARE.

I DO THINK I ALSO AGREE WITH WHAT I HAD HEARD FROM MY COLLEAGUES THAT THERE IS A LOT OF DISCRETION IN TERMS OF INTERPRETATION AND INEVITABLY A VILLAGE BOARD IS GOING TO REMAIN THE FINAL APPEAL ON THINGS THAT WE ARE NOT THE EXPERT OR AN IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE TO ACCEPT AS ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT IT STOPS HERE.

AND WHEN WE ARE LOOKING AT THESE THINGS WE ARE ALSO INEVITABLY AS YOU CAN INTERPRET FROM WHAT YOU HAVE HEARD TONIGHT GOING TO BE THINKING ABOUT THEM FROM OUR OVERALL GOALS, NOT JUST THE PURVIEW OF THE GUIDELINES OF ANYONE COMMISSION.

BUT, THAT DOES NOT MEAN THAT WE DO NOT STILL VALUE WHAT THOSE COMMISSIONS BRING FORWARD, BECAUSE THIS PROCESS IS NOT DONE TONIGHT. AND SO IF THIS IS OVERTURNED AND THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS GOES FORWARD, THEN THERE IS STILL A COMMISSION PROCESS THAT IS STILL WITH A EARLY ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW AGAIN AND NOW WITH SOME MORE INFORMATION, RIGHT?

AND NOW HOPEFULLY WITH SOME MORE INFORMATION.

AND THERE IS STILL A REQUIREMENT FOR MEETING WITH NEIGHBORS.

THERE IS STILL A REQUIREMENT FOR THE PRESENTATION TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND NO WE DO NOT WANT IT TO TAKE A YEAR AND HOPEFULLY IT DOESN'T TAKE A YEAR AND HOPEFULLY IT IS COMING BACK TO US IN A FAIRLY EXPEDIENT MANNER AND I WOULD LOVE TO KNOW IF THERE IS ANY ESTIMATE WHAT THE TIMELINE WOULD BE AFTER WE TAKE THIS VOTE TONIGHT AND JUST FOR OUR INFORMATION AND FOR THE APPLICANT IN PUBLIC.

NO GUARANTEES - I UNDERSTAND.

BUT, THAT PLAN COMMISSION PROCESS DOES NOT HAVE THIS NEED FOR MANY MANY APPEALS.

IT WILL COME STRAIGHT BACK TO US IF NECESSARY.

AND IN ITSELF SHOULD JUST MEAN THERE IS A FINAL DECISION.

BUT, THIS MOMENT AND THIS TIME IS THAT IT IS STILL APPROPRIATE FOR US TO COME BACK TO US RIGHT NOW, BECAUSE HONESTLY IF WE WERE NOT INTERESTED IN ENTERTAINING THIS CONVERSATION FURTHER IT WOULD BE THE MOST APPROPRIATE THING FOR US TO AGREE WITH THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND GO FORWARD, BUT REALLY THE VOTE TONIGHT IS SAYING WE ARE WILLING TO AT LEAST ENTERTAIN THIS CONVERSATION FURTHER AND WORK WITH THE DEVELOPER AND WE ARE NOT SEEING NO POSSIBILITY FOR A FUTURE HERE.

BUT, THAT IS NOT TO SAY THE CONCERNS OF THE RESIDENTS WILL NOT STILL BE FACTORED IN, OKAY?

AND THEN I ALSO AGREE THAT AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND LOOKING AT THAT IHO IS A CONVERSATION THAT IS YOU KNOW ON THE DOCKET AS BEING COMMUNICATED BEFORE.

AND THAT PROCESS WHEN VARIANCES ARE REQUESTED, THEN COMPENSATING BENEFITS ARE GRANTED AND COMPENSATING BENEFITS CAN INCLUDE EVERYTHING THAT HAS BEEN MENTIONED AND ARTWORK REQUIRED.

AND SO WE YOU KNOW THIS WAS A UNFORTUNATE YOU KNOW MORE MEETINGS THAN WHAT WAS NECESSARY.

AND I WOULD HOPE TO NOT SEE THIS AGAIN.

AND SO I AM GOING TO AGREE WITH MY COLLEAGUES AND VOTE TO OVERTURN AND MY REASONS ARE THAT YOU KNOW I TOOK GREAT TIME INTO LISTENING TO THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND INITIALLY THERE WERE SOME COMPLIMENTS TO THE DESIGN AND I WAS NOT NECESSARILY SURE WHY SOME OF THOSE COMPLIMENTS IN THE DESIGN HAPPEN.

SO, I DO SEE SOME EFFORT MADE IN TWO ACTUALLY COMPLEMENT THE GREATER AREA.

AND MAYBE MY COMFORT FOR HIGH IS A LITTLE BIT GREATER, BUT THIS IS 10 STORIES AND NOT WHAT WE HAVE SEEN ON BIGGER LOFT.

AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION PROCESS MAY PUSH BACK AND I TRUST THAT PROCESS TO SEE IF THAT IS A POSSIBILITY.

THERE IS A LOT OF PARKING ON THERE.

MAYBE THE COMMISSION PROCESS IS GOING TO PUSH BACK ON THE NUMBER OF PARKING AND I ALSO FREQUENT THE DOWNTOWN OAK PARK AREA AND BY VOTING BY PEER REVIEW ALMOST EVERY YEAR IT IS ALMOST ON MY BIRTHDAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND I USED 1133 GARAGE AND IT WAS LIKE EMPTY.

THERE WERE THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE IN DOWNTOWN OAK PARK FOR MICROBREWERY REVIEW AND 1133 GARAGE WAS EMPTY.

I WOULD HIGHLY ENCOURAGE MORE PEOPLE TO UTILIZE THESE GARAGES THAT ARE ALREADY THERE.

AND SO WHEN WALKING DOWN THE IDEA OF PRESERVING SO, FIRST OF ALL AND MAYBE JIM - I MAY BE THE ONLY PERSON AT THE TABLE THAT HAS EVER BEEN IN A ARCADE BUILDING BEFORE IT WAS RESTORED, ANYONE?

YES?

NO?

IT WAS TERRIBLE.

IT WAS AN ABSOLUTE.

>>SPEAKER: DUMP.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

AND TODAY IT IS GORGEOUS.

AND TODAY IT WAS REALLY CLOSE TO A POTENTIAL FOR DEMOLITION BY NEGLECT AND SO THE INVESTORS THAT ARE BEFORE US TODAY EARLY WORK TO PRESERVE THAT BUILDING BEYOND ANYTHING THAT I COULD EVER DREAM.

IT IS GORGEOUS.

IT IS GORGEOUS.

SO, I DO TRUST THAT THEY WILL CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND OUR STAFF TO BRING US SOMETHING THAT YOU KNOW WILL BE A CONTINUED ASSET TO THIS VILLAGE.

AND I WOULD ALSO HOPE THAT THEY HAVE HEARD THE COMMENTS OF THE BOARD TONIGHT ON COMPENSATING.

AND SO THERE IS OTHER THINGS THAT CAN BE A PART OF BENEFITS LIKE SOLAR PANELS AND GREEN ROOFS AND THIS IS PART OF THE PROCESS.

AND THE ARCADE BUILDING THAT IS NOW GORGEOUS WILL OTHERWISE REMAIN COMPLETELY INTACT AND WHEN YOU WALK DOWN THE STREET AND YOU TAKE IN THIS HISTORICAL ASPECT, YOU ARE NOT GOING TO EVEN SEE THE NEW BUILDING EVEN IF IT REMAINS AT THE HEIGHT THAT IT IS, BECAUSE THE DIAGONAL YOU KNOW YOU DO NOT SEE IT.

AND SO I AM NOT GOING TO CLAIM THAT I LOVE EVERYTHING ABOUT THE BUILDING. THIS IS WHAT THIS VOTE IS HAVING THE PROCESS CONTINUE FORWARD, OKAY?

AND SO WITH THAT I WOULD ASK FOR CLERK WATERS TO PLEASE TAKE THE VOTE.

>>SPEAKER: WE NEED TO OFFER AMENDMENT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WE WERE JUST ABOUT THE OTHER WAY.

>>SPEAKER: WE NEED A MOTION ON THE FLOOR AND SO MAY I STATE THE MOTION THAT I BELIEVE THE BOARD IS IN SUPPORT OF?

I DO NOT BELIEVE THERE IS A PENDING MOTION.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: THERE WAS A MOTION ON THE TWO OF US THAT IT WAS ON THE AGENDA AND SO I OFFER A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO MAKE THE MOTION TO ADOPT THE ORDINANCE REVERSING THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: VERY GOOD, BECAUSE THEY DID PROVIDE A EXAMPLE, CORRECT?

AND SO THAT WORKS, CORRECT?

>>SPEAKER: IT WOULD BE A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO GRANT THE APPEAL AND OVERTURNED THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION AND ADOPT THE ORDINANCE GRANTING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I MOVE WITHOUT FRIENDLY AMENDMENT AND I VOTE TO SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: NOW YOU'RE FREE TO VOTE IN THE AFFIRMATIVE IF YOU ARE LOOKING FOR THE PROJECT TO ADVANCE.

>>CLERK WATERS: TRUSTEE STRAW, TRUSTEE WESLEY, TRUSTEE EDER, TRUSTEE ENYIA, TRUSTEE LEVING-JOHNSON, TRUSTEE TAGLIA, PRESIDENT SCAMAN?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU FOR ALL OF THE NEIGHBORS THAT HAVE COME OUT TO EXPRESS YOUR CONCERNS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO MEMBERS OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND CONGRATULATIONS TO THE DEVELOPERS.

THANK YOU.

THAT SOUNDS LIKE A GREAT IDEA.

(INDISTINCT CHATTER)  
MICHAEL, WHY ARE YOU HERE FOR?

(INDISTINCT CHATTER)  
I AM GLAD YOU ARE HERE, I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE YOU DIDN'T NEED TO SAY SOMETHING.  
OKAY.  
ALL RIGHT.  
THANK YOU.  
THANK YOU.

WE HAVE TWO MORE ITEMS ON THE AGENDA THAT I THINK IF WE ARE EFFICIENT AND HAVE READ THE AGENDA AS IT HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO US, MAYBE WE CAN DO THE CONSOLIDATED VERSION OF PRESENTATION HERE AND KIND OF GO QUICKLY TO OTHER PEOPLE HAVE QUESTIONS AND THEN REMEMBER WHAT WE LEARNED IN OUR EFFICIENCY MEETING OF STATING WHERE WE ARE AT AND THEN VOTING AND THAT WOULD BE GREAT.  
AND SO I WILL ASK AT THIS MORE CONVENIENT TIME FOR A MOTION TO MOVE BEYOND 10 PM.

>>SPEAKER: MOVED.  
>>SPEAKER: SECOND.  
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)  
AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THANK YOU.  
LOVELY TO SEE YOU.  
VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON.  
>>MANAGER JACKSON: THANK YOU, LINDSAY, CHIEF SUSTAINABILITY OFFICER.  
>>SPEAKER: THIS ITEM IS ABOUT EXTENDING THE AGREEMENT WITH MC SQUARED FOR OUR COMMUNITY CHOICE PROGRAM AND I WILL PASS IT OVER TO MARK PRUITT, OUR CONSULTANT TO GIVE A LITTLE BIT MORE BACKGROUND.  
>>MARK PRUITT: .GOOD EVENING.

IN THE INTEREST OF EFFICIENCY - LOOK AT THAT.  
MY NAME IS MARK PRUITT, THE NAME OF MY CONSULTING FIRM IS ILLINOIS COMMUNITY CHOICE AGGREGATION NETWORK OR I CAN, I HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH THE VILLAGE BOARD ON YOUR MUNICIPAL AGGREGATION OR COMMUNITY CHOICE AGGREGATION PROGRAM.  
IN A NUTSHELL, FOR THOSE NOT FAMILIAR, COMMUNITY, THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK HAS FOR THE PAST 14 YEARS BEEN OPERATING A PROGRAM WHERE THE VILLAGE MANAGES ELECTRICITY PURCHASING FOR ITS RESIDENTS AND SMALL COMMERCIAL ACCOUNTS.  
HERE IS YOUR TIMELINE.  
THERE IS YOUR CHARACTERISTICS.  
NOT TOO MUCH HAS CHANGED.

WHAT HAS CHANGED AND THE REASON I'M HERE THIS EVENING IS THAT WITH THE ASSISTANCE AND GUIDANCE OF STAFF, WE HAVE ISSUED A FRESH SOLICITATION TO IDENTIFY INTERESTED BIDDERS AND POTENTIAL SUPPLIERS FOR THE VILLAGE CCA PROGRAM.

YOU SEE HERE SOME OF THE PARAMETERS.

CURRENTLY, STARTING IN THE STATUS, YOUR CURRENT AGREEMENT IS WITH MC SQUARED ENERGY SERVICES AND EXTENDS THROUGH DECEMBER OF THIS YEAR. WE ARE GETTING A HEAD START ON THIS SO THAT YOU HAVE AMPLE TIME TO MAKE DECISIONS.

YOUR CURRENT CONTRACT STRUCTURE IS CALLED A PRICE MATCH WITH MUNICIPAL CONTRIBUTION.

THAT IS WHERE THE PRICE OFFERED BY THE SUPPLIER IS THE SAME AS THE COMMENT PRICE.

AND THERE IS A MUNICIPAL CONTRIBUTION FROM THE SUPPLIER TO THE MUNICIPALITY.

THE TIMELINE, WE ISSUED SOLICITATION IN AUGUST AND WE HAD ONE FIRM RESPOND AND WE EXTENDED THE BIDDING TIME IN HOPES OF GETTING MORE RESPONSES AND NO ADDITIONAL RESPONSES WERE RECEIVED.

THE ONE RESPONSE WAS FROM MC SQUARED ENERGY SERVICES AND THE SOLICITATION REQUESTED PRICING FOR 12, 24 AND 36 MONTH OFFERS AND CERTIFICATION OF HIGH LEVELS OF CUSTOMER SERVICE AND WE ASK FOR FIXED-PRICE AS WELL AS THE PRICE MATCHED OPTION AND I JUST NOTE DOWN THERE THAT THE CURRENT COMMENT RATE IS JUST A HAIR OVER \$0.10 PER KILOWATT HOUR.

WE DID ASK ALSO FOR SOME BIDDING ALTERNATES FOR SUSTAINABILITY.

CARBON OFFSETS, DIRECT SOURCE, RENEWABLES, THE DEFINITIONS OF THOSE ARE ON THE NEXT PAGE AND I AM NOT GOING TO READ THEM TO YOU.

AND THEN ALSO THE MUNICIPAL CONTRIBUTOR.

THE RECOMMENDATION IS TO ACCEPT THE OFFER FROM MC SQUARED ENERGY SERVICES.

THE MORE DETAILED RECOMMENDATION IS TO ACCEPT THE COMMON PRICE MATCH OPTION AS THE FIXED-PRICE MATCH OPTION WAS ABOVE THE RATE.

WE RECOMMEND A 36 MONTH CONTRACT WITH MC SQUARED AS PART OF THE CONTRACT THERE IS A NO PENALTY TERMINATION THAT THE VILLAGE MAY EXERCISE WITH SIX MONTHS NOTICE.

AND SO THE THINKING IS GO AHEAD AND GET THE MAXIMUM AND UTILIZE THE PROJECT OR THE SERVICES AS LONG AS YOU WOULD LIKE AND YOU CAN ALWAYS TERMINATE WITH SIX MONTHS NOTICE.

AND THE SUSTAINABILITY OPTIONS, THIS IS NOT NECESSARILY GREEN ENERGY OR THE LIKE, HOWEVER AS PART OF THE MC SQUARED OFFER THERE WAS A OFFER FOR MUNICIPAL CONTRIBUTION EQUAL TO WHAT WE CALL IN THE INDUSTRY ONE MILL OR THE EQUIVALENT OF ONE DOLLAR AVAILABLE PER HOUR OVER THE 001 DOLLARS PER KILOWATT HOUR WITH A MINIMUM OF \$100,000 PER YEAR ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION TO THE VILLAGE.

