

\*\*\*\*\*DISCLAIMER\*\*\*\*\*

THE FOLLOWING IS AN UNEDITED DRAFT TRANSLATION. THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE VERBATIM, HAS NOT BEEN PROOFREAD AND MAY CONTAIN ERRORS. PLEASE CHECK WITH THE SPEAKER(S) FOR ANY CLARIFICATION.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE COPIED OR DISSEMINATED UNLESS YOU OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE OFFICE OR SERVICE DEPARTMENT THAT IS PROVIDING CART CAPTIONING TO YOU.

THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE USED IN A COURT OF LAW. -DH

\*\*\*\*\*DISCLAIMER\*\*\*\*\*

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WELCOME BACK.  
IT IS A 7:11 PM NOW LIKE TO CALL THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE VILLAGE BOARD ORDER.  
CLERK WATERS PLEASE - DEBBIE CLERK IONIC, WILL YOU PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL?

>> TRUSTEE BUCHANAN.  
>> ABSENT.  
>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.  
>> HERE.  
>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.  
>> HERE.  
>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.  
>> HERE.  
>> TRUSTEE STRAW.  
>> HERE.  
>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.  
>> HERE.  
>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.  
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: HERE.

THANK YOU SO MUCH, CLERK WATERS, PLEASE INTRODUCE YOUR GUEST.

>>CLERK: ABSOLUTELY.  
JUNIOR DEPUTY CLERK WESLEY KNIGHT VISITED ALL THE DEPARTMENTS HERE AT VILLAGE HALL.  
THIS INCLUDED A MEETING WITH CHIEF BUILDING OFFICIAL STEPHEN (NAME?).

WHEN THEY WERE DEPUTIZED, ALSO JUNIOR DEPUTY CLERK WATERS, UNOFFICIALLY AS JUNIOR BUILDING SAFETY INSPECTORS, WHICH INCLUDE EXTENSIVE BUILDING SAFETY TRAINING THROUGHOUT VILLAGE HALL. AFTER MEETING MEMBERS FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, ADJUDICATION AND THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT, JUNIOR DEPUTY CLERK WESLEY, WHO EXPRESSED INTEREST IN ARCHITECTURE AND A FUTURE CAREER AS AN ARCHITECT HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO MEET THE DEVELOPING SERVICE TEAM INCLUDING URBAN PLANNER SUSIE THEY MAKE AND ZONING DISTRIBUTOR MIKE EMIGRATED THE OFFICE OF THE VILLAGE CLERK PRESENTED INFORMATION ON HOW THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT WORKS, THE ROLE OF THE CLERK PLAYS WITHIN OUR VILLAGE AND HOW HER DAD HOPES TO CREATE HISTORY HERE IN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK AS A TRUSTEE. WE TALKED ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF VOTER REGISTRATION, ARCHIVING DOCUMENTS, BUSINESS LICENSES, TRANSPARENCY, EQUITY AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT. WE ALSO HAD A WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY TO SPEND QUALITY TIME WITH OUR CHIEF OF POLICE, SHATONYA JOHNSON, WHO SPOKE TO YOU DEBBIE CLERK WESLEY AND WATERS AND PROVIDED THEM WITH THE TOUR AND DISCUSSED THE ATTITUDES OF COMMUNITY AND LEADERSHIP. THE OFFICE OF THE VILLAGE CLERK IS HONORED TO HAVE JUNIOR DEPUTY CLERK WESLEY JOIN OUR TEAM NOW OF JUNIOR DEPUTY CLERK'S. AND HER WILLINGNESS TO CONTINUE TO LEARN ABOUT LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND CONTRIBUTE TO OAK PARK COMMUNITY THROUGH CIVIC ENGAGEMENT. I WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT THE CERTIFICATE OF COMPETENCY TO JUNIOR DEPUTY CLERK WESLEY. CERTIFICATES OF COMPETENCY PRESENTED TO AYANA MELIA WESLEY AND IN RECOGNITION OF HER TENURE AS JUNIOR DEPUTY CLERK OF THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK FOR THE DAY AND CALLING THE OFFICIAL ROLE AT THE REGULAR BOARD MEETING, JULY 9, 2024, SIGNED VICKI SCAMAN, VILLAGE PRESIDENT AND CHRISTINA WATERS, VILLAGE CLERK.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR SURFACE - SERVICE, DEPUTY CLERK WESLEY.

[APPLAUSE]

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AND THE VILLAGE CLERK WATERS. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR ALL THAT YOU DO. I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AS SUBMITTED.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AT THANK YOU.

ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MINUTES.

ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES FROM THE JUNE 11, 2024 REGULAR MEETING OF VILLAGE BOARD IN JUNE 18, 2024 REGULAR MEETING OF THE VILLAGE BOARD.

MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MINUTES HAVE BEEN PASSED.

CLERK WATERS, ANY NON-AGENDA PUBLIC, THIS EVENING?

>>CLERK: YES WE DO.

PATRICK?

>>PUBLIC COMMENTER: GREAT.

PATRICK (NAME?), A 25 N. RICHLAND, LIFELONG OAK PARK RESIDENT.

GREAT TO BE SPEAKING WITH Y'ALL.

YOUR TODAY TO SPEAK ABOUT SOMETHING THAT IS VERY NEAR AND DEAR TO MY HEART.

THE JULY 4TH FIREWORKS.

AS A LIFELONG OAK PARK RESIDENT I HAVE HAD COUNTLESS MEMORIES OF THESE BRILLIANT DISPLAYS LIGHTING UP THE SKY AND BRING OUR COMMUNITY TOGETHER IN CELEBRATION.

MY WIFE AND I BOTH GREW UP HERE IN OAK PARK AND HAVE ALWAYS CHERISHED THESE MEMORIES AS A FAMILY.

THE JULY 4TH FIREWORKS IN OAK PARK WERE MORE THAN JUST A SPECTACULAR SHOW, THEY WERE A SYMBOL OF UNITY, JOY, AND COMMUNITY SPIRIT.

NEIGHBORS WOULD COME TOGETHER, FAMILIES WOULD GATHER AND WE WOULD ALL SHARE OUR EXCITEMENT AND PRIDE FOR OUR TOWN.

IT IS THESE MOMENTS THAT DEFINE WHAT IT MEANS TO BE PART OF OAK PARK.

AND IN THESE MOMENTS WE WANT TO PASS THAT DOWN TO OUR FUTURE GENERATIONS.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, MY IN-LAWS WERE ALSO (WORD?) HAVE HOSTED A FOURTH OF JULY FIREWORKS PARTY FOR THE PAST 48 YEARS DIRECTLY ACROSS FROM THE HIGH SCHOOL, BRINGING FAMILY AND FRIENDS FROM ALL OVER THE COMMUNITY TO WITNESS THE SPECTACULAR DISPLAY.

THIS TRADITION HAS CERTAINLY BEEN A CORNERSTONE OF OUR FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND SOMETHING WE WOULD LOVE TO HAVE RETURNED.

UNFORTUNATELY IN RECENT YEARS WE HAVE SEEN THE FIREWORKS TRADITION FADE AWAY, PUN INTENDED, AND AS MANY OF YOU KNOW LIKE ME FEEL A DEEP SENSE OF LOSS.

I BELIEVE IT IS NOT TOO LATE TO BRING THIS BACK.

IT HAS BEEN REPORTED THAT A LACK OF FUNDING OR A LACK OF A SPONSOR HAS BEEN THE REASON FOR THE FIREWORKS TO GO AWAY SO HERE SIMPLY TO SAY, AS WE HAVE DONE BEFORE IN OAK PARK, WE ARE REALLY GOOD AT RAISING MONEY.

WE ARE VERY GOOD AT FUNDRAISING AND TAKING ON COMMUNITY INITIATIVES, AS EVIDENT AS ONE OF OUR OWN BOARD MEMBERS THAT FOUND A GREAT CAUSE AND THE RESTAURANT INDUSTRY AND WAS ABLE TO HELP BRING A WHOLE LOT OF MONEY TO OUR COMMUNITY.

I THINK IT IS TIME FOR US TO TAKE ON THAT SAME CHALLENGE AND BRING THE FIREWORKS BACK FOR 2025 AND BEYOND SO WE CAN ALL PASS ON THIS AMAZING TRADITION OF BEING TOGETHER AS COMMUNITY TO CELEBRATE OUR GREAT NATION AND OUR GREAT COMMUNITY.

I APPRECIATE ALL THAT YOU DO FOR OUR BOARD, FOR THE VILLAGE AND APPRECIATE YOUR TIME.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

ANY OTHERS?

NO?

OKAY.

TRADITIONALLY WE DO NOT RESPOND TO PUBLIC COMMENT IMMEDIATELY BECAUSE WE ARE MEANT TO MOVE FORWARD IN THE AGENDA AND FOR STAFF TO RESPOND. I WILL SHARE THOUGH BECAUSE THE UNDERSTANDING THAT IT WAS ALL ABOUT MONEY - - OKAY.

WE ALSO ARE MISSING A LOCATION.

SINCE THE OAK PARK/RIVER FOREST HIGH SCHOOL INSTALLED TURF ON THE LAWN OR THE FIELD, WE ARE NO LONGER ABLE TO USE THAT SPACE.

