# Citizen Police Oversight Committee Information and Analysis for the Village of Oak Park Board of Trustees 

October 2020 - April 2021

## Overview

In accordance with section 2-30-1 of Village Code, the Citizen Police Oversight Committee shall, receive and refer complaints from citizens and thereafter monitor and evaluate the processing of citizen complaints. CPOC shall monitor and evaluate the Village's efforts in ensuring racial and cultural diversity within the Police Department. And on a semiannual basis, CPOC shall meet with and provide written reports to the Village Board concerning the Committee's activities and any information and analysis of such information which the Committee may have compiled.

## Complaint Process

Citizen complaints may be received by the Police Department, the Village Manager's Office, the Community Relations Department or the Citizen Police Oversight Committee. All complaints are presented to the Police Department for investigation, except under extraordinary circumstances in which (1) further basic information is needed to determine the most appropriate handling of a complaint, (2) and outside investigation of a complaint is warranted or (3) in those situations in which the citizen communication is more in nature of an inquiry than a complaint. Based on the seriousness of the allegation, the complaint is identified as formal or informal. Formal investigations are those which may result in a disciplinary order of a three-day suspension or greater. Informal investigations may result in disciplinary orders of suspension not to exceed two days.

Internal investigations include a review of the complaint to determine any rule violations. These investigations may be conducted by a Watch Commander or the Internal Affairs division. All recommendations or determinations are reviewed through the chain of command to the Police Chief. The Police Chief may concur with the recommendation, overturn the recommendation or request further investigation.

Once a final determination has been made, the Department notifies the citizen complainant of any action taken or any determination based on the complaint, and further notifies the complainant of his/her right to express dissatisfaction with the outcome to the Citizen Police Oversight Committee.

The Citizen Police Oversight Committee monitors and evaluates all citizen complaints and the subsequent investigations conducted by the Police Department. Complaints that arise as a result of dissatisfaction with the Police Department's final determination are specifically reviewed by CPOC. CPOC will then provide the Village Board with a written statement of the Citizen's dissatisfaction with the Department's handling of the citizens' complaint, the investigation report upon which the Department decision was based, and any recommendation the Committee may have.
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## Complaint Analysis

Between September 2020 and April 2021, a total of 10 complaints were reviewed by the Citizen Police Oversight Committee. These 10 complaints resulted in the investigation of 20 rule or policy violations against a total of 13 police officers. Of the 10 complaints, three resulted in a finding of an officer violating Oak Park Police Department rules and general orders. The other complaints resulted in no findings of violations. The internal investigation findings of all citizen complaints filed from October 2020 through April 2021 were upheld by the Citizen Police Oversight Committee by majority vote.
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## Rules Summary

- Rule \#2: Conduct Unbecoming an Officer
- Rule \#3: Unsatisfactory Performance
- Rule \#6: Obedience to laws, rules and regulations, policies, procedures and directives
- Rule \#26 Courtesy to the general public
- Rule \#27 Truthfulness
- General Order 2.17 Prohibition of Bias Based Policing
- General Order 5.17 Preliminary Investigation


## Citizen Police Oversight Committee Recommendations to the Board of Trustees:

Based on CPOC's analysis of complaints, CPOC makes the following recommendations to the Board of Trustees:

- As identified in the 2021 workplan, CPOC shall conduct a comprehensive review of the Citizen Police Oversight Committee's procedural rules in conjunction with Village Manager and designated staff and make a recommendation to the village board to update said rules.
- Recommend to the Village Board options for conducting a survey or community forum to engage and educate residents regarding the Citizen Police Oversight Committee
- Make a recommendation to the Village Board to review the Oversight model of the Oak Park Citizen Police Oversight Committee
- Recommend to the Village Board of Trustees and the Chief of Police that CPOC receive the current and ongoing list of Department Rules that comprise potential officer violations

