# SUBRECIPIENT GRANT AGREEMENT

TH	IS SU	BRECIPIE	NT GRANT	AG	REEMEN	I <b>T</b> ("Agreemen	t") is	entered	into as	of	the
day of		Octo	ber, 2020 k	etw	een the	VILLAGE OF OA	K PAR	K, Illinois	(hereina	fter	the
"Village")	and	BEYOND	HUNGER,	an	Illinois	not-for-profit	Corpo	oration	(hereinaf	ter	the
"Subrecip	ient").	27									

#### **RECITALS**

WHEREAS, the Village has applied for Community Development Block Grant ("CDBG") funds from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") as provided by the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended (P.L. 93-383) (hereinafter "the Act"); and

WHEREAS, Subrecipient has applied to the Village for CDBG funds for the 2020 Program Year; and

WHEREAS, the Village has considered and approved the application of Subrecipient and hereby agrees to distribute to Subrecipient a portion of the total CDBG funds allotted to the Village by HUD, with the portion distributed to Subrecipient being in the amount provided in this Agreement and upon the conditions set forth herein; and

**WHEREAS,** the Village and Subrecipient, acting through their respective Boards are each authorized to enter into this Agreement.

**NOW**, **THEREFORE**, in consideration of the mutual covenants hereinafter set forth, the parties agree as follows:

**1.** <u>INCORPORATION OF RECITALS.</u> The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Agreement as though fully set forth herein.

### 2. SCOPE OF SERVICES.

- A. Subrecipient's project schedule and project budget (collectively referred to as "the Project") are set forth in the Subrecipient's Program Year 2020 Community Development Block Grant Program Proposal attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as <a href="Exhibit A">Exhibit A</a> (hereinafter the "Subrecipient's Proposal").
- B. The Project will proceed in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, the Subrecipient's Proposal and all laws and regulations referenced in this Agreement. Any changes(s) in the Project must be approved by the Village prior to the Subrecipient incurring any Project costs or implementing any substantial Project modifications. Such approval shall only be effective if authorized by a written amendment to this Agreement.

C. The funds to be provided by the Village to Subrecipient pursuant to this Agreement shall be used to partially pay salary costs for three Food Pantry employees (Volunteer and Pantry Manager, Program Manager and Nutrition Education Coordinator and Dietitian) and buy emergency food for low income persons. A total of 11,500 persons (1,400 Oak Park persons) will benefit.

### 3. ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.

- A. The Village shall distribute to Subrecipient as Subrecipient's portion of the total grant received by the Village from HUD a maximum of twenty two thousand dollars (\$22,000) (hereinafter the "Grant Funds") to be paid in accordance with the terms of this Agreement. The Subrecipient acknowledges and agrees that only those budget line items and percentages that appear in its Program Year 2020 Project Budget will be considered for reimbursement through the Grant Funds.
- B. The Grant Funds shall not be used for ineligible or unallowable costs, including costs incurred prior to the effective date of this Agreement as defined herein. In the event the Village does not receive the Grant Funds from HUD, the Village shall not provide the Grant Funds, or any other funds, to Subrecipient.

#### 4. PAYMENT.

- A. The Village shall make all Grant Funds payments on a reimbursement basis. To request a payment of Grant Funds, the Subrecipient must submit a request for payment to the Village in the form of an invoice, together with such supporting documentation as the Village deems necessary in its discretion to support the invoice. The Village shall only reimburse the Subrecipient for approved expenditures to the maximum of the allocated Grant Funds for the Project.
- B. The Village may refuse to reimburse the Subrecipient if the Subrecipient is not in compliance with any applicable law, rule or regulation or this Agreement. In such case, the Village shall assist the Subrecipient to bring the Project into compliance.
- C. The Subrecipient shall submit invoices to the Village for reimbursement monthly for the first quarter (a separate invoice for October, November and December, 2020, respectively) and at least quarterly for the last three quarters of the Program Year, as defined below. Final project invoices must be submitted to the Village no later than October 31, 2021. Any invoices submitted after October 31, 2021 shall not be paid by the Village.

#### 5. PROGRAM YEAR.

A. The Subrecipient shall perform the Project beginning October 1, 2020 and ending on September 30, 2021 (hereinafter referred to as the "Program Year").

- B. The Project shall be completed no later than September 30, 2021. Project costs shall not be incurred after the Program Year.
- C. If the Subrecipient is delayed in the completion of the Project by any cause legitimately beyond its control, it shall immediately, upon receipt and knowledge of such delay, give written notice to the Village and request an extension of time for completion of the Project. The Subrecipient shall request an extension from the Village in writing at least thirty (30) days before the end of the Program Year. The Village shall either grant or deny the request for an extension in its discretion and shall provide notice to the Subrecipient of its grant or denial of the request.
- D. The Subrecipient shall return any funds not expended by the end of the Project to the Village. All funds obligated or committed by the Subrecipient to contractors, suppliers, etc. during the Program Year must be expended by the end of the Program Year unless an extension has been given to the Subrecipient. The Subrecipient shall have 30 days after the close of the Program Year to request reimbursement for costs incurred for the Project, unless an extension has been granted pursuant to this Agreement.

#### 6. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

- A. The Subrecipient shall comply with the applicable provisions Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. § 5301 et seq. (hereinafter referred to as the "Act"), and all applicable rules and regulations promulgated under the Act by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), including, but not limited to 24 CFR Part 570, and all other applicable federal, state, county and local government laws, ordinances or regulations which may in any manner affect the performance of this Agreement, including but not limited to those set forth herein, and those identified in the document titled "Assurances," attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit B.
- B. The Subrecipient shall comply with the applicable administrative requirements set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations at 2 CFR 200.
- C. The Subrecipient shall comply with the following in its performance of the Project:
  - 1. Not discriminate against any worker, employee, or applicant, or any member of the public because of race, religion, disability, creed, color, sex, age, sexual orientation, status as a disabled veteran or Vietnam era veteran, or national origin, nor otherwise commit an unfair employment practice;
  - 2. Take action to ensure that applicants are employed without regard to race, religion, handicap, creed, color, sex, age, sexual orientation,

status as a disabled veteran or Vietnam era veteran, or national origin, with such action including, but not limited to the following: employment, upgrading, demotion or transfer, termination, rates of pay, other forms of compensation, selection for training, including apprenticeship; and

- 3. The Village's Reaffirmation of Equal Employment Opportunity Policy ("EEO"), attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as  $\underline{\text{Exhibit}}$   $\underline{\textbf{C}}$ .
- D. Subrecipient agrees not to violate any state or federal laws, rules or regulations regarding a direct or indirect illegal interest on the part of any employee or elected officials of the Subrecipient in the Project or payments made pursuant to this Agreement.
- E. Subrecipient agrees that, to the best of its knowledge, neither the Project nor the funds provided therefore, nor the personnel employed in the administration of the program shall be in any way or to any extent engaged in the conduct of political activities in contravention of Chapter 15 of Title 5 of the United States Code, otherwise known as the "Hatch Act."
- F. Subrecipient shall be accountable to the Village for compliance with this Agreement in the same manner as the Village is accountable to the United States government for compliance with HUD guidelines.
- G. The Village, as a condition to Subrecipient's receipt of Grant Funds, requires Subrecipient, when applicable, to assist in the completion of an environmental review as needed for the Project.
- H. Subrecipient shall permit the authorized representatives of the Village, HUD, and the Comptroller General of the United States to inspect and audit all data and reports of Subrecipient relating to its performance of this Agreement.
- I. Subrecipient agrees and authorizes the Village to conduct on-site reviews, examine personnel and employment records and to conduct other procedures or practices to assure compliance with these provisions. The Subrecipient agrees to post notices, in conspicuous places available to employees and applicants for employment, setting forth the provisions of this non-discrimination clause.
- J. The Village will provide technical assistance as needed to assist the Subrecipient in complying with the Act and the rules and regulations promulgated for implementation of the Act.

#### 7. REPORTING AND RECORD KEEPING.

- A. <u>Subrecipient's Maintenance of Required Records</u>.
- Subrecipient shall maintain records to show actual time devoted and costs incurred in connection with the Project. Upon fifteen (15) days' notice from the Village, originals or certified copies of all time sheets, billings, and other documentation used in the preparation of said Progress Reports required pursuant to Section 7(C) below shall be made available for inspection, copying, or auditing by the Village at any time, during normal business hours.
- Subrecipient's documents and records pursuant to this Agreement shall be В. maintained and made available during the Project Period and for three (3) years after completion of the Project. The Subrecipient shall give notice to the Village of any documents or records to be disposed of or destroyed and the intended date after said period, which shall be at least 90 days after the effective date of such notice of disposal or destruction. The Village shall have 90 days after receipt of any such notice to given notice to the Consultant not to dispose of or destroy said documents and records and to require Consultant to deliver same to the Village. The Subrecipient shall maintain for a minimum of three (3) years after the completion of this Agreement, or for three (3) years after the termination of this Agreement, whichever comes later, adequate books, records and supporting documents to verify the amounts, recipients and uses of all disbursements of Grant Funds passing in conjunction with the Agreement. The Agreement and all books, records and supporting documents related to the Agreement shall be available for review and audit by the Village and the federal funding entity, if applicable, and the Subrecipient agrees to cooperate fully with any audit conducted by the Village and to provide full access to all materials. Failure to maintain the books, records and supporting documents required by this subsection shall establish a presumption in favor of the Village for recovery of any Grant Funds paid by the Village under the Agreement for which adequate books, records and supporting documentation are not available to support their purported disbursement. The Subrecipient shall make the documents and records available for the Village's review, inspection and audit during the entire term of this Agreement and three (3) years after completion of the Project as set forth herein and shall fully cooperate in responding to any information request pursuant to the Illinois Freedom of Information Act, 5 ILCS 140/1 et seq. by providing any and all responsive documents to the Village.
- C. <u>Quarterly Progress Reports & Final Report</u>. Subrecipient shall prepare and submit a quarterly Progress Report to the Village reporting on the status of the Project. Project progress is to be implemented based on the Project timeline set forth in the Proposal, attached hereto and incorporated herein as <u>Attachment A</u>. The information provided in the Progress Reports shall be forwarded to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development and shall be made available to the Village's Community Development Citizen Advisory Committee in order to determine the success or failure of the Project.

All Progress Reports, unless otherwise specifically noted, shall be due by the 15th day of the month following the end of each quarter and shall contain data obtained during the preceding three months. The Subrecipient shall be required to submit a final report at the end of the Project in lieu of the last Progress Report.

The following schedule shall be applicable:

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter: October-December, 2020 Progr 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter: January–March, 2021 Progr

3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter: April–June, 2021

4<sup>th</sup> Quarter: July-September, 2021

Progress report due by January 15, 2021 Progress report due by April 15, 2021 Progress report due by July 15, 2021

Progress report/Final report due by October

15, 2021

Each quarterly Progress Report and the Final Report shall include information regarding activity compliance pursuant to the national objective criteria set forth in 24 C.F.R. Section 208 (2) and 570 and in Section 2 - Scope of Services. See the attached formats Exhibits D & E. The Village may request additional reports from the Subrecipient as necessary to comply with any applicable federal law requirements.

- D. <u>Penalty for Late Submission of Quarterly Reports or Final Report.</u> In the event the Subrecipient does not provide the Village with any report within the required time period, the Village shall withhold \$25.00 from the Grant Funds for each business day the report remains overdue. Funds charged for failure to submit a required report shall be deducted from the total Grant Funds and the amount allocated to reimburse for the scope of services shall be reduced accordingly. It is the Subrecipient's sole responsibility to be aware of the reporting schedule and to provide the Village with timely reports.
- E. Subrecipient will keep and maintain such records and provide such reports and documentation to the Village as the Village deems necessary to further its monitoring obligations.