THE TURF WOULD OBVIOUSLY IS FLAMMABLE AND THE COST TO COVER IT FROM ONE END OF THE TURF TO THE OTHER IS EXTRAORDINARY AND I DO NOT EVEN KNOW EXACTLY.

LIKE \$100,000?

WE DO NOT KNOW RIGHT NOW.

TO COVER THE LAWN.

I THINK ORIGINALLY I REMEMBER SOME CONVERSATIONS WHEN THE HIGH SCHOOL WAS MAKING A DECISION TO USE TURF, THAT NUMBER WAS THROWN OUT THERE AS TO WHAT IT WOULD COST TO COVER IT.

YOU CANNOT TRUST MY MEMORY.

AND SO THAT IS PROBABLY THE MORE TRUE OBSTACLE BECAUSE YOU ARE CORRECT AND I APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT YOU ACTUALLY PUT SOME THOUGHT INTO YOUR COMMENT TONIGHT AND YOUR MEMORIES OF HISTORICALLY PARTICIPATING AND WATCHING THE FIREWORKS.

IT IS THAT IF IT WAS JUST MONEY THAT I'M SURE WE COULD HAVE FOUND A WAY.

SO FINDING AN ALTERNATIVE LOCATION IS GOING TO BE A CHALLENGE, AN ONGOING CHALLENGE.

I THINK THERE ARE PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY THAT ARE WILLING TO INVESTIGATE THAT, BUT WE CANNOT MAKE ANY PROMISES THAT ANOTHER LOCATION ACTUALLY EXISTS IT IS SO I'M SORRY THAT THE COMMUNICATION ABOUT THE TURF CHALLENGE WAS NOT INCLUDED IN A STATEMENT REGARDING THE COST ITSELF.

OKAY?

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR JOINING US.

WE HAVE NO PROCLAMATIONS.

VILLAGE MANAGERS REPORT?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: NO VILLAGE MANAGERS REPORT TODAY.

THANK YOU.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

ANY - SURE.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: MANAGER JACKSON, WE HAVE CALENDARS THROUGH OUR AUGUST BREAK, RIGHT?

WE HAD A CALENDAR THROUGH JULY.

CAN YOU JUST REMIND ME WHERE THAT IS BECAUSE I WAS LOOKING FOR IT AND I COULD NOT FIND IT.

IF YOU WANT TO EMAIL ME LATER, THAT IS FINE, I JUST WAS NOT SEEING AT.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: IS NOT POSTED TONIGHT, AND YEAH, I WAS OUT OF OFFICE ON VACATION AND I WILL HAVE THE VILLAGE MANAGERS UPDATE THE CALENDAR AND SEND IT TO THE BOARD.

THE LAST VERSION?

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: TRUSTEE PARAKKAT IS SAYING JUNE 4.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: IS STILL, I THINK WE NEED TO UPDATE IT WITH WHAT IS CURRENTLY PLANNED FOR THE BALANCE OF JULY.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MHM.

GREAT.

ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD?

GOOD.

CITIZEN COMMISSION VACANCIES.

AS ALWAYS WE HAVE - I THINK I SKIPPED VILLAGE BOARD COMMITTEES.

WE HAVE ANY?

CITIZEN VACANCY REPORT IS PROVIDED BY THE VILLAGE CLERK'S OFFICE AND IF YOU'RE INTERESTED IN SERVING YOU CAN PLEASE EMAIL THE CLERK AT CLERK@OAK-PARK.US.

AT THIS TIME I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO CONSENT TO MY VILLAGE APPOINTMENTS FOR COMMISSIONS.

CLERK WATERS PLEASE READ THOSE NAMES FOR US.

>>CLERK: AND VANDERBILT.

APPOINTED AS MEMBER OF THE ADVISORY COMMISSION.

THOMAS PTACEK APPOINTED TO THE CIVIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS COMMISSION.

PAUL E RIBERA APPOINTED TO THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION.

KEITH SPENCER, APPOINTED AS A CHAIR TO THE HOUSING PROGRAMS ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND ANDREA KRIEG APPOINTED AS A MEMBER TO THE HOUSING PROGRAMS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH GOOD MOTION, PLEASE.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.  
ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: EXCELLENT.  
SO I AM GOING TO CALL UP OUR LIQUOR LICENSES.  
SO THAT - WE ARE MOVING TO REGULAR AGENDA OF ITEMS PURSUANT TO VILLAGE  
CODE CHAPTER 3, ALCOHOL LIQUOR DEALERS AND RELATED.  
THE TRUSTEE PARAKKAT?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: - -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.  
MOTION APPROVING ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 3, ARTICLE 1, SECTION 3-1-  
1, SECTION 3-4-2 AND ARTICLE 8, 3-8-1 AND SECTION 3-8-2 OF OAK PARK  
VILLAGE CODE FOR ISSUANCE OF A CLASS B-SEVEN RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITY  
LIQUOR LICENSE DOING AMERICAN HOUSING LIQUOR DOING BUSINESS AS  
AMERICAN HOUSE.

>> MOTION BY TRUSTEE ENYIA AND SECONDED BY TRUSTEE STRAW.  
VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON, VILLAGE ATTORNEY, PAUL?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER:

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: THIS ORDINANCE CREATES A NEW CLASS B-SEVEN AT  
LIQUOR LICENSE CLASSIFICATION FOR RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES IN  
AMERICAN HOUSE WILL BE YOUR FIRST LICENSEE UNDER THIS CATEGORY YOU CAN  
SEE THE ORDINANCE THERE IS VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS FOR THE RESIDENTIAL  
CARE LICENSEES AND THERE IS A TIERED APPROACH TO THE LICENSE FEES AND  
APPLICATION FEES FOR THE APPLICANTS THAT WILL COME BEFORE YOU AND I  
UNDERSTAND AMERICAN HOUSE IS HERE TONIGHT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: PLEASE, WOULD YOU LIKE TO COME DOWN AND SAY  
HELLO?

YOU DON'T HAVE TO EITHER.

NO?

THE BEST VIEW IN TOWN.

AMERICAN HOUSE IS AN AMAZING VIEW.

YES, THANK YOU.

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: AS YOU PROBABLY KNOW THEY ARE LOCATED ON  
MADISON STREET HERE IN THE VILLAGE AND THEY WILL BE YOUR FIRST  
LICENSEE, ASSUMING THAT THE ORDINANCE IS APPROVED.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: VERY GOOD.  
ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?  
EXCELLENT.

SO CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> - -

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I'M SORRY?

>> WHAT ARE THEIR HOURS?

>> (SPEAKER AWAY FROM MIC).

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: THANK YOU.

>> (SPEAKER AWAY FROM MIC).

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: EXCELLENT.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: IS THERE A TYPO ON PAGE 4, AMERICAN HOUSE  
ILLINOIS?  
LIQUOR?

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: WE WILL FIX THAT.  
THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR CATCHING THAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: VERY GOOD.  
THANK YOU.

CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

THANK YOU, SO CONGRATULATIONS AND I LOOK FORWARD TO VISITING.

>> (SPEAKER AWAY FROM MIC).

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: YOUR LICENSE CAN BE ISSUED AND YOU PICK IT UP  
FROM THE DEVELOPMENT CUSTOMER SERVICES DEPARTMENT.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: HAVE A GREAT EVENING.

OKAY.

ITEM L, - -

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: AND THE FOUNDER AND PRESIDENT OF TAKE-UP 25,  
A GROUP I STARTED TO HELP LOCAL RESTAURANTS.  
TO AVOID ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST I DO NOT WANT TO PARTICIPATE AND I  
WOULD LIKE TO RECUSE MYSELF OF DECISIONS THAT IMPACT SPECIFIC  
RESTAURANTS AND IN THIS CASE THAT IS THE CASE.  
SO I RECUSE MYSELF.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 3, ARTICLE  
8, SECTION 3-8-1 AND SECTION AT 3-8-2 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE FOR  
THE ISSUANCE OF A RESTAURANT CLASS B-ONE LIQUOR LICENSE 211-30 CHICAGO  
INC., DOING BUSINESS AS PENNIES OAK PARK.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: VERY GOOD.  
WE HAVE TRUSTEE ENYIA AND TRUSTEE STRAW.

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: THIS IS SIMPLY A CHANGE IN CLASSIFICATION FOR PENNIES NOODLES FOR THEIR LOCATION AT 1130 CHICAGO AVENUE AND THEY'RE LOOKING TO GO FROM A B-TWO LIQUOR LICENSE CLASSIFICATION WHICH IS BEER /WINE AND TO GO TO THE FULL THING OF ALCOHOLIC LIQUORS. LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDED APPROVAL AT THEIR MEETING OF JUNE 25.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.  
IF THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?  
CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.  
>> YES.  
>> TRUSTEE STRAW.  
>> ENTHUSIASTICALLY, YES.  
>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.  
>> YES.  
>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.  
>> YES.  
>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.  
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

WE THANK THEM FOR THEIR INVESTMENT IN THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK.  
APPRECIATE IT.  
PAUL, THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK WITH LIQUOR CONTROL REVIEW BOARD.  
WHERE WE FIRST MET.