THAT WOULD BE THE VILLAGE MONEY.

YOU CAN USE THAT FOR YOUR SUSTAINABILITY PROGRAMMING.

THERE ARE NO STRINGS ATTACHED.

JUST HOW THINGS HAVE WORKED IN THE PAST.

SO, THAT IS BETTER THAN WHERE WE HAVE BEEN IN THE PAST FEW YEARS, THIS IS LARGELY DUE TO THE MARKETING CONDITIONS AND THIS EXERCISE IS ALWAYS VALUABLE TO GET A FRESH VIEW OF WHAT IS AVAILABLE IN THE MARKET AND POSSIBLY SEEING IMPROVEMENT WHICH IS WHAT YOU SEE RIGHT HERE.

SO, I APPRECIATE YOUR TIME AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND I AM AVAILABLE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS OR AS I HAVE ALWAYS TRIED TO MAKE CLEAR WITH STAFF THAT I'M HAPPY TO COME BACK ANYTIME IF YOU WANT A MINICOURSE ON CCA.

SO, THANK YOU, VERY MUCH.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ALWAYS APPRECIATED, THANK YOU.

I SEE BRIAN AND JIM.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: DO WE HAVE A ESTIMATE OF WHETHER WE MAY GET MORE THAN THAT MINIMUM OF 100,000 MEGAWATT HOURS WE ARE LIKELY TO BE CONSUMED BY RESIDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS PLAN?

>>MARK PRUITT: WE HAVE A EXPECTATION RIGHT NOW BASED ON THE PROJECTIONS WE HAVE MADE IS THAT THE FIRST YEAR WHICH IS WHERE WE HAVE THE GREATEST CLARITY IN TERMS OF PROJECTIONS IS SOMEWHERE IN THE \$110-\$120,000 RANGE.

THAT IS SUBJECT TO FINAL ENROLLMENTS AND THE LIKE.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: OKAY, THANK YOU.

I AM IN FAVOR.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: JUST A FEW QUESTIONS.

SO, CURRENTLY WE ARE GETTING 2500 A MONTH WHICH IS \$0.50 FOR EACH ACCOUNT UP TO 5000 ACCOUNTS, HOW DOES THAT ACCOUNT?

WE HAVE 30,000 I CAN SEE ON THAT, BUT THIS OTHER PART, ANY SIGNIFICANCE?

>>SPEAKER: WE ARE AVERAGING AROUND \$5700 A MONTH AND IN 2024 THAT WAS 77,000 FOR THE YEAR.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: YEARS AGO WHEN THE MARKET WAS DIFFERENT WE USED TO GET 30 OR 40,000 A MONTH AND THAT IS AN ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY, BUT THAT IS NO LONGER THE CASE DUE TO MARKET CONDITIONS.

AND I JUST HAD A QUESTION, BRIAN ASKED ONE QUESTION WHICH IS WHAT DID WE END UP WITH AS THE ESTIMATION AND THEN ALSO JUST A CURIOSITY LIKE THE 100,000 WE ARE GOING FROM 77 UP TO 100,000, WHERE IS THAT MONEY COMING FROM?

IF WE ARE MASHING THE PRICE, IT IS NOT OUT OF THE KINDNESS OF MC SQUARED HEART IS IT?

WHO PAYS FOR THAT?

>>MARK PRUITT: THEY ARE GOOD PEOPLE.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: I'M SURE THEY ARE GOOD PEOPLE.

>>MARK PRUITT: REALLY IT IS A FUNCTION OF AS YOU MENTIONED THE MARKET CONDITIONS.

SO - EVERY ACCOUNT IN OAK PARK HAS A COST TO SERVE, EVEN IF THEY ARE IDENTICAL HOUSES NEXT DOOR TO EACH OTHER, THE VOLUME OF ELECTRICITY AND THE TIMING OF THE USE WILL DETERMINE THE COST TO PROVIDE ELECTRICITY TO THAT ACCOUNT.

SO, SOME ACCOUNTS HAVE A LOW COST TO SERVE AND OTHERS HAVE A HIGHER COST.

MARKET CONDITIONS IN THE PAST FEW YEARS HAVE BEEN SUCH THAT THERE HAVE BEEN RELATIVELY FEWER LOWER COST TO SERVE ACCOUNTS AND THAT IS A FUNCTION OF CAPACITY, TRANSMISSION AND ENERGY CHARGES.

WE CAN GET INTO A LOT OF ALGEBRA THERE.

BUT, SUFFICE TO SAY THAT WITH THE INCREASE IN CAPACITY RATES THAT STARTED IN JUNE, THAT HAS CHANGED AND NOW THERE ARE MORE ACCOUNTS, MANY MORE ACCOUNTS IN THE VILLAGE THAT CAN BE SERVED AT A RATE THAT IS TECHNICALLY LOWER THAN THE COMMON RIGHT.

ALL THE MC SQUARED IS DOING IS IDENTIFYING THOSE ACCOUNTS THAT CAN BE SERVED AT A RATE LESS THAN COMMENT AND CHARGING THE COMMENT RATE AND BOOKING THE VALUE, THE HEADROOM BETWEEN THOSE TWO ELEMENTS AND THEN SHARING THAT REVENUE WITH THE MUNICIPALITY.

IT IS A REVENUE SHARE PROGRAM.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: SO, IT IS COMING OUT OF THE PROFIT OF MC SQUARED AND NOT OUT OF THE POCKET OF THE TAXPAYER?

>>MARK PRUITT: CORRECT.

OKAY, THAT IS WHAT I WAS LOOKING FOR.

LAST QUESTION IS WE USED TO GET AND IT IS VERY CONVOLUTED AND I HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING FOR YEARS AND TRYING TO DETERMINE WHAT MY BILL IS MONTH-TO-MONTH AND IS VERY DIFFICULT AND I ALMOST THINK THAT SOMETIMES IT IS BY DESIGN QUITE HONESTLY BECAUSE IT MAKES IT HARD TO DETERMINE.

MY BILL FROM ONE MONTH TO ANOTHER I CANNOT EVEN TELL WHAT I'M PAYING.

BUT, WE USED TO GET 5% BACK, IS THAT STILL THE CASE?

THERE WAS A DIFFERENTIAL ON OUR BILLS AT ONE TIME.

>>MARK PRUITT: THAT WAS VERY EARLY IN THE PROGRAM WHEN THE STRUCTURE OF THE CONTRACT WAS A FIXED-PRICE.

AND WE WERE ABLE TO AGAIN BASED ON MARKET CONDITIONS SECURE A SUPPLY PRICE, A FIXED SUPPLY PRICE THAT WAS A GUARANTEED LOWER RATE THEN COMMENT.

THAT MAY BE WHAT YOU ARE -

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: UP UNTIL RECENTLY I WAS GETTING A LETTER TELLING ME HOW MUCH I HAD SAVED UNTIL LAST YEAR.

AND IT WOULD SAY HOW MUCH MONEY AND PARTICIPATING IN THE AGGREGATION PROGRAM SAVED ME PERSONALLY AND THAT IS A LONGER THE CASE.

WE ARE JUST FLAT DEAD ON EVEN WITH THE COMMENT RATE.

>>MARK PRUITT: THAT IS CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: SO, THE BENEFIT IS FOR THE ENERGY SELECTION CHOICE THAT WE HAVE AND ITS ABILITY TO BE MORE SUSTAINABLE, CORRECT?

WOULD THAT BE -

>>MARK PRUITT: I THINK THAT IS CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: VERY GOOD, THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU, DEREK, PLEASE GO PEERS TO BE A COUPLE QUESTIONS, I AM IN SUPPORT.

FIRST THING - I THINK IT MAKES SENSE TO GO FOR THE 36 MONTH AGREEMENT AND THE FACT THAT THERE IS A SIX MONTH CANCELLATION OPTION SEEMS GOOD. THE VALUE OF GOING FOR THE 36 MONTH IS THAT WE ARE LOCKING IN THAT KILOWATT PER HOUR PRICE, IS THAT CORRECT, IS THAT ONE OF THE BENEFITS OF IT?

>>MARK PRUITT: THERE IS NO FIXED PRICE BECAUSE THE PRICE WILL FLOAT. YOU ARE JUST SECURING THE SERVICE FOR THAT MAXIMUM WINDOW OF 36 MONTHS AND YOU CAN ALWAYS COORDINATE IF YOU DETERMINE THAT YOU HAVE A BETTER OPTION IN MARKET.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: THAT LEADS TO MY NEXT QUESTION, WE HAVE ONLY ONE BID BACK AND WE TRIED TO MAKE SOMETHING WITH IT AND GET MORE - ANY THOUGHTS AS TO WHY THAT HAPPENED?  
FIRST TIME AROUND?

WHEN WE HAVE BEEN SHOPPING AROUND PREVIOUSLY AND YOU KNOW CAN YOU JUST SHARE YOUR THOUGHTS ON WHAT IS BEHIND THAT?

>>MARK PRUITT: I THINK IN THE GENERAL MARKETPLACE WE HAVE SEEN A REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF FIRMS THAT ARE ACTIVE SUPPLIERS IN THE SECTOR. THAT IS THROUGH CONSOLIDATION AND REFOCUSING TOWARDS MORE COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL SECTORS BY A NUMBER OF SUPPLIERS, YOU'RE MORE PROFITABLE.

ADDITIONALLY I THINK THAT A NUMBER OF SUPPLIERS WILL BE REACHED OUT TO INDICATING THAT THEY WERE ONLY IN A POSITION TO OFFER A FIXED RATE AS OPPOSED TO THE FLOATING RATE STRUCTURE AND WE ARE NOT CONFIDENT THAT THEY COULD PRESENT A PRICE THAT WAS APPRECIABLY LOWER THAN THE COMMON RATE AND SO THEREFORE THEY FAILED THAT AND NO ONE WOULD EVER SAY THIS, BUT IT WAS NOT WORTH THEIR TIME TO TRY TO PUT TOGETHER AN OFFER. WE ENCOURAGE THEM THAT ALL OFFERS ARE WELCOME, BUT IN THE END THEY WERE NOT THE BEST MANAGERS OF THEIR TIME.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: OKAY, THANK YOU.

AND THAT LEADS TO MY LAST QUESTION WHICH IS THAT I THINK I READ THE MC SQUARED WAS ACQUIRED BY IGS ENERGY?  
RECENTLY?

IN THE LAST YEAR, IS THAT CORRECT?

>>MARK PRUITT: THAT IS CORRECT.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: THAT COMPANY HAS LIKE A SPOTTY HISTORY FROM WHAT I HAVE OBSERVED THERE IS PEOPLE WANDERING AROUND THE VILLAGE AND I THINK THE VILLAGE ISSUED A STATEMENT ABOUT THIS THAT THEY'RE TRYING TO GET PEOPLE TO SIGN UP FOR CLEAN ENERGY OR GREEN ENERGY AND THEN IT ENDS UP BEING JUST BEING AWAY FOR THEM TO LIKE PADUA BILL AND SKIM A LITTLE BIT OF

MONEY OFF OF YOU AND THEN THEY ASK YOU TO SEE LIKE JUST SHOW ME YOUR ACCOUNT NUMBER AND THEY CAME TO MY HOUSE SEVERAL TIMES, SHOW ME YOUR ACCOUNT NUMBER AND THEN IT IS EASY TO JUST SIGN THIS THING RIGHT HERE. VERY SKETCHY AND I SAID NO TO AND I TOLD MY NEIGHBORS TO SAY NO TO IT BECAUSE I LOOK THEM UP ON THE BETTER BUSINESS BUREAU AND LOTS OF COMPLAINTS.

SO, THAT IS THE SAME IGS ENERGY THAT BOUGHT MC SQUARED, IS THERE CONCERN ABOUT THAT PARENT COMPANY AND YOU MENTIONED CONSOLIDATION. DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERN AND OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE THE SIX-MONTH OPT OUT IF THINGS GO SOUTH, BUT DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS WITH THAT?

>>MARK PRUITT: I DO NOT.

LARGELY BASED ON MY UNDERSTANDING THAT MC SQUARED OPERATIONS ARE NOT BEING FOLDED INTO THE OVERALL IGS OPERATION.

IT IS A TRANSFER, BUT THE MANAGEMENT WITH CHUCK SUTTON AND SHARON ALIOTO CONTINUES HERE AND REMAINS MOVING FORWARD.

THE OTHER ISSUE IS THAT IGS AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THEIR PRIMARY MARKET APPROACH IS DOOR-TO-DOOR AND FORTUNATELY OR NOT THEY WILL CONTINUE DOING THAT.

WE HAVE ALERTED THEM THAT THAT SENDS A VERY MIXED MESSAGE TO OUR COMMUNITIES AND OUR COMMUNITY LEADERS AND I BELIEVE THAT THAT MESSAGE IS GOING TO BE EMPHASIZED AGAIN TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: AND IF THEY ARE WATCHING AND THEY WANT TO CONTINUE TO BE - I MEAN I WOULD LOVE TO SEE THEM FOLLOW THROUGH ON THAT.

>>MARK PRUITT: WE MAY WANT TO ROLL THE TAPE.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: THAT IS MY LAST THING.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: BRIAN.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: YOU RAISED SOMETHING THAT WAS NOT NECESSARILY AWARE OF AND I THINK THE OWNERSHIP CHANGE AND IF SOMEBODY WERE TO SIGN UP WITH IGS AS ALTERNATIVE RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLIER IN THEIR DOOR-TO-DOOR SALES, WOULD THAT PERSON THEN FALL OUT OF THE MC SQUARED POOL WITH THE MUNICIPAL AGGREGATION AND THEN WE WOULD BE GETTING THE BENEFITS OF THIS CONTRACT, BECAUSE IGS IS COMPETING WITH THEIR OWN SUPPLIER AGREEMENT WITH US.

>>MARK PRUITT: AT A TECHNICAL LEVEL, YES, A CUSTOMER - IF THEY LEAVE AND IF THEY ARE BEING SERVED UNDER MC SQUARED AND THEY WERE TO DETERMINE THAT THEY WANTED TO ACCEPT AN OFFER FROM IGS THAT THEY WOULD COME OUT OF THE POOL THAT SERVES AS THE BASIS OF THE MC SQUARED CONTRACT OF THE VILLAGE.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: IS IT POSSIBLE FOR US TO LOOK AT INCLUDING IN THE CONTRACT HERE, LANGUAGE THAT IGS CANNOT COACH CUSTOMERS FROM ONE POOL TO THE OTHER POOL, BECAUSE IF WE ARE ALREADY CONTRACTING THROUGH MC SQUARED TO BE OUR MUNICIPAL ENERGY SUPPLIER, I DON'T WANT THEM TO BE ESSENTIALLY CUTTING US OUT OF THE LOOP IN A WAY THAT WILL REALLY ONLY

BENEFIT THEM AND THEY WILL BE THE PRIMARY BENEFICIARY OF ANY EXCHANGE FROM THE MC SQUARED TO A IGS AREA SUPPLIER.

>>SPEAKER: THAT IS NOT PART OF THE AGREEMENT THAT IS BEFORE THE BOARD TONIGHT AND WHAT IS BEFORE THE BOARD IS A EXTENSION OF THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE VILLAGE AND MC SQUARED AND SO IF THERE IS A ACQUISITION BY DIFFERENT COMPANY THEN THE PROPOSAL IS STILL THAT THEY CONTINUE WITH THE EXISTING ENTITY TO ADDRESS THE SITUATION THAT TRUSTY STRAW IS RAISING WOULD REQUIRE A SEPARATE AGREEMENT BY THIS OTHER ENTITY AND MC SQUARED WHICH APPARENTLY STILL EXIST BECAUSE THAT IS WHO THE CONTRACTING ENTITY HAS CONTINUED TO BE WITH AND THE VILLAGE IS THE THIRD PARTY TO THAT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: SO, WE HAVE UNTIL DECEMBER - AND THE CURRENT AGREEMENT RUNS THROUGH DECEMBER AND IS THERE - IS THERE ANY KIND OF LIKE WE HAVE TO APPROVE THIS TONIGHT? SUE SECURE THIS AGREEMENT? BECAUSE IT EXPIRES AT THE END OF SEPTEMBER? OR IS THIS SOMETHING WHERE IF THERE CAN BE THAT ADDITIONAL BACK-AND-FORTH WITH IGS I THINK IT WOULD BE A REAL NET BENEFIT TO OUR COMMUNITY TO NOT NECESSARILY HAVE ALTERNATIVE RETAIL ENERGY AND TO NOT HAVE THE DOOR-TO-DOOR SALES OF ALTERNATIVE RETAIL SUPPLIERS. AND PARTICULARLY IF ONE IS A PARENT COMPANY OF OUR PARTNER AND WOULD BE POTENTIALLY JUST LINING THEIR POCKETS BY DECREASING ENROLLMENT IN OUR PROGRAM?