#### 8. MONITORING AND PERFORMANCE DEFICIENCIES.

- A. <u>Village Project Monitoring.</u> The Village will monitor the Subrecipient's planning and implementation of the Project on a periodic basis to determine Subrecipient's compliance with all laws, rules and regulations and to determine whether Subrecipient is adequately performing and operating the Project in accordance with the approved Project guidelines. Subrecipient acknowledges the necessity for such monitoring and agrees to cooperate with the Village in this effort by providing all requested records and information and allowing such onsite visits as the Village determines is necessary to accomplish its monitoring function.
- B. <u>Performance Deficiency Procedures.</u> The Village may take such actions as are necessary to prevent the continuation of a performance deficiency, to mitigate, to the extent possible, the adverse effects or consequences of the deficiency, and to prevent a recurrence of the deficiency. The following steps outline the general procedure the Village will use when it becomes aware of a performance deficiency. The Village is not bound to follow these steps. Depending on the seriousness of the deficiency, the Village may take any steps it deems

necessary to address the deficiency, including immediate termination of the Project and any other remedies available by law.

- 1. When an issue involving a performance deficiency arises, including performance reporting requirements, the Village will first attempt to resolve the issue by informal discussions with the Subrecipient. The Village will attempt to provide Technical Assistance, to the maximum extent practicable, to help the Subrecipient successfully resolve the performance issue.
- 2. If discussion does not result in correction of the deficiency, the Village will schedule a monitoring visit to review the performance area that must be improved. The Village will provide the Subrecipient with a written report that outlines the results of the monitoring. Generally this report will include a course of corrective action and a time frame in which to implement corrective actions.
- 3. If, despite the above efforts, the Subrecipient fails to undertake the course of corrective action by the stated deadline, the Village will notify the Subrecipient in writing that its Project is being suspended. CDBG funds may not be expended for any Project that has been suspended.
- 4. The Village's written suspension notice will include a specified, written course of corrective action and a timeline for achieving the changes. Generally, corrective action plans will require a 15 to 60 day period of resolution (depending upon the performance issue).
- 5. The Village may lift a suspension when the performance issue has been resolved to the satisfaction of the Village. The Village will release a suspension by written release signed by the Village Manager or her designee.
- C. <u>Unresolved Performance Deficiencies</u>. Subrecipient's failure, in whole or in part, to meet the course of corrective action to have a suspension lifted, shall constitute cause for termination pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 9 below.

#### 9. TERMINATION.

This Agreement may be terminated as follows:

- A. <u>By Fulfillment</u>. This Agreement will be considered terminated upon fulfillment of its terms and conditions.
- B. <u>By Mutual Consent</u>. The Agreement may be terminated or suspended, in whole or in part, at any time, if both parties consent to such termination or suspension. The

conditions of the suspension or termination shall be documented in a written amendment to the Agreement.

- C. <u>Lack of Funding</u>. The Village reserves the right to terminate this Agreement, in whole or in part, in the event expected or actual funding from the Federal government or other sources is withdrawn, reduced or eliminated.
- D. <u>For Cause</u>. The Village may terminate this Agreement for cause at any time. Cause shall include, but not be limited to:
  - 1. Improper or illegal use of funds;
  - 2. Subrecipient's suspension of the Project; or
  - 3. Failure to carry out the Project in a timely manner.
- E. <u>Termination for Illegality</u>. This Agreement shall be subject to automatic termination due to the Subrecipient's improper or illegal use of the Grant Funds. Notice of termination for illegality shall be provided by the Village to Subrecipient pursuant to Section 18 below.

## 10. REVERSION OF ASSETS.

- A. At the termination of this Agreement, Subrecipient shall transfer to the Village any CDBG funds on hand, and any accounts receivable attributable to the use of CDBG funds.
- B. Any real property under Subrecipient's control that was acquired or improved in whole or in part with CDBG funds (including CDBG funds provided to Subrecipient in the form of a loan) in excess of \$25,000 must be either:
  - 1. Used to meet one of the national objectives in Section 570.208 for a period of five years after the expiration of the agreement, or for such longer period of time as determined to be appropriate by the recipient; or
  - 2. If not so used, Subrecipient shall then pay to the Village an amount equal to the current market value of the property, less any portion of the value attributable to expenditures of non-CDBG funds for the acquisition of, or improvement to, the property, which payment shall be considered program income to the Village, as required by law. Such change in use or property disposition will be reported to the Village within 30 days of the intent to dispose of said property. Promissory notes, deeds of trust or other documents may additionally be negotiated as a term for receipt of funds.

- C. If Subrecipient intends to dispose of any real property acquired and/or improved with CDBG funds, Subrecipient must report, in writing, to the Village, such intent to dispose of said property 30 days prior to the negotiation and/or agreement to dispose of said property.
- D. For a period of 5 years after the Project Year, Subrecipient will provide the Village with an annual report inventorying all real property acquired or improved with CDBG funds and certifying its use in accordance with the CDBG National Objectives.

#### 11. REMEDIES.

- A. In the event of any violation or breach of this Agreement by Subrecipient, misuse or misapplication of funds derived from the Agreement by Subrecipient, or any violation of any laws, rules or regulations, directly or indirectly, by Subrecipient and/or any of its agents or representatives, the Village shall have the following remedies:
- 1. The Subrecipient may be required to repay the Grant Funds to the Village;
- 2. To the fullest extent permitted by law, the Subrecipient will indemnify and hold the Village harmless from any requirement to repay the Grant Funds to HUD previously received by the Subrecipient for the Project or penalties and expenses, including attorneys' fees and other costs of defense, resulting from any action or omission by the Subrecipient; and
- 3. The Village may bring suit in any court of competent jurisdiction for repayment of Grant Funds, damages and its attorney's fees and costs, or to seek any other lawful remedy to enforce the terms of this Agreement, as a result of any action or omission by the Subrecipient.
- **12. INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR.** Subrecipient is and shall remain for all purposes an independent contractor and shall be solely responsible for any salaries, wages, benefits, fees or other compensation which she may obligate herself to pay to any other person or consultant retained by her.
- **13. NO ASSIGNMENT.** Subrecipient shall not assign this Agreement or any part thereof and Subrecipient shall not transfer or assign any Grant Funds or claims due or to become due hereunder, without the written approval of the Village having first been obtained.

#### 14. AMENDMENTS AND MODIFICATIONS.

A. The nature and the scope of services specified in this Agreement may only be modified by written amendment to this Agreement approved by both parties.

- B. No such amendment or modification shall be effective unless reduced to writing and duly authorized and signed by the authorized representative of the Village and the authorized representative of the Subrecipient.
- **SAVINGS CLAUSE.** If any provision of this Agreement, or the application of such provision, shall be rendered or declared invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, or by reason of its requiring any steps, actions or results, the remaining parts or portions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

#### 16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT.

- A. This Agreement sets forth all the covenants, conditions and promises between the parties.
- B. There are no covenants, promises, agreements, conditions or understandings between the parties, either oral or written, other than those contained in this Agreement.

#### 17. GOVERNING LAW, VENUE AND SEVERABILITY.

- A. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Illinois both as to interpretation and performance. Venue for any action brought pursuant to this Agreement shall be in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.
- B. If any provision of this Agreement, or the application of such provision, shall be rendered or declared invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, or by reason of its requiring any steps, actions or results, the remaining parts or portions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

#### 18. NOTICES.

A. All notices or invoices required to be given under the terms of this Agreement shall be given by United States mail or personal service addressed to the parties as follows:

For the Village: For Subrecipient:

Grants Supervisor Executive Director
Village of Oak Park Beyond Hunger
123 Madison Street 848 Lake Street
Oak Park, Illinois 60302 Oak Park, IL 60301

B. Either of the parties may designate in writing from time to time substitute addresses or persons in connection with required notices.

- **19. EFFECTIVE DATE.** The effective date of this Agreement as reflected above shall be the date that the Village Manager for the Village of Oak Park executes this Agreement.
- **20.** COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE OR PDF SIGNATURES. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be considered an original and together shall be one and the same Agreement. A facsimile or pdf copy of this Agreement and any signature(s) thereon will be considered for all purposes as an original.
- **21.** <u>CAPTIONS AND SECTION HEADINGS.</u> Captions and section headings are for convenience only and are not a part of this Agreement and shall not be used in construing it.
- **NON-WAIVER OF RIGHTS**. No failure of any Party to exercise any power given to it hereunder or to insist upon strict compliance by any other Party with its obligations hereunder, and no custom or practice of the Parties at variance with the terms hereof, shall constitute a waiver of that Party's right to demand exact compliance with the terms hereof.
- **ATTORNEY'S OPINION.** If requested, the Subrecipient shall provide an opinion by its attorney in a form reasonably satisfactory to the Village Attorney that all steps necessary to adopt this Agreement, in a manner binding upon the Subrecipient have been taken by the Subrecipient.
- **24. BINDING AUTHORITY.** The individuals executing this Agreement on behalf of the Parties represent that they have the legal power, right, and actual authority to bind their respective Party to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK - SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be signed by their duly authorized representatives on the dates set forth below.

VILLAGE OF OAK PARK	BEYOND HUNGER		
Name: Cara Pavlicek Title: Village Manager	_	Name: Title:	
Date:	2020	Date:	, 2020
ATTEST:		ATTEST:	
Name: Vicki Scaman Title: Village Clerk	_	Name: Title:	
Date:,	2020	Date:	, 2020

# EXHIBIT A SUBRECIPIENT'S PROPOSAL

# **Submission #71**

View Edit Delete		
Printer-friendly version		
Print Resend e-mails	Previous submission	Next submission
Submission information		
Form: Village of Oak Park CDBG Public Services Gran Submitted by Beyond Hunger Mon, 2020-02-10 16:38 73.73.18.226	t Submission Form	
1. Applicant Information		
A. Organization Information		
<ol> <li>Organization Name         Beyond Hunger     </li> <li>Organization Mailing Address</li> </ol>		
848 Lake Street, Oak Park, IL 60301		
3. Organization Phone 7083861324		
4. Executive Director Michele Zurakowski		
5. Email Address info@GoBeyondHunger.org		
<b>6. FEIN</b> # 27-2018997		
7. <b>DUNS</b> # 96-242-6073		
B. Project Information		
1. Proposed Project Name Direct Hunger Relief		

# 2. Proposed Project Address

Beyond Hunger 848 Lake Street Oak Park, IL 60301

# 3. Project Manager/Primary Contact

Michele Zurakowski

# 4. Secondary Contact

Beth Klein

# 5. Proposed Project Phone Number

708-434-0085

### 6. Email Address

michele@GoBeyondHunger.org

# C. Type of Organization

Private non-profit

D. Project Overview

# 1. Total CDBG dollars requested

\$ 25,000

# 2. Total project budget

\$ 1,692,204

## 3. Total Low/Moderate Income Persons Served Annually

11,500 unduplicated individuals, without regard to income status, from 13 zip codes, including Oak Park. Approximately 11,250 of the total unduplicated clients are LMI. 1,400 unduplicated individuals are from Oak Park, with approximately 1,350 of these unduplicated individuals being LMI.

# 4. Brief project description and purpose

With 1 of 7 individuals in the area not knowing where their next meal is coming from, Beyond Hunger provides direct hunger relief to individuals and families experiencing food insecurity.