[LAUGHTER]

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: NOW WE CAN PLEASE GO TO THE FIRST READING OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 15, ARTICLE 3, OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE TO ESTABLISH A \$0.25 PER KILOWATT HOUR USER FEE FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATIONS OWNED AND OPERATED BY THE VILLAGE OF OAK PARK PURSUANT TO THE VILLAGE BOARD THAT DIRECTION AT ITS MAY 14, 2024 MEETING.  
FIRST READING.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: THANK YOU PRESIDENT SCAMAN AND TRUSTEE MEMBERS.  
DEVELOPING SERVICES DIRECTOR, EMILY EGAN.

>> GOOD EVENING, EMILY EGAN, DEVELOPING SERVICES DIRECTOR AND TONIGHT I WILL PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF ORDINANCE 24-128, FIRST READING FOR PROPOSED ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATION FEE. THIS WAS AN ITEM THAT WAS BROUGHT FORTH ON MAY 14, 2024, STAFF CONDUCTED A STUDY SESSION TOGETHER INPUT FROM THE VILLAGE BOARD AND WHAT STAFFORD AT THAT MEETING WAS THE MAJORITY OF THE BOARD AGREED



WITH ESTABLISHING A USER FEE OF \$0.25 PER KILOWATT HOUR AND DEDICATING THAT REVENUE FROM THAT FEED TO THE PARKING ENTERPRISE FUND AND THIS WAS A HYBRID BETWEEN THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND ALTERNATIVES. THE REVENUE WOULD GO TO OFFSET THE MAINTENANCE AND THE VARIABLE FEES FOR ELECTRICITY, AS WELL AS EXPAND THE EV CHARGING NETWORK IN THE COMMUNITY.

I WANT TO POINT OUT ONE INTERESTING FACT THAT SEAN, PARKING AND MOBILITY SERVICES MANAGER FOUND WHILE WE WERE PREPARING FOR THIS. 35% INCREASE IN ELECTRIC VEHICLE IN THAT THE COMMUNITY OF OAK PARK AS COMPARED TO LAST YEAR.

35% INCREASE.

THAT IS JUST A NOTE TO POINT OUT THE NEED FOR INCREASING THE EXPANDING NETWORK IN OUR COMMUNITY.

STAFF ALSO HEARD VERY CLEARLY ON MAY 14 THAT THE BOARD IS ALSO INTERESTED IN EXPLORING ITEMS RELATED TO LINKING THIS FEE TO A MARKET RATE.

ANY POTENTIAL SURCHARGES, GRACE PERIOD, PEAK TIME CONSIDERATIONS, AS WELL AS IMPACTS TO MULTIFAMILY RESIDENCE SPECIFICALLY.

SO ALL OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS WOULD BE REVIEWED ANNUALLY THROUGH THE BUDGET PROCESS GOING FORWARD AND TONIGHT - NO ACTION OR VOTE IS NEEDED FOR THIS AGENDA ITEM.

SIMPLY A FIRST READING AND THE GOAL IS IF THIS ORDINANCE IS APPROVED IN JULY AT THE SECOND READING, THE IMPACT, THE FEE WOULD BE IMPLEMENTED IN AUGUST AND MONITORING THE USAGE, THE REVENUE FOR THE REST OF THE YEAR GOING FORWARD.

THAT IS ALL I HAVE AND I'M AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS, AS WELL AS SEAN AND KEEN, PARKING AND MOBILITY SERVICES MANAGER.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: AT THANK YOU.

THAT MEANS WE ARE ON OUR WAY OF CLIMATE ACTION GOALS.

50% BEING ELECTRIC VEHICLES.

QUESTIONS, PLEASE?

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: JUST CONFIRMING WHAT YOU SAID.

IT WILL BE REVIEWED ANNUALLY USING THE BUDGET PROCESS SO EVEN IF WE HAVE THIS SAID, WE CAN HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO LEARN AND CHANGE ON AN ACTUAL BASIS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I THINK THAT WAS OUR INTENTION.

ANYONE FURTHER QUESTIONS?

TRUSTEE WESLEY?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: FURTHER TO THE POINT ABOUT CHANGING IT YEARLY, CAN WE JUST MAKE SURE WE BRING IT BACK OR DO WE HAVE TO ASK FOR IT? LIKE ASSUMING WE RATIFY THIS AND IT BECOMES AN ORDINANCE, ETC. AND WE WANT TO CHANGE IT NEXT YEAR, DO WE NEED TO EXPORT TO COME BACK OR WILL STAFF PROACTIVELY BRING IT BACK YEARLY FOR US TO HAVE INPUT ON IT?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: I THINK WE CAN BRING IT BACK ANNUALLY PROACTIVELY UNTIL IT IS DUE AND WE UNDERSTAND THE BOARD'S INTENTIONS.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: COOL.

THE OTHER THING IS I DON'T THINK WE - I DON'T THINK THIS IS ENOUGH.  
I SAID THAT THE LAST TIME.

THE PROJECTED PROFIT OUT OF THIS IS ONLY \$15,000.

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE US PROJECT MORE PROFIT OUT OF THIS.

SO USING WHATEVER COMBINATION OF INCREASING FEES AND SURCHARGES TO DO THAT.

THE GOAL HERE IS - HERE'S MY REASONING, RIGHT?

ULTIMATELY THIS IS GOING INTO THE PARKING FUND.

IN THE PARKING FUND HAS HISTORICALLY NOT BEEN WELL FUNDED AND WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THE PARKING FUND IS NOT FUNDED IS WE INCREASE PARKING COSTS OR PRICES.

SO I THINK IF WE CAN CHARGE FOLKS WHO, AGAIN, THE DEMOGRAPHIC FOR EV OWNERSHIP - - IF WE COULD CHARGE THOSE FOLKS MORE IN ORDER TO OFFSET INCREASE IN PARKING COST FOR EVERYONE, I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF THAT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: TRUSTEE STRAW?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I HAVE LEARNED OVER TIME THAT DISAGREEMENT, IF IT IS NOT SPOKEN, THEN THERE IS AN ASSUMPTION THAT WHAT IS SPOKEN IS CONSENSUS.

I THINK THAT A MARKET RATE COST FOR ELECTRICITY IS A GOOD DIRECTION TO GO AND THIS IS THAT.

I THINK IF WE TRY TO EXTRACT TOO MUCH PROFIT OUT OF THIS USAGE OF THE CHARGERS WILL DRY UP AND WILL END UP GETTING LESS PROFIT OR NO PROFIT MOTIVE THE CHARGERS.

SO I SAY WE START WITH THIS AND WE CAN STUDY IT AND SEE WHETHER USAGE PATTERNS CHANGE AS I SUSPECT THEY WILL BECAUSE WHEN YOU TAKE SOMETHING FROM A FREE TO MARKET PRICED, THE USE CASE OF IT IS CHEAPER THAN THE ONE IN MY GARAGE DRIES UP.

I SAY WE IMPLEMENT THIS, STUDY WHAT HAPPENS AND THEN WE CAN TALK ABOUT WHETHER ADDITIONAL FEES WOULD MAKE SENSE ON TOP OF THIS.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: SINCE THERE ARE TWO DIFFERING VIEWS HERE I HAVE TO KIND OF MIND MY VOICE.

I AGREE WITH WHAT TRUSTEE WESLEY IS SAYING.

I THINK THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY LOOKING AT THE IMPACT.

THIS IS A TIME WHERE WE CAN TEST HIGHER PRICES BECAUSE THE SUPPLY IS SO LOW WITHIN THE COMMUNITY AND WE CAN LEARN A WHOLE LOT IN TERMS OF WHAT THE MARKET WOULD HOLD SO THIS IS THE TIME TO INCREASE AND TESTED OUT SINCE WE ARE REVIEWING ANNUALLY.

WE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO COURSE CORRECT, BUT AN UPWARD CORRECTION IS A LOT MORE DIFFICULT THAN A DOWNWARD CORRECTION SO I WOULD GO TOWARDS WHAT TRUSTEE WESLEY IS SAYING.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: WE ALREADY DISCUSSED THIS.

I DO NOT WANT TO HAVE THE SAME DISCUSSION.

I'M HAPPY WITH THIS FIRST READING.

I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT, IT REFLECTS THE CONSENSUS AND THAT IS IT.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: COUPLE OF THINGS AND I WILL BE BRIEF.  
I WILL NOTE THAT BECAUSE OF THE TYPICAL OWNERSHIP PROFILE OF EV OWNERS, THIS TAX WOULD BE PROGRESSIVE INSTEAD OF REGRESSIVE AND EVERY SOURCE OF VILLAGE INCOME IS REGRESSIVE EXCEPT FOR MAYBE THIS ONE. IF WE COULD CHARGE MORE AND HAVE A TAX THAT LENDS PREDOMINANTLY ON FOLKS I CAN AFFORD IT MORE THAN EVERYONE ELSE IN THE VILLAGE, I THINK THAT'S ALSO A GOOD THING AND ALWAYS A GOOD THING. THE SECOND THING IS THAT WE COULD ALWAYS HAVE A QUICKER REEVALUATION METRIC ON THIS AND SINCE WE DO HAVE VERY, VERY GREAT DATA ON CHARGING SESSIONS AND EV OWNERSHIP, SO ON AND SO FORTH, IF WE BROUGHT THIS BACK EVERY THREE MONTHS TO EVALUATE WHETHER YEAR-OVER-YEAR WE ARE SEEING AN INCREASE OR DECREASE IN THE CHARGING SESSIONS, THEN WE COULD COURSE CORRECT QUICKER IN TERMS OF OUR PRICING SCHEMES AND THAT WAY WE ARE NOT LEAVING MONEY ON THE TABLE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IF NOBODY ELSE IS GOING TO SPEAK UP - YES. WHAT IS ON THE AGENDA SPEAKS TO THE CONSENSUS THAT WAS PROVIDED AS TRUSTEE ROBINSON HAS PRESENTED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT. TO HEAR HOW SOME OF OUR TRUSTEES WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT COME BACK IS USEFUL TO YOU.