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: I WOULD DEFER TO THE CONSULTANT AND THE EXISTING CONTRACT WITH MC SQUARED ABOUT THE TIMING ISSUES.

>>MARK PRUITT: FROM A TIMING PERSPECTIVE YOUR CURRENT CONTRACT EXTENDS THROUGH THE DATES OF DECEMBER AND BY REGULATION, A NEW CONTRACT REQUIRES ABOUT 60 DAYS TO ALLOW FOR ADEQUATE NOTICE TO BE GIVEN TO CUSTOMERS AS WELL AS TO THE CONGRESS COMMISSION. SO, 60 DAYS PRIOR TO DECEMBER, EARLY DECEMBER I THINK IS WHEN MOST OF YOUR ACCOUNTS ARE BEING READ. SO, TECHNICALLY YOU COULD EXECUTE SOMETIME IN EARLY TO MID OCTOBER AND STILL BE ABLE TO HAVE A FRESH CONTRACT PICK UP WHERE THE CURRENT CONTRACT EXPIRES WITHOUT ANY LAPSE. AND STILL BE ABLE TO COMPLY WITH ALL OF THE NECESSARY NOTICES.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I MEAN I WOULD LOVE TO - I WAS THINKING THAT THIS WAS GOING TO BE A EASY YES, BUT I DO THINK THAT THE FACT THAT THE PARENT COMPANY OF OUR PARTNER IS LITERALLY KNOCKING - THE DOOR IS IN OUR COMMUNITY MOVING PEOPLE OUT OF THE AGGREGATION IS SOMETHING THAT IS OF SOME CONCERN TO ME. I WOULD LOVE TO CONSIDER TABLING THIS TO ALLOW SOME POTENTIAL NEGOTIATION OF A SIDE LETTER OR AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT AS APPROPRIATE TO PREVENT THE PARENT COMPANY FROM SOLICITING INDIVIDUALS ENROLLED THROUGH THE COMMUNITY AGGREGATION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: DOES STAFF HAVE RECOMMENDATION?

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: I THINK WE WOULD RESCHEDULE THIS FOR THE 30TH TO GIVE US AN OPPORTUNITY TO COME BACK WITH A POTENTIAL SOLUTION TO THIS QUESTION.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: VERY GOOD.

SO, DO WE HAVE TO MAKE ANY KIND OF - NO, JUST DO NOT VOTE?  
OKAY.

THEN WE WILL MOVE FORWARD TO - I APPRECIATE THIS HAS COME OUT, THANK YOU, DEREK AND LINDSAY AND I MEAN I HAVE TO SAY THAT WE HAVE MADE A LOT OF PROGRESS IN THE CONTRACT AND SO THANK YOU AND THIS SEEMS LIKE MAYBE THEY WERE MOTIVATED.

AND SO WE HAVE A PRESENTATION NEXT AND DISCUSSION ON THE TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS.

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY:

>>MANAGER JACKSONVIL: I WILL TAKE IT JUST A INTRODUCTION AND SO WE HAVE A NUMBER OF INITIATIVES OVER THE COURSE OF LAST YEAR TO SUPPORT THE ON HOUSE COMMUNITY AND THIS IS REALLY SOME DEDICATED WORK THAT STAFF HAS DONE IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE COMMUNITY TO FURTHER LOOK AT HOW WE CAN OPTIMIZE OUR RESPONSE TO THE POPULATION AND SO I WANT TO JUST LIFT UP THE WORK THAT HAS BEEN DONE AND I WOULD ALLOW JONATHAN BURGER AND ASSISTANT DIRECTOR TO WALK US THROUGH SOME OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE OUTCOME OF THIS EXPERIENCE AND AGAIN RECEIVED SOME FEEDBACK TONIGHT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND YOU KNOW IF WE ALL CAN REFLECT FOR A MOMENT ON HOW THE DIALOGUE AROUND THE STREETScape WENT AND WE WERE ALL GIVING FEEDBACK ON WHAT WE LIKE AND DID NOT LIKE, BUT WE KNOW THAT THERE ARE BUDGETARY THINGS THAT WILL THEN COME BACK.

THERE ARE NOT SOLID NUMBERS IN HERE AND SO STAFF IS GOING TO HAVE TO BE LISTENING FOR WHERE THEY CAN COUNT TO FOUR AND HAVE GUIDED THAT MAYBE WE WOULD BRING BACK THOSE BUDGETARY THINGS.

SO, JUST TRY TO BE AS CLEAR AS YOU CAN AND YOU KNOW WHAT YOU ARE REALLY WILLING TO INVEST IN AND FEEDBACK THAT WOULD MAKE AND ASSISTING STAFF AND COMING BACK WITH THIS CLEAR INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.

THANK YOU.

>>SPEAKER: WITH THAT, GOOD EVENING.

AS PRESIDENT SCAMAN INDICATED, I HAVE SPENT A LITTLE BIT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT TASK FORCE THAT WE CONVENE FROM MAY THROUGH SORT OF THE END OF AUGUST SPECIFICALLY AROUND THE ISSUES, CHALLENGES, COMMUNITY FEEDBACK RELATED TO FOLKS RIGHT NOW WHO ARE LIVING IN OUR COMMUNITY CURRENTLY. THE GOAL WAS TO SURFACE IDEA ON SHORT-TERM, MEDIUM-TERM THINGS. YOU WILL NOT SEE A RECOGNITION IN HERE ABOUT INCREASING THE SUPPLY OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND A BUNCH OF PROGRAMS RELATED TO THAT.

WE INHERENTLY KNOW IN THE TASK FORCE HOW THAT HAS TO EVENTUALLY BE A PART OF THE SOLUTION, BUT THAT WAS NOT SORT OF OUR INTENTION IN PUTTING THAT GROUP TOGETHER.

TO NOT NECESSARILY SOURCE THE SOLUTIONS IN THAT, BUT MORE GRANULAR IDEA SPECIFICALLY RELATED TO ADDRESSING FOLKS WHO ARE CURRENTLY IN OUR COMMUNITIES THAT WE CURRENTLY SEE HERE IN OAK PARK.

THE FOLKS THAT WE BROUGHT TOGETHER IS THE PARTICIPANTS ACROSS THE COMMUNITY AND FOLKS WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE AND INDIVIDUALS WHO REPRESENT BUSINESS DISTRICTS AND NONPROFITS INCLUDING HOUSING FORWARD IN THE OAK PARK HOMELESSNESS COALITION AND OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT AND OAK PARK RESIDENTS, SOME TAXING BODIES AS WELL AND WE HAVE A FEW FOLKS HERE AND YOU CAN WAVE YOUR HANDS, SOME FOLKS WHO HAVE STUCK IT OUT WHO PARTICIPATED AND SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR OBVIOUSLY ALL OF THE TIME AND EXPERIENCE YOU HAVE PROVIDED.

ONE OF THE THINGS YOU STARTED WITH WAS SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFYING GOALS AND HAVING INITIAL CONVERSATIONS LIKE WHAT PEOPLE ARE REFLECTING ON LOCALLY AND THREE GOALS ROSE TO THE TOP AND ONE AROUND CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS HERE IN OAK PARK AS OPPOSED TO SPECIFICALLY JUST GENERALLY, BUT CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS BOTH BECAUSE THERE ARE NO NEW INDIVIDUALS THAT WE STRICTLY SEE HERE IN TOWN WHO WE KNOW ARE HOMELESS AND ADDRESSING THEM AND ADDRESSING THEIR ISSUES AND HELPING SUPPORT THEM IS A CRITICAL PART OF BOTH ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS AND ADDRESSING PERCEPTIONS OF HOMELESSNESS BOTH OF WHICH ARE TOPICS THAT THE GROUP SPENT A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT.

SECOND RELATES TO BASIC NEEDS.

SHELTER AND SHELTERS ARE OFTEN AT CAPACITY AND WE HAVE A NEW EMERGENCY SHELTER THAT IS HOPEFULLY OPENING AND HOPEFULLY WE WILL BE HAVING A RIBBON-CUTTING ON FRIDAY, BUT EVEN THEN THE CAPACITY FILLS UP QUICKLY AND THERE IS BASIC NEEDS THAT FOLKS ARE DEALING WITH WHILE THEY ARE WAITING FOR ACCESS.

AND FINALLY WE HAVE THE UNCERTAINTY THAT EXISTS ON MANY PARTS OF THE COMMUNITY AROUND HOMELESSNESS.

IN THE SYSTEM THAT IS IN PLACE CURRENTLY AND ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS. THERE IS TWO BUCKETS OF ACTIONS IN THIS POWERPOINT THAT I WILL SPEND SOME TIME TALKING THROUGH THAT CAME OUT AGAIN SORT OF STAFF TAKEAWAYS FROM THE CONVERSATIONS WE HAD WITH THE TASK FORCE.

THE FIRST BUCKET IS IMMEDIATE ACTIONS, THINGS WE CAN THINK OR ANTICIPATE MOVING ON RIGHT AWAY.

IN THE FIRST ONE IS SORT OF A CRITICAL ONE TO SPEND TIME TALKING ABOUT IS EMERGENCY SHELTER OPERATIONS FOR CARRYOVER MEMBERS OF THE BOARD YOU WILL BE FAMILIAR WITH THE CONVERSATIONS THAT WERE HAD LAST JANUARY AND FEBRUARY SPECIFICALLY AROUND EXPANDING EMERGENCY SHELTERS HERE IN OAK PARK AND THE ADDITIONAL OPERATIONAL CAPITAL FUNDS THAT WERE PROVIDED BY THE VILLAGE IN ORDER TO SUPPORT THE ADDITION PROVIDED BY COOK COUNTY.

THAT IS THE EMERGENCY SHELTER THAT WE MENTIONED BEFORE THE SCHEDULED TO HAVE A RIBBON-CUTTING THIS FRIDAY.

ONE OF THE ISSUES HOWEVER THAT HAS COME UP IS THE UNCERTAINTY AROUND STATE FUNDING.

SO, AS WE HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH HOUSING FORWARD AND THEIR OPERATION, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT STOOD OUT IS THE ORIGINAL PLAN WAS THAT THE FUNDING WHICH WAS PROVIDED BY THE BRIDGE TO SUPPORT OPERATIONS OF THAT SHELTER AS OF TODAY, AS OF RIGHT NOW HAS STILL NOT GOTTEN INFORMATION YET FOR THE STATE FOR WHAT THEIR OPERATING FUNDING WOULD BE FOR THE EMERGENCY SHELTER AND WE ARE AT THE END OF DECEMBER.

AND SO WHILE THERE ARE OTHER THINGS THAT ARE IN YOUR, PRESIDENT SCAMAN HIGHLIGHTED THIS IN TERMS OF OTHER THINGS THAT MAY HAVE BUDGETARY COST AND IN LOOKING FOR FEEDBACK OVERALL FOR DISCERNMENT RELATED TO THOSE YOU KNOW FROM A STAFF PERSPECTIVE YOU KNOW IT IS A CRITICAL ROLE THAT THEY EXPAND THE EMERGENCY SHELTER AND IF WE ARE GETTING READY TO OPEN IT AND NOT BEING READY TO CONTINUE WANT THROUGHOUT 2026 IS CRITICAL HERE. AND SO REALLY JUST WANTING THE TASK WAS TO HIGHLIGHT THE NEED FOR THE OPERATIONAL FUNDING AS THE STATE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO COME THROUGH WITH A AND THAT IS THAT FOUR OR \$500,000 RANGE BASED ON OUR CONVERSATION SO FAR.

THAT IS IN COMPARISON TO THE CALL BACK IN AUGUST AND THERE WAS AN EMINENT TO THE CONTRACT I CAME THROUGH WITH THE VILLAGE PROVIDING APPROXIMATELY 314 OR \$315,000 IN A MIX OF BOTH CAPITAL AND OPERATING COSTS TO CARRY AND TO FINISH OFF THE OPENING OF THE SHELTER AND TO CARRY ON OPERATIONS THROUGH THE END OF DECEMBER.

THE SECOND ONE IS SUPPORTING CRITICAL TRANSPORTATION NEEDS AND WE SPENT TIME TALKING ABOUT HOW WE HAVE UN-HOUSED FOLKS IN THE COMMUNITY LOOKING FOR WAYS TO BUILD TO GET TO HOUSING CARE APPOINTMENTS AND MEETINGS THEY MAY HAVE SCHEDULED WITH OPPORTUNITIES AND OTHER SORTS OF RESOURCES AND ARE OFTEN UNABLE TO DO SO.

WE HAVE MONEY THROUGH THE ECHO PILOT THAT THE BOARD HAS PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO BE ABLE TO CARRY THAT FORWARD AND WE WOULD INTEND TO USE SOME OF THAT MONEY TO SUPPORT SOME OF THOSE TRANSPORTATION SOLUTIONS FOR FOLKS WHO ARE NOT HOUSED IN OUR COMMUNITY AND WHILE THEY ARE WAITING FOR BASIC ACCESS TO SHELTER TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE ABLE TO GET TO SOME OF THOSE SORT OF CRITICAL LOCATIONS.

THE NEXT OUTREACH OF COORDINATION AND THIS IS A BIT OF A GRANULAR THING, BUT WE SPENT A LITTLE BIT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT AND THE OAK PARK HOMELESSNESS COALITION HAS LONG BEEN AN IMPORTANT ROLE IN WORKING TOGETHER WITH FOLKS WHO HAVE OUTREACH AND PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN ON THE GROUND ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS EVERY COUPLE WEEKS AND HOW THEY CAN COMPARE NOTES ON PIECES LOCALLY IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY IS ON THE SAME PAGE.

ECHO HAS TAKEN ON A MUCH LARGER ROLE IN THE PROCESS SINCE ITS LAUNCH IN JANUARY AND FEBRUARY AND SO THE RECOMMENDATION HERE SPECIFICALLY FROM ECHO TO TAKE ON THAT LEADERSHIP ROLE BASED ON HOW IT HAS BEEN GOING SO FAR TO ONE, SORT OF FOCUS IN ON THAT COORDINATION PIECE THAT IS THEIR JOB TO THINK ABOUT HOW ALL OF THE DIFFERENT PROVIDERS ARE COMING TOGETHER TO COORDINATE CARE FOR INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE NOT HOUSED IN OUR COMMUNITY AND THEN THINKING ABOUT WHAT THAT MEANS TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY THAT IS ENGAGED IN THE PROCESS GOING FORWARD AND I THINK EVERY OTHER WEEK RIGHT NOW SUGGESTIONS FROM STAFF ARE THAT WE MEET WEEKLY AND BRING IN SOME FOLKS WHO ARE CURRENTLY SORT OF DISENGAGED IN THE PROCESS AND HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REENGAGE THEM AS PART OF THIS AS WELL AND TO BE SURE EVERYBODY IS ON THE SAME PAGE.

THE NEXT ONE IS PUBLIC RESTROOMS.

THIS TOPIC HAS COME BEFORE THE VILLAGE BOARD IN THE PAST AND THE TASK FORCE FELT STRONGLY THERE WAS IMPORTANCE TO PROVIDE HIGH QUALITY PUBLIC RESTROOMS FOR FOLKS WHO ARE NOT HOUSED IN OUR COMMUNITY.