#### 5. Population Served

51% or more Low/Moderate Persons

# 6. Did you return any CDBG funds in program year 2018? If yes, explain why.

No

### **Explanation**

### 7. Do you have a written Oak Park CDBG Policies and Procedures Manual?

Yes

# 2. Project Narrative

### I. Background & Need

Background of Beyond Hunger

Founded in the 1978 by a small group of concerned citizens and incorporated in 2010 as an independent 501(c)(3) organization, Beyond Hunger's mission it to harness the power of communities to end hunger. In 2019 we changed our name from Oak Park River Forest Food Pantry to better reflect the scope of our work. Our main activities include (1) providing direct hunger relief to approximately 11,500 unique individuals (38,000 with repeat visits) in more than 4,250 unique households across 13 ZIP codes through our Food Pantry each year; (2) developing and implementing nutrition education programs to help participants choose and use healthy food; (3) linking clients to income support benefits such as SNAP (the federal "food stamp" program), and (4) delivering groceries to homebound older adults and people with mental health disabilities that preclude them from visiting the Food Pantry.

# Data from Beyond Hunger

At the start of the national recession in 2008, food insecurity rates in the United States climbed from 11.1% to 14.6%, an increase of more than 30%. What that means is that nearly 1 in 6 people did not have reliable access to a sufficient quantity of affordable, nutritious food. After 12 years, food insecurity has gradually decreased, nearing pre-recession rates of households nationwide. Still, the numbers are sobering. According to Feeding America, more than 40 million Americans (including 12 million children and 7 million seniors) receive charitable food assistance through a national network of 200 food banks each year. In fact, one out of every 8 people in the United States still uses charitable food assistance. It's one in 7 in Cook County. Our average client household has 3 members and an income of \$11,479—well below poverty level. While we have seen some decrease in Food Pantry visits, it has not come close to a return to 2007 when, even counting repeat visits, we served only 16,605 individuals—compared to the over 38,000 served in FY2019.

According to Greater Chicago Food Depository, more than \$12,000 individuals in Cook County rely on charitable food assistance each year. In Oak Park alone, an estimated 7,000 individuals struggle with hunger. While hunger is harmful to all people, it can be devastating to children, who require proper nutrition for development and growth. Seniors are at greater risk of hunger due to unique medical and mobility challenges. And although hunger has no racial or ethnic boundaries, African Americans are more than twice as likely to suffer from food insecurity as their white, non-Hispanic counterparts. Most (84%) of the households we serve include a member who is a child, a senior, a person with disabilities, or a veteran. These vulnerable populations do not recover as quickly from economic downturns as others. So, while we continue to see general economic recovery and some lessening of demand on our services, we know thousands of local individuals will continue to need help putting food on their tables next year.

#### II. Approach

#### a. Purpose

By helping low-income neighbors access food at no cost, we reduce food insecurity in our community. Additionally, we understand that educating people about the connection between health and nutrition empowers them to make better food choices and better use of their limited food resources. In the long run, this leads to better health outcomes. Finally, by connecting participants to SNAP and other income, health, and social supports, we help them move from hunger to enhanced food security, health, and well-being.

Virtually all (99%) of our clients last year fell within the LMI income category, with 98% in the low- or extremely low categories. On average, clients visit our food pantry 3.4 times per year, though Oak Parkers average more frequent visits at 4 per year. Most use our food pantry as a stopgap measure to help get through times of unusual hardship, such as loss of a job or medical crisis. In addition to using our food pantry, many clients report using a variety of other strategies to make ends meet, such as skipping meals so their children can eat, purchasing cheap but filling foods (i.e., ramen noodles) rather than more nutritious but costly foods, taking medications every other day, or going without heat. Being forced to take measures such as choosing between food and medication can exacerbate the effects of food insecurity, which in turn causes significant emotional and health issues for families. For children, long-term effects of food insecurity include lowered academic achievement, decreased emotional development, increased health problems and decreased chances for future economic well-being. For older adults, food insecurity exacerbates diseases, decreases resistance to infection, and extends hospital stays. For all people, hunger and obesity are intertwined due to cycles of food deprivation and overeating, as well as the fact that the least nutritious foods on the market (chips, candy, and processed foods high in sodium and fat) are often the cheapest, most readily available, and most filling.

#### b. Target Populations

Beyond Hunger serves clients living in 13 zip codes on the westside of Chicago, Oak Park, and surrounding suburbs. Approximately 57% of unduplicated clients come from the Austin/West Humboldt Park neighborhood on Chicago's west side; 13% from Oak Park, and the rest from Berwyn (9%), Forest Park (4%), Elmwood Park (4%), River Forest (1%) and other communities (12%). About 76% of our clients are African American, 15% are White, and 9% are multi-racial or other. Within these categories, 12.6% identify as Hispanic. Most households (84%) include an older adult (60+), a child, a member with disabilities, or a veteran. Approximately 98% of our clients come from households with gross annual income falling in the low- or very low-income categories established by HUD guidelines.

For the purpose of the CDBG Public Services grant, we seek support only for our work with Oak Park residents, approximately 1,400 unduplicated individuals, 1,350 of whom will meet LMI standard. While Oak Parkers comprised 13% of unique clients in FY2019, their pattern of more frequent visits means they represent 18% of all pantry visits.

Our approach to providing Direct Hunger Relief emphasizes cultural competence in all areas by encouraging and using skills, attitudes, behaviors and policies that help our agency's staff and volunteers work effectively with people of different backgrounds and cultures. We actively seek and recruit staff and volunteers of all ages, races, ethnicities, incomes, and religious affiliations so that our service team reflects the diverse population we serve. Staff and Board members participate in Diversity, Equity and Inclusion trainings each year.

At all staff and volunteer trainings, we emphasize the many faces of hunger, and warn against

pre-conceptions of how people who experience food insecurity look and behave. We set clear expectations with staff and volunteers for showing openness, compassion, respect, warmth, and empathy to all our clients. We also discuss how ethnic and religious customs are two of many factors that influence our food preferences. We want our staff and volunteers to embrace the notion that understanding culture and its relationship to food preferences helps nutrition programs improve services. During cooking demonstrations and samplings offered during food pantry distribution hours, our dietitians and interns work to embrace clients' diversity and focus attention on client satisfaction. We show how to use familiar foods cooked in a healthy manner, or even unfamiliar foods cooked in a recognizable style, that will increase the likelihood that a dish will be eaten and enjoyed. Thus, at a cooking demonstration, a dietitian might showcase healthy methods of preparing sweet potatoes and collard greens to increase nutritional value. Alternatively, she might introduce a new vegetable, such as kohlrabi into a familiar Southern gumbo recipe. The good feeling that program participants experience when tasting favorite foods partly comes from the recognition that their own cultural preferences are important and respected.

#### c. Strategies

Beyond Hunger combats the devastating effects of hunger in our community by providing the most nutritious food possible and by helping connect people to more secure, sustainable income supports. Key elements of our Direct Hunger Relief program described below, are food acquisition, food distribution, nutrition education, and social service connections.

Our Direct Hunger Relief program provides healthy and nutritious food to assist approximately 11,500 unique food insecure individuals living in 13 zip codes in western Cook County during each program year. We offer participants nutrition education, so they understand and enjoy the benefits of a healthful diet. We recognize that providing emergency supplies of groceries cannot ensure ongoing food security; therefore, we work to link eligible people to SNAP and other benefits that help with economic security and access to food.

#### Food Acquisition

To meet the needs of approximately 11,500 unduplicated individual clients (1,400 from Oak Park), projected to visit our Pantry in PY2020, we procure foods that are rich in nutrients and low in fat, sodium and sugar—the lean meat, whole grains, and fresh produce so often lacking in our low-income clients' diets. While we cannot provide 11,500 individuals (or more accurately 38,000 including repeat visits) with all their nutrition needs, we will continue to ensure that what food we do supply is as nutritious as possible. We intend our food distributions to be a supplement to the SNAP ("food stamps") program, which for many is a first line of defense against hunger. While SNAP is a pivotal component of food security, SNAP benefits often do not last an entire month. Thus, combining food pantry visits with SNAP benefits will help participants move toward food security.

We procure food for our Direct Hunger Relief program from many sources. We purchase food through the Greater Chicago Food Depository at deeply discounted rates. We conduct "food rescue" operations each week with local grocers and restaurants which donate fresh but imperfect produce and other products that are near their expiration date. We repackage surplus food from seven local cafeterias and distribute those meals to low-income, food-insecure residents. As funding allows, we purchase fresh produce from a local wholesaler, with a goal of ensuring that all clients receive a hearty supply of produce as part of their food allotment.

This year, families receive an average of 21 pounds of produce per visit. We also receive generous weekly donations of food from individuals, groups, and congregations. In addition, we benefit from food drives organized by a wide variety of community volunteers, including Girl Scouts, high school hockey players, and the US postal workers. In the summer months, local gardeners, the Oak Park Farmer's Market, and the Cheney Mansion garden donate homegrown produce weekly. As our mission indicates and our food procurement methods reveal, we are serious about engaging the entire community to help end local hunger!

#### Food Distribution

We distribute food through our food pantry on Wednesday and Saturday each week, structuring our distribution schedule to include morning, evening, and weekend hours that meet the needs of the working poor. Participants may come to one distribution each month and are invited to select their own supply of nutritious food from the pantry shelves. This model not only emphasizes cultural respect of, and dignity for, our diverse clients; it also cuts down on waste. In addition to distributing food, as budget and donations allow, we distribute diapers for infants, toddlers, and adults.

We also offer Home Delivery, working in careful coordination with Oak Park and River Forest Townships, Thresholds, and Senior Suites of Austin to identify qualifying home-bound seniors and/or those with mental health disabilities that render them homebound. Home Delivery provides these mostly single-resident households with approximately 40 pounds of groceries once a month. As with our regular food distribution, Home Delivery prioritizes nutritious foods, including an average of 20 pounds of fresh produce and milk monthly. Our dietitian works with individual recipients to tailor allotments to their specific health needs, i.e. renal diets or diets for diabetics. Our Home Delivery program serves approximately 150 unique individuals over the course of each year.

#### Nutrition and Health Education

During our bi-weekly food pantry distribution, we offer an innovative on-site nutrition education program. Through collaborations with Dominican University, Loyola University, University of Illinois/Chicago and others, we host education programs with registered dietitians and dietetic interns. These experts teach participants nutrition basics, demonstrate cooking techniques, and offer food samples featuring food pantry staples. The volunteers highlight easy uses for the many unusual fresh fruit and vegetable options we receive, e.g. leeks, kohlrabi, and all manner of greens. Dietitians discuss clients' nutrition needs, cultural preferences for food preparation, and address any dietary or health concerns. Health care practitioners from Rush Oak Park Hospital (ROPH) and others offer free blood pressure checks at most food distributions and provide follow-up advice/referrals as needed.

#### Social Services Counseling

Many people who first come to our food pantry are not aware of their eligibility for SNAP or for other forms of income assistance, such as Benefit Access Program (BAP) for seniors and people with disabilities, or Medicaid/Medicare health coverage. Our fulltime Social Services Coordinator is on site (including during all regular food distribution hours) to assist people with screening to determine eligibility, guidance on filing benefits applications, following-up with state agencies on applications, and providing assistance with denial of benefits. We

estimate that we will help approximately 1,200 unique household members apply for federal and state benefits (including 500 for SNAP, 250 to state IDs, and the rest to other vital documents and supports. (An additional 1,000 individuals will be assisted with referrals and screenings each year. Some of these will have screened ineligible for SNAP; others already receive benefits but need some ancillary assistance.)

Accessing and Receiving Services at Beyond Hunger

For a sense of how a client first connects with Beyond Hunger and utilizes our services, we have provided the following "walk-through."

STEP 1: Outreach (How we get information about our services to potential clients, individuals who are food insecure)

Beyond Hunger is part of several consortia aimed at coordinating services for LMI individuals and families to improve health and/or reduce hunger. These include Westside Partners Network, Youth Network Council, Oak Park Homelessness Coalition, Champion Advocates of Greater Chicago Food Depository, and Illinois Hunger Coalition. These groups help us reach our target audience through hotlines, websites, online closed loop referral systems, written materials, and other public service outreach methods to advise them of our services. In addition, we work with most local congregations, the townships of Oak Park and River Forest, local school districts, several hospitals, and our state legislators to make them aware of the services we can provide to people in need.