I RECOGNIZE THAT WE HAVE A FINITE NUMBER OF OUR EV STATIONS RIGHT NOW, BUT OVER THE NEXT YEAR WE HOPE TO MORE THAN DOUBLE THAT. SO MY OPINION IS TO REVIEW IT NEXT YEAR IS THE APPROPRIATE TIME THAT GIVES US SOME TIME TO ALLOW FOR THE NEW EV STATIONS TO BE THERE AND EVEN WHAT I WOULD WANT TO SEE IS WHERE, AGAIN, THE WAY YOU PRESENTED IT THE FIRST TIME, WHERE WE FALL WITHIN OUR NEIGHBORING COMMUNITIES AND IN A COMPETITIVE NATURE RIGHT NOW WE ALSO CHOSE \$0.25 BECAUSE IT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE PARK DISTRICT AND CUTS DOWN ON SOME OF THE CONFUSION OF GOING FROM ZERO TO \$0.25 AND SO THAT IS MY THOUGHT. THANK YOU.

SO I WOULD SUGGEST, CAN WE SKIP THE SECOND READING, BUT -

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: YOU CAN ALWAYS TAKE A VOTE TO WAIVE THE SECOND READING AND ADOPT THIS EVENING.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IS THERE A MOTION TO WAIVE THE SECOND READING AND APPROVE - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 15, ARTICLE 3 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE TO ESTABLISH A \$0.25 PER KILOWATT HOUR USER FEE FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATIONS WHEN AND OPERATED BY VILLAGE OF OAK PARK PURSUANT TO THE VILLAGE BOARD'S DIRECTION? MOTION, PLEASE?

>> SOMEWHAT QUICK SECOND.

>> MOTION WHICH WAS DISTRAUGHT AND SIGNALLED BY TRUSTEE ROBINSON. CLERK WATERS PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.  
>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.  
>> YES.  
>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.  
>> NO.  
>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.  
>> NO.  
>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.  
>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

I DID NOT MEAN TO FORCE THAT.  
I DID NOT MEAN TO FORCE THAT ON ANYBODY.  
ALL RIGHT.

WE ALWAYS HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BRING ANYTHING BACK.  
THE MOTION CARRIES.  
OKAY.

MOTION CARRIES.

THIS IS SOMETHING WE HAVE DONE BEFORE.

IT IS ACTUALLY USED TO BE FAIRLY COMMON PRACTICE TO WAIVE THE SECOND  
READING.

ANY TRUSTEE CAN ALWAYS ASK SOMETHING TO COME BACK FOR BUDGET SEASON IF  
THEY SO WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND IT WHERE THE DOLLARS COME IN.  
THE MOTION HAS PASSED.

PAUL?

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: KNOW YOU NEED A MOTION TO ADOPT THE  
ORDINANCE.

YOU HAVE WAIVED THE SECOND READING AND THEN YOU NEED A MOTION TO  
ADOPT.

A.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MOTION TO ADOPT THE ORDINANCE AS PRESENTED?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> MOTION BY TRUSTEE ROBINSON AND SECONDED BY TRUSTEE STRAW.

CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> NO.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> NO.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

VERY GOOD.

ENTERTAIN A MOTION - THE MOTION HAS NOW PASSED AND THE ORDINANCE HAS PASSED.

NOTING A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS IT IS PRESENTED?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> MOTION BY TRUSTEE STRAW AND SECONDED BY TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YES.

CONSENT AGENDA HAS PASSED.

REGULAR AGENDA, WE HAVE AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 12, ARTICLE 7, SECTION 20-7-2 OF THE OAK PARK VILLAGE CODE TO PROVIDE A ONE TIME LEAD COLLECTION PROGRAM CREDIT.

MOTION, PLEASE?

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: MOTION BY TRUSTEE ROBINSON AND SECONDED BY TRUSTEE WESLEY BRADY VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON AND.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: PRESIDENTS GIVEN IN TRUSTEE MEMBERS THIS IS A FOLLOW-UP OF OUR DISCUSSION ON JUNE 15 OF THE LEAK COLLECTION PROGRAM AND AN OPPORTUNITY TO CONSIDER A CREDIT BACK TO THE RESIDENCE AS PART OF THE PROGRAM.

A PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR, ROB SPROULE WILL TAKE THIS ITEM.

>> GOOD EVENING, ROB SPROULE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR, HERE TO PRESENT AN OPPORTUNITY OR POTENTIAL OPTION AT A JUNE 18 MEETING AND DISCUSSION AROUND THE FALL LEAF COLLECTION TRANSITION, ISSUING A ONE-TIME CREDIT TO ALL RESIDENTIAL REFUSE, A ONE-TIME CREDIT OF \$29.04, WHICH IS EQUIVALENT TO THE 12 MONTHS OF THE MONTHLY FEES RESIDENTS PAY FOR THE ANNUAL FALL LEAF PROGRAM.

WE WOULD PROPOSE TO ADD THIS CREDIT TO THE LAST BILLING CYCLE FOR ALL RESIDENTS AT THE END OF THE YEAR.

\$2.42 THAT EACH CUSTOMER PAYS MONTHLY IS EQUIVALENT TO THE COST THAT THEY PAY FOR THE FALL LEAF COLLECTION PROGRAM.

THIS ONE-TIME CREDIT WILL BE ISSUED THROUGH THE BILLING SYSTEM AND IT COMES TO AN APPROXIMATE COST OF \$325,248 TO THE VILLAGE.

IT IS PROPOSED THAT THAT WOULD COME OUT OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES ENTERPRISE FUND, FUND BALANCE THAT HAS SUFFICIENT FUNDS TO COVER THIS PROPOSED CREDIT.

THE FUND MAINTAINS A FUND BALANCE ON AN ANNUAL BASIS IN ORDER TO COVER UNEXPECTED COSTS THAT WOULD EXCEED TYPICAL BUDGET EXPENDITURES.

THESE TYPICALLY ARE THINGS THAT WOULD BE EXTREME STORM OR WEATHER EVENT OR OTHER UNKNOWNNS LIKE ISSUES WITH OUR CONTRACTORS AND THEIR UNIONS OR DISPOSAL ISSUES, BUT STAFF IS CONFIDENT THERE ARE SUFFICIENT FUNDS WITHIN THE FUND BALANCE TO COVER THIS PROPOSED REBATE AND STILL PROVIDE FINANCIAL COVER FOR ANY UNEXPECTED SITUATIONS.

THE BENEFIT OF THIS OVER A PROGRAM WHERE WE WERE INITIALLY PRESENTING THE PURCHASE OF BAGS BY THE VILLAGE FOR HANDOUT IS THAT THIS INITIATIVE WILL RETURN FUNDS TO ALL PARTICIPANTS WITHIN THE RESIDENTIAL PROGRAM, ENSURING THAT THE MOST VULNERABLE COMMUNITY MEMBERS RECEIVE EQUAL BENEFITS AND ALSO THEN ALLOWS THOSE DOLLARS TO POTENTIALLY SUPPORT THE LOCAL ECONOMY AND SMALL BUSINESSES AND WE ARE ENCOURAGING RESIDENTS TO USE THAT CREDIT TOWARDS THE PURCHASE OF NECESSARY MATERIALS AND FOR THIS TRANSITION OR TO HIRE SERVICES. THE \$29 EQUATES TO APPROXIMATELY 58 BAGS.

HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT THE BOARD MAY HAVE ON THIS ITEM.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: WHO WOULD LIKE TO START US OFF?  
TRUSTEE STRAW?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: I WILL BE VOTING NO.

I THINK THAT DOING A FLAT RETURN OF FUNDS TO ALL SINGLE-FAMILY HOMEOWNERS IS REGRESSIVE.

WHAT WE'RE DOING IS GIVING A GIVEBACK, REGARDLESS OF NEED, REGARDLESS OF THE IMPACT THAT THE PROGRAM THAT WE ARE PUTATIVELY DOING IT AS A RESULT OF HAS ON THE INDIVIDUAL.

I WOULD MUCH RATHER TAKE THESE \$300,000 IN FUNDS AND HAVE THEM GO TOWARDS DIMINISHING THE IMPACT ON VULNERABLE PARTS OF THE POPULATION. IF OUR CONCERNS HERE ARE THAT CERTAIN PARTS OF OUR COMMUNITY ARE INORDINATELY IMPACTED, OLDER INDIVIDUALS, FOLKS WHO HAVE - WHO ARE LESS WELL OFF, I WOULD RATHER AS A DRESS THAT PROGRAMMATICALLY AS OPPOSED TO WITH A ONE-TIME \$29 GIVEBACK THAT IS NOT GOING TO BE SUFFICIENT TO ADDRESS THOSE CONCERNS.

INSTEAD, IT IS GOING TO ALLOW SOME FOLKS TO BUY SOME BAGS AND IT NOT ACTUALLY TARGET THE NEED THAT OUR POLICY DECISION CREATED.