IT IS CHALLENGING FOR EVERYONE, NOT JUST FOR FOLKS WHO ARE UN-HOUSED AND THE LACK OF BATHROOM ACCESS REALLY CAN MAKE IT CHALLENGING FOR MANY MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITY WHO ARE USING OUR DOWNTOWN.

WE AS STAFF DID THROW SOME DIFFERENT OPTIONS TOGETHER RELATED TO THIS JUST SO YOU CAN GET A SENSE OF WHAT THE SORT OF OPTIONS WE WERE TALKING ABOUT AND I KNOW PREVIOUS CONVERSATIONS TALKED ABOUT THE PORTLAND LU OPTION AS YOU MAY BE AWARE, WE ARE CURRENTLY RENTING TWO ESSENTIALLY PORTA POTTY'S THAT ARE LOCATED ALONG NORTH BOULEVARD THAT ARE WEST OF THE METRO STATION THAT ARE CLEANED TWICE A WEEK RIGHT NOW AND ALSO TO BE ABLE TO HAVE A ADDITIONAL ONE OF THOSE UNITS AND A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT COST IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH THOSE DIFFERENT OPTIONS OVER BOTH UPFRONT COST AND A 10 YEAR OPERATING COST AND YOU CAN EXTRAPOLATE THAT FROM THERE.

YES?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IS THAT ANNUAL MAINTENANCE INCREASING THAT NUMBER OF TWICE A WEEK?

>>SPEAKER: YES, IT IS.

FROM OUR CURRENT PROVIDER WE RECEIVED A QUOTE FROM TWO - THREE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND ALSO CLAIMING MORE OFTEN?

>>SPEAKER: YES, CLEANING MORE OFTEN AND MORE UNITS.

SO, THIS IS WHERE WE TAKE ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE TO MOVE FORWARD IN TERMS OF SUPPORT AND THE TASK FORCE FELT VERY STRONGLY THAT THIS OPTION MADE THE MOST SENSE DUE TO BOTH COST AND BECAUSE IT DID NOT STIGMATIZED THE BATHROOMS AS BEING FOR A PARTICULAR POPULATION, BUT INSTEAD FOR THE ENTIRETY OF THE COMMUNITY.

THE LAST THING TO TALK ABOUT UNDER THE IMMEDIATE ACTIONS SINCE MAY, THE VILLAGE HAS BEEN WORKING TO HAVE A SET PROTOCOL THAT WE EMPLOY WHEN WE IDENTIFY THOSE WHO ARE CURRENTLY CAMPING IN OUR COMMUNITY AND THAT

STARTS WITH INITIAL ECHO TOUCH POINTS AND WHETHER IT IS STAFF OBSERVING OR POLICE DEPARTMENT OBSERVING OR PUBLIC WORKS OR RESIDENTS SAYING HEY, WE SEE SOMEBODY CAMPING IN A LOCATION OF OUR COMMUNITY THAT IS THEN FORWARDED BACK TO THE ECHO TEAM AND THEY HAVE INITIAL CONTACT WITH THAT GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS AND WE TALK ABOUT THE COMMUNITY STANDARDS SPECIFICALLY AROUND THE IMPORTANCE OF CLEANLINESS AND DRUG USE AND NOISE AND OTHER SORTS OF FACTORS ALL IN THE BODY QUALITY-OF-LIFE ISSUES THAT WE HEAR ABOUT.

AND THEN NOTIFYING HEY, WE COME THROUGH, WE CLEAN THIS AREA ONCE PER WEEK AND WILL COME THROUGH ON WEDNESDAY MORNINGS TO CLEAN AND IT DOESN'T MEAN YOU CAN'T GO BACK, BUT JUST SO YOU KNOW AS WE KEEP EVERY PART OF OUR VILLAGE CLEAN.

PART OF THE COMMUNITY STANDARDS WE ALSO CLARIFY THAT IF YOU ARE VIOLATING ONE OF THOSE LIKE IF YOU PUT UP A TENT THAT IS BLOCKING THE SIDEWALK OR HAVE A BUNCH OF NEEDLES ON THE GROUND OR ANY OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES YOU MAY IMAGINE, THEN IN THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES WE GIVE THE INDIVIDUAL NOTICE THAT SAYS SEVEN DAYS YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT THE SITUATION YOU ARE CURRENTLY SEEING OR YOU WILL BE ASKED TO MOVE FROM THIS LOCATION SO WE CAN CLEAN UP THE AREA.

AND THEN IF THOSE VIOLATIONS REMAIN AT THE END, THEN WE ARE ASKING THE INDIVIDUAL TO MOVE.

WE HAVE ALSO HEARD FEEDBACK FROM COMMUNITY MEMBERS ON A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT POINTS AND ONE WHETHER OR NOT HOW THIS APPLIES WITHIN A CERTAIN DISTANCE OF EXISTENCE OR SOMEBODY IS CAMPING SPECIFICALLY FROM THE ENTRANCE OF THE BUSINESS AND QUESTIONS AS WELL ABOUT WHAT THIS MEANS ABOUT LIKE WHETHER OR NOT THAT SEVEN-DAY PERIOD OF TIME IS TOO LONG OR TOO SHORT OR JUST RIGHT.

QUESTIONS AS WELL ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT WE NEED ACTUALLY NEED INCREASED LEAN FREQUENCY AND PEAK SEASONS LIKE RIGHT NOW, SUMMER AND FALL.

AS WELL AS CLARIFICATION FOR THAT PROCESS SPECIFICALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS WHO MAY BE CAMPING IN THIS SPOT AND HAVE BEEN ASKED TO MOVE BECAUSE THEY VIOLATED THOSE STANDARDS.

WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IN THE IMMEDIATE AFTERMATH, CAN SOMEBODY JUST COME BACK AND ENCAMP IN THAT SAME LOCATION IMMEDIATELY AFTERWARD?

AS THE TASK FORCE TALKED ABOUT SORT OF THE TRADE-OFFS AROUND THIS AS WELL AS OTHER OPTIONS, THERE WAS A DESIRE TO GO BACK AND HAVE THE URGE TO CONTINUE TO MODIFY THOSE PROTOCOLS, PARTICULARLY LOOKING AGAIN AT THE FREQUENCY ISSUE AS WELL AS WHAT HAPPENS IN THE IMMEDIATE AFTERMATH OF A SITUATION WHERE SOMEBODY HAS GONE OUT AND WE HAVE HAD SOMEBODY MOVE IN ORDER TO DO THOSE COMMUNITY NORMS AND WANTING TO BE THOUGHTFUL ABOUT THE FUTURE AND WHAT THAT MEANS SPECIFICALLY IN RELATION TO THE BUSINESS.

THOSE WERE THE SHORT-TERM ONES AND THINGS THAT STAFF FEELS LIKE WE MAY BE ABLE TO MAKE PROGRESS ON IN THE SHORT TERM.

THERE ARE A NUMBER OF LONG-TERM IDEAS THAT REQUIRE ADDITIONAL CONVERSATIONS WITH PARTNERS TO EXPLORE WHAT THEY MEAN BOTH IN TERMS OF SUPPORT AND FUNDING BEFORE WE FEEL THAT THEY WOULD BE READY TO COME BACK WITH A FULLY FLESHED OUT IDEA TO PRESENT TO THE VILLAGE BOARD. THE FIRST ONE THAT WE SPENT TIME TALKING ABOUT IS RENTAL ASSISTANCE AND PROVIDING MORE RENTAL ASSISTANCE AND THAT IS GREAT.

OAK PARK TOWNSHIP AS YOU KNOW ALSO PROVIDES GENERAL ASSISTANCE AND EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE AND THE TASK FORCE TALKS ABOUT HOW IT IS CONFUSING FOR BOTH RESIDENTS THAT THERE TRY TO QUOTE PEOPLE IN THE DIRECTIONS OF RESOURCES AND THE PEOPLE THEMSELVES TRY TO ACCESS THE RESOURCES AND YOU HAVE TO GO MULTIPLE WAYS.

AND THE RECOMMENDATION WAS INSTEAD THAT THE VILLAGE OF THE TOWNSHIP WOULD EXPLORE A PARTNERSHIP TO BE ABLE TO HAVE THE TOWNSHIP IN A CENTRALIZED LOCATION OR AT LEAST THOSE NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROGRAM WHICH OPTIONALLY REMAIN WITH THE OAK PARK HOUSING.

THIS RELATES TO HOUSING NAVIGATION AND MITIGATION AND SO THEY BRIEFLY TALKED ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF ADDING ADDITIONAL HOUSING NAVIGATORS IN OUR COMMUNITY SPECIFICALLY THE ROLE THAT THEY CAN PLAY IN TERMS OF KEEPING FOLKS MOVING THROUGH THE SYSTEM THAT EXISTS RIGHT NOW AND SO MAKING SURE FOLKS ARE NOT GETTING BOGGED DOWN AT THE EMERGENCY SHELTER AND BEING CONNECTED AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE TO BE ABLE TO GET OUT OF THOSE SPACES IN ORDER TO CREATE SPACE FOR FOLKS WHO MAY BE COMING IN.

AT THE SAME TIME WE ALSO HAVE HEARD FROM THE OAK PARK HOMELESSNESS COALITION ABOUT THE CONCEPT OF A MITIGATION FUND AND THIS IDEA WHICH YOU MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE HEARD OF VERY SPECIFICALLY RELATES TO THE IDEA OF PROVIDING SUPPORT TO LANDLORDS IN ORDER TO ENCOURAGE THEM TO READINESS TO FOLKS WHO ARE CURRENTLY UN-HOUSED TO GET THEM INTO MORE PERMANENT CIRCUMSTANCES.

THAT TAKES A LONG TIME AND CAN BE CHALLENGING.

AND SO AGAIN THE IDEA HERE IS TO EXPLORE WITH PARTNERS THE IDEA OF FUNDING FOR A NAVIGATION POSITION AND ALSO AT THE SAME TIME THINKING ABOUT A PARCEL AND SO TWO DISPARATE IDEAS COMING FROM TWO DIFFERENT SOURCES AND THEY SORT OF ASK THAT YOU TALK ABOUT WHY ARE THESE SEPARATE THINGS?

THEY SHOULD BE ONE THING.

AND THEN IN PARTICULAR SOME OF THE TOOLS IN THE HOUSING MITIGATION SIDE IS THE IDEA OF VACANCY PAYMENTS.

SO, GIVING THE LANDLORD A PAYMENT TO HOLD THE UNIT OPEN UNTIL SOMEBODY MOVES INTO IT.

AND SECOND IS THE RENEWAL BONUSES AND SO IF YOU WENT TO THE INDIVIDUAL AND IT IS A YEAR LATER AND THEY CAN RENEW FOR AT LEAST ANOTHER YEAR AND

THEN FINALLY THE RISK MITIGATION FUND WHICH REALLY SERVES AS A RESERVE FOR LANDLORDS WHO MAY BE CONCERNED OR DAMAGE THE UNIT OR HAVE ACCESS IN EVICTION COSTS.

THE NEXT ONE IS STREET OUTREACH EXPANSION.

AGAIN, THIS IS ONE WHERE THE TASK FORCE SPENT A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT THE COVERAGE OF THE GAPS AND SPECIFICALLY RIGHT NOW ON WEEKENDS AND ON EVENINGS AND ON SUNDAYS WHICH ARE CRITICAL TIMES THAT YOU MAY ENCOUNTER FOLKS WHO ARE UN-HOUSED IN OUR COMMUNITY AND ARE LOOKING FOR OPPORTUNITIES.

WE OBVIOUSLY HAVE RIGHT NOW A COMPENDIUM OF RESOURCES THAT EXIST UP THERE INCLUDING THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND AGAIN, THIS SEEMS LIKE A OPPORTUNITY AS WE AGAIN THINK ABOUT HOW OUR GOVERNMENTAL PARTNERS AND EXPLORE WORKING TOGETHER TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE OUTREACH MORE EFFICIENTLY AND HOW THAT MAY RELATE TO OTHER SERVICES AND WHAT THAT MEANS.

SHORT-TERM HOUSING, ADDICTION COUNSELING, HOW THAT MAY AFFECT SOME SORT OF DAYTIME PROGRAM JUST AS WE TALKED ABOUT IS SORT OF A GAP RELATED TO THIS, AS WELL.

AND SO THIS ONE DEFINITELY REQUIRES THOSE MARKERS.

THE NEXT ONE RELATES TO EDUCATION AND OUTREACH.

SPENDING A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT THE ISSUES WE DID BEFORE AND ALSO A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT HOW RESIDENCE IN OAK PARK AND THAT MEANS PEOPLE WHOSE HOMES ARE HERE AND PEOPLE WHO WORK HERE AND PEOPLE WHOSE BUSINESSES ARE HERE AND SPECIFICALLY THINKING ABOUT WHAT IT WOULD MEAN AND HOW THEY UNDERSTAND WHAT IS THE HOMELESSNESS AND WHAT RESOURCES ARE OUT THERE RIGHT NOW AND ABOUT THE DISCONNECT THAT CAN EXIST RIGHT NOW BETWEEN BUSINESS COMMUNITY AND THE NEEDS OF THE FOLKS AROUND HOUSING IN THE COMMUNITY ALL RIGHT NOW AND BEING ABLE TO BRIDGE THAT GAP IN SOME WAY.

THIS IS WHERE IT IS A LESS ACTION AND ASK FOR THE COALITION AND YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE PROCESS TO DISCERN WHETHER OR NOT THIS IS THE PROCESS THEY WOULD WANT TO TAKE, BUT THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXPAND THE EDUCATION FOR FOLKS ON HOMELESSNESS AND EXPAND THEIR WORK AS WELL AROUND INVOLVING THE BUSINESSES AS PART OF THE COALITION, PARTICULARLY THE CORE TEAM.

THIS IS REALLY AN OPPORTUNITY TO THINK AS WELL ABOUT HOW THE COALITION AND SUPPORT BUSINESSES AS THEY WRESTLE WITH ISSUES WITH PEOPLE WHO ARE UN-HOUSED IN OUR COMMUNITY AS WELL AND THE FINAL ONE IS PROBABLY THE MOST LONG-TERM OF ALL WHICH WOULD REQUIRE A LOT OF WORK WHICH IS WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT THE EMERGENCY SHELTER AND WE HAVE ALSO SPENT TIME TALKING ABOUT THE BARRIERS THAT EXIST BETWEEN ENTERING INTO THAT SHELTER AND IN PARTICULAR HOW THERE IS ONLY THE ONE SHELTER THAT IS HERE IN OAK PARK WITHIN THE SERVICES HERE.

AND GIVEN THAT WE HAVE A NUMBER OF FOLKS WHO ARE HOMELESS WITH CHRONIC MENTAL ILLNESS OR ADDICTION ON THE GETTING FOLKS INTO A FILTER CAN BE CHALLENGING AND THERE ARE OTHER MODELS OUT THERE LIKE A SAFE HAVEN MODEL AND SIMILAR ONES THAT COULD BE BENEFICIAL AS WELL AND THE NEED TO CONTINUE EXPLORING WITH OUR OTHER PARTNERS AROUND WOULD BE TO EXPAND THOSE SHELTER OPPORTUNITIES.

SO, MAYBE NOT HERE IN OAK PARK, BUT OTHER POUND PARTNERSHIPS AS WELL. AND WITH THAT.

QUESTIONS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF SOLUTIONS OF WHICH TO TALK THROUGH AND GIVE OUR FEEDBACK AND I DON'T WANT TO SAY RATE, BUT WHERE OUR INTERESTS LIE.

WHO WOULD LIKE TO START IS OFF?

OKAY, I SEE BRIAN, CHIBIKUE -

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: THANK YOU FOR PUTTING THIS TOGETHER AND HOLDING A MICROSCOPE ON SOME OF THESE THINGS I THINK THESE ARE DEFINITELY PRIORITIES FOR US AND I KNOW WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT THIS BEFORE HOW WE CAN BETTER PARTNER WITH OUR COMMUNITY AND I MEAN THE COMMUNITY OUTSIDE OF HERE TO HELP COMBAT HOMELESSNESS AS A WHOLE AND NOT JUST AS A WORK THING, BUT YOU KNOW DEALING WITH THE SURROUNDING SUBURBS AND I LOVE THE IDEAS AND I THINK THERE IS A REALLY SOLID BACKING AGAINST THOSE THINGS TO GET THEM ACCOMPLISHED AND I DID SEE THE PIECE AROUND THE TRANSPORTATION ISSUE AND I SEE THAT AS TRYING TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM, BUT I ALSO AM LIKE OKAY, BUT WHAT IF PEOPLE ARE LIKE I DON'T WANT THIS PERSON IN MY CAR?