# STEP 2: Intake and Client Registration

Our Direct Hunger Relief services are located in the lower level of First United Church of Oak Park at 848 Lake Street. When a participant comes to us to our food pantry, she enters the building, draws a number, and takes a seat to await service. While in the waiting area, she can access a variety of services that she might find helpful. As noted above, we provide nutrition education at all distributions, often including food samples with recipes and cooking demonstrations. Visiting nurses offer blood pressure screening. In addition, several groups provide a variety of services including flu shot clinics (by visiting health care providers), voter registration (League of Women Voters), health care navigation, Safe-Link phone assistance, etc. (Not all services are available on each visit). A musician donates his time twice a month to perform folk songs during client registration hours, creating a peaceful and welcoming waiting environment. Finally, our Social Services Coordinator announces that she is available for anyone who needs help connecting to benefits such as or SNAP or BAP. Representatives from Housing Forward or Kids Kloset may stop by to tell those waiting about the services they provide (assistance negotiating utility shut-offs and evictions, financial support for rent/utilities, children's winter clothing, etc.) Interested people can either take advantage of these services while they wait to shop for food or make appointments to return at another date.

When the participant's number is called, she proceeds to registration. Initial intake includes collecting HUD-required data for all household members. Our staff and trained volunteers enter demographic information into our database at initial registration; the participant does not need to provide the information again until the following calendar year. All who request food must provide a photo ID (drivers' license, state ID, etc.) and proof of residence within our service boundaries. They must also attest that family income does not exceed Illinois

Emergency Food Assistance Program limits, set at 185% of Federal Poverty Limit. Each time a participant comes to the food pantry, she must present a photo ID and complete the rest of the registration process (per USDA requirements)—essentially verifying that the data is still current.

For those who do not have a photo ID we provide assistance and funding to obtain one. Everyone is offered assistance in applying for federal benefits and referred to staff for follow-up. Once registered, the participant receives a color-coded tag indicating the size of her family to clip onto her shopping cart.

# STEP 3: Shopping for Food

After registering, she proceeds to the shopping area to select her food. As she progresses through the food pantry with her cart, she interacts with a range of volunteers at each food station who can explain what is available that day and how many items she may select in that category. For instance, in the canned meat meal section, the volunteer may tell the client that we have tuna, chicken, and salmon today, and that because the client has a "B" tag (there are four members in her household) she may select any five of these high-protein items. The items offered will vary from week to week depending on what is available for us to purchase. Smaller households may receive fewer items within a category while larger families receive more. Because we emphasize selection and use of healthy food, the majority of options are nutritious. Last year, we piloted a new nutrition evaluation system in conjunction with Greater Chicago Food Depository based on broader national nutrition standards including sodium and sugar content. This system (Supporting Wellness at Pantries aka SWAP), now standard practice, makes selecting nutritious food even easier for shoppers and is based on color-coded shelf tags: Green (eat as many as you'd like—fresh produce, chicken breasts), Yellow (healthy foods to eat in moderation—rice, peanut butter), and Red (treats to enjoy rarely—jelly, high sodium soups). More than 80% of the food procured through the system is analyzed, and we currently average more than 85% in the green and yellow categories (more on this system in the evaluation section to follow).

As the participant progresses through the food pantry's shopping stations, volunteers help her pack selections in bags in her cart. She will end up with over 70 pounds of fresh produce, bread, frozen meat, eggs, grains and canned goods—approximately 58 meals' worth of food. (In PY2020, our goal is to provide 7 days' worth of meals per person each time they visit the Pantry, or 21 meals per person per visit. This equates to 800,000 total meals over the course of the year). At the end of the shopping aisles, volunteer "valets," mostly energetic young people from area high schools, carry the heavy load out the door and up the stairs for her and assist her with loading a vehicle or with heading home.

### STEP 4: Follow-Up Services/Public Benefits and SNAP

If a participant indicated during her registration that she would like to speak to someone about benefits, our Social Services Coordinator will call her back to set up an appointment and help her throughout the process. If any problems arise in the application or documentation, the Social Services Coordinator will trouble-shoot with DHS, GCFD benefits team, Illinois Hunger Coalition, and other local resources to resolve the issue.

#### d. Timeline

Use the attached chart format with applicant having the ability to complete the fields.

#### III. Outcomes & Evaluation

#### a. Goal Statement

In PY2020, Beyond Hunger will reduce local hunger. Approximately 11,500 residents from 13 zip codes in Cook County, including 1,400 Oak Park residents, will receive nutritious food, as well as access to nutrition education and public benefits assistance.

#### b. Narrative

#### 1. Ensuring Outputs/Outcomes

OUTPUT A: Provide emergency supplies of nutritious food to community members experiencing food insecurity.

Participation: We will serve 11,500 unduplicated persons; 11,250 of these persons will meet CDBG Low Moderate Income requirements

Personnel responsible: Michele Zurakowski (Executive Director) oversees all evaluative processes and procedures. Ricardo Garcia (Volunteer and Pantry Manager) assures volunteers and staff are trained in proper data entry and confidentiality. He also manages the client-intake database, assures accurate record and reporting standards, and recruits, trains and supervises volunteers. Kristina Rodriguez-Jeluso (Pantry Supervisor, AmeriCorps member) directs volunteers; ensures that food is properly weighed, categorized, and stored; and ensures clients are registered and receive appropriate services. Maria Delis and Brianne Kellogg (Dietitians) oversee the food categorization and safety procedures. Beth Klein (Finance and Operations Manager) assures timely billing and accounting.

# OUTCOME A: (Short-term)

- 1. Clients will have enough nutritious food for everyone in their households for seven days. Volunteer & Pantry Manager along with Pantry Supervisor oversee all food ordering, intake, and safe handling including weighing and tracking donations. They are responsible for ensuring procurement of adequate amounts of food that meet nutritional goals within agency budget. Data is logged on spreadsheet daily. Volunteer & Pantry Manager manages volunteers at all food distributions, assuring all clients complete all required intake forms. Volunteer & Pantry Manager supervises data entry and audits the intake database. He also prepares monthly reports on client demographics. Executive Director reviews all data and reports to Board of Directors monthly.
- 2. 85% of all food will be in Green/Yellow SWAP categories. Dietitians set nutrient goals for food ordering. They assess weekly invoices of ordered food against a nutritional matrix and track against goal for SWAP foods. Data is logged into spreadsheets weekly.

OUTCOME A: (Intermediate/Long Term)

1. Participants will achieve food security

#### MEASUREMENT INDICATORS FOR OUTCOME A

- 1. Client intake database will provide numbers of persons (including LMI persons) served and will document how frequently each person came. Database will show that over 95% of clients served are LMI persons.
- 2. Product delivery forms/inventory/food rescue tracking forms will provide the raw data on amount and kind of products procured, averaging 7 days' worth of meals per household per visit.
- 3. Nutrition tracking spreadsheets devised by dietitian will indicate that 85% of all products are classified as SWAP green or yellow.

OUTPUT B: Provide income supports to LMI individuals by linking eligible households to SNAP benefits and other resources.

Participation: We will assist a total of 1,200 individuals in LMI households in connecting to BAP, MEDICAID/MEDICARE, Access to Care, and SNAP benefits. Of those, 500 individuals will receive assistance applying for SNAP. In addition, we will help 250 LMI persons obtain documentation/state IDs. Finally, an additional 1000 individuals will be assisted with screenings and/or referrals and other assistance.

Personnel responsible: Michele Zurakowski (Executive Director) oversees all programmatic activities in the agency, ensuring confidentiality regulations and best practices are followed. Adriana Riano (Program Manager) supervises the program, training the Social Services Coordinator (Amanda Kuhn), and the Nutrition Program Assistant (AmeriCorps member, Ana Petersohn) on policies and procedures required by DHS and other state and federal agencies. Ricardo Garcia (Volunteer & Pantry Manager) trains registration volunteers to provide contact information for those who express interest in discussing benefits with the Social Services Coordinator (Amanda Kuhn). Social Services Coordinator meets individually with clients.

#### OUTCOME B (Short-term):

- 1. Eligible participants will receive SNAP benefits to provide enough food for their households for 6 months.
- 2. Participants will understand the re-application process beyond 6 months if needed.
- 3. Eligible participants will be able to access public transportation (BAP/RTA) and extended health care services/Medicare/Medicaid.

Social Services Coordinator (Amanda Kuhn) and Nutrition Program Assistant (AmeriCorps) meet individually with clients daily to assess need, screen for eligibility for benefits, assist clients in applying for benefits, and trouble shoot delays and rejections. Follow-up calls are made to all clients to see if further assistance is needed. All client contact is logged in a password protected client database daily.

4. Eligible participants will receive voucher for a state ID

OUTCOME B (Intermediate/Long-term): Participants will achieve food security.

MEASUREMENT INDICATORS FOR OUTCOME B:

We utilize a data tracking system for all participants. The Social Services Coordinator accesses this system to document her work with clients, including initial screenings for program eligibility, program applications, follow-up communications, and any benefits applied for and received. The system will show that the Social Services Coordinator assisted at least 1,200 LMI household members apply for income supports (500 of those for SNAP). The same database system will document that 250 LMI persons received a state ID/driver's license or needed documentation. It will also track the number of individuals who were screened and did not qualify and/or those who already receive benefits but need assistance of another sort, including referrals to other agencies (1,000 additional individuals).

OUTPUT C: Educate clients on intersections between health and diet, including how to use available healthful options.

Participation: We will provide nutrition education sessions to 11,000 (potentially duplicated) persons. 1,000 LMI clients will engage in health monitoring activities during food distribution sessions, including Blood Pressure screening.

Personnel responsible: Maria Delis and Brianne Kellogg (Nutrition Educator Coordinators and Dietitians) supervise dietetic interns and develop/deliver curricula for participant programming. They log data on topic, participation rates, client questions, food samples, etc. in a spreadsheet weekly. They liaise with health care workers to provide health screenings for clients. Numbers of screenings and depersonalized blood pressure results are logged in a password protected spreadsheet weekly. Adriana Riano (Program Manager) supervises the data collection and assessment of these programs. Beth Klein (Finance and Operations Manager) manages accounting and grant budget reporting. Michele Zurakowski (Executive Director) oversees all programmatic activities and assures the safety and confidentiality of clients and students is maintained. She reports data to the Board of Directors monthly.

# OUTCOME C (Short-term):

- (1) Participants will understand the links between food choices and their specific health issue, i.e., diabetes, hypertension.
- (2) Cooking Class and Store Tour participants will learn to create budgets and menu plans on a typical SNAP budget.
- (3) Participants will "Know Their Numbers," (i.e., blood pressure) and be able to talk to health care providers about taking steps to improve health, including if emergency intervention is needed.
- (4) Participants will select healthy food options at the Pantry.

OUTCOME C (intermediate/long-term): Participants will be able to better manage their own health. Participants will eat a healthier and more varied diet.

#### MEASUREMENT INDICATORS FOR OUTCOME C:

We will use post-class surveys during Cooking Class and Store Tours to indicate participants' understanding of the connection between diet and general health status and/or specific diseases as well as changes in their food choice habits. We will utilize inventory

tracking system to show that food demonstrations increase clients' selection of a featured healthy food item by an average of 50%. We will give clients a blood pressure form, with that day's blood pressure, to share with their health care providers.