SO I AM A NO VOTE.

I DO NOT THINK THAT THIS IS ADDRESSING ANY OF THE REAL SUBSTANTIVE CONCERNS THAT HAVE COME FORWARD FROM THE COMMUNITY.

INSTEAD, IT IS SORT OF A - WE NEED TO GIVE SOMETHING BECAUSE PEOPLE ARE UPSET.

AND SO I THINK THAT WE CAN AND SHOULD DO BETTER THAN THAT FROM A POLICY PERSPECTIVE AND THAT IS WHY I WILL BE VOTING NO.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU.

>> I JUST WANT TO MAKE CLEAR THAT THIS IS NOT THE ONLY FINANCIAL INCENTIVE THAT WE ARE PROPOSING TO PREPARE IN ADVANCE OF THIS PROGRAM APPEARED WE TALKED ABOUT A NUMBER OF THINGS OVER TIME. SO IN ADDITION TO THIS PARTICULAR ITEM WE ARE STILL PLANNING ON STILL BUYING SOME VOLUME OF MATERIALS FROM THE VILLAGE FOR INTERACTIONS THAT HAPPEN ON THE STREET IN TERMS OF SEEKING COMPLIANCE. WE ARE ALSO ROLLING OUT OUR SENIOR ASSISTANT PROGRAM AS PLANNED. THERE IS ADDITIONAL FUNDING THAT IS SET ASIDE FOR THAT PROGRAMMING. THERE WILL BE OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE THAT HAVE A SPECIFIC NEED TO GET ADDITIONAL RESOURCES. THIS IS JUST ONE ADDITIONAL THING THAT WE WERE PRESENTING TO THE BOARD AS AN OPTION TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE CONCERNS AROUND THE FINANCIAL CONSTRAINTS.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: SHARE, AND I APPRECIATE THAT. MY COMMENTS WERE NOT MEANT TO DIMINISH THE EXCELLENT WORK YOU ARE DOING AROUND ADDRESSING THE ACTUAL NEEDS. IT'S JUST THAT WE SPEND A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT PROGRAMS THAT COST A LOT LESS THAN THIS AND DO A LOT MORE AND SO I WILL BE DISAPPOINTED IF THE BOARD APPROVES THIS GIVEBACK.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: TRUSTEE ROBINSON?

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: I DO APPRECIATE THIS ACROSS-THE-BOARD FINANCIAL RELIEF THAT WE ARE OFFERING. AT LEAST THE INITIAL BURDEN OF TRANSITION AND WE HAVE HEARD FROM PEOPLE THAT ARE IN FAVOR OF THE TRANSITION, OPPOSED TO THE TRANSITION AND I DO APPRECIATE ALL OF THE FEEDBACK AS WELL. MY QUESTION IS, IS IT POSSIBLE TO PROVIDE IT EARLIER IN THE YEAR TO OFFSET THE COST WHEN IT IS ACTUALLY INCURRED? IS THERE ANY ROOM TO CHANGE THE TIMING?

>>ROB SPROULE: I CAN TALK TO THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT. THAT IS PROBABLY POSSIBLE. I WOULD JUST HAVE TO LOOK AT - BECAUSE THE VILLAGE IS ON A QUARTERLY BILLING CYCLE. NOT EVERYBODY IS BILLED ON THE SAME QUARTER. LIKE EVERY MONTH 1/4 OF THE VILLAGE IS BILLED OR 1/3 OF THE VILLAGES BILLED SO IT IS KIND OF LIKE A ROLLING THING AND I WOULD HAVE TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT IF WE BACK IT UP TO WHAT WE WOULD CALL THE THIRD QUARTER BILLING. WHETHER OR NOT WE CAN HIT THE FIRST GROUP OF THAT THIRD QUARTER BILLING OR NOT. WE COULD TRY TO LOOK AT ADVANCING THIS AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE IN ORDER TO PROVIDE IT TO AS MANY COMMUNITY MEMBERS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: --

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: YEAH, I THINK WE HAVE GIVEN NOTICE AN AWARENESS THAT THIS IS GROWING FOR THIS ITEM. THIS TOPIC COMES ON THE AGENDA MULTIPLE TIMES NOW. I THOUGHT IT WAS AT LEAST WORTH ASKING, BUT IF IT IS GOING TO BE ADMINISTRATIVELY BURDENSOME, THEN THAT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT I WOULD WANT STAFF TO ENGAGE IN. I THOUGHT IT WAS WORTH ASKING. AND SECONDLY, THE RELIEF THAT WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT PROVIDING IN TERMS OF SORT OF OFFSETTING EVEN THE LEVEL OF EFFORT TO THOSE HOUSEHOLDS FOR WHOM ACTUAL BAGGING, IF THEY CHOOSE TO BAG. THAT IS NOT THE ONLY OPTION, OF COURSE, BUT IF THEY CHOOSE TO, WE TALKED ABOUT - PIGGYBACKING OFF OF THE SNOW REMOVAL SYSTEM. POSSIBLY TAPPING INTO HIGH SCHOOL EXTRACURRICULAR GROUPS THAT MIGHT WANT SOME SERVICE HOURS. WILL THOSE ITEMS - ARE THOSE JUST BEING EXPLORED BY STAFF AND THOSE WILL BE PART OF THE WAY YOU GUYS ROLL IT OUT OR ARE THOSE GOING TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD FOR SOME SORT OF APPROVAL?

>>ROB SPROULE: THE VOLUNTEER PORTAL, AS FAR AS WE TALK SO FAR, I THINK IS JUST ADMINISTRATIVE WORK. SO THERE'S NO NEED TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD FOR THAT. THE INITIAL CONTRACT THAT WILL PROBABLY BE PRESENTED FOR THE - THE CONTRACTUAL RELIEF ASSISTANCE, BASED ON HISTORICAL SIDEWALK SHOVELING SYSTEM, THE COUNTRY CAN PROBABLY BE ADMINISTERED BY THE VILLAGE MANAGER'S OFFICE. SO IT WOULD NOT REQUIRE BOARD ACTION. OUR LEAF BAG PURCHASE AND MATERIALS PURCHASE PROBABLY DO NOT REQUIRE BOARD OFFSET.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: SO THOSE THINGS

[CROSSTALK]

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: I WANT TO SEE WHAT THE NEXT STEP IN PROCESS WOULD BE.

NOW THAT WE ARE GOING TO COVER THE FINANCIAL RELIEF PORTION, HAD WE COVERED THE RELIEF THAT WE ARE OFFERING IN TERMS OF ACTUAL EFFORT.

>>ROB SPROULE: WE WILL BE BACK IN FRONT OF THE BOARD AT THE END OF THE MONTH WITH ANOTHER UPDATE IN TERMS OF THE COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM AND THAT ROLLOUT IS ALL PRESENTED AS PART OF THE MONTHLY UPDATE THAT WE WILL BE PROVIDING THERE.

A LOT OF THE ROLLOUT AS IT RELATES TO THE FINANCIAL AND OTHER ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS ARE GOING TO BE ROLLING OUT IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SEPTEMBER/OCTOBER FYI AND THAT IS WHEN WE WOULD PROPOSE TO OPEN UP THE VOLUNTEER PORTAL AND WE WILL BE OPENING UP THE BLOCK PARTY PORTAL FOR THE LEAF COLLECTION PARTIES.

WE CAN TALK MORE ABOUT THAT AT THE END OF THE MONTH.



AS WE GIVE AN UPDATE ON ALL THE COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAMMING.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: OKAY, GREAT.

THANK YOU.

I AM SUPPORTIVE OF THIS ITEM.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: THANK YOU, ROB, AS ALWAYS.

MY QUESTION IS AROUND THE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FUND.

THE BUDGET WE ARE PULLING OUT AND WHERE WE ARE PULLING THIS \$325,000.

SO JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE POTENTIAL USERS OF THAT FUND.

IS IT POSSIBLE TO USE THAT FUND TOWARDS BUT SAY CREATING MORE EV INFRASTRUCTURE OR SPEND IT TOWARDS OUR CLIMATE READY OAK PARK PLAN IN SOME WAY?

>>ROB SPROULE: HISTORICALLY ENTERPRISE FUNDS ARE SELF-SERVING.

SO THE PRIMARY INTENT OF THE ENTERPRISE FUND IS TO RAISE FUNDS TO PROVIDE SERVICES DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED WITH WHY THE FUNDS ARE COLLECTED.

I GUESS I WOULD DEFER TO VILLAGE MANAGER JACKSON ON WHETHER OR NOT OR MAYBE PAUL, THE ATTORNEY, IF THAT IS A LEGAL OBLIGATION OR IF THAT IS JUST A VILLAGE POLICY.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: THAT IS A LEGAL OBLIGATION, BUT WE CAN CLARIFY, WE CAN FOLLOW.

I DON'T THINK WE HAVE ALL THE SPECIFICS, BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FUND, THE ENTERPRISE FUND IS DEDICATED FOR REFUSE AND RECYCLING SERVICES AND SO THOSE ARE THE ACTIVITIES THAT WOULD QUALIFY FOR THE USE OF THE FUND.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: SO THEY CANNOT USE IT FOR CLIMATE READY OAK PARK UNLESS THERE IS AN ITEM IN CLIMATE READY OAK PARK THAT IS AROUND REFUSE OR RECYCLING?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING.

