

Oak Park, IL

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2017



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Oak Park's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general). This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Oak Park's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it — Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Overall, ratings for each facet within each pillar tended to be similar to the benchmark. Community Engagement ratings within the pillar of Community Characteristics tended to be higher than the benchmark and ratings of Education and Enrichment within the pillar of Governance tended to be higher than the benchmark. For the facets of Mobility and the Built Environment, ratings in the pillar of participation tended to be higher than the benchmark.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Comm	unity Characte	ristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	10	35	5	3	42	0	6	28	2	
General	2	4	1	0	3	0	1	2	0	
Safety	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	2	1	
Mobility	1	4	2	1	7	0	2	1	0	
Natural Environment	0	3	0	0	6	0	0	3	0	
Built Environment	0	4	1	0	7	0	1	1	0	
Economy	2	5	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	
Recreation and Wellness	0	7	0	1	2	0	0	5	0	
Education and Enrichment	2	3	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	
Community Engagement	3	2	0	0	8	0	1	10	0	

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%	Customer service	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%	Recommend Oak Park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%
=	Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%	Services provided by Oak Park	\leftrightarrow	↔	76%	Remain in Oak Park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%
General	Place to retire	1	1	47%	Services provided by the Federal Government	1	\leftrightarrow	29%	Contacted Oak Park employees	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	67%
g	Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	1	90%								
	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%								
	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%								
	Overall image	\leftrightarrow	1	85%								
	Overall feeling of safety	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%	Police	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%	Crime prevention	1	\leftrightarrow	68%	Did NOT report a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	93%	Fire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	94%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	\leftrightarrow	↓	21%
Za					Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%				
					Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	93%				
					Emergency preparedness	↓ ↓	\leftrightarrow	58%				
					Animal control	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%				
	Traffic flow	1	\leftrightarrow	32%	Traffic enforcement	1	\leftrightarrow	52%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	48%
	Travel by car	1	1	45%	Street repair	1	\leftrightarrow	50%	Walked or biked instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	879
MODIIILY	Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Street cleaning	1	\leftrightarrow	71%	Used public transportation instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	79%
2	Ease of walking	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Street lighting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%				
	Overall ease travel	1	\leftrightarrow	71%	Snow removal	1	\leftrightarrow	67%				
	Public parking	\leftrightarrow	1	26%	Sidewalk maintenance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%				
	Paths and walking trails	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	56%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	54%				
	_				Bus or transit services	\leftrightarrow	1	73%				
	Overall natural environment	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	85%	Garbage collection	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%	Recycled at home	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	919
₽	Air quality	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	73%	Recycling	1	\leftrightarrow	81%	Conserved water	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%
Environment	Cleanliness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	81%	Yard waste pick-up	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%	Made home more energy efficient	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%
ξ					Drinking water	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	81%				
ᇤ					Open space	1	\leftrightarrow	60%				
					Natural areas preservation	1	\leftrightarrow	53%				
Built Environment	New development in Oak Park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%	Sewer services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	65%
	Affordable quality housing	1	1	23%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	54%	Did NOT observe a code violation	1	1	67%
	Housing options	1	\leftrightarrow	54%	Power utility	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	81%				
	Overall built environment	1	\leftrightarrow	72%	Utility billing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	74%				
	Public places	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%	Land use, planning and zoning	1	↔	46%				
					Code enforcement		\leftrightarrow	56%				
					Cable television	1	\leftrightarrow	64%				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher}\qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher}\qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar}\qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower}\qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower}\qquad ^*\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%	Economic development	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	53%	Economy will have positive impact on income	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	22%
	Shopping opportunities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%					Purchased goods or services in Oak Park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	94%
λL	Employment opportunities	1	\leftrightarrow	33%					Work in Oak Park	\leftrightarrow	Į.	28%
2	Place to visit	\leftrightarrow	1	83%								
Economy	Cost of living	↓ ↓	1	16%								
_	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	1	71%								
	Place to work	1	\leftrightarrow	57%								
	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%								
SS	Fitness opportunities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Village parks	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%	In very good to excellent health	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	70%
<u>e</u>	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Used Oak Park recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	59%
Ne Ne	Health care	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	73%	Health services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%	Visited a Village park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%
and V	Food	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	75%					Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%
Recreation and Wellness	Mental health care	+	\leftrightarrow	60%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%
cre	Health and wellness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%								
8	Preventive health services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	76%								
	Cultural/arts/music activities	\leftrightarrow	1	78%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	1	93%	Used Oak Park public libraries	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	84%
and	Child care/preschool	1	\leftrightarrow	54%	Special events	\leftrightarrow	↔	77%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	43%
Education and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%					Attended a Village-sponsored event	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%
걸ᇤ	Adult education	1	\leftrightarrow	65%								
В T	Overall education and enrichment	\leftrightarrow	1	83%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	1	79%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%	Sense of community	\leftrightarrow	1	76%
	Opportunities to volunteer	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%	Overall direction	1	\leftrightarrow	45%	Voted in local elections	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%
	Openness and acceptance	\leftrightarrow	1	75%	Value of services for taxes paid	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	43%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%
ement	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	1	82%	Welcoming citizen involvement	1	\leftrightarrow	54%	Attended a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	27%
Community Engagement	Neighborliness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%	Confidence in Village government	1	\leftrightarrow	46%	Watched a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	21%
					Acting in the best interest of Oak Park	Ţ	\leftrightarrow	50%	Volunteered	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	45%
Ĭ					Being honest		\leftrightarrow	46%	Participated in a club	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	28%
Som					Treating all residents fairly	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	56%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	1	\leftrightarrow	33%
									Contacted Oak Park elected officials	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	24%
									Read or watched local news	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^*\quad \text{Not available}$