#### OUTPUT D:

Our Home Delivery program will distribute 40 lbs. of groceries monthly, including high quality proteins, fresh produce, and incontinence products to 150 unique LMI elderly and disabled individuals by the end of PY2020--85 will be residents of Oak Park. Working in careful coordination with Oak Park Township Services, River Forest Township, Thresholds, and Senior Suites of Austin we will identify qualifying LMI homebound residents. Groceries will meet dietary guidelines for each resident's particular health needs (i.e., diabetes, renal diet, etc.) Furthermore, participants will receive items of their choosing to both respect their food preferences and to reduce food waste.

Personnel responsible: Adriana Riano (Program Manager) oversees the program, including recruiting partner agencies to provide client case management and referral. She manages the assessment of the program including an annual survey of participants that assesses food insecurity of all new enrollees. All data is kept in a password protected database and survey data is aggregated and depersonalized. Maria Delis (Nutrition Educator Coordinator and Dietitian) establishes menu selections based on dietary needs. These are logged in a password protected database monthly. Ricardo Garcia (Volunteer & Panty Manager) recruits, schedules, and supervises volunteers. Ana Petersohn (Nutrition Program Assistant, AmeriCorps member) provides logistical support and leads the volunteers. Beth Klein (Finance and Operations Manager) provides accounting support and grant reporting. Michele Zurakowski (Executive Director) oversees all programmatic activities in the agency, ensuring confidentiality regulations and best practices are followed.

OUTCOME D (short-term): Clients will have enough nutritious food for everyone in their households for 11 days. 85% of the food will meet SWAP Green/Yellow standards.

OUTCOME D (long-term): Participants will achieve food security.

#### MEASUREMENT INDICATORS FOR SHORT TERM OUTCOMES D:

- 1. Client intake database will provide numbers of persons (all are LMI persons) served and will document how frequently each person received food.
- 2. Product delivery forms/inventory/food rescue tracking forms will provide the raw data on amount and kind of products procured, averaging 40 pounds per household per visit.
- 3. Nutrition tracking spreadsheets devised in Coordination with SWAP standards will indicate that 85% of products are classified as Green or Yellow.
- 4. Annual client survey will indicate client satisfaction with the program and measure food security improvement after joining the HD program.

#### 2. Documenting Income

We use a cloud-based database built on Salesforce platform to store participant information and demographics and to determine eligibility for services. When a person completes the initial paper form for intake (a copy of intake form is provided with our attachments) the participant enters the name, date of birth, address, disability status, race/ethnicity, gender,

veteran status, and lists the same information for all members of the household. All those requesting food must provide a photo ID (driver's license, state ID, etc.) and proof of residence within service boundaries. (Financial assistance is offered for those who do not have and cannot afford a photo ID. People who do not live in our service area may access the food pantry one time and are given resources in their home area.) If the address on the ID is not current, the participant must show a lease, utility bill or similar indication of residence in the service area. People must also attest that family income does not exceed Illinois Emergency Food Assistance Program limits, set at 185% of Federal Poverty Limit, and sign a statement certifying the application is true and they have not made any material misrepresentations. Participants attest to the specific amount of household income entered on their client intake form. All staff and volunteers are trained on confidentiality requirements. All databases with personal client information are password protected and hard copies of documents are kept locked up when not in use by staff. Program supervisors review all data for accuracy and completeness on a monthly basis. They address any discrepancies (such as typographical errors when entering data) promptly with program staff and volunteers and, if necessary, review policies and training practices to ensure careful tracking and data input.

#### 3. Evaluation Process

Michele Zurakowski (Executive Director), Adriana Riano (Program Manager), and Ricardo Garcia (Volunteer & Pantry Manager) review work within the Direct Hunger Relief program on a quarterly and an annual basis. For all areas, including Food Pantry, Home Delivery, Nutrition Education, and Social Services, we maintain a database to log the number of clients served. For some programs we also conduct qualitative surveys of clients to assess service impact (Home Delivery) or knowledge and understanding of food, nutrition, and health (Nutrition Education, Cooking Classes, Store Tours). We record the numbers of clients linked to public benefits. As mentioned earlier, we track the nutritional content of the food we provide through the SWAP system that takes a more global approach to nutrient content. Thorough details of our evaluation metrics are included in the Outputs and Outcomes section of this narrative and also in the attached Logic Model. All management staff have participated in professional development trainings on Logic Models and Theory of Change.

We fully recognize that client input is essential to truly measure impact, which is why our program staff and the Executive Director review both quantitative and qualitative information provided by participants to inform and shape future programs. In the past, this has resulted in adjustments to the produce purchased to better meet participants' needs, modifications to the format of the cooking classes to a 4-week model that highlighted the food preparation aspect and increased the number of Store Tours offered, and the introduction of a special blood-sugar management cooking class, among other examples.

Recently, all program staff and board leadership receiving training in culturally relevant assessment. As a result, we are now engaging participants in the creation of more robust and authentic assessments. This is the role of a new community-based Program Assessment Committee which includes board, staff and participant representatives (40% of the committee). This past fall, participant members took the lead in executing a five-step survey regarding pantry operations and satisfaction. The participant committee members guided individuals at every distribution in September through the survey process. Surveys results indicated that 93% of participants felt the pantry met their need very or extremely

well and 91% felt the staff always treated them with respect, among other findings. Surveys also indicated dissatisfaction with our crowded, small facility and the related long wait times— issues that are difficult for us to "fix." Nonetheless, the participant committee members and the Pantry Manager presented the results to food pantry shoppers at subsequent distributions to explain the background and reality of these issues and to let them know their concerns had been heard. The next step in this process will be to explore options for program modification that could address these concerns.

# IV. Organization Capacity

## a. Mission & Experience

Key accomplishments of Beyond Hunger include (1) Providing direct hunger relief to 11,500 individuals annually (38,000 including repeat visits) in our food pantry; (2) developing and implementing nutrition education programs to help clients choose and use nutritious food; (3) linking clients to SNAP (federal "food stamp" program) and making referrals to help clients secure income and social supports, and (4) delivering healthy groceries to homebound low-income residents. We have a successful history of obtaining and sustaining support from a diverse group of funders, including public/governmental entities, private and family foundations, corporations, and individual donors. In addition, we receive significant in-kind support from local businesses (who provide food and other provisions), as well as from our host church, First United, who provides discounted use of service space in the building's basement. We rely on loyal volunteers who commit more than 2,000 volunteer hours each month for tasks ranging from stocking shelves to rescuing food at local grocery stores, from serving clients at bi-weekly food distributions to delivering groceries to elderly homebound residents of Oak Park.

Executive Director, Michele Zurakowski, provides leadership for all Beyond Hunger's activities, implementing the mission and strategic plan, and overseeing all programmatic activities. Volunteer & Pantry Manager, Ricardo Garcia, assures the Direct Hunger Relief program is operating smoothly in terms of inventory, food transportation, client relations and food safety. He is also responsible for the recruitment, retention, and management of more than 1,350 volunteers each year, and conducts extensive community outreach in schools and congregations to educate the community on the issue of hunger. Adriana Riano, Program Manager, oversees the programs targeted to unique groups such as Nutrition Education and Home Delivery. In addition to permanent staff members, we also receive vital support from AmeriCorps members (Ana Petersohn, Kristina Rodriguez-Jeluso) who coordinate our food rescue program, special programs, and assist in the food pantry. Dietitians Maria Delis and Brianne Kellogg implement and evaluate our Nutrition Education Program. Beth Klein, Finance & Operations Manager, assists the Executive Director with financial management and reporting requirements. Amanda Kuhn, Social Services Coordinator, works individually with clients to connect them to income support benefits.

The Board of Directors includes 14 members with diverse backgrounds and essential talents to steer Beyond Hunger toward a sustainable future by adopting sound governance and financial management policies and ensuring adequate resources. Representatives on the board include finance and human resources experts, marketing and media specialists, IT specialists, a foodservice consultant, medical professional, non-profit administrator These

volunteers provide invaluable advice, mentorship and oversight to the agency's Executive Director and staff.

# b. Ability to Meet Reporting Requirements

Beyond Hunger has received CDBG funds from the Village of Oak Park for the past nine years (including Gap year funding), has timely met all service goals, and submitted all required documentation and reports to satisfy program requirements. To assure capacity to handle grants and demonstrate compliance with all requirements, we have hired necessary staff (see list above) and implemented procedures for smooth operations. The Executive Director is in daily contact with program staff and receives regular updates on client statistics, to ensure progress towards all goals and objectives. Staff meetings are held every two weeks. Nine Board meetings are held annually, and sub-committee meetings take place monthly. Accounting protocols are in place and reviewed and updated annually.

In addition to successfully managing CDBG grants in previous years, we have successfully managed large grants from United Way of Metropolitan Chicago, United Way DuPage/West Cook, many grants from independent foundations, including the Oak Park River Forest Community Foundation, and numerous corporate partners.

#### c. Collaboration with Others

Beyond Hunger will continue to work with community partners to leverage resources for our clients in PY2020. In coordination with Housing Forward, we will once again share the services of AmeriCorps members who work as Nutrition Program Assistant and Pantry Supervisor, who will assist with recruiting new food rescue partners and managing the logistics involved in the food rescue and distribution operations. We will work with Dominican University, Loyola University and UIC to place interns in our Nutrition Education Programs as a formal Community Nutrition Rotation Site for dietetics interns per the Commission on Dietetic Registration and the Academy of Nutrition. We collaborate with Oak Park Township Services to provide home delivery of groceries to home-bound seniors living in Oak Park, Senior Suites of Austin for home-bound seniors in Austin, and with Thresholds to provide delivery of groceries to people with mental health disabilities. These partners offer case management services and refer participants to us. The Surplus Project is a collaboration between Beyond Hunger and Rush Oak Park Hospital, Riveredge Hospital, Loretto Hospital, OPRF High School, Dominican University, and Oak Park Township.

We partner with several entities to provide summer meals to children who would normally receive free or reduced cost lunch during the school year. Partners include D200, D97, Oak Park Education Foundation (BASE camp scholarship students) as well as St. Catherine/St. Lucy school for their summer meals program.

We attach a sample of MOUs and/or Letters of Agreement describing collaborative efforts.

### V. Budget Narrative

#### a. Budget Description

Oak Parkers comprise 13% of the unique individuals we serve. Of course, each time clients visit our food pantry, we accrue costs to serve them. Oak Parkers visit our pantry more

frequently than residents of other zip codes, and thus represent 18% of all household visits. Our funding request for personnel (3.1%) and operating costs (1.1%) are both well below those thresholds at 1.0% of total costs.

#### Personnel Costs

CDBG funds are requested for 3.1% of total personnel costs associated with the Hunger Relief Program. Salary allocations for all staff associated with the program were determined based on FY2019 timesheets for relevant personnel which reflect proportional time spent in each program area. Although nine staff members and two AmeriCorps are involved in staffing the Direct Hunger Relief Program, we request CDBG support for only three of them:

- Volunteer & Pantry Manager: manages all operational activities for Direct Hunger Relief Program including inventory, food transportation, client relations, food safety, and volunteer recruitment/training/supervision. (1.0 FTE for this program).
- o Salary: \$47,000
- o CDBG request: \$4,000
- o % of salary charged to CDBG: 8.5%
- Program Manager: manages special programs within Direct Hunger Relief including Home Delivery, Nutrition Education, Social Services, Cooking Classes. (1.0 FTE for this program)
- o Salary: \$58,000
- o CDBG request: \$3,500
- o % of salary charged to CDBG: 6.0%
- Nutrition Education Coordinator and Dietitian: Supervises our Nutrition Education program including dietetic interns and Distribution Healthy Sampling. (.5 FTE for this program)
- o Salary: \$33,760
- o CDBG request: \$2,500
- o % of salary charged to CDBG: 7.4%

#### **Operating Costs**

We are focusing our CDBG operating cost request of \$15,000 squarely on the primary need our clients face: food.