>>TRUSTEE PARAKKAT: IS IT POSSIBLE TO CLARIFY?

ONE WAY I WAS THINKING THAT THIS DECISION IS ALSO THE OPPORTUNITY COST ASSOCIATED WITH THAT \$325,000.

OR ELSE MAYBE WOULD WE BE ABLE TO APPLY THAT TO BETTER SERVE THE COMMUNITY?

SO I WOULD DEFINITELY LOVE TO UNDERSTAND THAT A LITTLE MORE IN TERMS OF WHERE IT CAN BE USED.

SO JUST ONE PART OF IT.

AND THEN I ALSO HAVE CONCERNS LIKE WHAT TRUSTEE STRAW MENTIONED ABOUT THE FAIRNESS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ADMINISTRATION OF THIS IN TERMS OF HOW IT LANDS WITH THE COMMUNITY.

SO \$29 WILL BE - WILL MEAN DIFFERENT THINGS TO DIFFERENT PEOPLE.

IS IT REALLY GOING TOWARDS WHERE THE NEED IS MAC THAT IS A CONCERN I HAVE.

ON THE FLIPSIDE, FOR ME, NOW THAT WE HAVE MADE THIS DECISION AS A COLLECTIVE AND GOING BACK ON THIS DECISION COSTS ACCORDING TO, ROB, LAST TIME, HALF A MILLION. THAT IS ONE COST AND THIS IS ANOTHER COST.

SO THAT IS THE ONLY THING GIVING ME A LITTLE PAUSE, BUT I AM AWARE, TRACY STRUTHERS DOES NOT GO - THIS DOES NOT DO MUCH AND IT IS A COST WE COULD HAVE OTHERWISE DONE SOMETHING ELSE WITH.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: TRUSTEE ENYIA?

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: THANK YOU SO MUCH AND I APPRECIATE ALL THE EFFORT THAT HAS BEEN GOING INTO THIS.

I KNOW IT IS NOT AN EASY TASK TO PLEASE 55,000 PEOPLE.

AND I AM PROBABLY LIKE YOU ALL, IF I GOT A CHECK IN THE MAIL I AM PROBABLY GOING TO CASH IT BEFORE I ASKED QUESTIONS, BUT I DO BELIEVE, YOU KNOW, VERY SIMILARLY TO TRUSTEE STRAW, I WOULD RATHER SEE IT GO DIRECTLY TO THE MOST IMPACTED PEOPLE THEN EVERYONE BECAUSE THERE ARE PEOPLE - I DO NOT NEED THAT.

LIKE YOU TOLD ME, I CAN USE MY (WORD?) AND IS LIKE, I CAN BE OKAY AND I CAN GO GET THE BAGS.

THE \$29 FOR ME IS NOT GOING TO BE OF ANY EXTRA USE, BUT I KNOW FOR OTHER PEOPLE THERE GOING BELOW, THAT IS NOT ENOUGH, I NEED XYZ.

IF YOU ARE MORE IMPACTED THAN ME, I WOULD MUCH RATHER YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO GET ACCESS TO THOSE DOLLARS IF YOU NEEDED IT MORE.

IF THERE'S OTHER PROGRAMS AND WAYS IN WHICH HELP US OUT MORE THAN THIS BECAUSE IT DOES NOT SOUND AS STRONG AS I WOULD LIKE IT TO SOUND FOR 300 SOMETHING THOUSAND.

I FEEL LIKE WE CAN COME UP WITH SOMETHING STRONGER, BUT I DO APPRECIATE THE EFFORT AND I KNOW THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO WILL STRONGLY BENEFIT AND I JUST DO NOT WANT TO BE ONE OF THEM BECAUSE I WOULD RATHER SEE THEM GET THOSE DOLLARS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: PLEASE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: SIMILAR TO TRUSTEE ENYIA, STRAW, AND PARAKKAT, ON THIS ONE, FIRST, THANK YOU, ROB, AS ALWAYS.

JUST WANT TO START THERE.

I APPRECIATE ALL THE WORK THAT HAS GONE INTO THIS AND IT HAS BEEN A LOT OF WORK THAT HAS GONE INTO THIS AND I WANT TO BE VERY CLEAR ABOUT THAT.

THE CARE AND THE EXTENSIVENESS THAT YOU WILL HAVE PUT INTO THIS ITEM IS REALLY APPRECIATED AND I KNOW THAT THERE IS A SEGMENT OF THE COMMUNITY THAT IS REALLY UNHAPPY ABOUT THIS, BUT IT IS NOT BECAUSE YOU HAVE NOT DONE THE WORK.

SO I DO APPRECIATE THAT.

ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM NOW, I WONDER IF THERE IS SOMETHING WE CAN DO BETTER WITH \$300,000.

COULD THIS MONEY BE USED FOR AN ALLEY?

>>ROB SPROULE: ME LIKE ALLEY REPAVING?

>>ROB SPROULE: I DON'T THINK IT COULD BE USED FOR ALLEY REPAVING.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: COMPOST BINS?

WHAT ABOUT THAT?

MY GUESS, YOU KNOW, I AM OF TWO MINDS OF THIS.

I THINK IT SOFTENS THE BLOW AND I THINK 50 BAGS IS NOTHING TO SNEEZE AT WHEN YOU HAVE TO BUY BAGS AND YOU DID NOT HAVE TO, BUT ULTIMATELY THE END OF THE DAY IT IS \$30.

AND IF WE COULD HAVE SPENT IT ON AN HOURLY, I THINK, YOU KNOW, THAT TAKES ONE OFF OF OUR LIST IN THE ALLEYS GOOD FOR 20 OR 30 YEARS AND SO I SEE MUCH MORE VALUE THERE THAN \$30 TO FOLKS.

THERE IS ALSO A CONFLICT WITH ME WITH THE PREVIOUS AGENDA ITEM IN THIS ONE WHERE I THOUGHT IF WE INCREASE THE RATES ON EV THAT IS MUCH MORE PROGRESSIVE TAX AND THEN THIS IS MUCH MORE AGGRESSIVE IN THAT WE ARE GIVING IT BACK TO HOMEOWNERS, FOLKS THAT CAN AFFORD IT AND SO WE'RE SITTING ON ONE HAND WE SHOULD NOT TAX FOLKS WHO CAN AFFORD ON ONE LEVEL AND ON THE OTHER HAND THE FOLKS I CAN AFFORD IT, TAKE A LITTLE BIT MORE, TOO.

SO THAT DOES NOT SIT ALL THAT WELL WITH ME.

AT THE END OF THE DAY IT IS \$30, IT IS \$300,000.

I WILL NOT VOTE AGAINST IT.

I JUST WISH - IT SEEMS LIKE - HOW ARE OUR HANDS TIED ON HOW WE SPEND OUR MONEY?

CAN SOMEONE TELL ME THAT ONE?

I DO NOT UNDERSTAND.

I CAN'T REMOVE THIS LIKE WE MOVED OUR FOR MONEY?

WE HAVE A LOT OF GUIDELINES AND WE JUST MOVED IT ONE PLACE TO ANOTHER

[CHRYSLER]

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: TECHNICALLY RIGHT NOW IT HAS TO GO INTO THE FUND IT IS COMING OUT OF.

SO THE BOARD CAN LOOK AT THAT THOUGH AND MOVE MONEY AROUND SO TO SPEAK.

I WOULD HAVE TO WORK WITH THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT TO DETERMINE HOW. IT HAS BEEN DONE IN THE PAST THOUGH.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: TECHNICALLY POSSIBLE?

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: WE WILL COME BACK WITH INFORMATION ON THAT, BUT MY SPRINGS RATEPAYERS ARE PAYING FOR A PARTICULAR SERVICE. IN THIS - - OFFSET THE COST OF THOSE SERVICES IN PARTICULAR AND IT IS REFUSE COLLECTION AND RECYCLING.

SO I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW, WE WILL FOLLOW UP, BUT IN MY EXPERIENCE I'VE NOT SEEN A CASE WHERE YOU SPEND OUR ENTERPRISE FUND AS DEDICATED FOR A PARTICULAR SERVICE ON OTHER SERVICES.

NO VENTURE TO SAY IT MIGHT EVEN BE - IT MAY NOT BE LEGAL.

>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: HAS HAPPENED IN THE PAST, BUT WE CAN LOOK AT IT.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: SOUND LIKE POSSIBLE, BUT UNUSUAL.

>> ONCE THE END OF THE YEAR HITS AND ONCE THOSE FUNDS NOT USED, THEY CAN BE PUT INTO A GENERAL FUND.

SO THEORETICALLY YOU COULD TAKE MONEY OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND AND DO WITH IT WHAT YOU WANT AND REPLACE IT LATER, BUT IT IS INTENDED THAT WAY WITH MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT BECAUSE, AGAIN, THERE IS ACCOUNTABILITY TO IS PROVIDING THE SERVICES THAT, YOU KNOW, - WHY PEOPLE ARE TAXED.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: FAIR ENOUGH.