AND SO WHAT ARE THE SOLUTIONS IF THAT IS THE SITUATION AND THEN THE BATHROOM THING I SAID AT THE LAST TIME AROUND I THINK IT IS JUST A DIGNITY THINK AND I THINK THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD BE LOOKING TO DO AND I SAW THE THREE DIFFERENT OPTIONS AND YOU SAID THAT ONE WAS MORE LEANING TOWARDS THAT THAN THE FIRST ONE AND SO HOW FEASIBLE IS THAT AND HOW SOON CAN SOMETHING LIKE THAT BE DONE?

>>SPEAKER: MAYBE I WILL START WITH THE LAST ONE FIRST AND SO WE CAN START HAVING THIS CONVERSATION WITH THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT EITHER ON THE 26 PROPOSALS YOU RECEIVED OR GOING FORWARD FROM THERE. TRANSPORTATION IS WHAT WE HAVE WRESTLED WITH A LOT ACROSS A WHOLE BUNCH OF DIFFERENT ISSUES AND VANESSA WILL SHAKE HER HEAD YES THAT WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT THIS A LOT FOR THE ECHO TEAM IN PARTICULAR. SO, THIS IS ONE WHERE IF WE ARE UNABLE TO DO THAT I THINK WE NEED TO GO BACK AND LOOK AT WHAT IT IS WE WOULD ULTIMATELY BE ABLE TO DO AND SOME OF THE ELEMENTS OF THINGS LIKE THIS THROUGH DIFFERENT PROGRAMS AND FOR EVERYONE THAT WE ENCOUNTER DEPENDING ON THE ISSUES AND THAT MOMENT. SO, IT REQUIRES THE COMMUNITY TO GO AND GET IT TO MOVE IN THE EVERYONE THAT WE ENCOUNTER WILL BE THAT SPOT AND FOR NOW AND A SAFETY STANDPOINT (AWAY FROM MIC).

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, BRIAN.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I HOPE THAT WE WILL HAVE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY WHEN IT IS NOT 10:30 PM AT NIGHT YOU HAVE A BARTER DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS, BECAUSE I AM A LITTLE CONCERNED THAT WE ARE NOT GOING TO DO ALL OF THESE THINGS JUSTICE, BUT TO THE POINT OF US BEING QUICK AND SAYING LIKE AND YOU BEING ABLE TO GET A HEADCOUNT AS YOU ARE PREPARING BUDGET LINE ITEMS, I DO WANT TO GIVE YOU THAT FEEDBACK.

ON THE EMERGENCY SHELTER OPERATIONS YOU KNOW HOPEFULLY WE CAN USE OUR CONNECTIONS TO ADVOCATE FOR THAT STATE FUNDING, BUT SHOULD STATE FUNDING NOT COME THROUGH YOU KNOW WE JUST INVESTED A GREAT DEAL IN OPENING THIS SHELTER AND I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO TOUR THE EMERGENCY SHELTER AND IT IS WONDERFUL AND A INCREDIBLE ADDITION TO OUR COMMUNITY AND I'M VERY EXCITED ABOUT IT.

IT MUST STAY IN OPERATION THROUGH 2026.

SO, I WOULD SUPPORT OPERATIONAL FUNDING AND I AM HOPEFUL THAT WE COULD IDENTIFY IF NOT THE STATE, ADDITIONAL FUNDING PARTNERS, ESPECIALLY GIVEN THAT THIS BEING THE ONLY EMERGENCY SHELTER IN THIS WEST COAST AREA THERE WILL BE SOME BENEFIT TO IT TO OUR NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES AND SO I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THEY WOULD WANT TO CONSIDER THAT EITHER.

I WILL JUST AS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT SHELTER ON THE IMMEDIATE RECOMMENDATIONS, OBVIOUSLY I SUPPORT WORKING WITH CORRELATING WITH LABOR AND COMMUNITIES TO TRY TO OPEN UP ADDITIONAL EMERGENCY SHELTER OPTIONS INCLUDING DIFFERENT MODELS THAT WOULD BE COMPLEMENTARY TO THE MODEL THAT WE HAVE HERE IN OAK PARK.

I AM SUPPORTIVE OF CRITICAL TRANSPORTATION.

I DO THINK WE NEED TO GIVE CONSIDERATION TO WHAT ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS MIGHT BE NECESSARY SHOULD WE HAVE A CLIENT WHO IS UNABLE TO REPEATEDLY IS UNABLE TO GET LYFT OR UBER TRANSFER BECAUSE THEY ARE FREQUENTLY BEING DECLINED AS A RIDER AND WITHOUT PERSONS DIGNITY WE NEED TO HAVE ALTERNATIVE TO SUPPORT THOSE TRANSPORTATION NEEDS.

ON IMPROVING STREET OUTREACH COORDINATION, I THINK THAT WHATEVER STEP WE CAN TAKE TO IMPROVE THAT COORDINATION MAKES A LOT OF SENSE.

I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO DO THAT IN PARTNERSHIP AND CONSULTATION WITH OUR PARTNERS.

I DON'T KNOW WHO THE CURRENT SORT OF LEAD ON THAT COALITION IS AND IF IT IS AN ISSUE FOR THE VILLAGE TO BE TAKING A LEAD OR WHETHER IT WOULD BE A BENEFIT, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT OUR VOICE TO BE DROWNING OUT THE VOICES OF OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS IN THAT COALITION TO THE EXTENT THAT IT CAN STOP FEELING LIKE A COALITION.

AND SO I THINK US TRULY INVESTING IN THE COORDINATION MAKES SENSE.

I THINK WE NEED TO CAREFUL NOT TO TAKE ALL OF THE OXYGEN OUT OF THE ROOM WHICH CAN SOMETIMES HAPPEN IN A SITUATION LIKE THAT.

PUBLIC RESTROOM, I AM SUPPORTIVE OF PURSUING A PORTLAND LOOP OPTION (SP?) I THINK THE CURRENT PORTA POTTY'S ARE PROBLEMATIC FROM A HUMAN DIGNITY PERSPECTIVE.

I THINK THAT THE CLEANING PROTOCOLS REQUIRE FURTHER DISCUSSION.

IT IS HARD FOR ME TO BASED ON WHAT I'M SEEING BEFORE ME TO GIVE A YES OR A NO.

I THINK THAT THE WAY IN WHICH A UN-HOUSED POPULATION WAS SORT OF ENTIRELY REMOVED FROM METRO AND I KNOW THAT THAT WAS BY METRO POLICE I THINK THAT HAS LED TO DIFFICULTIES WITH CARE COORDINATION WITH THOSE INDIVIDUALS AS THEY BECAME DISPERSED AND SO I THINK THAT FIGURING OUT HOW TO WORK WITH THESE POPULATIONS IN A SENSITIVE WAY HAS CLEANING PROTOCOLS LIKE THIS CAN DO IS IMPORTANT.

I JUST - I DON'T THINK THAT THAT 10:30 PM AND NOW 10:40 PM DISCUSSION GIVES US ENOUGH TIME TO GET INTO FULLY UNDERSTANDING THOSE CLEANING PROTOCOLS. AND THEN ON THE MEDIUM ACTION ITEM SINCE YOU SAID STAFF IS STILL WORKING WITH PARTNERS TO FLESH THESE OUT LIKE I DON'T HAVE A SENSE OF BUDGET IMPACT OR THE OVERALL IMPACT, BUT I THINK YOU KNOW BETTER COORDINATION OF SERVICES AND EFFORTS TO REDUCED TIME AND MOVE PEOPLE THROUGH FROM HOMELESSNESS TO STABLE HOUSING IS SORT OF THE ULTIMATE GOAL AND SO WE NEED TO BE PURSUING EFFORTS THAT ACHIEVE THAT AND I KNOW THAT SOME OF THE DEVELOPMENTS THAT WE HAVE ONGOING AROUND LONGER-TERM SUPPORTIVE HOUSING OUR EFFORTS IN THAT DIRECTION.

SO, COORDINATION HERE AND ELSEWHERE AROUND CONTINUING TO DEVELOP HOUSING DOCK THAT SUPPORTS THAT LONGER-TERM SUPPORTIVE HOUSING IS GOING TO BE ONE OF THE THINGS NECESSARY TO KEEP PEOPLE MOVING ALONG FROM HOMELESSNESS TO STABLE HOUSING.

I THINK I COVERED MOST OF THE THINGS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: THANK YOU, JONATHAN.

I APPRECIATE THAT ALWAYS.

A QUESTION FOR YOU AROUND THIS DATA.

LOOKING AT AND I DON'T SEE ANY SLIDE - HOLD ON ONE SECOND, SO SLIDE NUMBER THREE - PURPLE BARS - NO WORRIES - AND SORRY - NOT THE PURPLE, THE BLUE, THE NEXT SLIDE.

THAT IS THIS DEAR.

94 INDIVIDUALS REACHED IT SAYS - DOES THAT MEAN THAT THESE ARE FOLKS WHO WERE HOUSED?

>>SPEAKER: THIS IS FROM WHAT THE VILLAGE PROVIDES US CONSISTENT WITH THE FORMAT THAT THEY USE TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD ON INFORMATION LAST FEBRUARY AND SO TRYING TO GET THE SAME FORMAT GOING FORWARD AND THIS IS SPECIFICALLY ON THE NUMBER OF NEW PEOPLE THAT WE CONTACT IN OUR COMMUNITY THIS YEAR AND YOU CAN SEE HOW THAT CHANGES AND THEN OBVIOUSLY THE PREVIOUS SLIDE IS SHOWING WHAT IT WAS QUARTER WISE GOING BACK TO 2020.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OKAY, GOT IT.  
AND THEN DO WE KNOW THE OUTCOMES OF THESE FOLKS TWO.

>>JOHNATHAN: I DO HAVE THAT INFORMATION, BUT I DID NOT PUT THAT IN THE SLIDE DECK FOR TONIGHT.  
I WOULD BE HAPPY TO SHARE THAT BECAUSE THEY PROVIDE THIS AT THE END OF EVERY MONTH.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OKAY, AWESOME.  
PLEASE AND THANK YOU.  
AND YOU ALREADY HAVE THE DEMOGRAPHIC SLIDE UP.  
THAT WAS WHERE I WAS GOING NEXT.  
SO, THE CHRONICALLY HOMELESS STAT IN THE LOWER RIGHT-HAND CORNER IT SAYS 73% IS NO AND 22% IS YES.  
AND YOU TALK ME THROUGH THAT LITTLE BIT?

>>JOHNATHAN: IT MEANS THAT THE HOUSING FORWARD TEAM WHEN THEY ARE DOING STREET OUTREACHES ENCOUNTER FOLK WHO ARE NOT CHRONICALLY HOMELESS AND SO THIS COULD BE A NEW BOUT OF HOMELESSNESS FOR THEM AND I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD BEYOND THIS -

>>SPEAKER: (AWAY FROM MIC) 355 CONSECUTIVE DAYS OF HOMELESSNESS (AWAY FROM MIC).

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: SO, THIS STAT BASICALLY DOESN'T TELL US WHAT I THOUGHT IT TOLD US.  
OKAY.  
AND SO FROM MY PERSPECTIVE I WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE NUMBER IS AND HOW BIG OF A CHECK TO WE NEED TO GIVE FOLKS INTO HOUSING VS. BEING HOMELESS. WE OBVIOUSLY HAVE TO DO WHAT WE HAVE TO DO TO MAKE THIS STAY ON THE STREETS AS COMPASSIONATE AS POSSIBLE WHILE IT HAPPENS, BUT THERE IS NO STAY ON THE STREET THAT IS COMPASSIONATE.  
SO, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT NUMBER WE NEED IN ORDER TO GET ALL OF THE FOLKS WHO ARE KNOWN TO US INTO HOUSING.  
AND I WOULD LIKE FOR US TO THEN TALK ABOUT THAT.  
THIS IS ALL GREAT.  
I DO APPRECIATE IT.  
BUT, THAT IS MY GOAL.  
I LOVE THE SHELTER.  
THAT IS GREAT.  
WE TALKED ABOUT THAT BEFORE.  
DEFINITELY PRO FUNDING.  
DEFINITELY PRO FUNDING.  
I AM PRO MUCH ALL OF THIS.  
I WILL NOT GO THROUGH ALL OF THE, THE PUBLIC RESTROOMS - THESE ARE LIKELY PORTABLE ONES AND SUCH, HAVE WE THOUGHT ABOUT PERMANENT FACILITIES IN THE NEW DOWNTOWN AREA?

>>JOHNATHAN: LIKE A BRICK-AND-MORTAR BUILDING?  
I HAVE NOT.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OR LEASING A SPACE THAT IS AVAILABLE.  
I HAVE SEEN THAT IN OTHER PLACES I HAVE BEEN.  
LIKE THERE IS PUBLIC RESTROOMS AND NOT LIKE THE WHOLE STOREFRONT, BUT  
LIKE A SMALL AND JUST A PUBLIC RESTROOM AND THERE IS A SIGNED AND YOU GO  
AND USE THE FACILITIES AND THEN YOU LEAVE.  
I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND IF THAT IS SOMETHING THAT IS POSSIBLE, TOO.

>>JOHNATHAN: GREAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IN THE MEANTIME -

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: YES, THE PORTLAND IS FINE.

I THINK.

AND WHAT ELSE?

THE CLEANING PROTOCOLS I MEAN AGAIN - YOU CAN TELL ME HOW BIG OF A CHECK  
YOU NEED SO WE DON'T HAVE TO EVEN WORRY ABOUT THAT.

IF WE GET FOLKS OFF OF THE STREET, THEN THE CLEANING PROTOCOLS - SO,  
RENTAL ASSISTANCE.

HAVE WE PULLED LANDLORDS LOCALLY TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY NEED IN  
ORDER TO HELP OR DO WE NOT JUST HAVE UNITS THAT ARE AVAILABLE?

>>JOHNATHAN: SO, THAT WOULD GO TO THE HOUSING MITIGATION CONCEPT THAT  
WE TALKED ABOUT AND WE HAVE ASKED LANDLORDS THEY COME BACK TO TELL US  
WHAT IT IS WE ASSUME THAT WE HAVE UNITS ON AND IT TAKES A LONG TIME  
BECAUSE THEY'RE USING SOME SORT OF VOUCHER OR THERE IS CONCERNS  
BECAUSE THEY HAD ABOUT EXPANSION THE PAST OR WITH ANOTHER LANDLORD  
THAT HAD ABOUT EXPANSION THE PAST AND THAT REALLY IS WHERE WE NEED THE  
MITIGATION FUND TO SET ASIDE A POT AND SAY IF THAT WERE TO HAPPEN, THEN  
THERE IS MONEY THAT CAN BE PAID OUT TO MAKE YOU WHOLE.

NATIONWIDE WHEN YOU LOOK AT SOME OF THE BEST PRACTICES OF OTHER  
COMMUNITIES DOING LIKE THIS, THE UPTICK ON THE MITIGATION FUND TYPICALLY IS  
PRETTY LOW BECAUSE IF YOU HOLD ONTO THE IDEA OF THE TIME IT WENT BAD  
EVEN THOUGH THE OCCURRENCE OF THAT ON A REGULAR BASIS, EVEN FOR FOLKS  
WHO ARE FORMALLY UN-HOUSED IS PRETTY LOW.

SO, IT IS JUST ESSENTIALLY THAT IT IS A FUND AND IT IS USED.