- Food costs are determined based on this year's projected budget, analysis and projection of need, discussion with vendors, and consumer price index (CPI). These direct costs are entirely borne by the Direct Hunger Relief Program.
- o Total Food Cost for Program: \$1,271,934
- o Total In-kind Food Cost for Program: \$1,014,900
- o Total Cash Food Cost for Program: \$257,034
- o CDBG Cash Food Cost Request: \$15,000
- o % of Food Cash Cost charged to CDBG: 5.8%
- o % of Total Operating Costs charged to CDBG: 1.1%

#### **b.** Alternate Revenue Sources

Beyond Hunger's Direct Hunger Relief program is not dependent on one grant or funding stream. Each year, we are fortunate to secure revenue from private and public partners, as well as many individual donors and corporate sponsors. However, if we did not receive CDBG support, or other similarly large grants, we would need to adjust the quality/quantity of food we distribute, the amount of nutrition education options provided, or reduce benefits assistance staffing. We have established an infrastructure that assures stable distribution of food despite peaks and valleys of funding. Our FY20-21 budget is conservatively based on past performance and new initiatives, so we have confidence we will meet our income goals for this period.

#### 3. Attachments

#### Timeline

bh py2020 cdbg public services timeline final.docx

# Logic Model

bh py2020 cdbg public services logic model chart final.docx

#### Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws

bh articles bylaws 2020.pdf

### **Non-Profit Determination (IRS Letter)**

501c3 update 8.19.19.pdf

#### List of Board of Directors

bh board list fy2020.pdf

### **Organizational Chart**

org chart 2.10.20.docx

#### Resumes

bh resumes cdbg 2020.pdf

#### Financial Statement and Audit

beyond hunger fy19 fs final.pdf

#### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

bh conflict of interest 2020.pdf

### **Anti-Lobbying Statement**

bh lobbying statement 2020.pdf

#### **EEO Form**

eeo report chart.doc

# **Statement of ADA Compliance**

bh ada compliance 2020.pdf

# **Intake Documentation**

2020 hud final.pdf

# **Support Statements**

bh mous 2020.pdf

# **Budget Worksheet**

bh budget cdbg 2.20.20.xlsx

# **Project Client-Evaluation Tool**

bh pantry survey 2020.pdf

- 4. Proposal Agency Information & Verifications
- 1. Name of Authorized Official of Applicant Organization

Michele Zurakowski

# 2. Title of Authorized Official of Applicant Organization

**Executive Director** 

# 3. Date of Submittal

Thu, 2020-02-20

# 4. Affirmation

I agree

Previous submission Next submission



#### **PY 2020 CDBG Public Services**

As with all application components, please carefully read the Instructions

Organization	Beyond Hunger (formerly Oak Park River Forest Food Pantry)
Project Name	Direct Hunger Relief

Goal Statement: In PY2020, Beyond Hunger will reduce local hunger. Approximately 11,500 unique residents from 13 zip codes in Cook County, including 1,400 Oak Park residents, will receive nutritious food, as well as access to nutrition education and public benefits assistance.

Inputs	Outputs		Outo	Measurement/Indicator	
	Activities	Participation	Short Term	Intermediate/Long	for Short Term
				Term	Outcomes
A. Michele Zurakowski, Executive Director; Ricardo Garcia, Volunteer and Pantry Manager; Beth Klein, Finance and Operations Manager; Monnette Bariel, Distribution Supervisor, Kristina Rodriguez Jeluco, Pantry Supervisor (AmeriCorps member).	A. Provide emergency supplies of nutritious food to community members experiencing food insecurity.	A. 11,500 Total unduplicated persons served (without regard to income or residency)  11,250 Extremely Low, Low and Mod-Income Persons served  1400 Oak Park persons served  1350 Extremely Low, Low and Mod-Income Oak Park Persons Served	A. Clients will have enough nutritious food for everyone in their households for seven days.  85% of all food will be in the Green/Yellow SWAP categories (Supporting Wellness at Pantries)	A. Participants will achieve food security	A. Client intake database will provide numbers of persons (including LMI persons) served and will document how frequently each person came. Database will show that over 95% of clients served are LMI persons.  Product delivery forms/inventory/food rescue tracking forms will provide the raw data on amount and kind of products procured, averaging food for 21 meals per person per visit.  Nutrition spreadsheets developed by SWAP will indicate that 85% of all food meets nutritional standards associated with Green and/or Yellow categories (i.e. low fat, sodium, sugar in addition to nutrient density).

Outputs		Outcomes		Measurement/Indicator for Short Term Outcomes	
B. Provide income supports to LMI individuals by linking eligible households to SNAP benefits and other resources.	B. 1200 Total unduplicated persons served (without regard to income or residency)  1150 Extremely Low, Low and Mod-Income Persons served  100 Oak Park persons served  95 Extremely Low, Low and Mod-Income Oak Park Persons Served	B. Participants will receive assistance applying for SNAP benefits to provide enough food for their households for 6 months.  Participants will understand the reapplication process beyond 6 months if needed.  Eligible participants will be able to access public transportation/BAP, Access to Care, and Medicare or Medicaid.  Eligible participants will receive voucher for a state ID	B. Participants will achieve food security.	B. The Social Services Coordinator accesses a database system to document work with clients, including initial screenings for program eligibility, program applications, follow-up communications, and any benefits applied for and received. The system will show that the Social Services Coordinator assisted 950 unique persons apply for income supports. The same database system will document that an additional 250 persons received a state ID or driver's license for a total of 1200	
Out	puts	Outcomes		Measurement/Indicator for Short Term Outcomes	
C. Educate clients on intersections between health and diet, including how to use available healthful options.	C. 11,000 Total persons (potentially duplicated) will receive Nutrition Education programming (without regard to income or residency)  10,500 Extremely Low, Low and Mod-Income Persons served  1,100 LMI clients will	C. Participants will understand the link between food choices and their specific health issues i.e. diabetes.  Cooking Class and Store Tour participants will learn to create budgets and menu plans on a typical SNAP budget  Participants will "Know Their Numbers," (i.e., blood pressure).  Participants will select healthy food	C. Participants will be able to better manage their own health.  Participants will eat a healthier and more varied diet.	C. Surveys following Cooking Class and Store Tour sessions will indicate clients' improved understanding of the connection between diet, general health status and specific diseases.  Store Tour participants will demonstrate ability to purchase all ingredients for a healthy meal on a budget while on tour.  A blood pressure form, with that day's blood pressure, will be given to clients to share with their health care providers.	
	B. Provide income supports to LMI individuals by linking eligible households to SNAP benefits and other resources.  C. Educate clients on intersections between health and diet, including how to use available healthful	B. Provide income supports to LMI individuals by linking eligible households to SNAP benefits and other resources.  1150 Extremely Low, Low and Mod-Income Persons served  100 Oak Park persons served  100 Oak Park persons served  C. Educate clients on intersections between health and diet, including how to use available healthful options.  C. Educate clients on intersections between health and diet, including how to use available healthful options.  B. 1200 Total unduplicated persons served  (without regard to income Oak Park Persons Served  C. 11,000 Total persons (potentially duplicated) will receive Nutrition Education programming (without regard to income or residency)  10,500 Extremely Low, Low and Mod-Income Persons served	B. Provide income supports to LMI served (without regard to income or residency) to SNAP benefits and other resources.  Participants will understand the reapplying for SNAP benefits and other resources.  Participants will understand the reapplication process beyond 6 months if needed.  Participants will understand the reapplication process beyond 6 months if needed.  Persons served Eligible participants will be able to access public transportation/BAP, Access to Care, and Medicare or Medicaid.  Outputs Outputs Outputs  C. Educate clients on intersections between health and diet, including how to use available healthful options.  C. Educate clients on intersections between food their regard to income or residency)  Dutputs Outputs Outcome Cooking Class and Store Tour participants will learn to create budgets and menu plans on a typical SNAP budget  1,100 LMI Participants will "Know Their Numbers," (i.e., blood pressure).	B. Provide income supports to LMI unduplicated supports to LMI unduplicated served (without regard to eligible mouseholds to SNAP benefits and other Persons served Low, Low and Mod-Income Persons served Wolf-Income Oak Park Persons Served  Outputs  Outputs  C. Educate Clients on intersections between health and diet, including how to use available healthful options.  C. Educate Clients on intersections between health and diet, including how to use available healthful options.  B. Participants will receive assistance applying for SNAP benefits to provide enough food for their households for 6 months. For 6 months.  Participants will understand the reapplication process beyond 6 months if needed.  Participants will understand the reapplication process beyond 6 months if needed.  Eligible participants will be able to access to Care, and Medicare or Medicaire.  Persons Served  Outputs  Outcomes  C. Educate Clients on intersections between health and diet, including how to use available healthful options.  Cooking Class and their specific health will receive voucher for a state ID  Cooking Class and work income or residency)  Dougets and menu plans on a typical SNAP budget stand menu plans on a typical SNAP budget stand menu plans on a typical SNAP budget stand more varied diet.  1,100 LMI Participants will  Participants will achieve food security.  Participants will achieve food security.	

Manager.		engage in health monitoring services	options at the Pantry.		will show that food demonstrations increase clients' selection of a featured healthy food item by an average of 50%.
Inputs	Out	puts	Outo	omes	Measurement/Indicator for Short Term Outcomes
D. Michele Zurakowski, ED; Adriana Riano, Program Manager; Ricardo Garcia, Volunteer and Panty Manager; Maria Delis, Nutrition Educator Coordinator and Dietitian; Ana Pedersohn, Nutrition Programs Assistant (AmeriCorps); Beth Klein, Finance and Operations Manager.	D. Working in careful coordination with Oak Park Township Services, River Forest Township, Thresholds, & Senior Suites of Austin, we will expand our program to deliver monthly groceries to all of their LMI homebound clients in OP, RF.	D. 150 Total unduplicated persons served (without regard to income or residency)  150 LMI Persons served  85 Oak Park persons served  85 LMI Oak Park Persons Served	D. Clients will have enough nutritious food for everyone in their households for 11 days.  85% of all food will be in the Green/Yellow SWAP categories (Supporting Wellness at Pantries)	D. Participants will achieve food security.	D. Client intake database will provide numbers of persons (including LMI persons) served and will document how frequently each person came. Database will show that over 95% of clients served are LMI persons.  Product delivery forms/inventory/food rescue tracking forms will provide the raw data on amount and kind of products procured, averaging food for 33 meals per household per visit.  Nutrition spreadsheets developed by SWAP will indicate that 85% of all food meets nutritional standards associated with Green and/or Yellow categories (i.e. low fat, sodium, sugar in addition to nutrient density).  Yearly client survey will indicate the client's satisfaction with the program and measured food security improvement after joining the HD program.