AS MY COLLEAGUES HAVE PROBABLY NOTICED, NOT VERY MUCH OF A VERY BECAUSE IT WAS ALWAYS DONE LIKE THAT KIND OF PERSON. AND SO I ASKED THESE QUESTIONS BECAUSE I THINK OFTEN WE DO THINGS BECAUSE OF TRADITION OR BECAUSE IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN DONE LIKE THAT, EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE DIFFERENT WAYS THAT IT CAN BE DONE AND SO WHEN I HIT A WALL AND WHERE THE GOVERNMENT I LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE WALL IS AND WHO PUT IT THERE AND WHO CAN ACTUALLY - WHY IT IS THERE AND WHO CAN MOVE IT.

YOU KNOW?

OFTEN THAT IS US.

SO I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR WHEN WE MAKE DECISIONS THAT WE CAN ACTUALLY INFLUENCE THOSE DECISIONS WHERE WE CANNOT.

THAT IS ALL.

>>VILLAGE MANAGER: I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR US TO FOLLOW UP WITH A MORE INVOLVED EXPEDITION ON ENTERPRISE FUNDS AND - RIGHT.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THAT FOR GENERAL. IT WILL NOT CHANGE MY VOTE TODAY.

I GIVE YOU HAVE TO ANSWER RIGHT NOW IT MIGHT INFLUENCE ME ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, BUT - CFO DONNA.

>> IT'S ILLEGAL.

TO MOVE ENTERPRISE FUNDS TO THE GENERAL OPERATING.

YOU CAN LOAN IT WITH BOARD APPROVAL.

YOU CAN FORGIVE THE LOAN, BUT ENTERPRISE FUNDS, THE REVENUE - I RAN OVER HERE.

THE REVENUE IS SUPPOSED TO TAKE CARE OF THE EXPENDITURES.

YOU MIGHT SAY IF THE REVENUE EXCEEDS THE EXPENDITURES BY X PERCENTAGE WE WOULD LIKE THAT PERCENTAGE MOVED.

SOMETHING LIKE THAT, BUT IT IS ILLEGAL.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: YOU TALK ABOUT THAT PERCENTAGE BEFORE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: LEGAL WORKS FOR ME.

THAT IS ALL I HAVE GOT.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: HOW ARE YOU VOTING WHEN YOU SAID IT'S NOT GOING TO STOP YOU FROM VOTING.

IT IS GOING WHICH WAY?

>>TRUSTEE ENYIA: CAN THOSE FUNDS BE USED FOR THE GRADE BINS OR NO?

>>ROB SPROULE: YES, BUT I DON'T THINK IN ANY VOLUME - I GUESS WHEN YOU - LET ME ASK A CLARIFICATION PROBLEM. WHAT DO YOU MEAN CAN THEY BE USED FOR GREAT BINS?

>> CAN IT BE USED FOR OAK PARK IS THAT DON'T CURRENTLY HAVE THE GREAT BINS?

NOW WE KNOW THAT THEY CAN START THROWING OUT LEAVES INTO THAT IS A ANOTHER DELIVERY METHOD.

>> WHERE MIXING TWO THINGS TOGETHER.

THE GREAT BINS THAT RESIDENTS HAVE AS PART OF OUR COMPOSTABLE PROGRAM AND THAT IS A SUBSCRIPTION PROGRAM THAT RESIDENTS PAY FOR MONTHLY IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN IT.

IF YOU ARE SIMPLY TALKING ABOUT A RIGID CONTAINER THAT COULD BE UTILIZED FOR THE TRANSACTION PROGRAM, WE COULD DO THAT.

WE WOULD NOT WANT THAT TO BE GREAT BECAUSE THAT WOULD CREATE CONFUSION WITH THE COMPOSTABLE PROGRAM.

IT COULD BE USED FOR ALTERNATIVE DEVICES JUST LIKE WE WERE CONSIDERING JUST BUYING BAGS, BUT I THINK WHAT WE WERE LOOKING AT IS BY PROVIDING DOLLARS DIRECTLY BACK TO THE CUSTOMERS WE ARE MAKING SURE THAT EVERYBODY GET SOMETHING AS OPPOSED TO IF WE WERE BUYING THINGS THAT IT'S REALLY ABOUT WHO WAS GOING TO COME OUT AND GET IT AS OPPOSED TO MAKING SURE THAT EVERYBODY GETS PART OF THE BENEFIT.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: GO AHEAD.

>> ON THAT LAST PART, CAN YOU CLARIFY THAT FURTHER BECAUSE I THINK PART OF WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS THAT YOU - IT COULD REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF BAGS YOU ACTUALLY INTENDED ON BUYING TO MAKE AVAILABLE TO RESIDENTS IN THE VILLAGE.

>>ROB SPROULE: THIS PROPOSAL WITH REBATE IS IN PLACE OF THE SIGNIFICANT VOLUME OF BAGS THAT WE ARE GOING TO DIRECTLY PURCHASE FOR GIVEAWAY.

SO YES, WE ARE STILL INTENDING TO BUY SOME BAGS FOR OUTREACH AND EDUCATION AND ENGAGEMENT ON THE STREET, BUT WE WERE PROPOSING TO BUY A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER IF YOU RECALL ORIGINALLY WHERE WE WERE GOING TO BE PUTTING THEM OUT AT DIFFERENT LOCATIONS THROUGHOUT TIME FOR PEOPLE TO PICK THEM UP.

AS OPPOSED TO THOSE PEOPLE AND THE VULNERABLE POPULATIONS THAT MAY HAVE DIFFICULTY DOING THIS, THIS IS AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH WHERE WE ASSURE THAT EVERYBODY GETS SOME BENEFIT BECAUSE IT IS APPLIED DIRECTLY TO THEIR LAST WATER BILL.

AND THEN THEY CAN THEN TAKE THOSE DOLLARS IN IT OR USE THEM FOR BAGS THAT THEY BUY THROUGH LOCAL BUSINESSES WITH COUPONS THAT WE HAVE BEEN WORKING ON WITH OUR HARDWARE STORE PARTNERS WHERE THEY COULD USE IT FOR SERVICES THAT THEY MAY NEED FROM A LANDSCAPER, YOU KNOW, TO HELP SUPPORT THEM AS WELL.

>> DO WE HAVE IDEA OF COST PER BEEN IF WE WERE TO OFFER A BIN TO A PERSON?

>>ROB SPROULE: OUT OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD, BUT A RIGID GARBAGE CAN IS PROBABLY ABOUT \$20 A PIECE, MAYBE.  
YEAH.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: TO JUST TRY TO DIGEST WHAT I'M HEARING FROM MY COLLEAGUES.

I DO NOT WANT TO BEST ADVANTAGE I THINK OF ACTUALLY PURCHASING BINS BESIDES BEING A HEADACHE, THE MONEY GOES FOR THE CAUSE THAT IS RELATED TO THE AGENDA ITEM.

YOU KNOW, YOU GIVE PEOPLE \$29 BACK INTO THEIR BUDGET AND YOU HAVE NO IDEA HOW THAT IS GOING TO BE USED AND - IF YOU PURCHASE THE BINS, THEN FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE CHALLENGE BEFORE US, THE FACT THAT WE MAY HAVE SOME RESIDENTS WHO JUST PUSH THEM INTO THE STREET ANYWAY, AT LEAST PERCEIVING THIS BIN, MAYBE IT'S LIKE I HAVE IT AND I MAY JUST USE IT. IT MAY BE PRACTICAL.

I DO NOT WANT BE AN INSTIGATOR SINCE I VOTED NO ON THIS IN THE FIRST PLACE.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: NOT EVERYBODY WANTS A BIN.

I DO NOT HAVE SPACE NEXT TO MY GARAGE FOR AN EXTRA BIN, SO I DO NOT WANT TO FORCE, I DO NOT WANT TO FORCE THIS ILLUSION ON PEOPLE.

GIVE THEM THE CASH BACK, THEY CAN BUY A BIN, THEY CAN BUY BACK, I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD BE MICROMANAGING HOW PEOPLE SPEND THIS REBATE. THAT SOUNDS SLIGHTLY RIDICULOUS TO ME.

I THINK WE GIVE THE MONEY BACK TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU ARE PROPOSING MAY SPEND IT HOWEVER THEY WANT TO SPEND IT.

ALSO THINK THIS IDEA THAT PEOPLE ARE BURDENED IS HIGHLY SUBJECTIVE. PEOPLE MIGHT BE BURDENED IN DIFFERENT WAYS.

THAT MAY NOT JUST BE THE COST OF BAGS OR THE COST OF THE EFFORT TO FILL THE BAGS.

MAY CERTAINLY BE BENEFICIAL FOR THIS REBATE MAY BE BENEFICIAL FOR PEOPLE WHO MIGHT APPEAR ABLE-BODIED AND MAY APPEAR THAT THEY CAN AFFORD IT.

WE HAVE NO IDEA WHAT PEOPLE'S PERSONAL FINANCES LOOK LIKE AND WHAT THEIR PERSONAL CAPACITY IS TO EXPEND THIS EFFORT.

I JUST FEEL LIKE IT IS VERY BIG BROTHER TO ASSUME THAT WE, SITTING HERE NOW WHAT THE BENEFIT IS, WHAT THE BURDEN IS, WHAT THE CAPABILITY IS FOR EVERY SINGLE HOUSEHOLD.

I DON'T KNOW THAT AND THAT NEITHER DOES ANYBODY ELSE AT THIS BOARD TABLE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: TRUSTEE WESLEY?