THE THINGS THAT FOLKS HAVE SEEN IN NATIONAL EXAMPLES THAT ARE MORE  
EFFECTIVE ARE THE VACANCY PAYMENTS AND AGAIN YOU KNOW THE MARKET IS  
TIGHT AND THE LANDLORD WANTS TO HOLD THAT YOU KNOW OPEN WHEN THEY  
COULD JUST GO LEASE IT TO SOMEBODY.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: WE HAD A PUBLIC COMMENT MENTIONING THAT SHE HAD 25  
APPLICANTS IN AN HOUR.

>>JOHNATHAN: THIS IS A PERFECT EXAMPLE OF THAT CASE BEING OPEN FOR A  
PERIOD OF TIME AND THEY CAN RETURN FOR THAT AND THEN THE OTHER PETE  
WHICH IS ARE YOU WILLING TO KEEP THAT PERSON HOUSED IN THEIR AND THAT  
WOULD BE THE MOST SUCCESSFUL WHEN SOMEBODY IS GETTING INTO THE UNIT  
AND THEN STICKING AROUND AS WELL APPEARED.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: IF WE IMPLEMENT THESE MEDICATIONS, DO WE FEEL  
CONFIDENT THAT THIS WOULD - I KNOW THE LAST TIME HOUSING FORWARD WAS

HERE IT WAS THE CONCERN ABOUT THE FOLKS WHO WERE EITHER MOVING TOWARDS HOMELESSNESS AND NEEDED ACCOMMODATIONS OR WHO WERE LIVING IN THEIR CAR AND IN LINE AND THEY JUST WEREN'T AVAILABLE. IF WE FUNDED THIS, DOES THIS FIX THAT PROBLEM?

>>JOHNATHAN: YES, THAT IS THE IDEA. BETWEEN THIS AND THE RENTAL SYSTEMS. THOSE HISTORICALLY HAVE BEEN PROVIDING MONEY THAT ACTUALLY GOES TO TRY TO KEEP SOMEBODY IN THE UNIT ALREADY. SO THAT WAY THEY DON'T EVEN END UP IN THEIR CAR. SO, CONTINUING THOSE.

THESE WERE ACTUALLY LISTED IN SOMEWHAT DEGREE OF ORDER OF PRIORITY LIKE AFTER WE HAD THE CONVERSATIONS WITH THE TASK FORCE ON THINGS WE THINK ARE THE MOST FEASIBLE AND MOST IMPACTFUL AND THAT IS A REASON WHY THE RENTAL ASSISTANCE COORDINATION IS AT THE TOP OF THIS FOLLOWED BY THE NAVIGATION MITIGATION.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: GOT IT.

>>JOHNATHAN: AS OPPOSED TO THE STREET OUTREACH WHICH WE THINK IS IMPORTANT, BUT REQUIRES A DEFINITION AND THEN THERE IS THE ONGOING QUESTION IF THEY CIRCLE BACK AROUND THAT WE CAN HAVE OUTREACH FOLKS AT THAT HOUR, BUT THAT ARE OUT AT THIS TIME ON TUESDAY NIGHT, THAT IS GREAT. BUT, WHAT RESOURCES AND THEY CONNECT WHEN THEY ARE OUT THERE AT THE TIME IF THERE IS SPACE AT THE EMERGENCY SHELTER, IS THAT THE THING THAT IS THE MOST BENEFICIAL OR IS IT THINGS THAT ARE ACTUALLY HELPING GET SOMEBODY OFF THE STREET AND INTO HOUSING AND KEEP THEM IN THE HOUSE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: GOT IT.

I WOULD LIKE FOR US NOT TO HAVE A SITUATION WHERE THERE IS NO EMERGENCY SPACE FOR SOMEBODY WHO IS HOMELESS ON OUR STREETS. SO, IF YOU CAN TELL ME WHAT THAT CHECK SIZE NEEDS TO BE. SO, I AM NOT SURE WHAT MUNICIPALITY HOUSING COVERS, I KNOW IT IS NOT JUST DARK -

>>JOHNATHAN: ACROSS WEST COOK.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: SO, IF THERE IS NOT A GOVERNMENT IS THERE ROOM TO PARTNER WITH ADDITIONAL MUNICIPALITIES TO BRING THOSE UNITS ONLINE SO THAT FOLKS CAN HAVE ACCESS TO HOUSING?

>>JOHNATHAN: YOU MEAN ADDITIONAL SHELTER OR BEING ABLE TO HELP FOLKS IN OTHER PARTS OF THE WEST COOK REGION? THE SEVEN LET'S SAY BOTH.

>>JOHNATHAN: I WOULD DEFER TO LINDA, THOSE DO NOT EXIST RIGHT NOW AND THEY MASTER LEASE UNITS ACROSS ALL OF WEST COOK COUNTY IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO SOURCE FOR FOLKS TO BE ABLE TO LIVE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: IS THERE A ROOM FOR MUNICIPAL PARTNERSHIP TO ENABLE THOSE EMERGENCY SHELTERS AND PLACES THAT ARE NOT OAK PARK? I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT HAPPEN. AND YES.

THAT IS PRETTY MUCH IT FOR ME.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: READY?

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: YES, I'M GOOD.

SO, I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU JONATHAN FOR DOING THIS AND THE HOUSING FORWARD IN THE UN-HOUSED TASK FORCE.

THIS IS ALL GOOD INFORMATION, BUT IT IS LATE AND WHAT WE ARE PRESENTED WITH OUR SOME VAGUE IDEAS WITH VERY VAGUE COST IMPLICATIONS PAIRED I KNOW WE ARE NOT SPENDING MONEY AND WE ARE NOT AUTHORIZING MONEY, BUT WE ARE GIVING DIRECTION AND OBVIOUSLY DIRECTION IS A FUNNY THING AND WE HAVE TO BE CAREFUL, BECAUSE IT HAS A LOT OF IMPLICATIONS AND IT STARTS EVERYONE DOWN THE PATH.

I'M NOT AGAINST MANY IF NOT MOST OF THESE THINGS AND I THINK A LOT OF THEM ARE GOOD IDEAS, BUT I DO NOT THINK THIS IS NOT THE DETAIL AND THE LEVEL OF DETAIL AND THERE IS A LACK OF COST INFORMATION AND I AM NOT COMFORTABLE EVEN WEIGHING IN PERHAPS KEVIN WAS TO CLARIFY?

>>MANAGER JACKSON: I THINK THERE IS A OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE FOLLOW-UP CONVERSATION ABOUT THE PREFERENCES BASED ON FEEDBACK THAT WE RECEIVED TONIGHT AND I THINK THAT WE HAVE ZERO-SUM COST THAT WE CAN ASSOCIATE WITH THESE ITEMS, BUT IT IS ALL ABOUT PRIORITIZATION AND UNDERSTANDING THE BOARD INTEREST IN WHAT THEY ARE AND CERTAINLY THE COST IMPLICATIONS AND SO I THINK THAT IS SUBJECT TO FOLLOW-UP CONVERSATIONS AND SO I THINK THIS IS THE INITIAL CONVERSATION AS WELL AND I WANT TO PREFERENCE THAT IN THE BEGINNING THAT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO GET FEEDBACK ON THINGS THAT ARE IMPORTANT.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: OKAY, SO JUST TALKING ABOUT THE EMERGENCY SHELTER OPERATIONS.

WE ARE TALKING ABOUT 2026 FUNDING POTENTIALLY IF THE STATE FUNDING DOES NOT COME THROUGH, ESTIMATED COST.

WHEN WE GET INFORMATION BACK LIKE ARE WE GOING TO BE GIVEN INFORMATION OF WHERE THAT FUNDING WOULD COME FROM IN OUR BUDGET LIKE WE GIVE DIRECTION AND WE SAY GO AHEAD, BUT HOW ARE WE GOING TO PAY FOR IT, RIGHT? WE NEED TO KNOW THAT RIGHT NOW OR WHEN THAT COMES BACK TO US.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: YES, THAT IS THE INTENT OF STAFF TO IDENTIFY FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES AND SOME OF WHICH MAY BE FAIRLY STRAIGHTFORWARD AND OTHERS MAY NOT AND MAY REQUIRE SOME ADDITIONAL STUDY.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: AND LOOKING AT SPENDING ADDITIONAL MONEY ONE OF THE WAYS TO LOOK AT IT IS TO SAY WHAT OTHER AREAS CAN WE ECONOMIZE ON WITHOUT EXPANDING IT BEYOND GOING AGAIN AND AGAIN AND AGAIN AND WE WANT TO BE SURE THAT WE LOOK AT ALL OF THOSE OPPORTUNITIES AND I KNOW YOU WILL DO THAT OF COURSE.

AND THAT POINT IS WELL TAKEN IN TERMS OF ANY NEW INITIATIVES AND WE HAVE A BASE BUDGET AND WHEN WE START ADDING YOU KNOW HOW DO WE FUND IT? IS THERE NEW REVENUE AND WHAT ARE THE SOURCES OF THAT NEW REVENUE TO SUPPORT THOSE ACTIVITIES?

THOSE ARE LEGITIMATE QUESTIONS.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: A COUPLE THOUGHTS - THIS MENTIONS THE TOWNSHIP AND I THINK THIS IS IMPORTANT AND I THINK WE NEED TO BRING IN OUR BODIES HERE AND BRING THEM TO THE TABLE WITH OUR CHECKBOOKS, ESPECIALLY THE TOWNSHIP, BECAUSE REALLY THOSE ARE NOT THE TOWNSHIP FUNCTIONS AND I KNOW YOU ARE SHAKING YOUR HEAD YES, BUT THOSE ARE A LOT OF TOWNSHIP THINGS AND I DO UNDERSTAND AND I DON'T TO COMPLAIN ABOUT IT BECAUSE THERE ARE GOOD THINGS WE ARE DOING HERE THAT WE NEED TO CONTINUE, BUT THERE ARE ALSO EXPERTS AND A LOT OF THESE AREAS AND THEY BRING A LOT OF EXPERTISE AND FUNDING TO THE TABLE TO BE ABLE TO HELP US AS A PARTNER AND THOSE ARE DISCUSSIONS THAT WILL BE FORTHCOMING AND SO I DON'T TO SAY ANYTHING THAT HAS NOT ALREADY BEEN TALKED ABOUT BUT I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT THAT IS REALLY IMPORTANT AS WE MOVE AHEAD THAT WE CONTINUE TO EXPAND WITH ENTITIES THAT ARE IN THE BUSINESS OF DOING THIS THAT ARE NOT REALLY STEPPING UP A LOT OF TIMES AND I DON'T TO POINT FINGERS, BUT IT DOES HAPPEN. AND FINALLY I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THOSE BATHROOMS, BECAUSE I DO BELIEVE IT IS IMPORTANT FOR EVERY INDIVIDUAL THAT IS UN-HOUSED TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO USE SOME FORM OF A BATHROOM SOMEWHERE AND I UNDERSTAND IT IS DIFFICULT. MY CONCERN ON THIS ALTHOUGH WE ARE TALKING AND GIVING AND KEVIN IS TAKING TALLIES ABOUT WHO SUPPORTS WHAT AS WE GO AND THAT IS - WELL, THAT IS GOOD, YOU SHOULD.

I THINK THAT IS GOOD.

SO, THAT IS A HALF-MILLION DOLLAR PROPOSITION AND WHERE ARE WE GOING TO PAY FOR THAT?

IT IS A HALF-MILLION DOLLARS.

AND MY BIGGER CONCERN ON THAT ACTUALLY IS THOSE CAN BE REALLY DANGEROUS BOTH FOR RESIDENTS AND FOR THE UN-HOUSED AND I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT PEOPLE USING DRUGS IN THOSE AND THERE HAVE BEEN MANY PLACES WHERE PEOPLE LOCKED THEMSELVES IN OR AND I KNOW THEY WON'T HAVE THOSE YOU KNOW LIKE PROPER SAFETY AGREEMENT, BUT I WOULD WANT TO SEE A FULL ASSESSMENT BY BOTH OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT, PARAMEDICS AND OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT AS TO THE SAFETY AND HOW WE CAN ADDRESS THOSE THINGS.

THIS PICTURE THAT IS IN THE DIAGRAM, THERE IS NO WAY THAT YOU REALLY SHOULD BE ABLE TO LOOK UNDER VACANCY TO SEE A PERSON IS IN THEIR AND IS THERE A PERSON PASSED OUT AND DAD YOU DON'T EVEN KNOW.

YOU KNOW PEOPLE DO HAVE DRUG ISSUES AND THEY GO IN THERE AND THEY SHOOT UP.

SO, I WOULD BE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT.

I DO THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO HAVE THOSE NEEDS ADDRESSED, BUT I GUES I WANT TO SAY GO AHEAD WHEN THERE IS A BIG INSTALLATION AND THERE HAVE BEEN PLACES AND THEY PUT THEM UP AND TAKE THEM DOWN AND THEY SPENT A HALF-MILLION DOLLARS AND THEN THEY DETERMINE IT IS NOT SAFE.

AND WE CANNOT ENJOY THE SAFETY OF THE PEOPLE THAT USE IT AND WE HAVE TO TAKE HIM DOWN AGAIN AND THAT IS NOT WHAT WE WANT TO DO.

WE WANT TO DO IT RIGHT AND THAT IS A BIG DISCUSSION AND IT IS THOUGHTFUL AND REQUIRES A LOT OF INPUT AND I JUST THINK IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE CONSIDER THOSE THINGS AND I APPRECIATE ALL OF THE GOOD WORK AND I NEED MORE INFORMATION.

>>JOHNATHAN: I DON'T MIND SPECIFICALLY ABOUT THE PUBLIC RESTROOM THE OPERATION CONSIDERATION IS A CONVERSATION THAT THE TASK FORCE HAS PARTICULARLY AROUND THE TRADE-OFF AROUND ARE THEY OPEN ANYTIME OF THE DAY AND PARK DISTRICT HAS EXISTING BATHROOM FACILITIES AT THE PARK THAT THEY CLOSE AT CERTAIN TIMES IN ORDER FOR SAFETY REASONS AND YOU KNOW ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE HAD A CONVERSATION WITH THE TASK FORCE ABOUT SPECIFICALLY ABOUT THE TRADE-OFFS IF WE DID OPERATE SOME OF THOSE SIMILAR PROTOCOLS AND IF THEY WERE TO CLOSE AT 10 OR 11 O'CLOCK AT NIGHT AND WHAT WOULD THAT MEAN WITH UTILITIES AND ADDRESSING SOME OF THE ISSUES IN ADDITION TO THAT.

SO, CERTAINLY OBVIOUSLY MAKING SURE IT MAKES SENSE FOR POLICE AND FIRE.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: AND THEY ARE VERY COMPLICATED IN TERMS OF MAINTENANCE AND THEY WOULD FREEZE AND CONNECTING UNDER THE SEWER SYSTEM.

IT IS NOT EASY TO DO IN THE MIDDLE OF THE STREET.

SO, WHERE THESE ARE LOCATED OR POTENTIALLY LOCATED.

THERE ARE A LOT OF CONSIDERATIONS THAT NEED TO BE MADE AND REALLY HAVE TO BE CAREFUL IN MAKING THE RIGHT CHOICES.

THANK YOU.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: I THINK I AGREE WITH EVERYTHING THAT HAS BEEN SAID AND SO I WILL NOT REPEAT IT, BUT I WILL REITERATE THAT I DON'T THINK LIKE IT IS FAIR TO DO - WE WILL NOT DO JUSTICE TO THE IMPORT OF THE CONVERSATION AT THIS HOUR AND AT THIS POINT IN THE MEETING.

SO, I LOOK FORWARD TO GETTING MORE DETAIL AND BE MORE THOROUGH AND FAIR TO YOU KNOW THESE PROJECTS AND INITIATIVES.

JUST A FEW THINGS THAT I DON'T THINK I'VE BEEN TOUCHED ON.

EVEN THOUGH IMMEDIATE ACTION ITEMS AND ARE SORT OF SEEM TO BE LIKE SHORT AND MIDTERM IN TERMS OF CALL IT INVESTMENT OR OF TIME AND RESOURCES, I DO THINK IT IS POSSIBLE FOR US TO IMMEDIATELY ACT ON ROOT CAUSES OF HOMELESSNESS EVEN THOUGH WE WILL NOT SOLVE IT IMMEDIATELY I THINK WE CAN ADDRESS THOSE CAUSES WITH MORE URGENCY.