# PY 2020 Timeline, CDBG Public Services

As with all application components, please carefully read the Instructions

Organization Beyond Hu		ger				
Project Name Direct Hunger		r Relief				
Timeframe		Activity	Person Responsible			
Month 1		1. Conduct bi-weekly Food Distribution/Weds and Sat of each week 2. Host 8-9 Nutrition Education Sessions during food distributions and 2 Store Tours. 3. Conduct benefits counseling for pantry participants at each food distribution and by appointment/drop in through the week 4. Complete home delivery of groceries	1. Ricardo Garcia, Volunteer & Pantry Manager 2. Bri Kellogg, Nutrition Education Coordinator and Dietitian 2. Amanda Kuhn, Social Services Coordinator 4. Adriana Riano, Program Manager and/or AmeriCorps			
Month 2		Continue as month 1     Conduct Cooking Class	1. Continue as month 1 2. Bri Kellogg, Nutrition Education Coordinator and Dietitian			
Month 3		Continue as month 1     Conduct first quarterly review and evaluation of all Direct Hunger Relief programs	1. Continue as month 1 2. Ricardo Garcia, Volunteer & Pantry Manager; Adriana Riano, Program Manager; Michele Zurakowski, Executive Director			

Month 4	1. Continue as month 1 2. 1st Quarter Report and quarter 1 Billing to Village of Oak Park on 15th day of the 4th month	1. Continue as month 1 2. Beth Klein, Finance & Operations Manager
Month 5	1. Continue as month 1 2. Conduct Cooking Class	1. Continue as month 1 2. Bri Kellogg, Nutrition Education Coordinator and Dietitian
Month 6	Continue as month 1     Conduct 2nd Quarterly     Review and Evaluation of all     Direct Hunger Relief Programs	1. Continue as month 1 2. Ricardo Garcia, Volunteer and Pantry Manager; Adriana Riano, Program Manager; Michele Zurakowski, Executive Director
Month 7	1. Continue as month 1 2. 2nd Quarter Report and Billing to Village of Oak Park on 15th day of the 7th month	1. Continue as month 1 2. Beth Klein, Operations and Finance Manager; Michele Zurakowski, Executive Director
Month 8	Continue as month 1     Conduct Cooking Class	1. Continue as month 1 2. Bri Kellogg, Nutrition Education Coordinator and Dietitian
Month 9	Continue as month 1     Conduct 3rd Quarterly Review and Evaluation of all Direct Hunger Relief Programs.	1. Continue as month 1 2. Ricardo Garcia, Volunteer and Pantry Manager; Adriana Riano, Program Manager; Michele Zurakowski, Executive Director
Month 10	1. Continue as month 1 2. 3rd Quarter Report and Billing to Village of Oak Park on 15th day of the 10th month	1. Continue as month 1 2. Beth Klein, Operations and Finance Manager; Michele Zurakowski, Executive Director

Month 11	1. Continue as month 1 2. Conduct Cooking class	1. Continue as month 1 2. Bri Kellogg, Nutrition Education Coordinator and Dietitian
Month 12	1. Continue as month 1 2. Conduct Final Quarterly Review and Evaluation of all Direct Hunger Relief Programs 3. Final Report and Final Billing Due to Village of Oak Park within 15 days after grant completion.	1. Continue as month 1 2. Ricardo Garcia, Volunteer and Pantry Manager; Adriana Riano, Program Manager; Michele Zurakowski, Executive Director; 3. Beth Klein, Finance and Operations Manager; Michele Zurakowski, Executive Director

### WORKBOOK CONTAINS BOTH THE *PROJECT BUDGET* & THE *OTHER REVENUE SUMMARY* . COMPLETE BOTH SECTIONS AND ATTACH THIS DOCUMENT TO YOUR PROPOSAL

PY 2020 PROPOSED PROJECT BUDGET. Project budget must include the entire project funding even if CDBG

is only funding a portion of the activity. You must limit your amount/percentage of Oak Park CDBG

 $funds\ requested\ to\ match\ or\ be\ less\ than\ the\ proportional\ amount\ of\ Oak\ Parkers\ to\ Non-Oak\ Parkers\ served.$ 

	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8
Project Expenses	Total Project Costs	CDBG Request Amount	CDBG % of Total Cost		Other Revenue -List Source	Other Revenue -List Source	Other Revenue -List Source	Total Other Revenues	Other Revenues % of Gosts
Please ensure that percentages, subtotals & totals are listed.				Funding Source:	Donations: Individuals, Congregations, Business, Events, ets	Grants, Community Foundation, Manaaki, Rice Bowl etc.	In-Kind, Whole Foods, Trader Joes, Jewel, Pete's, Costco, Etc.		
Personnel Costs									
Salaries	\$263,950	\$10,000	4%		\$205,990	\$47,960		\$253,950	96%
Benefits	\$20,967	\$0	0%		\$15,348	\$5,619		\$20,967	100%
Taxes	\$20,192	\$0	0%		\$14,781	\$5,411		\$20,192	100%
Service Learners	\$13,663	\$0	0%		\$11,857	\$1,806		\$13,663	100%
Other (Identify)								\$0	0%
Subtotal: Personnel Costs	\$318,772	\$10,000	3%		\$247,976	\$60,796	\$0	\$308,772	97%
Operating Costs:									
Rent/Lease	\$5,541	\$0	0%		\$4,056	\$1,485		\$5,541	100%
Utilities	\$14,000	\$0	0%		\$10,248	\$3,752		\$14,000	100%
Equipement Repair	\$5,508	\$0	0%		\$4,032	\$1,476		\$5,508	100%
Client Sercies	\$7,428	\$0	0%		\$5,048	\$2,380		\$7,428	100%
Supplies	\$22,661	\$0	0%		\$11,331	\$11,331		\$22,661	100%
Food Purchased	\$257,034	\$15,000	6%		\$155,034	\$87,000		\$242,034	94%
Food (In-Kind)	\$1,014,900	\$0	0%				\$1,014,900	\$1,014,900	100%
Postage	\$2,855	\$0	0%		\$2,090	\$765		\$2,855	100%
Printing	\$9,439	\$0	0%		\$6,909	\$2,530		\$9,439	100%
Insurance	\$8,104	\$0	0%		\$5,932	\$2,172		\$8,104	100%
Tech Suport	\$10,222	\$0	0%		\$7,483	\$2,740		\$10,222	100%
Dues/Fees	\$5,098	\$0	0%		\$3,732	\$1,366		\$5,098	100%
Professional Dev	\$2,642	\$0	0%		\$1,934	\$708		\$2,642	100%
Micellaneous									
Subtotal: Operations	\$1,365,432	\$15,000	1.1%		\$217,829	\$117,704	\$1,014,900	\$1,350,432	99%
Professional/Serv-ices									
Accounting	\$8,000	\$0	0%		\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	100%
Subtotal: Professional Services	\$8,000	\$0			\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	100%
TOTAL (all categories)	\$1,692,204	\$25,000	1%		\$473,804	\$178,500	\$1,014,900	\$1,667,204	99%

### PY 2020 CDBG OTHER REVENUE SUMMARY

This chart provides more information about "Other Revenue" sources that were listed above in columns F, G & H. Please **fully** complete this table. The columns are self-explanatory

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
FUNDING SOURCE	LOAN OR GRANT?	FUNDING AMOUNT	FUNDING STATUS	DATE AVAIL	FUNDING RESTRIC-TIONS	TYPE: Federal, State/Local or Private?
	BANKAR RULE	N. C. Carlotte				
Individuals, Congragations, business, events	gifts	\$473,804	onging - continues to meet expectations	ongoing	none	Private
In-Kind	gifts in kind	\$1,014,900	ongoing, steady	ongoing	none	Private
Retirement Research Foundation	Grant	\$5,000	Received	12/2019	Home Delivery	Private
George M Eisenberg Foundation	Grant	\$10,000	Received	12/19	Nutrition Education and Food	Private
S.L. Gimbel	Grant	\$15,000	Received	1/20	Food	Private
Kott Memorial	Grant	\$8,500	Received	11/19	Home Delivery	Private
Healthy Communities	Grant	\$25,000	Received	12/19	General OP	Private
Manaaki	Grant	\$5,000	Applied for		General Op	Private
Retirement Research Foundation	Grant	\$10,000	Applied for		Home Delivery	Private
United Way	Grant	\$50,000	Applied for		General OP	Private
Chicago Community Trust	Grant	\$50,000	Applied for		General OP	Private
TOTAL, where applicable		\$1,667,204				

### WORKBOOK CONTAINS BOTH THE *PROJECT BUDGET* & THE *OTHER REVENUE SUMMARY* . COMPLETE BOTH SECTIONS AND ATTACH THIS DOCUMENT TO YOUR PROPOSAL

\*Revised\*

PY 2020 PROPOSED PROJECT BUDGET. Project budget must include the entire project funding even if CDBG

is only funding a portion of the activity. You must limit your amount/percentage of Oak Park CDBG

funds requested to match or be less than the proportional amount of Oak Parkers to Non-Oak Parkers served.

funds requested to match	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8
Project Expenses	Total Project Costs	CDBG Request Amount	CDBG % of Total Cost		Other Revenue -List Source	Other Revenue -List Source	Other Revenue -List Source	Total Other Revenues	Other Revenues % of Costs
Please ensure that percentages, subtotals & totals are listed.				Funding Source:	Donations: Individuals, Congregations, Business, Events, ets	Grants, Community Foundation, Manaaki, Rice Bowl etc.	In-Kind, Whole Foods, Trader Joes, Jewel, Pete's, Costco, Etc.		
Personnel Costs									
Salaries	\$263,950	\$10,000	4%		\$205,990	\$47,960		\$253,950	96%
Benefits	\$20,967	\$0	0%		\$15,348	\$5,619		\$20,967	100%
Taxes	\$20,192	\$0	0%		\$14,781	\$5,411		\$20,192	100%
Service Learners	\$13,663	\$0	0%		\$11,857	\$1,806		\$13,663	100%
Other (Identify)								\$0	0%
Subtotal: Personnel Costs	\$318,772	\$10,000	3%		\$247,976	\$60,796	\$0	\$308,772	97%
Operating Costs:									
Rent/Lease	\$5,541	\$0	0%		\$4,056	\$1,485		\$5,541	100%
Utilities	\$14,000	\$0	0%		\$10,248	\$3,752		\$14,000	100%
Equipement Repair	\$5,508	\$0	0%		\$4,032	\$1,476		\$5,508	100%
Client Sercies	\$7,428	\$0	Q%		\$5,048	\$2,380		\$7,428	100%
Supplies	\$22,661	\$0	0%		\$11,331	\$11,331		\$22,661	100%
Food Purchased	\$260,034	\$12,000	5%		\$158,034	\$90,000		\$248,034	95%
Food (In-Kind)	\$1,014,900	\$0	0%				\$1,014,900	\$1,014,900	100%
Postage	\$2,855	\$0	0%		\$2,090	\$765		\$2,855	100%
Printing	\$9,439	\$0	Q%		\$6,909	\$2,530		\$9,439	100%
Insurance	\$8,104	\$0	0%		\$5,932	\$2,172		\$8,104	100%
Tech Suport	\$10,222	\$0	0%		\$7,483	\$2,740		\$10,222	100%
Dues/Fees	\$5,098	\$0	0%		\$3,732	\$1,366		\$5,098	100%
Professional Dev	\$2,642	\$0	0%		\$1,934	\$708		\$2,642	100%
Micellaneous									
Subtotal: Operations	\$1,368,432	\$12,000	0.9%		\$220,829	\$120,704	\$1,014,900	\$1,356,432	99%
Professional/Serv-ices									
Accounting	\$8,000	\$0	0%		\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	100%
Subtotal: Professional Services	\$8,000	\$0	O%		\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$8,000	100%
TOTAL (all	\$1,695,204	\$22,000	1%		\$476,804	\$181,500	\$1,014,900	\$1,673,204	99%
categories)									

### PY 2020 CDBG OTHER REVENUE SUMMARY

This chart provides more information about "Other Revenue" sources that were listed above in columns F, G & H. Please **fully** complete this table. The columns are self-explanatory

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
FUNDING SOURCE	LOAN OR GRANT?	FUNDING AMOUNT	FUNDING STATUS	DAY:	FUNDING RESTRIC-TIONS	TYPE: Federal, State/Local or Private?
Individuals, Congragations, business, events	gifts	\$479,804	onging - continues to meet expectations	ongoing	none	Private
In-Kind	gifts in kind	\$1,014,900	ongoing, steady	ongoing	none	Private
Retirement Research Foundation	Grant	\$5,000	Received	12/2019	Home Delivery	Private
George M Eisenberg Foundation	Grant	\$10,000	Received	12/19	Nutrition Education and Food	Private
S.L. Gimbel	Grant	\$15,000	Received	1/20	Food	Private
Kott Memorial	Grant	\$8,500	Received	11/19	Home Delivery	Private
Healthy Communities	Grant	\$25,000	Received	12/19	General OP	Private
Manaaki	Grant	\$5,000	Applied for		General Op	Private
Retirement Research Foundation	Grant	\$10,000	Applied for		Home Delivery	Private
United Way	Grant	\$50,000	Applied for		General OP	Private
Chicago Community Trust	Grant	\$50,000	Applied for		General OP	Private
TOTAL, where applicable		\$1,673,204				



### Budget Narrative PY 2020—Revised 7.9.20

Oak Parkers comprise 13.2% of the unique individuals we serve. Of course, each time clients visit our food pantry, we accrue costs to serve them. Oak Parkers visit our pantry more frequently than residents of other zip codes, and thus represent 17.5% of all household visits. Our funding request for personnel (3.1%) and operating costs (.9%) are both well below those thresholds at 1.0% of total costs.