| Complain\# \# | Date RCVD | Time of Incident | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { Completed } \end{gathered}$ | Days Open | Complainant Gender | Complainant Race | CPOC Review | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Accused } \\ \# \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Accused Gender | Accused <br> Race | Rule Violation | Internal Investigation Findings | Disciilinary Action | CPOC Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20.05 | 6/29/2020 | 1200 | 10/1/2020 | 94 | F | - | 10/20/2020 | 74 | M | w | Rule \#26 Courtesy to the General Public | Unfounded | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 20.05 | 6/29/2020 | 1200 | 10/1/2020 | 94 | F | B | 10/20/2020 | 74 | M | w | General Order 2.17 Prohibition of Bias Based Policing | Unfounded | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 20.06 | 7/20/2020 | 2045 | 9/10/2020 | 52 | F | B | 10/20/2020 | 103 | M | w | Rule \#6 Obedience to Laws, Rules and Regulations, Policies, Procedures and Directives | Exonerated | N/A | Upheld (3 Ayes, 1 Nay) |
| $20-06$ | 7/20/2020 | 2045 | 9/10/2020 | 52 | F | B | 10/20/2020 | 103 | M | w | General Order 2.17 Prohibition of Bias Based Policing | Exonerated | N/A | Upheld (3 Ayes, 1 Nay) |
| 20-06 | 7/20/2020 | 2045 | 9/10/2020 | 52 | F | B | 10/20/2020 | 103 | M | w | General Order 5.17 Preliminary Investigation | Exonerated | N/A | Upheld (3 Ayes, 1 Nay) |
| 20.08 | 8/14/2020 | 1719 | 10/11/2020 | 58 | M | w | 11/17/2020 | 73 | M | w | Rule \#2 Conduct Unbecoming an officer | Not Sustained | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 20-08 | 8/14/2020 | 1719 | 10/11/2020 | 58 | M | w | 11/17/2020 | 73 | M | w | Rule \#26 Courtesy to the General Public | Not Sustained | N/A | Upheld (3 Ayes, 1 Nay) |
| 20.08 | 8/14/2020 | 1719 | 10/11/2020 | 58 | M | w | 11/17/2020 | 73 | M | w | Rule \#3 Unsatisfactory Performance | Sustained | Coaching \& Counseling | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 20.09 | 9/3/2020 | 1040 | 10/11/2020 | 38 | M | H | 11/17/2020 | 97 | M | B | No Violation | Exonerated | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 20-10 | 11/7/2020 | 2030 | 1/8/2021 | 62 | M | B | 2/23/2021 | 134 | M | w | Rule \#3 Unsatisfactory Performance | Unfounded | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 20-10 | 11/7/2020 | 2030 | 1/8/2021 | 62 | 0 | B | 2/23/2021 | 134 | M | w | General Order 2.17 Prohibition of Bias Based Policing | Unfounded | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 20-10 | 11/7/2020 | 2030 | 1/8/2021 | 62 | M | B | 2/23/2021 | 115 | M | w | Rule \#3 Unsatisfactory Performance | Unfounded | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 20-10 | 11/7/2020 | 2030 | 1/8/2021 | 62 | M | B | 2/23/2021 | 73 | M | w | Rule \#3 Unsatisfactory Performance | Unfounded | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| $20-11$ | 12/14/2020 | 2330 | 1/14/2021 | 31 | M | W | 2/23/2021 | 81 | M | w | Rule \#3 Unsatisfactory Performance | Exonerated | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| $20-11$ | 12/14/2020 | 2330 | 1/14/2021 | 31 | M | w | 2/23/2021 | 122 | M | w | Rule \#3 Unsatisfactory Performance | Exonerated | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 20-12 | 12/31/2020 | 200 | 2/23/2021 | 54 | M | w | 2/23/2021 | 60 | M | w | Rule \#6 Obedience to Laws, Rules and Regulations, Policies, Procedures and Directives | Sustained | Coaching \& Counseling | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 21-01 | 2/16/2021 | 1400 | 3/22/2021 | 34 | M | w | 4/19/2021 | 1 | F | w | Rule \#26 Courtesy to the General Public | Sustained | Coaching \& Counseling | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 21.01 | 2/16/2021 | 1400 | 3/22/2021 | 34 | M | w | 4/19/2021 | 1 | F | w | Rule \#6 Obedience to Laws, Rules and Regulations, Policies, Procedures and Directives | Sustained | Coaching \& Counseling | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| 21-02 | 2/18/2021 | 1744 | 4/1/2021 | 42 | F | B | 4/19/2021 | 63 | F | w | Rule \#27 Truthfulness | Exonerated | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |
| $21-03$ | 3/8/2021 | 204 | 4/6/2021 | 29 | M | w | 4/19/2021 | 115 | M | w | Rule \#3 Unsatisfactory Performance | Exonerated | N/A | Upheld (Unanimous) |