AND I DID NOT SEE THAT MUCH OF THAT IN THE SLIDES.

BUT, I THINK THAT WE NEED TO KEEP OURSELVES FOCUSED ON ERADICATING THOSE ROOT CAUSES SO THAT TO TRUSTEE WESLEY 'S POINT WE DON'T HAVE ANYONE WHO NEEDS - I WILL JUST STOP THAT SENTENCE AND NOT TRY TO RESCUE IT. AGAIN.

THE COST IS UNCLEAR AND THE RETURN OF INVESTMENT OTHER THAN THE RIDESHARING AND THE BATHROOMS, I DID NOT SEE ANY NUMBERS AND SO IT IS HARD TO PRIORITIZE IF I DON'T EVEN HAVE ANY KIND OF SENSE OF THAT INVESTMENT.

I WILL SAY WHEN IT COMES TO CLEANING PROTOCOLS I THINK WE ARE TALKING ABOUT LIKE ACTUAL STREET CLEANING AND SPACE CLEANING AND I FIND IT JUST LIKE GENERALLY UNCOMFORTABLE TO TALK ABOUT MOVING PEOPLE AND CALLING IT CLEANING.

AND I AM ESPECIALLY UNCOMFORTABLE AND I KNOW HE MENTIONED THAT THIS IS A FIRST READ AND IT IS A LITTLE BIT VAGUE, BUT THE PROCESS AROUND WHAT THIS WOULD ACTUALLY LOOK LIKE GIVES ME A LOT OF PAUSE AND CONCERN.

ESPECIALLY IF IT COMES TO GETTING RID OF PEOPLE'S BELONGINGS WHETHER THOSE ARE LIKE IMPORTANT DOCUMENTS AND ANYTHING RELATED TO THEIR HEALTHCARE.

NOT TO MENTION JUST IT IS THERE THINGS.

SO, I JUST FEEL A LOT OF DISCOMFORT AND DON'T KNOW EXACTLY HOW TO GIVE GUIDANCE OTHER THAN A LOT MORE CLARITY I THINK IS NECESSARY IN TERMS OF WHAT IS BEING IMPLEMENTED, HOW IT IS BEING COMMUNICATED AND WHAT THE VIOLATIONS ACTUALLY RESULT IN AND SO I WILL JUST GIVE A GENERAL SENSE OF DISCOMFORT AROUND AND WANTING A LOT MORE CLARITY BEFORE PROVIDING ANY LIKE MORE SPECIFIC DIRECTION.

AND THE LAST POINT AROUND COMMUNITY EDUCATION.

I NOTICED A ABSENCE OF OUR MAKE CHANGE, NOT SPARE CHANGE CAMPAIGN OF WHICH I HAVE RECEIVED A LOT OF NEGATIVE FEEDBACK IN OUR COMMUNITY.

SO, IS THE ABSENCE OF THAT IN THIS PRESENTATION MEANING THAT WE ARE ABANDONING THE EFFORT OR REBRANDING?

ARE WE GOING TO -

>>JOHNATHAN:

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: MAYBE TAKE SOME OF THAT NEGATIVE FEEDBACK AND REEVALUATE LIKE OUR MESSAGING AROUND HOW WE INTERACT WITH OUR UN-HOUSED POPULATION.

>>JOHNATHAN: WHEN WE HAVE HAD CONVERSATIONS THROUGH THE TASK FORCE ONE OF THE THINGS WE TALKED ABOUT ARE OTHER WAYS THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO EVOLVE AND SUPPORT BUSINESSES AND ADDRESSING ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED BY A VARIETY OF STAKEHOLDERS LOCALLY.

SO, WE VERY MUCH WANT TO COME BACK AND LOOK AT WHETHER OR NOT THAT CONTINUES TO MAKE SENSE.

AS YOU SEE IT DID NOT ULTIMATELY COME UP AS A TOPIC THAT THE TASK FORCE REALLY SPENT A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT AND INSTEAD FOCUS ON OTHER AREAS TO REALLY BE ABLE TO MAKE IMPACT.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: I HAVE HEARD NOT ONLY NEGATIVE, BUT ALSO CONFUSION AMONG FOLKS AND WHERE DOES THIS MONEY GO AND WHY IS IT BEING FACILITATED OUTSIDE OF THE VILLAGE AND SO I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO REVISIT AND MAYBE SUSPEND THAT CAMPAIGN AND THINK ABOUT HOW COMMUNITY EDUCATION CAN ACTUALLY BE MORE DIRECTED AT BOTH THE SHORT AND ULTIMATELY SHORT-TERM AND ULTIMATELY THE ROOT CAUSES OF HOMELESSNESS.

THANK YOU, THANK YOU.

I REALLY APPRECIATE ALL OF THE HARD WORK.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I AM LAST, RIGHT?

I WILL BE BRIEF.

I PROMISE.

YES.

I DEFINITELY APPRECIATE ALL OF THE WORK THAT WENT INTO THIS AND I THINK I BROADLY AGREE WITH THE FEEDBACK THAT MY COLLEAGUES HAVE SHARED ALREADY.

YES, IT IS HARD TO SAY LIKE WHAT IS GOING TO BE EFFECTIVE.

IN MY MIND I AM LIKE CAN WE GET LIKE I LOVE A GREAT LIKE IMPACT DIFFICULTY MATRIX KIND OF A SITUATION WHERE IT IS LIKE IF WE DO X, Y WILL HAPPEN, RIGHT? I KNOW SOME OF THIS STUFF IS HARDER TO MEASURE, BUT SOMETHING TO GIVE US A GUIDE ABOUT THE COSTS AND THEN ALSO NOT JUST MONETARY, BUT YOU KNOW HOW HARD IS IT TO ACHIEVE X WITH STAFF TIME OR WHATEVER OTHER PARTNERSHIPS ARE REQUIRED?

SO, KNOWING THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL IN TERMS OF GIVING YOU THAT GUIDANCE. AND SO I DO NOT HAVE A TON OF EXPERTISE IN THIS AREA AND I THINK I WILL DEFER TO THE EXPERT RECOMMENDATIONS THAT I'M SEEING, BUT YES I WILL ECHO WHAT MY COLLEAGUES HAD SAID AND YOU KNOW IT IS HARD TO WEIGH IN WITHOUT LIKE A LITTLE BIT MORE CLARITY AND DETAIL.

ALSO JUST BECAUSE IT WAS MENTIONED AND I AM NOT A FAIR OF THE SPARE CHANGE CAMPAIGN.

IT SEEMS LIKE VERY CONFUSING AND POTENTIALLY HARMFUL MESSAGING.

SO, YES, I KNOW IT IS NOT A PART OF THIS PRESENTATION, BUT IT DOES SEEM LIKE SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE RECONSIDERED.

I THINK THAT IS ALL I FORGOT.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ANY FEEDBACK ON THE BATHROOMS?

>>TRUSTEE EDER: IT IS HARD FOR ME TO SAY ONE WAY OR ANOTHER.

I WILL SAY IT IS INTERESTING TRUSTEE WESLEY 'S IDEA AROUND REPURCHASING EXISTING POTENTIALLY VACANT STOREFRONTS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

IT IS ALREADY THERE.

AND WE CAN MAKE USE OF IT.

I DON'T REALLY HAVE A STRONG OPINION ONE WAY OR ANOTHER.

IT IS A COST, THERE'S A BIG COST DIFFERENCE AND A QUALITY-OF-LIFE ASPECT TO THAT.

I DON'T HAVE MUCH OF AN OPINION SOMEONE HAS BEEN SHARED SO FAR.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY.

I HAVE BEEN SUPPORTIVE OF THE PORTA LOU FROM THE BEGINNING AND ALSO THE THOUGHT OF HAVING MORE BATHROOM LOCATION AND PERMANENT BRICK-AND-MORTAR - I YOU KNOW SINCE TRUSTEE WESLEY SAID IT I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHERE IN DOWNTOWN OAK PARK IT MAY BE AND THE IDEA IS NOT FLOWING DIRECTLY.

BUT, I ALSO WONDER IF THERE IS AN AT SOME POINT AN OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO WALK DOWNTOWN OAK PARK WHETHER IT IS 2 X 2 OR WITH THE TASK FORCE OR SOMETHING OR IF THERE IS - IS THE TASK FORCE GOING TO CONTINUE MEETING?

>>JOHNATHAN: IT WAS TIME-LIMITED.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: BECAUSE, I CAN UNDERSTAND WHY SOME OF THIS INFORMATION AND BULLET POINT IS DIFFICULT TO GIVE CLEAR DIRECTION ON. I ALSO UNDERSTAND IT IS A PART OF A BUDGET PROCESS AND MUCH OF THAT WOULD BE FLESHED OUT.

BUT, IF THERE IS SOMETHING LIKE A REPORT LIKE WE GOT WHEN IT WAS THE ALTERNATIVE RESPONSE REPORT THAT DID ALLOW FOR MORE DIGESTING OF INFORMATION - I ALSO DID NOT HEAR A LOT OF FEEDBACK ON AND SOME OF THE BULLET POINTS UNDER MODIFYING CLEANING PROTOCOLS INCLUDING YOU KNOW THE BUSINESSES OF THE SMALL BUSINESS ENTRANCES DIALOGUES AND SO MAYBE WE HAVE ONE OR 2 X 2 CONVERSATIONS OR IF YOU HAVE SOMETHING LIKE THAT ALREADY PLANNED AND PART OF IT I THINK IS THE LATENESS OF THE EVENING. I DID HEAR SOME GENERAL INTEREST IN INVESTIGATING HOW WE COULD BE A ONGOING PARTNER IN THE CONTINUING OF THE EMERGENCY SHELTER AND AT THE SAME TIME I DO UNDERSTAND THAT WE DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT MONEY WOULD COME FROM WE HAVE LOTS OF CONVERSATIONS ABOUT TO SPEND MONEY AND IT IS ALSO AGAIN FORTHCOMING IN THE WILL OF THE BOARD SEEMS TO BE THERE AND I ALSO HEAR ABOUT PARTNER ENTITIES TO ENGAGE NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES AND THOSE WITH THAT DIALOGUE CAN BE CHALLENGING.

AS A HOME RULE COMMUNITY WE HAVE A LOT OF RELIGION HOW TO CREATE FUNDING SOURCES AS NOT ALL OF OUR OTHER NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES HAVE. AND YOU COULD BE STUCK IN OTHER REVENUE SOURCES WHICH THE STATE OF ILLINOIS HAVE AND THE ABILITY TO PURSUE GRANTS ETC. I THINK THAT IS ONE REASON WHY A LOT FALLS ON OUR SHOULDERS AND I HAVE SAID MANY TIMES THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A TOWNSHIP AT THE TABLE AND I WOULD LIKE TO APPRECIATE THE SUPPORT OF MY COLLEAGUES IN PURSUING THAT CONVERSATION IN A VERY REAL WAY.

AND OKAY - THE TRANSPORTATION - I THOUGHT THAT TRUSTEE ENYIA 'S COMMENTS WERE QUITE INSIGHTFUL AND I WOULD CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THAT.

AND A STREET OUTREACH, THIS IS COMING FROM THE TASK FORCE AND ECHO TO TAKE ON THE MEETINGS TO FOCUS ON ONE SPECIFIC CONVERSATION ADDING MEMBERS OF THE CORE TEAM AND I CANNOT SEE THAT AS ANYTHING BUT GOOD AND AGREEABLE WITH THE PARTNER AGENCIES BECAUSE THEY KNOW EVERYBODY AS A CASE FOR WORKERS AS WAS MENTIONED BEFORE AND SOMETIMES MENTIONING SOMEBODY ELSE'S CASEWORKER CAN BE TOUCHY.

AND WHAT ELSE DO WE HAVE?

YES.

YOU KNOW IT IS NOTABLE MAYBE TO MENTION THAT YOU HAD REPRESENTATION FROM DOWNTOWN OAK PARK ON THIS TASK FORCE ALSO AND THAT THE RECOMMENDATION OF A BATHROOM WAS AGREEABLE THERE AS WELL AND ACTUALLY ASKED FOR WHERE A COUPLE OF YEARS BACK THERE WAS SOME

CONCERN ON SAFETY REALIZING THAT THOSE CHALLENGES HAVE CONTINUED AND YOU KNOW THERE IS KIND OF A UNANIMOUS READY TO GO THAT I AM DETECTING HERE AND - ON HOUSING MITIGATION, THE GOAL OF REDUCING THE DWELL TIME, IS THAT POSSIBLE?

IT FEELS LIKE IT IS ALREADY CHALLENGING TO GET PEOPLE OUT IN THE TIME THAT YOU HAVE.

>>SPEAKER: (AWAY FROM MIC) IS HAVING A DEDICATED STAFF PERSON WHOSE ROLE IN (INDISCERNIBLE) AND FINDING STABLE HOUSING (AWAY FROM MIC) WE CAN EXPEDITE THAT TO BE A DEDICATED SOURCE (AWAY FROM MIC) THAT ARE ALL TIED TO FEDERAL GRANTS (AWAY FROM MIC).

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IT DOES SOUND LIKE THAT IS A NECESSARY ADDITION TO THE PLAN.

I DO NOT KNOW THAT THE WORDING OF REDUCED SEEMS LIKE IT IS TIME AND I THINK YOU NEED THAT TO MAINTAIN WHAT YOU HAVE GOT WHICH IS WHAT I AM HEARING. BUT, ANYWAY IT SEEMS LIKE A NECESSARY NEED.

UNDERSTANDING JUST HOW DIFFICULT IT MAY BE TO HELP SOMEBODY FIND THE APPROPRIATE HOUSING OPTION AND WONDERING HOW THAT FITS INTO ALL OF THE DIFFERENT PIECES BETWEEN ECHO AND TOWNSHIP AND OTHER CASE MANAGEMENT STAFFING.

AND THEN THERE IS YOU KNOW I APPRECIATE THAT THIS IS MOVING FORWARD AND THAT THERE IS A TASK FORCE AND THAT THERE WILL BE PROBLEM-SOLVING WITH THIS AND I HOPE THAT THERE IS ENOUGH INFORMATION THAT YOU CAN SEE FROM US THAT WE SEE SOME FRUITFUL NEXT STEPS.

AND OTHERWISE I KNOW YOU WERE HERE.

WE ARE HERE TO KEEP HAVING THE DIALOGUE.

OKAY.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: I HAVE SUPPOSED REMARKS JUST BASED UPON - FIRST OF ALL THERE ARE THREE THINGS THAT WE DO WILL BRING ITEMS TO THE BOARD. SOMETIMES IT IS JUST A PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION THAT WE RECEIVE AND RETAKE THE INFORMATION AND FURTHER REFINE AND THEN GET THAT FEEDBACK INTO SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THEN CONVERT TO A STUDY SESSION AND WE ARE ACTUALLY RECEIVING SPECIFIC DIRECTION ON SOMETHING OF A PARTICULAR PROPOSAL AND SO FORTH AND THEN THE BOARD ACTION. WHERE WE MAKE DECISIONS FOR THE BOARD AND I JUST WANT TO LEVEL SET THE IDEA OF THIS IS ACTUALLY MORE FEEDBACK AND DISCUSSION BASED UPON ALL OF THE WORK THAT THE TASK FORCE DID IN THE STUDY OF THE PROBLEMS AND IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS.

THE TASK FORCE DID A GOOD JOB IN DIVIDING THE ACTIONS TO IMMEDIATE AND MEDIUM-TERM AND THERE WAS SPECIFIC COST INFORMATION RELATED TO THE MEDIA ACTION AND SHELTER OPERATIONS AND SO THE QUESTION IS HOW DO WE PLUG IN THE GAP FROM THE OPERATIONAL STANDPOINT AND THE COST WE ARE THINKING ABOUT ROUGHLY \$500,000.