### Personnel Costs

CDBG funds are requested for 3.1% of total personnel costs associated with the Hunger Relief Program. Salary allocations for all staff associated with the program were determined based on FY2019 timesheets for relevant personnel which reflect proportional time spent in each program area. Although nine staff members and two AmeriCorps are involved in staffing the Direct Hunger Relief Program, we request CDBG support for only three of them:

• Volunteer & Pantry Manager: manages all operational activities for Direct Hunger Relief Program including inventory, food transportation, client relations, food safety, and volunteer recruitment/training/supervision. (1.0 FTE for this program).

o Salary: \$47,000

o CDBG request: \$4000

o % of salary charged to CDBG: 8.5%

• Program Manager: manages special programs within Direct Hunger Relief including Home Delivery, Nutrition Education, Social Services, Cooking Classes. (1.0 FTE for this program)

o Salary: \$58,000

o CDBG request: \$3500

o % of salary charged to CDBG: 6.0%

• Nutrition Education Coordinator and Dietitian: Supervises our Nutrition Education program including dietetic interns and Distribution Healthy Sampling. (.5 FTE for this program)

o Salary: \$33,760

o CDBG request: \$2500

o % of salary charged to CDBG: 7.4%



### **Operating Costs**

We are focusing our CDBG operating cost request of \$12,000 squarely on the primary need our clients face: food.

- Food costs are determined based on this year's projected budget, analysis and projection of need, discussion with vendors, and consumer price index (CPI). These direct costs are entirely borne by the Direct Hunger Relief Program.
- o Total Food Cost for Program: \$1,271,934
- o Total In-kind Food Cost for Program: \$1,014,900
- o Total Cash Food Cost for Program: \$257,034
- o CDBG Cash Food Cost Request: \$12,000
- o % of Food Cash Cost charged to CDBG: 5%
- o % of Total Operating Costs charged to CDBG: .9%

### **EXHIBIT B - ASSURANCES**

Subrecipient hereby certifies that it will comply with the regulations, policies, guidelines and requirements with respect to the acceptance and use of Grant Funds in accordance with the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 ("Act"), as amended, and will receive Grant Funds for the purpose of carrying out eligible community development activities under the Act, and under regulations published by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development at 24 CFR Part 570. Also, Subrecipient certifies with respect to its receipt of Grant Funds that:

- 1. Its governing body has duly adopted or passed as an official act, a resolution, motion or similar action authorizing the person identified as the official representative of Subrecipient to execute the agreement, all understandings and assurances contained therein, and directing the authorization of the person identified as the official representative of Subrecipient to act in connection with the execution of the agreement and to provide such additional information as may be required.
- 2. Subrecipient shall conduct and administer the Project for which it receives Grant Funds in compliance with:
- a. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) and implementing regulations issued at 24 CFR Section 1 (24 CFR 570.601(a)(1);
- b. Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (P.L. 90-284), as amended; and that the Subrecipient will administer all programs and activities related to housing and community development in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing (24 CFR 570.601(a)(2))
- c. Executive Order 11063, as amended by Executive Order 12259 (3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 652; 3 CFR, 1980 Comp., p. 307) (Equal Opportunity in Housing), and implementing regulations in 24 CFR part 107. [24 CFR 570.601(b)].
- d. Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act, prohibiting discrimination based on of race, color, national origin, religion, or sex, and the discrimination prohibited by Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-112), and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (P.L. 94-135), as amended and implementing regulations when published. (24 CFR 570.602);
- e. The employment and contracting rules set forth in (a) Executive Order 11246, as amended by Executive Orders 11375, 11478, 12086, and 12107 (3 CFR 1964-1965 Comp. p. 339; 3 CFR, 1966-1970 Comp., p. 684; 3 CFR, 1966-1970., p. 803; 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 230; 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 264 (Equal Employment Opportunity), and Executive Order 13279 (Equal Protection of the Laws for Faith-Based and Community Organizations), 67 FR 77141, 3 CFR, 2002 Comp., p. 258; and the implementing regulations at 41 CFR chapter 60; and

- f. The employment and contracting rules set forth in Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended and implementing regulations at 24 CFR part 135; 24 CFR 570.607.
- g. The Uniform Administrative Requirements and Cost Principles set forth in 2 CFR 200.
- h. The conflict of interest prohibitions set forth in 24 CFR 570.611.
- i. The eligibility of certain resident aliens requirements in 24 CFR 570.613.
- j. The Architectural Barriers Act and Americans with Disabilities Act requirements set forth in 24 CFR 570.614.
- k. The Uniform Administrative Requirements in 2 CFR 200.
- I. Executive Order 11063, Equal Opportunity in Housing, as amended by Executive Orders 11375 and 12086, and implementing regulations at 41 CFR Section 60.
- 3. All procurement actions and subcontracts shall be in accordance with applicable local, State and Federal law relating to contracting by public agencies. For procurement actions requiring a written contract, Subrecipient may, upon the Village's specific written approval of the contract instrument, enter into any subcontract or procurement action authorized as necessary for the successful completion of this Agreement. Subrecipient will remain fully obligated under the provisions of this Agreement not withstanding its designation of any third party to undertake all or any of the Project. Subrecipient may not award or permit an award of a contract to a party that is debarred, suspended or ineligible to participate in a Federal program.

Subrecipient will submit to the Village, the names of contractors, prior to signing contracts, to ensure compliance with 24 CFR Part 24, "Debarment and Suspension."

- 4. It has adopted and is enforcing:
- a. A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction; against any individuals engaged in non-violent civil rights demonstrations; and
- b. A policy of enforcing applicable State and local laws against physically barring entrance to or exit from a facility or location which is the subject of such non-violent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction.
- 5. To the best of its knowledge and belief no Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of it, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of Subrecipient, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress,

or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

## EXHIBIT C VILLAGE OF OAK PARK REAFFIRMATION OF EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY POLICY (EEO)

### APPENDIX V

### REAFFIRMATION STATEMENT

MARCH 31, 1997

### REAFFIRMATION OF EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY POLICY (EEG) VILLAGE OF DAK PARK

It is the policy of the Village of Oak Park to afford equal opportunity in employment to all individuals, regardless of race, color, religion, age, sex, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, or status as a disabled veteran or Vietnam era veteran. The Village is committed to this policy because of legal requirements set forth in the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972, and because such principles are fundamental to Oak Park's existence as a racially and culturally diverse community. Equal Employment Opportunity within the Village government is essential if Oak Park is to effectively pursue community-wide goals of racial diversity and increased economic opportunity. EEO is, therefore, a legal, social, meral and economic necessity for the Village of Oak Park.

Chapter 13, Article III of the Code of the Village of Oak Park expressly prohibits discrimination in hiring, terms and conditions of employment, and promotions. Appeal procedures set forth in the Village Personnel Manual provide a mechanism for reporting any such practice to the Village Manager, who is empowered to hold hearings and issue decisions on such matters in behalf of the Village.

Policy statements alone are not sufficient, however, to address longstanding social barriers which have resulted in under-utilization of the skills and abilities of certain groups within our society. The Village of Oak Park, therefore, embraces a policy of affirmative recruitment, whereby specific efforts are made to attract and retain qualified female, minority, and disabled employees in the Village work force.

Responsibility for administering the Village of Oak Park's Equal Employment Opportunity/Affirmative Recruitment Plan lies with the Village Manager, who is assisted by the Human Resources Director in implementing policies which ensure Equal Employment Opportunity within the Village work force. Ultimately, however, the Village's EEO/affirmative recruitment efforts will succeed only with the cooperation of all Village employees. Each of us is responsible for creating a work environment which encourages full participation by women, minorities and the disabled. Each of us is responsible for forging a Village work force that reflects the diversity of our community and utilizes the best talent available for serving the residents of Oak Park.

Carl Swenson Village Manager

Village of Oak Par Personnel Manual

Adopted 3/31/97

## Exhibit D: PY 2020 Quarterly Report Form, Oak Park CDBG Program

Subrecipient:	**									
Project Name:										
Prepared by:		Email:								
r opportor og y.  A - 1: - All - i: - D - iik - 1 - iik		. +		0	20,000	i y con chipa		7		
Accomplishment narrange. Describe your successes				שלמים ה היים ה ה ה ה ה ה ה ה ה ה ה ה ה של ה ה של ה ה של ה ה של ה ה של ה של	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	מונות ליסור ב	p	.; 299;		
						C			H	
Beneficaries by Race and Ethnicity		Ų1		Ú2		50		Q4		IOIAL
All unduplicated persons served during the reporting period should be included. Do not count a person in	RACE (Including	ETHNICITY	RACE (Including	ETHNICITY	RACE (Including	ETHNICITY	RACE (Including	ETHNICITY	RACE (Including	ETHNICITY
Hispanic, they also need to be counted under a race	Hispanic)		Hispanic)		Hispanic)		Hispanic)		Hispanic)	
White									0	0
Black/African American									0 0	0
Assan									0	0 0
American Indian or Alaska Native Native Hawaijan or Other Pacific Islander									0 0	0 0
American Indian or Alaska Native AND White									0	0
Asian AND White									0	0
Black/African American AND White									0	0
American Indian /Alaska Native AND Black/African									0	0
Other Multi-Racial									0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Income Levels								Total Oak Park Resident Beneficaries	Low/Low Income Ber 80% medi	otal Oak Park Extremely Low/Low/Moderate Income Beneficaries (0- 80% median income)
The total should equal the number from the Race and Ethnicity count above.	Q1	<b>Q2</b>	63	94	Total		01			
Extremely low (0-30% of median income)					0		02			
Low (31-50%)					0		63			
Moderate (51-80%)					0		04			
Non-Low/Moderate (81%+)					0		Total	0		0
Total	0	0	0 0	0	0					
reiteil Low, Middelate		Ö / A   C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C	50/AIQ#	io/Aig#	io/aid#					
Project Goals Total of all persons benefitting (without regard to										
income or residency)	0									
Number of all Extremely Low, Low and Moderate Income persons to be served	0									
Percentage of LMI benefit	#DIV/0i									
Number of all can rain persons benefitting Percentage of Oak Park persons benefitting	#DIV/0i									
Number of Extremely Low, Low and Moderate Income	(									
Oak Park persons to be served	Ō									

# Exhibit E: PY 2020 Final Report Form, Oak Park CDBG Program FINAL REPORT COMPONENT (Please explain even if you exceeded goals)

Did the beneficiary number change from the number proposed in the original application? If so, why?

Funds Expended on CDBG Activity	
Total CDBG Project Funds Expended	
Other funds expended and their source:	
Other Federal	
HUD Funding (non-CDBG)	
State	
Local government	
Private	
Other (specify source) in-kind food donations	
Total	0

Total All funds
-----------------

Date	
Typed or Printed Name	
Signature of Authorized Official	