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: IN FAIRNESS, WE ARE MICROMANAGING LEAVES.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: NO WE ARE NOT MICROMANAGING LEAVES.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: OH YEAH.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: NO WE ARE NOT.

WE ARE NOT.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I WANT TO DIVE IN ON THE BIN THING BECAUSE PART OF SOME OF THE NEGATIVITY THAT I HAVE HEARD AROUND THIS IS THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE NUMBER OF BAGS THAT WILL HAVE TO CONTINUE TO BE REPLACED, NOT THIS YEAR, BUT EVERY YEAR.

TWO TRUSTEE ENYIA!, IF FOLKS HAVE A BIN, THEN IT IS LESS BAGS THEY HAVE TO BUY.

YOU KNOW, THAT IS LESS BAGS THAT END UP HAVING TO IMPACT THE ENVIRONMENT.

BIN IS REUSABLE, EVEN IF IT IS PLASTIC.

I HAVE TRASH CANS THAT I HAVE HAD YEARS, NEARS, NEARS.

AND SO I THINK THAT IS AN INTERESTING ASPECT OR INTERESTING POSSIBILITY HERE IS NOT ONLY COULD WE AS \$30 IS ABOUT WHAT A BIN WOULD COST.

I KNOW YOU DON'T KNOW ONE OF THE TOP OF YOUR HEAD, ROB.

>> THE REBATE WOULD CREATE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR PEOPLE WHO WANTED TO BUY A BIN TO BUY A BIN AND THEY COULD BUY THAT FROM A LOCAL BUSINESS, BUT I THINK SOME OF WHAT WE HAVE HEARD FROM RESIDENTS IS THEIR CONCERNS AROUND THE VAST NUMBER OF BAGS THEY WILL NEED AND SO A BIN CAN ONLY BE USED ONCE A WEEK.

YOU FEEL THAT BIN AND THAT IS ALL YOU GET.

SO THEY MAY STILL BE NEEDING ADDITIONAL BAGS AND SO GIVING THEM THE REBATE GIVES THEM THE CHOICE GIVES THEM THE FLEXIBILITY TO SPEND SOME OF THE DOLLARS ON BUYING A BIN AND BAGS TO SUPPLEMENT WHAT THEY NEED ON A WEEKLY BASIS POTENTIALLY OR IF THEY THINK THEY CAN GET AWAY WITH JUST A BIN THAT THEY CAN BUY THAT FROM A LOCAL HARDWARE STORE.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: FAIR ENOUGH.

I WOULD SETTLE FOR A STRONG RECOMMENDATION OR REMINDER TO THAT RESIDENT THAT WE WILL BE PICKING UP LEAVES FROM BINS SO THAT IS AN OPTION.

>> WE HAVE BEEN INCLUDING THAT AND WE UPDATED A LOT OF OUR COMMUNICATIONS THAT WE WILL BE ROLLING OUT TO HIGHLIGHT THAT AS AN OPTION.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW?

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: IN A 2019 WE HAD AN INCENTIVE PROGRAM IN THE COMPOSTING PROGRAM WHEREBY WHEN YOU SIGNED UP FOR A YEAR OF COMPOSTING FOR THE BIN YOU GOT THREE MONTHS FREE OF THAT SERVICE.

I THINK ONE POTENTIAL USE, I THINK THE BINS OR SOMETHING LIKE \$15 A MONTH.

\$16 A MONTH?

AND SO, YOU KNOW, IT WOULD PROBABLY BE A LESS EXPENSIVE PROGRAM OVERALL TO OFFER A THREE MONTH FREE INCENTIVE THAT WE OFFERED WITH THE PROGRAM IF YOU SIGN UP FOR A NEW COMPOST BIN THE SERVICE AND IT WOULD ADDRESS SOME OF THE CONCERN FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE TRYING TO FIND A WAY TO GET RID OF THE LEAVES IN A WAY OTHER THAN BAGGING THEM AND IT WOULD HAVE THE LONGER-TERM NET BENEFIT OF INCREASING UPTAKE OF THE COMPOSTING PROGRAM, WHICH WOULD HELP MEET A CROP GOAL.

WE COULD SPEND TIME THINKING OF OTHER WAYS OF TRYING TO UTILIZE \$300,000 THAT I THINK WOULD PROBABLY HAVE BIGGER LONG-TERM BENEFITS TO THE COMMUNITY THAN A ONE-TIME \$29 REBATE.

>>ROB SPROULE: POINT OF CLARIFICATION, WE STILL PROVIDE - IS A GOOD PLUG FOR THE PROGRAM WE STILL PROVIDE THREE MONTHS FREE AFTER A YEAR OF COMPOST SERVICE.

>>TRUSTEE STRAW: LET'S MAKE IT SIX.  
I WOULD RATHER DO THAT THAN A \$29 REBATE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I ACTUALLY THINK THAT CONTRARY TO MY COMMENTS - THIS WAS A DIALOGUE WHERE WE WERE LEARNING FROM EACH OTHER, BUT NOW WE DON'T HAVE THE BENEFIT OF THE EQUITY ANALYSIS. THE BENEFIT OF THINGS THAT COME OUT WITH A FLESHED OUT AGENDA ITEM AND SO THESE ARE THE TIMES WHEN I SEE UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES COME FORWARD WHEN A VILLAGE BOARD GOES AND MAKES A DECISION WITHOUT ALL OF THAT AND SO I AM INCLINED TO SUGGEST - ESPECIALLY SINCE WE ARE MISSING TRUSTEE BUCHANAN AND THIS IS SOMETHING SHE WOULD HAVE - I ASSUME SHE WAS IN AGREEMENT WITH IT AS IT IS WRITTEN, BUT I CANNOT SAY THAT. I JUST KNOW THAT IF SHE WASN'T, THEN I'M SURE SHE WOULD HAVE REACHED OUT.

YOU HEAR SOME OF WHAT SOME OF THE THOUGHT PROCESS IS IN THAT POTENTIALLY BINS AVAILABLE SOLVE THE PROBLEM FOR SOME PEOPLE. THEY ACT AS AN ADVERTISEMENT OF THE OPTION THAT EXISTS IN ITSELF. YOU HEAR SOME OF THE EQUITY CONCERNS THAT WE HAVE AT THE BOARD TABLE AND THE COST ANALYSIS OF WHAT ARE WE LOSING WITH HIS MONEY VERSUS WHAT ELSE WE COULD DO WITH IT.

COULD WE POTENTIALLY BRING THIS ITEM BACK WITH SOME ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS TO WHAT YOU HAVE HEARD TONIGHT?

YEAH, OKAY?

I DO SEE THAT YOU WERE VERY MUCH TRYING TO EASE THE BURDEN ON RESIDENTS IN A VERY PRACTICAL WAY IT IS WRITTEN WELL.

SO - ARE WE IN AGREEMENT TO POTENTIALLY TABLE THIS ITEM OR TO REQUEST THAT IT COME BACK TO US FOR ADDITIONAL EQUITY ANALYSIS AND OPTIONS?

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: CAN WE SEE IF WE HAVE CONSENSUS?

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IF WE CALL THE ROLL AND IT DIES, IT DOES NOT MEAN THAT STAFF CANNOT BRING IT BACK AS A REVISITED ITEM.

CLERK WATERS, PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL.

>> TRUSTEE ROBINSON.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE WESLEY.

>> YES.

>> TRUSTEE ENYIA.

>> NO.

>> TRUSTEE PARAKKAT.

>> NO.

>> TRUSTEE STRAW.

>> NO.

>> PRESIDENT SCAMAN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: IS STILL DIES IF I SAY YES OR NO.



>>VILLAGE ATTORNEY: IF IT IS A TIE IT FAILS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN:

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT LEAVES AGAIN AT THIS BOARD TABLE.

I WILL RECUSE MYSELF.

THIS IS DUMB.

>>TRUSTEE ROBINSON: WE WILL BE TALKING ABOUT IT AGAIN, WHICH IS RIDICULOUS THAT WE CANNOT AGREE THAT RESIDENTS SHOULD GET A REBATE ON THIS WITHOUT ANY SOLID ALTERNATIVE.

I'VE HEARD NO SOLID ALTERNATIVE PRESENTED AT THIS BOARD TABLE.

IT IS UNFORTUNATE THAT WE CANNOT AGREE ON THIS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: I WILL VOTE YES, BUT IT STILL DOES DIE SO THAT MEANS THE VOTE WILL COME BACK WITH SUSAN BUCHANAN AT A FUTURE BOARD MEETING.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: NOT VOTING AGAIN.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: UNLESS SOMEONE ELSE WANTS TO CHANGE THEIR VOTE THIS EVENING I THINK THE SITUATION IS CLOSED.

MOTION DIES AND WE HAVE CALLED THE BOARD AND CLERK.

>>CLERK: PASS.

>>TRUSTEE WESLEY: I PASS.

>> PASS.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: THANK YOU TO EVERYBODY THAT WORKED VERY HARD ON FOURTH OF JULY PARADE.

IT WAS AMAZING.

YAY THE DRUMMERS.

I HAD A WHOLE LIST.

WE CLEARED UP AT THE FIREWORKS SITUATION.

ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ADJOURN.

>> SO MOVED.

>> SECOND.

>> ALL IN FAVOR?

>> AYE.

>>PRESIDENT SCAMAN: HAVE A GOOD EVENING, EVERYBODY.