THE BATHROOMS WAS A VERY SPECIFIC ITEM WITH COST INFORMATION RELATED AND IN TERMS OF THE CLEANING PROTOCOLS, WE CAN PROVIDE SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THAT AND IT DOES - IT HAS MULTIPLE DIMENSIONS TO IT AND HOW TO RESPOND IN A DIGNIFIED WAY IN THE COMMUNITY, BUT THEN DO WE HAVE LIKE OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS LIKE INCREASE IN LITTER AND YOU KNOW JUST A SANITATION ISSUE IN THE COMMUNITY AROUND THE BUSINESS DISTRICT THAT WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE MAINTAIN A SANITARY MANNER AND SO THEY MAY NEED TO BE A INCREASE IN CLEANING THE FREQUENCY AND SO FORTH.

AND TRANSPORTATION I THINK JONATHAN MENTIONED THAT WE ALREADY KIND OF HAVE SOME BUDGETED RESOURCES FOR THAT AND THE QUESTION AROUND WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS A RELIABLE SOURCE IN TERMS OF USING LYFT AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO INVESTIGATE THAT.

AND SO IT IS VERY HELPFUL TO GET ALL OF THE FEEDBACK ON THE MEDIUM-TERM OPTIONS AND SOME THINGS THAT MAY REQUIRE SOME ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS AND WE CAN CERTAINLY INFORM YOU ABOUT THE COST RELATED TO ALL OF THOSE ITEMS WHICH COULD BE FAIRLY SIGNIFICANT AND THEN YOU CAN EVALUATE THOSE COSTS AND COMMITMENTS THAT YOU HAVE COMING BEFORE YOU IN TERMS OF THE BUDGET PROCESS.

BUT, THESE THINGS RIGHT NOW AND THE FEEDBACK THAT WE HAVE IS VERY GOOD AND ALSO HELPS US TO ADDRESS SOME IMMEDIATE ITEMS RELATED TO THE SHELTER OPERATIONS AND THE BATHROOMS AND IT SEEMS LIKE THERE IS A GENERAL CONSENSUS ABOUT THE BATHROOM ISSUE AND THEN TRANSPORTATION AND PROVIDING MORE INFORMATION ON THE PROTOCOLS AND CLEANING PROTOCOLS.

SO, CONGRATULATE THE TASK FORCE AND I THINK THIS IS SOMETHING THAT BASED UPON WHAT WE HEARD LAST YEAR IN THE GENERAL EXPERIENCE AND RESPONSE TO ALL OF THE COMMUNITY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE UN-HOUSED AS WELL AS SOME OF THE ISSUES AND CONCERNS THAT HAPPENED IN OUR BUSINESS DISTRICTS YOU KNOW THE STAFF DECIDED AND COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP DECIDED TO COME TOGETHER TO CREATE A KIND OF TASK FORCE TO HELP STUDY THESE ISSUES COLLECTIVELY AND THEN IDENTIFY SOME SOLUTIONS TO BRING BACK TO START THE PROCESS OF HAVING THESE CONVERSATIONS AND SO ON AND FROM MY PERSPECTIVE I WANT TO APPLAUD THE WORK.

THANK YOU FOR HANGING IN THERE TONIGHT.

BECAUSE, DESPITE THE TIME WE ACTUALLY GOT SOME GOOD FEEDBACK TONIGHT THAT HELPED INFORM THE NEXT STEPS.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I WANT TO VERY BRIEFLY ECHO SOMETHING THAT JIM SAID AND DEREK SAID AND THAT IS THAT IT IS HARD TO EVALUATE AND I KNOW THAT THIS IS FOR GENERAL DISCUSSION FOR YOU TO COME BACK WITH MORE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS ON THESE THINGS.

I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO US EVEN IF THE PRESENTATION IS VERY TOPLINE AND BULLETED, FOR IT TO BE ACCOMPANIED BY A MEMO THAT PROVIDES A FAR GREATER LEVEL OF DETAIL ON RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE DATA IS SUPPORTING AND THE OUTCOMES WE EXPECT AND SOME OF THE DISADVANTAGES OF THE

PROPOSAL - WHEN WE AS POLICYMAKERS ARE HAVING TO RESPOND JUST BASED ON BULLET POINTS, IT DOES NOT ALLOW US TO DO THE BEST JOB WE CAN DO FOR OUR COMMUNITY WHERE IT REQUIRES US TO GO OUT AND DO A GREAT DEAL OF ADDITIONAL YOU KNOW PERSONAL RESEARCH RATHER THAN RELYING ON THE EXPERTISE THAT I KNOW EXISTS ON THE TASK FORCE, BECAUSE THESE RECOMMENDATIONS CAME OUT OF EXPERT ANALYSIS.

>>>

AND SO I DO GREATLY APPRECIATE ALL OF THE WORK THAT WENT INTO MAKING THESE RECOMMENDATIONS AND BRINGING THESE RECOMMENDATIONS BEFORE US AND I DO WANT TO CONCUR WITH JIM'S COMMENTS ON THIS PRESENTATION AND YOU KNOW THE SHEETS THAT WE HAD, IT DOES MAKE IT HARD FOR US TO GIVE A LOT OF DETAILED AND NUANCED FEEDBACK BECAUSE WE ARE REACTING TO A SINGLE PAGE WITH LIKE FIVE BULLET POINTS ABOUT LIKE ISSUE/ SOLUTION WITHOUT NECESSARILY BEING ABLE TO GET INTO THE NUANCE A LITTLE BIT MORE.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: YES, ABSOLUTELY.

POINT WELL TAKEN.

JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN PRESENTATION DISCUSSION AND ACTUALLY A DETAILED ANALYSIS OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS WHERE THE COST INFORMATION HAS BEEN YOU KNOW THOSE ARE TWO TEVIN ITEMS. ONE IS A STUDY SESSION WHERE WE ARE SEEKING DIRECTION AND PROVIDING ENOUGH CONTENT TO SOLICIT DIRECTION AND ONE IS INFORMING. AND IN THIS CASE WE ARE INFORMING ABOUT THE OUTCOME OF THE WORK OF THE TASK FORCE.

TO LATER COME BACK WITH SOME VERY SPECIFIC INFORMATION ABOUT THINGS THAT YOU ARE INTERESTED IN IN RESPONSE TO THE REPORT BACK.

BUT, IN TERMS OF A DETAILED WRITTEN INFORMATION, I THINK THAT IS A LOADED POINT IN TERMS OF MAKING SURE THERE IS WRITTEN INFORMATION THAT CORRESPONDS WITH WHAT IS GOING TO BE PRESENTED.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: CERTAINLY AND I UNDERSTAND THE TYPE OF FEEDBACK THAT WAS BEING SOUGHT AND I GUESS ALL I'M SAYING IS THAT PART OF THAT FEEDBACK WAS SORT OF RANKING AND SAYING LIKE THESE ARE THE THINGS THAT WE REALLY WANT TO MOVE FORWARD ON AND THAT JUST YOU KNOW GOING FROM THE 30,000 FOOT VIEW TO THE 10,000 FOOT VIEW AND GETTING A LITTLE BIT OF ADDITIONAL SUBSTANCE WILL ALLOW US TO PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT BETTER FEEDBACK ON THAT RANKING AND THE PRIORITIZATION.

THAT IS ALL.

AND I DO APPRECIATE ALL OF THE WORK THAT WENT INTO GETTING US TO THIS CONVERSATION TODAY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: OKAY, THANK YOU.

I DID NOT MEAN TO CUT ANYBODY OFF.

OKAY, CALL TO BOARD AND CLERK, PLEASE?

AND THINK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS CONVERSATION.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: I JUST WANT TO ASK IF IT IS POSSIBLE WITHOUT TOO MUCH TROUBLE TO GET US A SUMMARY OF THE FEEDBACK WE RECEIVED AS US GIVING HER TONIGHT BECAUSE THERE WERE A LOT OF ISSUES THAT WERE NOT COVERED OR THAT WE DID NOT TALK ABOUT AND SOME OF THEM LIKE THE TOPICS WE SKIPPED OVER AND I'M NOT CLEAR MYSELF WITH THE BOARD AS WE WENT THROUGH IT AND TRYING TO KEEP TRACK OF IT. MAYBE JUST A QUICK OVERVIEW. THAT IS ALL I WANT TO SAY.

>>MANAGER JACKSON: ABSOLUTELY.

>>TRUSTEE TAGLIA: THANK YOU.

>>TRUSTEE LEVING-JACOBSEN: I JUST WANT TO REFLECT ON ONE OF OUR PROCLAMATIONS.

I LOVED HEARING THE BROOKS KIDS, BUT THE PROCLAMATION HONORING HISPANIC AND LATIN X HERITAGE MOTHER CALLED US TO CELEBRATE THE HISTORIES, CULTURES AND CONTRIBUTIONS OF AMERICAN CITIZENS WHOSE ANCESTORS CAME FROM SPAIN, MEXICO, THE CARIBBEAN, CENTRAL AND SOUTH AMERICA, NOT JUST CITIZENS, I WOULD CORRECT THAT AND TO HONOR THE VALUABLE WAYS THAT THESE INDIVIDUALS CONTRIBUTE TO OUR COMMON GOALS AND WORK TOWARD A STRONGER MORE INCLUSIVE AND MORE PROSPEROUS SOCIETY FOR ALL. I THINK I WOULD JUST CALL ON ALL OF US TO TAKE THAT WITH US, NOT JUST FOR THE REST OF THE MONTH, BUT AS WE CONTINUE TO SEE AN INCREASE OF TARGETING AND VIOLENCE TOWARDS THIS COMMUNITY AND THESE COMMUNITIES HERE IN OAK PARK AND IN OUR NEIGHBORING SUBURBS AND THE CITY OF CHICAGO. THE COMBINATION OF DEHUMANIZING THIS COMMUNITY AND THE VULNERABILITY OF LEGAL STATUS IS A RECIPE FOR GENOCIDE AND WE ARE SEEING AT RAMP UP IN VERY SCARY WAYS HERE THAT ECHOES SOME OF THE WORST IN HUMAN HISTORY. AND SO JUST OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD SOME OF THE WAYS THAT WE CAN SHOW SOLIDARITY TO OUR FRIENDS AND NEIGHBORS WERE BEING TARGETED WITH THIS VIOLENCE.

SEEK OUT INDEPENDENT MEDIA, OUR FRIEND PAUL GOYETTE, FOLLOW HIM AND HIS DOCUMENTATION OF WHAT IS GOING ON, UNRAVELED PRESS.

SHOP AND SPEND YOUR MONEY AT LATIN X AND HISPANIC OWNED BUSINESSES. GO TO THESE CULTURAL CELEBRATIONS AROUND THE COMMUNITY TO SHOW YOUR SOLIDARITY AND THAT NOT ONLY ARE WE MAKING A PROCLAMATION WITH WORDS, BUT WITH OUR BODIES AND OUR MONEY AND TO CONTINUE TO SHOW UP AS THINGS GET JUST EXTREMELY SCARY.

>>TRUSTEE EDER: I WILL JUST ADD AND PIGGYBACKING OFF OF WHAT JENNA HAD SAID, SOMETHING THAT WAS IN THE CONSENT AGENDA TONIGHT WAS A ACTION THAT THIS BOARD TOOK TO INCREASE FEES AND FINES, CIVIL FINES FOR IMPERSONATING A POLICE, FIRE, LOCAL OR FEDERAL OFFICER AND THAT IS SOMETHING THAT I'M GLAD TO SEE THAT WE HAVE DONE. THERE IS ALREADY STATE LAWS ON THE BOOKS AND FEDERAL LAWS ON THE BOOKS AND WE ADDED OUR OWN, BECAUSE THERE ARE MASKED AGENTS ROAMING AROUND OUR STREETS IN OAK PARK AND IN OUR NEIGHBORING MUNICIPALITIES AND

SOMEBODY WAS KILLED BY A FEDERAL OFFICER WHO WAS A PART OF A ICE INTERACTION AND SO IT IS REALLY SCARY AND VERY REAL AND I ECHO EVERYTHING THAT JENNA SAID.

WE HAVE TO BAND TOGETHER AND I'M VERY HAPPY TO SEE THAT AS A BOARD WE PUT A POLICY ON THE BOOKS THAT WILL CONFRONT SOME OF THIS TERRORIZING THAT IS HAPPENING IN OUR COMMUNITY.

SO, I'LL END WITH THAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS JENNA AND DEREK. AND EARLIER TODAY, THE GOVERNOR DID COME TO OAK PARK TO RECEIVE THE PAUL SIMON COURAGE IN PUBLIC SERVICE AWARD FROM LUTHERAN SERVICES OF ILLINOIS AT GOOD SHEPHERD LUTHERAN CHURCH.

THE AWARD WAS ESTABLISHED IN 2004 BY SEVERAL LUTHERAN ORGANIZATIONS TO HONOR THE LATE SENATOR SIMON EXTRAORDINARY LEGACY OF PUBLIC SERVICE. THE RECOGNITION ACKNOWLEDGES GOVERNOR P LIFELONG COMMITMENT TO DEVELOPING JUST, COMPASSIONATE AND RESPONSIBLE PUBLIC POLICIES, ESPECIALLY IN DEFENSE OF MEDICAID AND SOCIAL SERVICES.

ALSO HONORED WAS HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE LINDSAY LAMONT FROM THE 19TH DISTRICT FOR LEGISLATOR OF THE YEAR AWARD FOR HER LEADERSHIP ENSURING RESIDENTS HAVE ACCESS TO NEEDED BEHAVIORAL HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES WHILE BEING THERE WAS INSPIRATIONAL ALONGSIDE SENATE PRESIDENT DON HARTMAN AND DAVID BOAT, ARE PREVIOUS VILLAGE PRESIDENT AND OUR RESIDENT CORPORATION.

IT WAS ALSO - JUST VERY IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE AND TO HEAR OUT LOUD HOW MUCH DAMAGE THE CUTS ARE FROM MEDICAID AND MENTAL HEALTH AND OTHER SERVICES LOOKING FORWARD TO SUPPORT THESE HUMAN BEINGS AND THE DEVASTATING EFFECTS THAT WILL HAVE ANY CONVERSATIONS LIKE TONIGHT MAKE ME PROUD THAT THIS IS A BOARD THAT IS INVESTED IN PROVIDING ACCESS TO ALL HUMANS AND AGAIN, CITIZENS OR NOT AND WORKING HARD AND I APPRECIATE OUR STAFF AND THEIR DILIGENCE IN MAKING SURE THAT WE ARE PREPARED AND AS MUCH AS WE CAN BE FOR PROTECTING AND SERVING OUR RESIDENTS.

I CONTINUE TO BE GRATEFUL TO ORGANIZERS AND PEOPLE WHO ARE TRAINED TO RESPOND TO THOSE EMERGENCY AND MOMENTS AND TO BE HERE AND THE CONTINUED ADVOCACY AND REPRESENTATION AT FACILITIES.

YOU KNOW MAYBE THAT IS NOT WHAT EACH OF US - I'M SO TIRED, THIS IS DIFFICULT - IT MAY NOT BE FOR EVERYBODY TO BE PRESENT AT DEMONSTRATIONS, BUT WE CAN ALL STILL TAKE ACTION AND SOME OF WHICH JENNA COMMENTED ON TO SUPPORT OUR COMMUNITY AND ESPECIALLY THOSE WHO ARE IN GREAT FEAR AND FEELING GREAT ANXIETY AND NEEDING TO HIDE AND NOT ABLE TO LEAVE THEIR HOMES IN OUR COMMUNITY.

I ALSO WANT TO ANNOUNCE I AM REALLY HONORED TO HAVE OFFICIALLY RECEIVED MY LETTER OF APPOINTMENT PROVIDED FROM THE GOVERNOR TO THE ILLINOIS LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING AND STANDARDS BOARD.

SO - THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

AND SO I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO ALL OF THAT - YES.

THAT WAS THE EMAIL I RECEIVED.

(CHUCKLE) AND OKAY, WELL, THANK YOU FOR YOUR ONGOING WORK IN OUR COMMUNITY.

ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ADJOURN.

>>SPEAKER: MOTION.

>>SPEAKER: SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: ALL IN FAVOR?

(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS)

AYE.